

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/88
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம் 12/88

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரியார் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2148 v 2149	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	9-8-1889	198

மடிக்கலோ கண்டயடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. 85-1-18,000-15-8-89.

A. Mani

No 1122 P.R.

Office of the Supg Engr.
Periyar Project Works.
Periyar 8 July 1889

From

Colonel J. Pennington R.E.
Superintending Engineer
Periyar Project Works.

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

Sir,

En-1.

With reference to G.O. No 1561 W
of 12 June 1889 I have the honor to
submit a statement showing the
actual cost of quarters in connection
with this project and the rents
proposed to be charged.

Code No. 1064

Rule I (7)

2 By G.O. No 248 W of 30 January 1889
the annual rent is ordered to be taken
at $\frac{1}{11}$ of the Capital cost for works,
but in the case of the permanent
quarters occupied by officers and
upper subordinates I have taken
the rate at $\frac{1}{10}$ the cost, to allow
for

for the value of the stables and godowns the accounts for which are not yet complete, but which will not differ much from one tenth of that of the principal buildings.

3. The rents to be charged to upper subordinates are those laid down in para 1042 of the code irrespective of the value of the quarters.

4. In the last column of the statement is entered the amount by which the pay of temporary employes as fixed by G.O. No 1472 of 4th June 1889 should be increased with reference to para 3 of G.O. under reply.

5. These amounts are fixed at two thirds of the monthly rent in each case for the reason stated in para 9 of my letter No 632 PR of 19 April last (G.O. No 1472 of 4th June) namely that the extra pay will be drawn all

all the year round while the rent will only be chargeable for an average of about eight months in the year.

6. This extra pay on account of house rent should in my opinion be given to all the men included in the list printed with the last mentioned G.O. and not merely to those who were therein granted extra pay in substitution for Singar allowances.

7. It is presumed that rent will not be charged to men paid from works such as firemen and valetins drawing more than twenty five rupees a month. In these cases the pay would have to be increased by an amount exactly equivalent to the rent charged, causing considerable trouble in accounts for no apparent object.

8. I would also earnestly suggest a reconsideration of the determination to charge rent to the lower subordinates and clerks. The quarters occupied by these men are more huts

huts of mud and grass, and I do not believe there is a case on record where rents have been charged for temporary huts of this kind. The actual sum at issue is quite insignificant while the actual financial result is of course nil. It is impossible to see what object is served by going through the form of charging rent merely to give it back again in the shape of increased pay.

9 In principle the same objection applies to charging rent to temporary upper subordinates but in their case the sums dealt with are larger and the number of men fewer, while it is undesirable to make a distinction between them and the subordinates of the permanent establishment. None of the lower subordinates or clerks belong to the latter.

10 Rent will be recovered from the members of the permanent establishment

248-3
establishment at the rates shown in the enclosed statement from the 1st July but no rent will be recovered from any member of the temporary establishment pending orders on this letter.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

your most obedt Servt.

John B. J. Col. R.R.

Sirsg Engineer, Puiya, Puiya

KL

Pr. Aug 1561 w 12-6. 87.

1172-73 w 4.6. 87

875 w 23-3. 87

248 w 30-1. 87

2981 w 22.9. 88

2146 x 5
4



No. 3193C of 13 July 1889

To

C

For favour of early report.

W.M.

D.W.

No. 1054 of 25 July 1889

To W.

The charge of 1/10th. proposed in para 2 of Supg. Engineer's letter might, I think, be adopted for the present; and I do not think any objection need be taken to the proposal in paras 7 and 8.

2. With regard to para 10, if it is intended to exempt officers on the permanent establishment from payment of rent for quarters actually occupied before July 1889, the approval of the Government of India, should, I think, be obtained, as there seems to be nothing in this letter to warrant such an exemption. As regards temporary establishment, it does not seem to matter, as what would be taken with one hand would be given back with the other.

From,

The Superintending Engineer
Perinjar Project

To,

The Chief Engineer
P.H.S.

Dated 8th } July/89
Recd 12th }

Enc. — 1.

Enclures in connection with the Perinjar Project & rent proposed to be charged —
Towards a statement showing the actual cost of & informs that rent will be recovered from the members of the permanent staff from the 1st of July & that no rent will be recovered from the temporary staff pending orders on this letter.

245/5
C. N. 247h
P.H.C. No. 8975
1889
4305/52

Examiner, P.H. Accounts.

Madrass 23rd September 1889

Office Note No. 1379

To. H. R. 24.9.89

Please let me have, for reference, the document noted below.

Superintending Engineer's letter No. 1122 D.O. of 8 July 1889 and statement referred to in G.O. No. 2148 W. of 9 August 1889.

CP
23/9

No. 4328C of 27 Sept. 1889

C


Herewith the letter and enclosure in original. Please return when no longer required.

26.9.89

23/9

1889

A. B.



W.S.
Pr 9661
8

21445
6

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 9th August 1889

Read the following

From the Suptg Engineer Peninsular Project A.C. No. 1122/89
of 5th July 1889.

Office note from the Examiner P.W. Accts A.C. No. 1054
of 25th July 1889.

original paper
sent to C. with
reference Aug 8975
on 26-9-89

The recommendations in
paras 1 to 3 of the Suptg.

Engineer's letter are sanctioned.

W.S.
3 Aug

2. The approval of Govern-
ment of India will be
requested to the proposal
to recover part from
members of the permanent
establishment from 1st
July 1889.

10/8/89

Suptg. Engrs. Peninsular Project
Examiner P.W. Accts

A.C.

Lt. Col. N. 2149 W. 2/7. Sept 6/89

To
The Secy. to the Govt of India
P. W. D.

Sir

I am directed to ac-
knowledge the receipt of
your letter N. 187 B. dated
22nd May 1889, and to state
that the rules therein laid
down will be followed
with respect to the levy
of rent from officers and
subordinates employed on
the Peninsular Project, who
occupy Government quarters.

I am at the same
time to request the
approval of the Govern-
ment of India to the
rents being recovered from
members of the permanent

establishment with effect
from the 1st July 1889, i.e.,
the month following that
in which the orders of
Government reached the
Superintending Engineer
Peninsular Project. It would
be a hard measure to
demand the payment
of arrears of rent, but
the Examiner P. W. D. is
of opinion that your
letter under acknowledge-
ment gives us authority
for the exemption of the
officers in question from
payment for the period
prior to June 1889.

Despatch
18

W. B.
29.7.89

Cm

Amesbury
30.7.89

EXAMINER
No. 11679A
2 APR 1892
ENCLOSURE
W. ACCOUNT

(9)

24 22 1/2

Rt. 100
N. 1/2 222
10315 1/2

Σ
17 1/2

Oct. 137/7. Am 1/8. 8 1/2

30/7