

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 5/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் lary

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

⑨

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1623	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	21-6-1889	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

101

8. sheets

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Kodaikanál, 2nd May 1889, No. 729 P.R.

With reference to G.O., No. 3491 W., of 3rd November 1888, I have the honor to request information as to whether the authority therein granted to sanction estimates up to Rs. 10,000 may be held to cover the following cases:—

- (a) Sanction to revised estimates exceeding Rs. 10,000 where the amount of the revised estimate does not exceed that of the original.
- (b) Sanction to revised estimates exceeding Rs. 10,000 where the amount of the revised estimate exceeds that of the original by not more than Rs. 10,000.
- (c) Sanction to a revised estimate combining two or more original estimates, neither of which exceeds Rs. 10,000 though the aggregate does so, when the revised estimate does not exceed the aggregate of the originals.
- (d) Sanction to a revised estimate in a case similar to (c) when the revised estimate exceeds the aggregate of the original but does not exceed Rs. 10,000 for each of the original estimates, *i.e.*, does not exceed Rs. 20,000 if the revised estimate is a combination of two originals, 30,000 if it is a combination of three, &c.

2. Case (a) does not, I think, require any special orders as it may be considered as covered by para. 267 (d) of the code as it now stands; the exception of productive works from this clause having, I believe, always been held to apply only to the 5 per cent. excess, and not to alterations in constructive detail where no excess is incurred.

3. I have at present no reason to suppose that any case under (b) is likely to arise in connection with this project, but it will be as well to decide the point.

4. Cases under (c) and possibly (d) are likely to occur very often in connection with the distribution works. The estimates for these works provide a large number of inlets and outlets for the passage of drainage across the main channel; this arrangement is objectionable for many reasons, and in every case which I have investigated as yet it has been found practicable to substitute an aqueduct or a culvert for the inlet and outlet.

5. The great majority of both inlets and outlets costs less than Rs. 10,000, but the pair together cost more, and, in every case which has been examined as yet, the work which it is proposed to substitute costs rather less than the inlet and outlet but more than Rs. 10,000.

6. All these cases come under (c), and if my view of the answer to (a) be correct, (c) is covered by (a); but it is not impossible that there may be some cases where the combined work will cost slightly more (the difference is not likely to be great in any case) than the original estimate; these cases will, of course, come under (d), and I shall be glad to know whether I am at liberty to deal with them or whether the revised estimates should be submitted to Government for sanction.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 8th June 1889, No. 791.

As the rules now stand, under the enhanced powers vested in Colonel Pennycuik by Government—

- (a) He may sanction a revised estimate exceeding Rs. 10,000 when the revised estimate does not exceed the original estimate, provided the revision is due only to *necessary* alterations in the constructive *details* of works [Code para. 267 (d)], but apparently he cannot sanction any alterations of the general character of such works.
- (b) He may sanction revised estimates exceeding Rs. 10,000 when the amount of the revised estimate exceeds that of the original by not more than Rs. 10,000 or 5 per cent. of the original estimate, whichever may be less, provided the excess is *entirely* due to *necessary* alterations of constructive *details* but not otherwise.
- (c) He cannot apparently sanction a revised estimate combining two or more original estimates under Rs. 10,000 each if the revised (combined) estimate exceeds Rs. 10,000, unless the case can be brought under (b). Each original estimate must be dealt with separately, otherwise he might himself sanction one estimate for Rs. 9,000 and another for Rs. 10,000, and then combine the two and sanction an estimate for Rs. 18,000, and thus evade the prescribed limit.
- (d) He cannot sanction revised estimate in cases specified in this class for reasons in (c).

ORDER—dated 21st June 1889, No. 1623 W., Public Works.

The Government concurs in the views of the Examiner, whose note is communicated to the Superintending Engineer for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

1623

2

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 21st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st June 1889, No. 1623 W.

JUNE.

Estimates

Concurring in the views of the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, in regard to the powers of the Superintending Engineer, Periyar project, to sanction revised — exceeding Rs. 10,000, and communicating the Examiner's note to the Superintending Engineer for information and guidance.

D.W.

1623

If there is any doubt in the matter perhaps it would be better to forward the correspondence for the orders of the Govt of India with reference to their no: 92 B. 822. 2. 88 (G.O. No 810.W. d/12 March 88).

J.D.B.

14/6/89

I think this matter must be referred to the Govt of India.


W.C.B.

14.6.89

63

exceeding Rs. 10,000 when the amount of the revised estimate exceeds that of

No 729.P.R



Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Punjar Project Works,
Kodakand 2 May 1889.

0141

From 1623

Colonel J. Punjank D.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
Punjar Project Works.

To
The Chief Engineer,
for Irrigation.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No 3491.W
of 3rd November 1888, I have the honor to request
information as to whether the authority
therein granted to sanction estimates
up to Rs. 10,000 may be held to cover
the following cases.

(A) Sanction to revised estimates
exceeding Rs. 10,000 where the amount of
the revised estimate does not exceed
that of the original.

(B) Sanction to revised estimates
exceeding Rs. 10,000 when the amount
of the revised estimate exceeds that

of 4 of

28
of the original by not more than
Rs 10,000.

(C) Sanction to a revised estimate
combining two or more original estimates,
neither of which exceeds Rs 10,000 though
the aggregate does so, when the revised
estimate does not exceed the aggregate
of the originals.

(D) Sanction to a revised estimate
in a case similar to (C) when the
revised estimate exceeds the aggregate
of the original but does not exceed
Rs 10,000 for each of the original
estimates ~~or~~ does not exceed Rs
20,000 if the revised estimate is
a combination of two originals
30,000 if it is a combination of
three &c.

2 Case (A) does not I think require
any special orders as it may be
considered as covered by para 267(A)
of the Code as it now stands; the
exception of productive works from
this clause having, I believe, always been
held

3p
held to apply only to the 5 percent
excess, and not to alterations in
constructive detail where no excess
is incurred.

1623
3 I have at present no reason to
suppose that any case under (C)
is likely to arise in connection
with this project but it will be as
well to decide the point.

4 Cases under (C) and possibly (D)
are likely to occur very often in
connection with the distribution works.
The estimates for these works provide
a large number of inlets and outlets
for the passage of drainage across
the main channel; this arrangement
is objectionable for many reasons
and in many cases which I have
investigated as yet it has been
found practicable to substitute
an aqueduct or a culvert for the
inlet and outlet.

5. The great majority of both
inlets and outlets costs less than

X5 Rs

4
Rs 10,000 but the pair together cost more and in every case which has been examined as yet the work which it is proposed to substitute costs rather less than the inlet and outlet but more than Rs 10,000

6 All these cases come under (c), and if my view of the answer to (a) be correct, (c) is covered by (a); but it is not impossible that there may be some cases where the combined work will cost slightly more (the difference is not likely to be great in any case) than the original estimate; these cases will of course come under (d) and I shall be glad to know whether I am at liberty to deal with them or whether the revised estimates should be submitted to Government for sanction.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Yours most obedt Servt

John Cunningham Colonel R.E.

Superintendent Engineer

No. 2399 Cd/18 May 1889

To


C

For favor of remarks.

(1403)

16.5.89

Dev.



No. 791 of 8 June 1889

To W.

As the rules now stand, under the enhanced powers vested in Colonel Pennycuik by Government.

(a) he may sanction a revised estimate exceeding Rs. 10,000 when the revised estimate does not exceed the original estimate, provided the revision is due only to necessary alterations in the constructive details of works, (code para 267 d) but apparently he cannot sanction any alterations of the general character of such works;

(b) he may sanction revised estimates exceeding Rs. 10,000 when the amount of the revised estimate exceeds that of the original by not more than Rs. 10,000 or 5 per cent of the original estimate, whichever

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

6 MAY 89

No. 4248

No. 729 P.R.

From,

The Super Engineer
Punjab Project.

To, The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

Dated 25 May 1889.

R/18-5-89

Revised estimates
exceeding Rs 10,000 -

Requests certain
information regarding
the sanction of -

L

b

(c) he cannot apparently sanction a revised estimate combining two or more original estimates under Rs.10,000 each, if the revised (combined) estimate exceeds Rs. 10,000, unless the case can be brought under (b). Each original estimate must be dealt with separately, otherwise he might himself sanction one estimate for Rs. 9,000, and another for Rs.10,000, and then combine the two and sanction an estimate for Rs. 18,000 and thus evade the prescribed limit.

10

C.

To Sw

J. D. E. Allen

Memo No 2399 of 18.5.87
 To Secy Govt of India
 D. No 3491 W dated 3.11.88
 1. 916 W of 10-2-88
 2. 133233 of 17-4-88
 3. 5491 W of 3.11.88

5280

1623 8

411

Ordered to be for-
 warded with a copy
 of GO no: 3491 W dated
 3.11.88, for the
 orders of the Govt.
 of India with reference
 to its order No 9213
 dated 22nd July 1888.

(H. No. 10 of June 87)

Forwarded

MCB
 14.6.87
 Rueda
 15.6.87

To be sent to the Govt of India
 with GO 3491 W of 3.11.88

Recd. 7/6. 1886
 2/6

10/10/87

The questions raised by Mr. P. ...
 which have nothing to do with
 the particular order of the Govt.
 of India but relate to general
 principles regulating the sanction
 of estimates by authorities whose
 powers are limited to limit
 the Examiners into business rights
 and I would therefore simply
 con- it to Mr. P. with an
 expression of concurrence.

18 June
 162

The Government
 concurs in the view of
 the Examiners, whose
 note is communicated
 to the Secy for infor-
 mation and guidance
 Rueda
 20.6.87

8

21-11-07✓

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

21/6

To the

Secy.

Encl.

G.O. 21 June 1889 No. 16234

Estimates

Concurring with the
the views of the S.E.,
Mr. A. B., in regard
to the powers of the
S.E., Periyar Project,
to sanction revised
— exceeding Rs.
10,000 and coming
the S.E.'s note
to the S. Engrs for
information & guidance

To
V. H.

⑧
21/6-1889
A. B.