

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Service—Officers.

Read the following papers :—

No. Memorandum from Lieutenant-Colonel M. H. WRATISLAW, Assistant Adjutant-General, for Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Army, to Brigadier-General A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Ootacamund, 3rd May 1889, No. 1059-O.

Forwarded for the orders of Government with reference to previous correspondence ending with despatch No. 84, dated the 31st March 1887, from the Secretary of State for India recorded in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 3241, dated the 3rd June 1887.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, to the Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Army; dated Coimbatore, 18th March 1889, No. 82-M.

In reply to your No. 3220, dated 22nd December 1888, I have the honor respectfully to submit that the despatch No. 84 of 31st March 1887, from the Secretary of State recorded in the Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 3241, of 3rd June 1887, does not dispose of my case as represented in my letter No. 457-TC, dated 2nd December 1886, with G.O., No. 7911, of 20th December 1886, Military.

2. The despatch of the Secretary of State above quoted lays down the general rule that the Indian Service of Royal Engineer Officers shall reckon from date of arrival in India and that three years British service may reckon as Indian service.

3. In March 1873 I was distinctly told that, if I volunteered for India *at once*, my Indian service should count from that date, although I might not be sent out immediately; I was thus induced to volunteer again for Indian service. I claim therefore to count my second period of Indian service as a *special case* and under the promise above quoted from March 1873 and not from the date of my second arrival in India.

4. My first arrival in India was on 5th January 1864 and my Indian service was broken by a certain period of Home service from 22nd February 1871 to March 1873, as I was promised that my Indian service should reckon from the latter date and not from date of my second arrival in India.

5. I request that this matter may receive favorable consideration from the Government of India.

No. 134-D.

Forwarded.

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
6th May 1889. Acting Joint Secretary, P.W.D.

To the Secretary to Government, Military Department.

No. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department;
dated 15th May 1889, No. 3184.

No. . From Lieutenant-Colonel E. COLLEN, Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to Brigdr.-Genl. A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department; dated Fort William, the 3rd June 1889, No. 517-G.

Service—Officers.

In reply to your endorsement No. 3184, dated the 15th May 1889, covering a representation from Lieutenant-Colonel A. C. Smith, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, Madras, regarding his service for Indian pension, I am directed to invite attention to Military Department No. 1028 A,*

* Recorded in Proceedings of Madras Government, No. 3509, dated 23rd May 1888.

dated the 7th May 1888, laying down the rule for the calculation of Indian pension service of continuous service Royal Engineer Officers, and to say that

under it Lieutenant-Colonel Smith can count towards Indian pension service the period of his second tour of Indian service from the date he commenced to draw pay from Indian revenue.

2. I am to add that if Lieutenant-Colonel Smith was not satisfied with this result of the application of the rule to his case, it was open to him to cancel his election for continuous service in India, but that not having done so and in the face of the rule, which is moreover the outcome of the reference to the Secretary of State in his own case, the Government of India are unable to allow an exception to be made in his favor.

No. . ORDER THEREON, 15th July 1889, No. 4259

The reply of the Government of India communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.

„ Public Works Department.

„ Controller of Military Accounts, for information.

Gobt. of {1889} Madras.
MILITARY.

To THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 1 July 1889, No. 4267

Nos.

Service—Officers.

Smith, Lieutenant-Colonel A. C., Royal Engineers, Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department.—Decision of the Government of India on a representation from — regarding his service for Indian pension, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and the Public Works Department.

No. 1803 W 2/84 July 1889

Comd. Lt. Col. A. C. Smith
A.C. with reference to G. O. 1693
37 June 1889

(se R.R.D.B.)

Gobt. of {1889} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 1st July 1889, No. 1130.

JULY.

Indian Factories Act.

Asking certain firms and the Public Works Department for suggestions on the proposal to allow women employed in factories four holidays in each month.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From A. P. MACDONNELL, Esq., C.S.I., Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Judicial), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 19th June 1889, No. 816.

With reference to the correspondence ending with the letter from this department No. 474, dated the 20th April last, on the subject of the working of the Indian Factories Act, XV of 1881, I am directed to state for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council that it was proposed by the Factory Commission appointed by the Bombay Government in 1884-85 that the law should insist on four days' holiday in each month for women employed in factories, the holidays being fixed and notified by the Local Government concerned in communication with the employers of labor.

Her Majesty's Secretary of State has now informed the Government of India that he desires to provide in the law that women shall be allowed four holidays or days of absence from labor per mensem; and I am to request that the Governor in Council may be moved after communication with the principal mill-owners in the Madras Presidency to favor the Government of India with his opinion as to the manner in which effect can best be given by legislation to this decision.

2. An early reply is requested.

ORDER—dated 1st July 1889, No. 1130, Judicial.

Messrs. Binny and Co. (for Carnatic and Buckingham Mills).

Messrs. Parry and Co. (for Sugar and Spirit Distillery).

Messrs. Dymes and Co.

„ A. Sabapati Mudaliar and Co.

„ Minchin Brothers.

„ Massey and Co.

„ Arbuthnot and Co.

„ Oakes and Co.

The foregoing letter will be communicated to the firms noted in the margin and to the Public Works Department with the request that any suggestions on the best mode of giving effect to the wishes of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State may be submitted at an early date, not later than the 31st July next.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Firms noted above.

„ Public Works Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Gobt. of (1889) Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th June 1889, No. 275.

JUNE.

Revised Shipping Rules.

Informing the Presidency Port Officer that Rule 3 of the — for Madras is to be held to apply to the ports specified.

20TH JUNE 1889, No. 275, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Captain H. A. STREET, H.M.'S I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras,
to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th June 1889,
No. 222—G.

Referring to G.O., Marine, No. 119, dated 25th March 1889, I have the honor
to beg that I may be favored with the views of Government as to whether Rule 3
of the revised shipping rules for Madras is to be held to apply to all ports at which
harbour dues are collected.

ORDER—dated 20th June 1889, No. 275, Marine.

Harbour dues are levied only at Madras; but the Presidency Port Officer
presumably refers to the special dues collected at Cocanada and Negapatam under
Acts III of 1883 and III of 1885, respectively, and to the pier charges at ports like
Tuticorin. If so, his question is answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.
„ the Collectors of Maritime districts,
with G.O., 25th March 1889, No. 119.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of (1889) Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th June 1889, No. 275.

JUNE.

Revised Shipping Rules.

Informing the Presidency Port Officer that
Rule 3 of the — for Madras is to be held to apply
to the ports specified.

20TH JUNE 1889, No. 275, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Captain H. A. STREET, H.M.'S I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras,
to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th June 1889,
No. 222—G.

Referring to G.O., Marine, No. 119, dated 25th March 1889, I have the honor
to beg that I may be favored with the views of Government as to whether Rule 3
of the revised shipping rules for Madras is to be held to apply to all ports at which
harbour dues are collected.

ORDER—dated 20th June 1889, No. 275, Marine.

Harbour dues are levied only at Madras; but the Presidency Port Officer
presumably refers to the special dues collected at Cocanada and Negapatam under
Acts III of 1883 and III of 1885, respectively, and to the pier charges at ports like
Tuticorin. If so, his question is answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.
„ the Collectors of Maritime districts,
with G.O., 25th March 1889, No. 119.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of (1889) Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th June 1889, No. 275.

JUNE.

Revised Shipping Rules.

Informing the Presidency Port Officer that
Rule 3 of the — for Madras is to be held to apply
to the ports specified.

20TH JUNE 1889, No. 275, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Captain H. A. STREET, H.M.'S I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras,
to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th June 1889,
No. 222—G.

Referring to G.O., Marine, No. 119, dated 25th March 1889, I have the honor
to beg that I may be favored with the views of Government as to whether Rule 3
of the revised shipping rules for Madras is to be held to apply to all ports at which
harbour dues are collected.

ORDER—dated 20th June 1889, No. 275, Marine.

Harbour dues are levied only at Madras; but the Presidency Port Officer
presumably refers to the special dues collected at Cocanada and Negapatam under
Acts III of 1883 and III of 1885, respectively, and to the pier charges at ports like
Tuticorin. If so, his question is answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.
„ the Collectors of Maritime districts,
with G.O., 25th March 1889, No. 119.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of (1889) Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th June 1889, No. 275.

JUNE.

Revised Shipping Rules.

Informing the Presidency Port Officer that
Rule 3 of the — for Madras is to be held to apply
to the ports specified.

20TH JUNE 1889, No. 275, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Captain H. A. STREET, H.M.'S I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras,
to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 4th June 1889,
No. 222—G.

Referring to G.O., Marine, No. 119, dated 25th March 1889, I have the honor
to beg that I may be favored with the views of Government as to whether Rule 3
of the revised shipping rules for Madras is to be held to apply to all ports at which
harbour dues are collected.

ORDER—dated 20th June 1889, No. 275, Marine.

Harbour dues are levied only at Madras; but the Presidency Port Officer
presumably refers to the special dues collected at Cocanada and Negapatam under
Acts III of 1883 and III of 1885, respectively, and to the pier charges at ports like
Tuticorin. If so, his question is answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments.
„ the Collectors of Maritime districts,
with G.O., 25th March 1889, No. 119.

Exd. A. Raitt.