

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் lms

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(35)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	<u>2225</u>	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>15-8-1889</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

201.

4. Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

2225

Docket from the Executive Engineer to the sub-D.
officer, Tenkaranai. ^{himself} No 837 d/29 May/09.

Reference :- His letter No 126 dated
19 May 1909 (Received on the 27 May 1909).

The procedure adopted is
irregular; the sub-D. officer should
have paid the supplier himself and the
amount recovered from the contractor
should have been credited in his
cash book under "Refunds of expen-
diture". In future procedure laid
down should be carefully followed.

Signed/ S. E. Branson
Exec: Engineer.
Sincerely S.

No 838


Ends: 1. /True copy/ Forwarded to the
Superintending Engineer VI circle
with a copy of sub-D. officer's letter
No 126 dated 19 May 1909 in con-
firmation of Reply dated 16 April/09

Inspection Notes of the Deputy Examiner
of P.W. Accts.

Palamcottah
29 May 1889.

Signed/ J E Manton
Treasury Secy
Srinagar D.

(Some Copies)



A. Smith L. Collyer
Supt. Engineer W. Circle
Dep. 10. 7-89.

10/6/89

2225
Letter from Sub-Division Officer, Sankarai to the
Executive Engineer Srinagar D. No. 126
dated 19 May 1889.

Sir,

In reference to your No. $\frac{413}{3-5-89}$ I have
the honor to inform you that a deduction
of Rs 3-10-6 being the value of 9 measures
of tar supplied has been made in the
bill No 29 of August 1888 on the side
"Memorandum of payment made"
under "Payment now made by cash".
The amount in question was taken
from the contractor and paid for the
tar supplied or the contractor was
paid an amount less by Rs 3-10-6
(the value of tar).

2. The above procedure was
followed to avoid preparing another
voucher for tar debiting the
amount in the cash book and
recovering the same from the
contractor.

2

3. The measurement book
has not been initialled or signed
by the Supervisor by an oversight
now rectified.

I have &c.

Signed/ J. W. H. Ellis

Asst Engineer
in ch. Sankarai sub D.

/True copy/

Al Smith L. Col MRE
Supt. Engineer II circle.
Recd 10. 7-89.



2225
Letter from J. E. Manson Esq. Executive Engineer
Srinanelli D. to the Supt. Engineer II circle
No 1193 dated 5 July 1889.

With reference to your Enclosure
- ment No 324 TN. dated 26 June 1889
on copy of S.O No 1630 W dated 21st
June 1889. I have the honor to reply
as follows -

Para 3 of the office Note of the
Examiner. This has been attended to.

Para 4. Noted.

Para 5. The report was submitted
with this office No 838 dated 29 May/89.

Para - 6. A report will be
made as soon as the attestation of
all the Service Books has been completed.

No 3537N

Copy together with copies of the
Executive Engineer's No 838 dated 29
May/89 and its enclosure; is for -

Encls: 2.

forwarded to the Examiner P.W. Act
thru' the Chief Engineer P.W.D, with
reference to G.O No 1630 S d/21 June/09.

1 Camp, Madeira
9th June 1889
Supt 10th

Al Smith Lth COLVRE
Supt^r Engineer III cide.

2225



751
PWC No. 5479

No. 3196C of 13 July 1889

To C
For perusal and
favour of return.

WWS
12.7.89

To W.
No. 1144 of 10 Aug 1889

Noted and Returned.

From
The Superintendent,
H. Circle,
Trichinopoly.

To The Examiner,
P.W. Accounts,
Madras.
through the Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras.

No. 353TN

Dated 9th } July 1889.
Recd 10th }

14.7.89
13.8.89

No. 2225 of 15 August 1889

Encls. 2.

WWS
10/8
10/8

No. 2225 of 15 August 1889

Recorded.

WWS
14.8.89

(W)

24/8

Notes of inspection by the
Examiner of the Suez Canal
Division.

Submitting Copy of Letter
from the Executive Engineer
Suez Canal Division No. 1193
furnishing explanation
with reference to certain
points noticed in the —

70