(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 42

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

~~48~~ 57

P W D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2250 அச்சகம் / பலவகை

நாள் : 16-8-1889 198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

21 sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

(21)

16th August 1889, No. 2250 W., Public Works.

2250

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers:—

From F. B. HANNA, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 20th December 1888, No. 1385.

With reference to your letter No. 569, dated 19th July 1881, conveying the sanction of Government to the travellers' bungalow at Pódanúr being rented to the Madras Railway Company for a period of ten years, from the 1st August 1881, I have the honor to request that you will kindly inform me whether there would be any objection on the part of Government to the bungalow under notice being sold to the company either now or at the expiration of the period alluded to above. The building is an old one and the sum of Rs. 1,181-14-5 has already been expended by the company in repairing it since 1881. It is therefore considered desirable that no further expenditure should be made upon it unless it becomes the property of the railway.

From J. H. A. TREMENHEERE, Esq., Acting Collector of Coimbatore, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated 19th March 1889, Ref. on No. 405-Mis.

With reference to your letter, dated 21st December 1888, No. 2104, I have the honor to inform you that I have no objection to the sale of the Pódanúr travellers' bungalow to the Madras Railway Company.

2. The Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, values the building at Rupees 12,022, and I shall be glad to know if the company is prepared to pay this sum.

From F. B. HANNA, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 1st April 1889, No. 390.

In reply to your letter No. 261 of 22nd ultimo, I have the honor to state that the price, Rs. 12,022, named by the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, is considered so much in excess of the real value of the building that it is possible some mistake has been made.

2. Twenty years' purchase at the present rent (Rs. 25) would give Rs. 6,000, and I should be prepared to recommend the purchase of the bungalow if it could be obtained at that price.

From J. H. A. TREMENHEERE, Esq., Acting Collector of Coimbatore, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated 31st May 1889, Ref. on No. 812-Mis.

In reply to your letter of the 30th April last, No. 788, and its enclosure, I have the honor to inform you that I agree to accept the offer of Rs. 6,000 for the bungalow at Pódanúr.

No. 254.

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

2. Under G.O., No. 104 W., dated 15th January 1881, the travellers' bungalow at Pódanúr is rented by the Madras Railway Company for a period of ten years, from 1st August 1881, as a residence for railway staff.

3. The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, now applies for the sanction of Government to its purchase at a cost chargeable to the Capital Account of the company of Rs. 6,000, the price finally agreed to by himself and the Collector of Coimbatore.

4. The proposal is recommended for sanction.

MADRAS,
4th June 1889.

(Signed) C. J. SMITH, Colonel, R.E.,
Consulting Engineer for Railways.

No. 2889 C.

Referred to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, for report as to the present value of the building, and whether there is any objection to its sale to the Madras Railway Company. The building is the one referred to in G.O., No. 104 W., dated 15th January 1881.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

From J. W. MARTIN, Esq., Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated Coimbatore, 27th June 1889, No. 2461.

In reply to your No. 430 C.I., dated 25th June 1889, in the matter of the late travellers' bungalow at Pódanúr, now rented to the Madras Railway Company, I have the honor to state that I do not think the building would fetch more than Rs. 6,000 if sold by auction.

2. With regard to the question whether there is any objection to selling the building, I do not see any objection, and I recommend that it should be sold.

P.S.—A copy of letter No. 1411, dated 11th June 1889, addressed to the Collector on the same subject, is forwarded herewith.—J.W.M.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. W. MARTIN, Esq., Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, to the Collector of Coimbatore, dated Coimbatore, 11th June 1889, No. 1411.

In reply to your letter No. 550-Mis., dated 5th April 1889, in the matter of the travellers' bungalow at Pódanúr, now occupied by the Madras Railway Company, forwarding a copy of a letter from the Agent and Manager in which he states that the amount of my valuation, namely, Rs. 12,022, is considered much in excess of the real value of the building, I have the honor to point out that the Agent has not stated how he decided that my valuation is too high.

2. On referring to the records I find that the original estimate was prepared in 1864 and amounted to Rs. 10,480. This estimate was revised to Rs. 15,300 in September 1865. In February 1866 the estimate was revised again to Rs. 22,100, for which sum the building was finally completed. I must, however, point out the records show that the necessity for the second revision seems to have been due chiefly to bad management on the part of the officers in charge of the work, but the necessity for revising the estimate on the first occasion seems to have been due to Pódanúr being rather an expensive place for building operations, at least when the bungalow was constructed. I think, however, that at the present time the bungalow could be built for between Rs. 11,000 and 12,022, the valuation given in my letter No. 936, dated 12th March 1889. My valuation had, of course, no reference to the market value of the building.

2250

3. As regards a fair price for the bungalow, I need hardly say that its original cost has very little to do with this question, nor even what it would cost to build it now-a-days, for its value is what would be paid for it if offered for sale to the public. Looking at the matter from this point of view, it is not likely that, if offered for sale, it would realise more than Rs. 6,000, the sum the Agent offers for it.

4. I think, however, that the company should pay more than Rs. 6,000. The matter seems to me to stand thus: the company want a bungalow and Government want to sell one. The fact that the Railway Company are at present paying only Rs. 25 per month for it should not, I think, be taken into account, for it is evident the rent is very low in comparison with the accommodation, which consists of two sitting rooms 24′ × 16′ each, and four bed-rooms with bath-rooms, &c.

5. A bungalow for which a rent of Rs. 25 would be paid for as calculated by the rules of this department would cost about Rs. 3,300. It is, however, certain that only a small building could be provided for this sum. I think, therefore, the question should be decided with reference to the accommodation the company require. If they require a fairly large bungalow, I think it is probable they cannot construct one for Rs. 6,000; and if they consider the accommodation should be the same as that in the bungalow at Pódanúr, it is in my opinion doubtful whether they could build one of this size for much less than, say, Rs. 11,000. The bungalow at Pódanúr is comparatively old and of course this should be taken into account. Under these circumstances, I think Rs. 8,500 would be a fair price for the company to pay for the bungalow.

No. 296-E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to his endorsement No. 2889 C. of 21st ultimo, herewith returned.

2. The undersigned concurs with the opinion of the Executive Engineer that the building under reference will not fetch more than Rs. 6,000 if sold by public auction; but at the same time it must be added that, to the Railway Company, its value will be a good deal more than Rs. 6,000, and that, as stated by the Executive Engineer, Rs. 8,500 would be a fairer price as far as Government are concerned.

OOTACAMUND,
3rd July 1889.

(Signed) H. M. VIBART, Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

ORDER—dated 16th August 1889, No. 2250 W., Public Works.

The Government considers that Rs. 8,500 is a fair price for the bungalow. Inquiry will be made in the Railway Branch as to whether the company are prepared to purchase it at this figure.

2. The Collector had no authority to negotiate with the Railway Company for sale of the building, which, though in temporary possession of the Local Fund Board, was retransferred to Government in 1878 and was rented to the company by the Public Works Department in 1881 (*vide* G.O., No. 104 W., dated 15th January 1881), a fact of which the Collector ought to have been aware.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Railway Branch.
" Revenue Department.
" Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

Exd. J. Henricus.

Spare Copies

2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 16th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 16th Aug 1889, No. 2250 W.

AUGUST.

Travellers' Bungalow at Podanur

Orders on correspondence relating to the sale of the — to the Madras Railway Company.

2250

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

15th January 1881, No. 104 W.

General.
Account.

Read the following paper :—

No. 93. Endorsement of the Under Secretary to Government, Financial Department, dated Fort St. George, 10th January 1881, No. 36.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th November 1880, Miscellaneous No. 13,112.

Read the following letter from A. M. SAUNDERS, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to the Collector of Coimbatore, dated Madras, 6th October 1880, No. 3,732.

In reply to your endorsement, No. 10, dated the 15th ultimo, on G.O., No. 1,642, of the 27th August, on the subject of the travellers' bungalow at Pódanúr, I have the honor to inform you that, subject to the sanction of Government (Railway Branch), I shall be prepared to offer to rent this building from you at a price of, say, Rs. 25 per mensem.

2. I understand that a portion of it is let temporarily to an officer of the Railway for the sum of Rs. 15, and it appears to me therefore that Rs. 25 a-month for a permanent occupancy of the whole building would be fair and equitable, but I must ask that it be placed in thorough repair before it is handed over to us. Should this meet with your approval there would be no necessity for your employing any staff at the place.

3. I have already availed myself of the suggestion made in your No. 294 of the 2nd June, to the address of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, and inserted an advertisement in the papers recommending travellers to make use of your Rest-house at Coimbatore.

No. 128.

Submitted for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. The Executive Engineer estimates that it would take Rs. 660 to put the bungalow into thorough repair. If the Railway Company's offer be accepted this outlay would be recouped in little over two years. No establishment would be maintained by Government and a yearly rental of Rs. 300 would be secured. The Company will not buy it by private bargain and it is not probable that it would fetch much if sold by public auction, as much competition is not to be looked for. It is suggested that the bungalow be repaired at a cost of Rs. 660 and rented to the Railway Company for Rs. 25 a-month.

Coimbatore,
10th November 1880.

(Signed) W. WILSON,
Collector.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
through the Board of Revenue, Madras.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government, with reference to G.O., dated 27th August 1880, No. 1,642.

2. The Board support the Collector's proposal.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary.

TRANSFERRED to the Judicial Department, with reference to the order in that Department, dated 27th August 1880, No. 1,642.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
1st December 1880.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

TRANSFERRED in original to the Public Works Department.

2. The Pódanúr bungalow was originally built from Imperial funds at a cost of Rs. 20,194. In 1876 it was transferred to the management of the Local Fund Board, Coimbatore. It was retransferred to Government in 1878, G.O., 2nd March 1878, No. 404. It has since remained with Government and it is now proposed to rent it.

(Signed) C. D. MACLEAN,
Under Secretary.

No. 94. ORDER THEREON, 15th January 1881, No. 104 W.

SANCTION is granted for renting the bungalow to the Madras Railway Company for Rs. 25 per mensem after it has been put into repair.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Financial Department.
„ Superintending Engineer, Second Circle.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
„ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

2250

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1881) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 15th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 15th January 1881, No. 104 W.

JANUARY.
Nos. 93-94.

Traveller's Bungalow at Pódanúr.—The renting of the — to the Madras Railway Company for Rs. 25 per mensem, after being repaired, sanctioned.

---

4 Genl. No. 254
Endorsement Madras

(No. I.)
No. 1385

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,
Madras, 20th December 1888.

Print / 1

From
The *Agent and Manager,* M. R. Coy.

2250

To
The *Consulting Engineer for Railways.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 569 dated 19th July 1881, conveying the sanction of Government to the Travellers bungalow at Podanur being rented to the Madras Railway Company for a period of 10 years from the 1st August 1881, I have the honour to request that you will kindly inform me whether there would be any objection on the part of Government to the bungalow under notice being sold to the Company either now, or at the expiration of the period alluded to above. The building is an old one and the sum of Rs. 1,181-14-5

SUPDT. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. ______
29 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

2 2250 2P.

has already been expended by the Company in repairing it since 1881. It is therefore considered desirable that no further expenditure should be made upon it unless it becomes the property of the Railway.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t. Servant,

Francis W. Hanna
Agent & Manager.

6

1 [illegible] Collector

REGISTER
No. 1402
FILE
No. 72

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 3/5034
24 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 4/3217
27 JUN 1889
P. W. D.
COIMBATORE

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

E.A.
PW. 5068
264/89

Dated 20th June 1889
Recd.

No. 1385

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 5/5044
29 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

Ask whether there is any objection for the Bungalow at Podanur being sold to the Company.

Vide Letter to C. Coimbatore No. 2104 of 21.12.88

Refer on No. 405 / Misc.

Coimbatore Collector's Office
19th March 1889

From,

J. H. A. Tremenheere Esquire
Ag. Collector of Coimbatore

To,

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways - Madras.

Sir,

1. With reference to your letter dated 21st December 1888, No. 2104, I have the honor to inform you that I have no objection to the sale of the Podanur Travellers' Bungalow to the Madras Railway Company.

2. - The Executive Engineer Coimbatore Division, values the building at Rs 12,022 and I shall be glad to know if the Company is prepared to pay this sum.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

Ag Collector

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 2/6031
24 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

3/321 9 1 E
JUN 1889

REGISTER
No. /5
FILE
No. 1

From

The Collector of
Coimbatore

To

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways
Madras.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
4/504 C.E.
29 JUN. 89
FOURTH CIRCLE

Refer on no 405/Mis

Dated 19th }
Recd. } March 1889

papers

Stating that he has no objection to the sale of the Podanur Travellers' Bungalow to the Madras Railway Company and requesting to be informed if the Company is prepared to pay Rs. 12,022, the value estimated by the Executive Engineer Coimbatore Division.

(No. II.)

No. 390

4

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 1st April 1889

275/0

From

Print/s The Agent and Manager. M.R.C.

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 261 of 22d ultimo, I have the honour to state that the price Rs. 12,022 named by the Executive Engineer Coimbatore Division is considered so much in excess of the real value of the building that it is possible some mistake has been made.

Twenty years purchase at the present rent (Rs. 25) would give Rs. 6000/- and I should be prepared to recommend the purchase of the bungalow if it could be obtained at that price.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt
Sd/ Francis W. [illegible]
Agent & Manager

SUPDG ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No 1003/1
2 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

REGISTER
№ 353
FILE
№

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
No 2/321/24 F
27 JUN 1889
P.W.D.
COIMBATORE

SUPDG ENGINEER'S OFFICE
3/504 C
29 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

Dated 1st April 1889
Recd. 2nd
No. 390

Acct the Cost
The Bungalow at
Podanur

PW 5068/89

Vide Letter to R Coimbatore
No. 642 d 2.4.89

225⁵⁰ 5 P.

Refce on No. 812/mis

Coimbatore Collecter's Office,
31st May 1889

FROM,

J. H. A. Tremenheere ESQUIRE,
Ag COLLECTOR OF COIMBATORE.

Pmm/u

TO,

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways
Madras

SIR,

In reply to your letter of the 30th April last, No. 788 and its enclosure, I have the honor to inform you that I agree to accept the offer of Rs 6000 for the Bungalow at Podanur.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

for Collector

V.V.

Prom/6 D

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 603 W
24 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

7P

No. 2889 CE d/ 21st June 1889

Referred to the Superintending Engineer IV Circle for report as to the present value of the building and whether there is any objection to its sale to the Madras Railway Co. The building is the one referred to in G.O. 1024 W d/ 15 January 1881.

Rhodes Krishnan
Lieut Col R.E.
Ag. Pr. Secty to Govt.

To The Superintending Engineer IV Circle — to be returned

C.P.

W.O.
10.6.89

REGISTER
No. 294
FILE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1321/9 E
27 JUN 1889
P.W.D.
COIMBATORE

(1889) DEPARTMENT.

From

The Collector

of Coimbatore

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
2/504
29 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways Madras

papers

Dated 31st May
Despatched 1st June 1889
Received 3

V.S. 3/6

A.D.S.

E.4

Office No. 812
Mis:

Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

Accepting the offer of Rs 6000 for the Bungalow at Podanur.

Referred.

2250 22.50 6D

FORM No. 1.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

From the

OFFICE OF CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 4th June 1889.

1 Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway No 1385 dated 20th December 1888
2 Letter from the Collector of Coimbatore No 405 dated 19th March 1889
3 Letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway No 390 dated 1st April 1889
4 Letter from the Collector of Coimbatore No 812 dated 31st May 1889

No. 254

Prom/5

SUBMITTED for the Sanction of Government.

6P

2.—Under G.O. No 1024 W dated 15th January 1881, the Traveller's Bungalow at Podanur is rented by the Madras Railway Company for a period of 10 years from 1st August 1881 as a residence for Railway Staff.

3.—The Agent and Manager Madras Railway now applies for the Sanction of Government to its purchase at a cost, chargeable to the Capital Account of the Company of Rs 6000, the price finally agreed to by himself

50

CD

and

and the Collector of Coimbatore

4 – The proposal is recommended for sanction.

A.W.G. Shelley Lieut. R.E.
for Consg. Engr. for Rys.

No. 2889 C d/21st June 1889

Referred to the S.E. IV circle for report as to the present value of the building & whether there is any objection to its sale to the Madras Railway Co. The building is the one referred to in G.O. 104 W dated 15th January 1881.

(Sd) R.R.E. Drake-Brockman
Lt. Col. R.E.
Ag. Jt. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

(Sd) W.L.C.B.
20-6-89.

To.
The S.E. IV circle

to be returned.

21/6

Enclosure

9 SR

Precis

Executive Engineer's Office,
Coimbatore,

No. 1411.

11th June 1889.

From
J. W. Martin Esqr.
Executive Engineer,
Coimbatore Division.

225

2350

To
The Collector
of Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 550 dated 5th April 1889 in the matter of the Travellers Bungalow at Podanur now occupied by the Madras Railway Company forwarding a copy of a letter from the ent and Manager in which he states that, the amount of my valuation, namely Rupees 12022 is considered much in excess of the real value of the building, I have the honor to point out that the agent has not stated how he decided that my valuation is too high.

2 – On referring to the records I find that the original estimate was prepared in 1864 and amounted to Rs. 10480 – This estim was revised to Rs. 15300 in September 1865 – In Feby 1866 the estimate was revised again to Rs. 22,100 at for which sum the building was f ally compl
I must however point he records s
that the necessity for the second revision

Seem

seems to have been due chiefly to bad management on the part of the officers in charge of the work, but the necessity for revising the estimate on the first occasion seems to have been due to Podanur being rather an expensive place for building operations, at least, when the bungalow was constructed. I think however that at the present time the bungalow could be built for between Rs 11000 & 12022 the valuation given in my letter No. 936 dated 12 March/89. My valuation had of course no reference to the market value of the building.

3. As regards a fair price for the bungalow, I need hardly say that its original cost has very little to do with this question, nor even what it would cost to build it now a days for its value is what would be paid for it if offered for sale to the public. Looking at the matter from this point of view, it is not likely that if offered for sale it would realize more than Rs 6000/ the sum the Agent offers for it.

4 I think however that the Company should pay more than Rs 6000/. The matter seems to me to stand thus. The Company want a bungalow [illegible] want to sell one, the fact that the [illegible] Comp [illegible] are at present paying [illegible] than 25 per month for it should not, I think, be taken into account, for it is evident the rent is very low in comparison with the accommodation which consists of two sitting rooms 24'x16' feet each, and four bed rooms with bath rooms &c.

5. A bungalow for which a rent of Rs 25 would be paid for, calculated by the rules of this department, would cost about Rs 3300. It is however certain that only a small building could be provided for this sum. I think therefore the question should be decided with reference to the accommodation the Company require. If they require a fairly large bungalow I think it is probable they cannot construct one for Rs 6000/ and if they consider the accommodation should be the same as that in the bungalow at Podanur it is in my opinion doubtful, whether they could build one of this size for much less than say Rs 11,000/. The bungalow at Podanur is comparatively old, and of course this should be taken into account. Under these circumstances I think Rs 8500/ would be a fair price for the Company to pay for the bungalow.

I have &c. &c.

(Sd) J. W. Martin
Exec: Engineer

(True Copy)

C W Martin
Executive Engineer

P. W. D.

From

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
COIMBATORE DIVISION.

To

The Collector of
Coimbatore

Dated 11th June 1889
Despatched
Received

No. 1411. C.1 / M 6264/89

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

Travellers Bungalow at Podanur —

States that Rs.8500/- would be a fair price for the Madras Ry Co. to pay for the —

88

Executive Engineer's Office,
Coimbatore,

No. 2461 27th June 1889

Print 7

From J. W. Martin Esq. 225
Executive Engineer,
Coimbatore Division.

To

The Superintending Engineer
Fourth Circle

Sir,

Enclosures
1. Endt.
4. original letters.

In reply to your No. 430/CD dated 25 June/89 in the matter of the late Travellers Bungalow at Podanur now rented to the Madras Railway Company, I have the honor to state that I do not think the building would fetch more than Rs 6000/- if sold by auction. 13

2. With regard to the question whether there is any objection to selling the building, I do not see

13

see any objection and I recommend that it should be sold -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

L W Martin
Executive Engineer

P. S.

A copy of letter No 1411 dated 11th ~~April~~ June 1889 addressed to the Collector on the same subject is ~~to~~ forwarded herewith. L W M

11

No. 296
EW

Prints
9

11 P

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. with reference to his Endorsement No. 2889C of 21st ultimo herewith returned.

2. The undersigned Concurs with the opinion of the Executive Engineer that the building under reference will not fetch more than Rs. 6,000 if sold by public auction but at the same time it must be added that, to the Railway Company, its value will be a good deal more than 6,000 and that as stated by the Executive Engineer 8,500 Rupees would be a fairer price as far as Government are concerned.

Philip [illegible]
Col. R.E.

Camp ooty. Supg. Engineer, IV Circle

3/7/89 Enclo: 6

P. W. D.

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 504 C.J.
29 JUN. 89
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

From
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Coimbatore Division.

To
The Supg Engineer
IV circle

Dated
Despatched 27th June 1889
Received

No. 2461

ENCLOSURES. 5.

SUBJECT.

Late travellers' bungalow at Podanur

Recommends the sale of the and states it would not fetch more than Rs 600

"

On the back

16

P.W.C. No. 6264

Memo no. 2885 C dt 21-6-89
P.O. No. 1044 W dt 15.1.89.

From (16)

The Supg. Engineer,
Fourth circle.

No. 502 Dy 10th July /89

~~Referred to the Consulting Engr. for Railways for enquiry whether the Madras Railway Co. is willing to pay Rs 8,500 for the building.~~

R. Drake-Brockman
Lt. Col. R.E.
Ag. Joint. Secy. to Govt.
P.W.D.

[illegible]
5.7.89

Dated 3rd July 1889.
19.7.89

No. 296
G.W.

Enclo: 6 5

Late Travellers' Bungalow at Podanur.

Forwarding ~~with~~ a letter from the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division stating that Rs. 8500 would be a fairer price in the interests of Government for the [illegible]. O.W. 5677.

0
8/9

2250

15

G. O. Ans. 104 W d/ 15. 1. 81.

Form No. 3.

Hon: Mr H. E. Stokes C.S.

Sanctioned.

C.L.S.
13. 8. 9

R.

The sanction to the sale cannot be given here. The Govt. has not power to make the bargain. The bungalow is the property of Govt. apparently. It does not appear to what head the rents are credited. The papers are incomplete. This application should I think be transferred to the P.W.D. Rds. & Buildings

U.E.S.

P.W.D. Railways can possibly sanction the purchase by the Compy. & the charge to the capital acct. but the sale ~~purchase~~ must be sanctioned elsewhere, and we must know all about it.

Refer to W
C.L.S.
15. 6. 9

To

The Consg. Engr. for Rys:

" Exr. Audit Ry: Accts:

0/6/6- Cir 14/6/9.
R 15/6/9 R 20/6

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras,

P.W.C. No 5068

No. 254

Dated 4th
Received 5 } June 1889.

No. of Enclosures. 4

No. 2889 C d/ 21st June 1889

Subject.

Traveller's bungalow at Podanur: — Application from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, for taking over the — at a cost of Rs. 6000, chargeable to the Capital account of the Company, recommended for sanction.

Referred to W.
C.L.S.
15. 6. 9
R

R

P.W.S. 52/8.

For Hon. orders

225.

The Collector of Coimbatore in his letter d/. 19 May/89 agrees to the sale of the Travellers Bungr. at Podanur to the Madras Ry Company for the sum of 6000/- offered by the latter. This he was unempowered to do as by G.O. No 104 W. d/. 15 Jany/81 it is clear that the bungalow is the property of Govt., and that the rents are recovered in the usual way & credited in the P.W. Department. So the agreement between the Collr. & the Ry Co. must fall through. On enquiry from the Sr. E. IV Circle that officer states ~~that~~ in his No 296/E.W. [7] d/. 3 Jany ~~that the Exec.~~ endorsement upon letter from the Exec: Engr Coimbatore [7] Divn. that he concurs

in the mean time of
the Matter that altho'
it [illegible] up towards
the [illegible] would not
fetch more than 6000/.
yet as its value is con-
siderably more than that
sum to the Rly Co
a sum of 8500/ should
be asked for it.

Submitted that these
papers be referred to
the Railway Branch
to report whether the
Railway Co are pre-
pared to purchase the
Bungalow for that amount.

Rmcd
10.8.85

Rev 104 W. 15.1.81.
2889C - 21.6.89.
Cons 6264/89.

2250

2250 W

Dept. [illegible]

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARIAT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

Station ________ date ________ 18

NOTE.

Endorsement No. 502/10 July/89 appears to me to be erroneous.
The correspondence was referred by R to W. and should be referred back by W to R.
If the Endorsement is intended as a G.O. it should have been sent to me for counter-initials, but I observe it does not bear the Hon. Members' initials.
The papers are still before Govt. and cannot be referred to the Consulting Engineer for Rys except by Govt. orders.

C.J.S.
10.7.8[illegible]

18

[illegible] Resubmitted [illegible] 10.7.89

P. W. Department.

Read the following :—

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways No 254 d/ 4th June 1889
From the Supdg Engineer IV Circle " 296 EW " 3rd July "
Office Note from Jt Secy to Govt PWD Ry Branch " 10th " "

2250

FOR ORDERS.

Not to print

The Collector of Coimbatore in his letter d/ 31st May 1889 agrees to the sale of the Travellers Bungalow at Podanur to the Madras Railway Company for the sum of Rs 6000/- offered by the latter – This he was unempowered to do as by G.O. No 104 W d/ 15th January 1881 it is clear that the bungalow is the property of Government, and that the rents are recovered in the usual way and credited in the P. W. Department – so the agreement between the Collector and the Railway Coy must fall through. On

I think 8500 would probably be a fair sum. Refer as proposed stating strongly that the Collector had no authority whatever to negotiate with the Company in the matter.

11 Aug.

59

enquiry from the Supdg Engineer IV Circle that officer states in his No. 296/EW d/. 3rd July ~~endorsement~~ endorsement upon letter from the Executive Engineer Coimbatore Division that he concurs in the view taken by the latter that although if put up to auction the building would not fetch more than 6000/ yet as its value is considerably more than that ~~sum~~ to ~~[illegible]~~ ~~by~~ the Railway Company, a sum of 8500/ should be asked for it.

Submitted that these papers be referred to the Railway Branch to report whether the

2250

Railway Coy are prepared to purchase the Bungalow for that amount.

Rnedd
10.8.85
W

E.3116

20

A
Fair orders

B&R
Moccasin

Pro/

12

vo 12P

No 2250 W/ of 7th August 1889

The Government considers that Rs 8500 is a fair price for the bungalow. Enquiry will be made in the Railway Branch as to whether the Company are prepared to purchase it at this figure.

2. The Collector had no authority to negotiate with the Railway Company for sale of the building; which, though in temporary possession of the Local Fund Board, was retransferred to Government in 1878, and was rented to the Company by the Public Works Dept in 1881 vide G.O. No. W.D. 15 January 1881, a fact of which the Collector ought to have been aware.

R.McD.
12.8.89

D 29/8

To The Railway Branch
" Rev: Dept
Secty. Engr. W. Circle
R
Rev. Secty } For favour of
communication

V
13/8

To R. 12/8. To R.A. 13/8.
A.S. 5 2/8. Ans 10/8.

2250 13

2250

21

Govt. of 18 Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

Encl [illegible]

Dated

Recd

Encl.

G.O. 16 Aug 1887 No. 2250W

Travellers Bungalow
at Padanur

Orders on correspondence relating to the sale of the to the M. R. Company.

110/4

2

Sd 17/8-

[illegible] 17/8