(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 38

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2-5-89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

23

R W D துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1472 1473	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 6	1859	19 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

19 sheets
17+2 Addenda

வண : கா-1—18,006—16-6-87.

237

1472-73

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Kodaikánal, 19th April 1889, No. 632 P.R.

With reference to G.O., No. 861 W., of 22nd March 1889, I have the honor to observe that there appears to be some misapprehension as to the state of affairs regarding the grant of Periyár allowances to members of the temporary establishment.

2. The letter from the Government of India printed with the Government Order quoted refers to "the sanction accorded by the Government of Madras" to the disbursement of these allowances to "the whole of the establishment, permanent or temporary," employed above the ghâts.

3. I am not aware of any such order; G.O., No. 3152 W., of 8th October 1888, merely stated that the question would be referred to the Government of India, and as a fact the allowances in question have not yet been drawn by any member of the temporary establishment.

4. I presume, however, that the order under reply may be taken as sanctioning the payment of the extra allowances up to the date of its receipt, which for convenience sake may be taken as the 31st March (it was actually received on the 4th April), and have therefore instructed the Executive Engineer to claim them up to the end of March.

5. Inasmuch as the men interested have already suffered severely from the long delay in the settlement of the question, and as the claims now to be submitted could probably not be audited much before the end of May, I have authorized the Executive Engineer (in anticipation of the sanction of Government) to disburse at once 90 per cent. of all claims, of the propriety of which he is satisfied.

6. As it has now been definitely decided that in future the salaries of temporary employés should be fixed with reference to local disadvantages, and that such men should not receive local allowances, it becomes necessary to consider what increase of pay should be given on this account.

7. The enclosed list includes all temporary employés sanctioned up to date with their present salaries and the additions recommended with effect from the 1st April.

8. No additions are proposed in the cases of certain men whose salaries were either distinctly stated on appointment to include Periyár allowances or were specially fixed with regard thereto. The salaries of all the others are simply those drawn by men performing similar duties elsewhere, and were fixed in the full belief that the local allowances would be granted in addition.

9. To these an increase is proposed equal to two-thirds of the sums sanctioned in G.O., No. 2180 W., of 18th July 1888, this proportion being adopted because the extra pay will be drawn all the year round and while on privilege leave, while the local allowances will only be drawn for an average of nine months in the year and will be forfeited during leave.

10. Of course in the event of transfer from one division to the other the salaries of individuals will be revised or exchanged, but each such case can be dealt with on its own merits.

ENCLOSURE.

PERIYAR PROJECT.

LIST of temporary establishment sanctioned for employment on Periyár head works showing increase of salary in lieu of Periyár allowances.

Item No.	Nature of office.	Salary. Sanctioned.	Salary. Proposed.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
	UPPER SUBORDINATES.	RS.	RS.	
1	Supervisor (Sub-Conductor Fryer) *	200	200	No increase is proposed to the salaries of the officers marked * as these were stated in the original orders of appointment to include Periyár allowances.
1	Overseer (S. V. Ramasawmy Iyengar)	60	86	
1	Superintendent of Workshops *	150	150	
1	Rock-drilling machineman and tunneller * ..	300	300	
	LOWER SUBORDINATES.			
2	Sub-Overseers *	70	70	
2	Do.*	45	45	
	SURVEY ESTABLISHMENT.			
1	Surveyor (Mr. E. J. Rosemeyer) *	80	80	
	OFFICE ESTABLISHMENT.			
	Superintending Engineer's office.			
1	Head Clerk, Rs. 100—120			
1	Clerk, Rs. 60—80			
1	Do. Rs. 40—50	104	125	
1	Draftsman (special) (a)	64	75	
		42	50	
	No. 1, Periyár Division office.	80	96	(a) Pay according to actual sanction is Rs. 60, but application for its increase to Rs. 80 has been made in this office No. 507 P.R. of 22nd March 1889.
1	Head Clerk, Rs. 60—80			
2	Clerks, Rs. 30—40			
10	Do. Rs. 20—30	70	84	
1	Cashier	35	42	
1	Draftsman, 1st class, Rs. 50—70	25	30 †	† *Vide* Superintending Engineer's letter No. 728 P.R., dated 2nd May 1889.
2	Do. 3rd class, Rs. 20—30	50	60	
	Storekeepers, at Rs. 40 each	60	72	
		25	30 †	
		40	48	

KODAIKANAL,
19th April 1889.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Supg. Engineer, Periyár Project Works.

From Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Kodaikánal, 2nd May 1889, No. 728 P.R.

I have the honor to bring to notice that in the ilst of temporary establishment which accompanied my No. 632 P.R., dated 19th April 1889, the proposed salary of clerks and draftsman who are now in receipt of Rs. 25 per mensem is entered at Rs. 33.

2. This was entered under the impression that the Periyár allowance for men on the permanent establishment drawing the same rate of pay would be Rs. 12–8–0, but I overlooked the limitation to a maximum of Rs. 8. The proper increase to the pay of temporary men would therefore be Rs. 5, and I request that the entries of Rs. 33 in the column for proposed rate of pay may be altered to Rs. 30.

1472-73

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 8th May 1889, No. 611.

The letter of the Government of India communicated with G.O., No. 861 W., dated 22nd March 1889, was, I think, evidently not intended to do more than confine action already taken by the Madras Government, and gave no authority for any payments not previously authorized by that Government. The Superintending Engineer's action in authorizing payments which are not authorized by the Government of India seems unfortunate and is likely to lead to inconvenient complications, especially as there is nothing to show that he has informed the Executive Engineer of his proposals to Government, and he has authorized him to pay 90 per cent. of claims, whereas he does not recommend to Government to grant more than 66·6 per cent. in any case, and only that much in certain cases. It seems desirable, therefore, that the Superintending Engineer should be requested by wire to allow no further payments pending final orders of Government.

2. The scale on which the salaries of temporary employés should be increased is entirely a matter for Government, but it may be well to consider whether, when work on the plains is further advanced, the Superintending Engineer's office will remain at Thekady during the whole of the working season above the ghâts. If it does not remain there for the whole of that time, the additions to the pay of the members of the Superintending Engineer's office should not perhaps be fixed on considerations properly applicable only to establishments which, like the Executive Engineer's, will remain at Thekady throughout the season.

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 18th May 1889, No. 682.

I do not think the supposition would be a reasonable one. The question is not one which need be affected one way or the other by the expiry of financial year, and if the Government of India had meant that the allowances should be paid up to the end of financial year and then cease, I think they would have said so. As it is, they seem to say very plainly that they confine the action which they mistakenly suppose the Madras Government to have authorized, but that now, *i.e.*, now that they have communicated their decision, the payments supposed to have been authorised must be *discontinued*.

ORDER—dated 4th June 1889, No. 1472 W., Public Works.

In their letter, dated 9th March 1889, recorded with G.O., No. 861 W., dated 22nd March 1889, the Government of India in sanctioning the disbursement of special allowances to the staff of the Periyár project for periods spent above ghâts, intimated that the grant of the allowances to members of the temporary establishment "should now be discontinued." The Government of India were aware that the Madras Government had previously authorised the disbursement of the allowances to the temporary establishments; and, in ordering that the allowances to these establishments "should now be discontinued," it is clear that the intention was that they should be discontinued from date of receipt of the orders withdrawing them. These orders reached the Superintending Engineer on the 4th April 1889. There thus seems no objection to the Superintending Engineer's proposal to stop the allowances from the preceding 31st March, the last day of the official year.

2. As it would appear that certain of the members of the temporary establishment were engaged on the understanding that they would receive the special allowances now withdrawn, it is only just that these men should be compensated by increase of pay. The proposed increases are therefore sanctioned with effect from

1st April 1889. Temporary establishments engaged in future should be distinctly informed that they will be entitled to no local allowances.

3. These Proceedings will be forwarded for the information of the Government of India.

(Here enter No. 1473 W., dated 4th June 1889.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D

To the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project Works.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 4th June 1889, No. 1473 W., Public Works.

Copy of the above forwarded to the Government of India with reference to their letter No. 694 C., dated 9th March 1889.

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

1472-73

3

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 4th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 4th June 1889, Nos. 1472 W., 1473 W.

JUNE.

Periyar Allowances

Forwarding copy of Proceedings on letters from the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project, reporting in connection with the stoppage of — to the temporary employés and recommending the grant of increased salaries.

1472-73

ENGINEER
2

Enclosure in letter No
632 P.R 8/19 April
1889-

List of Temporary Establishment sanctioned for employment on Periyar Head works showing increase of salary proposed in lieu of Periyar allowances

4

Enclosure

Periyar Project

4

List of Temporary Establishment sanctioned for employment on Periyar Head Works showing increase of salary in lieu of Periyar allowances.

Item No	Nature of office	Salary Sanctioned	Salary Proposed	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
	Upper Subordinates.			
1	Supervisor (Sub Conductor Draper) x	200	200	
1	Overseer (Sr Ramaswamy Iyengar)	60	86	
1	Supdt of Workshops ___ x	150	150	
1	Rock drilling Machine man + Turner x	300	300	No increase is proposed to the salaries of the officers marked x as these were stated in the original orders of appointment to include Periyar allowances.
	Lower Subordinates.			
2	Sub overseers _____ x	70	70	
2	___ do _____ x	45	45	
	Survey Establishment			
1	Surveyor (Mr E J Rosamayer) x	80	80	
	Office Establishment			
	Superintg Engineers office			
1	Head clerk Rs 100-120 _____	104	125	
1	Clerk Rs 60-80 _____	64	75	
1	Clerk Rs 40-50 _____	42	50	
1	Draftsman (Special) _____ (a)	80	96	(a) Pay according to actual sanction is Rs 60 but application for increase to Rs 80 has been made in the office No 507 P.R. of 22/3/89.
	No 1 Periyar Div office			
1	Head clerk Rs 60-80 _____	70	84	
2	Clerks Rs 30-40 _____	35	42	
10	Clerks Rs 20-30 _____	25	~~33~~ 30 x	
1	Cashier _____	50	60	
1	Draftsman 1st class Rs 50-70	60	72	
1	Draftsman 3rd class Rs 20-30	25	33	
2	Shroffs Peons @ 40 Rs each	40	48	

Statement Kodaikanal
19th April 1889

Colonel RE
Superintg Engineer Periyar Project

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
17 APR 89
412
447

No 632 P.R
1472-23

Office of the Supg Engineer,
Periyar Project Works,
Kodaikanal
19th April 1889.

1P

B/22.

From

Colonel J Pennycuick R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
Periyar Project Works,

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department.

Encls: 1.
Statement.

Sir,

With reference to G.O no 861 W
of 22nd March 1889, I have the honor
to observe that there appears to be
some misapprehension as to the state
of affairs regarding the grant of
Periyar allowances to members of
the temporary establishment

2. The letter from the Government
of India printed with the G.O
quoted refers to "the sanction accorded
by the Government of Madras" to the
disbursement of these allowances

2

to

to "the whole of the establishment permanent or temporary" employed above the ghats

3 I am not aware of any such order; G C no 3152 W of 8th October 1884 merely stated that the question would be referred to the Government of India, and as a fact the allowances in question have not yet been drawn by any member of the temporary establishment.

4 I presume however that the order under reply may be taken as sanctioning the payment of the extra allowances up to the date of its receipt, which for convenience sake may be taken as the 31st March (it was actually received on the 4th April) and have therefore instructed the Executive Engineer to claim them up to the end of March

5 Inasmuch as the men interested have already suffered severely from the long

long delay in the settlement of the question, and as the claims now to be submitted could probably not be audited much before the end of May, I have authorized the Executive Engineer (in anticipation of the sanction of Government) to disburse at once 90 per cent of all claims of the propriety of which he is satisfied

6 As it has now been definitely decided that in future the salaries of temporary employés should be fixed with reference to local disadvantages, and that such men should not receive local allowances it becomes necessary to consider what increase of pay should be given on this account

7 The enclosed list includes all temporary employés sanctioned up to date with their present salaries and the additions recommended with effect from the 1st April.

8 No additions are proposed in the cases

case of certain men whose salaries were either distinctly stated on appointment to include Perijar allowances or were specially fixed with regard thereto. The salaries of all the others are simply those drawn by men performing similar duties elsewhere and were fixed in the full belief that the local allowances would be granted in addition.

9. To these an increase is proposed equal to two thirds of the sums sanctioned in G.O. No 2180 W of 18 July 1888, this proportion being adopted because the extra pay will be drawn all the year round and while on privilege leave, while the local allowances will only be drawn for an average of nine months in the year and will be forfeited during leave.

10 Of course in the event of transfer from one Division to the other the salaries of individuals will be revised or exchanged.

but each such case can be dealt with on its own merits

3P

1472-73

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedt Servt,
J. Burn — Colonel R.E.
Supg Engr Perijar Project

8

15 MAY 1889 ENCLOSURES / P.W. ACCOUNTS

EXAMINED No. 367 2 MAY 1889 ENCLOSURES / ACCOUNTS

No. 2130C of 1st May 1889

To C

For favor of report.

6P 29.4.89 D.W.

No. 611 of 8th May 1889

To W.

The letter of the Government of India communicated with G.O.No. 86I W. dated 22nd. March 1889 was, I think, evidently not intended to do more than confine action already taken by the Madras Government and gave no authority for any payments not previously authorized by that Government. The Supg. Engineer's action in authorizing payments which are not authorized by the Government of India seems unfortunate and is likely to lead to inconvenient complications especially as there is nothing to show that he has informed the Executive Engineer of his proposals to Government, and he has authorized him to pay 90 per cent of claims whereas he does not recommend to Government to grant more than 66.8 per cent in any case, and only that much in certain cases. It seems desirable therefore that the Supg. Engineer should be requested by wire to allow no futher payments pending final orders of —

PWC No. 3744

No. 632 P.R

From,

The Superintending Engineer Periyar Project

To,

The Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Dated 19th April/89. Recd 25 Do.

Petnyar allowance to members of the temporary Establishment — Informs that he has instructed the Executive to claim — upto the end of March/89 & submits a list of all temply employes sanctioned upto date with their present Salaries & the additions recommended with effect from 1st April/89 —

JP 10

1472-23

Government.

2. The scale on which the salaries of temporary employés should be increased is entirely a matter for Government, but it may be well to consider whether, when work on the plains is further advanced, the Supg. Engineer's office will remain at Thekady during the whole of the working season above the ghats. If it does not remain there for the whole of that time, the additions to the pay of the members of the Supg. Engineer's office should not perhaps be fixed on considerations properly applicable only to establishments, which, like the Executive Engineers, will remain at Thekady throughout the season.

C.

No. 175 D of 13th May/89.

To C

With reference to para 1 of your office note, please refer again to para 2 of Govt. of India letter printed with G.O. 86 W of 22 March/89. You will find that the Govt. of India say that the special allowances to temply. establishment should now be discontinued - that is

S.O. Nos 861 W dy 22-3-89
" " " 303 W dy 4-2-89
" " " 3152-53 W dy 8-10-88
" " " 2180 W dy 18-7-88
Memo No 2243 C dy 8-5-89
" " 2130 C dy 1-5-89
" " 1816 C dy 9-4-89
" " 1633 C dy 28-3-89
Fy. Nos 601 W dy 1-3-89.
" A. 862 W dy 22-3-89
" " 1089 W dy 24-4-89
" " 1088 W dy 24-4-89
" " 3091-92 W dy 3-10-88
" " 3152-53 W dy 5-10-88

PWC No #256

Dy 9.5.89

is writing on the 9th March /89 - and knowing that action on their letter could not be taken much before the close of the official year - is it not reasonable to suppose that the Govt: of India tacitly admit the allow=ances to the end of the official year?

I shall be glad of your further views on this point.

7P

Rwebb
13.5-89

W

No 682 dy 18th May 1889

To W.

I do not think the supposition would be a reasonable one. The question is not one which need be

affected one way or the other by the expiry of financial year, and if the Government of India had meant that the allowances should be paid up to the end of financial year, and then cease, I think they would have said so As it is they seem to say very plainly that they confine the action which they mistakenly suppose the Madras Government to have authorized, but that now, i.e. now that they have communicated their decision, the payments supposed to have been authorized must be discontinued.

1422-73

8P

C.

Enc: 1

maximum of Rs 8. The proper increase to the pay of temporary men would therefore be Rs 5, and I request that the entries of Rs 33 in the column for proposed rate of pay may be altered to Rs 30

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obdt Servt

Colonel RE

Superintg Engineer Punjab Circle

1422-23

12

PWC No 4627

(7)

R 20.8.59
E2

Off. 100/5, H 23/5.
0/21/5

No 728 P.R
1422-23

Office of the Supg Engr
Punjab Inspect Work.
Kodaikanal 2nd May 59

D/42 SP

EXAMINER
No. 397
9 MAY 1959
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

From

Colonel J Pennycuick R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
Punjab Inspect Work.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to notice that in the list of temporary Establishment which accompanied my No 632 PR 19-4-59 the proposed salary of clerks and Draftsman who are now in receipt of Rs 25 per mensem is entered at Rupees 33.

2 This was entered under the impression that the Punjab allowance for men on the permanent establishment drawing the same rate of pay would be Rs 12-8-0 but I over looked the limitation to a maximum

No 2130C 4/5-X

No. 2243C d/ 8th May 1889

To C

In continuation of
No. 2130C dated 1st
May 1889.

W.W
7.5.89
D. W.

№ 643 D/ 13th May 1889.

To W.

Returned with reference to office note from the undersigned No. 611 dated 8th. May 1889.

C.
13/5

* Intaspith Circ. 4236 sent to Coly on the 11/5

13/5

№ 728 P.R

From. (10)

The Supt. Engineer
Periyar Project.

To.

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Date 2nd May 1889.

R.W.S.89

L. O. № 5

Clerks and Draftsmen who are now in receipt of Rs. 25 per mensem.

№ Requests certain alterations in the pay of Awarded vide № 17 D dt 13.

17.5.89

To

R.W.

1472-23

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

Letter from the S. E. Periyar Project Works № 632 P.R dt 19th April 89
" Do " " 728 P.R dt 2nd May 89
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts " 611 dt 8th May 89
" Do " " 682 dt 18th May 89

FOR ORDERS.

I don't think I clearly understand the points now in dispute, but the orders seem clear.

Periyar allowances seem to have been sanctioned in July 1888, and the points referred to the Govt. of India were whether they should be drawn all the year round or only for periods above the Ghaut, & whether they should be drawn by temporary establt.

In anticipation this Govt. sanctioned by a telegram which however unexplained reason the S.E. did not receive, the payments of allowances at these rates to all establishments for periods spent above Ghauts.

× Vide letter recorded with G.O. 861 W dt 22-3-89.

The Govt. of India confirmed this order but directed that the payment of the allowances to the temporary establishments thereof "now be discontinued"

It seems clear that the permanent establishments are to draw them from July 1888 & in future for periods above Ghaut & that the temporary establt. are to draw them for like periods

The Supt.g: Engineer was authorised by telegram № 673 D dt 15th September 88 to "disburse Periyar allowances for periods spent above Ghats," and this action was submitted for the confirmation of the Government of India in para 5. of letter № 3153 W dt 8th October 88.

2. The Govt. of India waited till the 9th March, and then confirmed the × proceeding in terms which have given rise to a difference of opinion between the Supt.g: Engr: and the Examiner.

3. 13 The former contends that

that the sanction of the Govt: of India should have effect from the date of receipt, or the end of the official year, while the Examiner maintains that all the Govt: of India intended was that the allowances which had, or should have, been disbursed under the provisional sanction of the Madras Govt: should stand; but that nothing further can be granted.

4. As stated above, the provisional sanction of the Madras Govt: is dated 15th September/88, and had the telegram reached the Supty: Engr:, the present complication would probably not have arisen. It would appear however, from para 3 of the Supty: Engr's first letter read at the head of this note that he never received the telegram, but has waited till the sanction of the Govt: of India was communicated, and, acting on what he considers

FOR ORDERS.

up to the date of the order. Thus the It is recognized that the salaries of the temporary establishments will have to be revised in consequence of the special allowances being withdrawn & all that is necessary is that such revision when sanctioned should be given effect to from the date of withdrawal.

If the Examiner means that the temporary Estbts are not to draw these allowances from July 88 to 31st March 89, I think he is clearly wrong. The order of 15th sept: referred not only to allowances then up to date but also to those which might become due pending orders of the Govt: of India. The use of the Expression there "now be discontinued" clearly implies that the Govt: of India to understood this order of the Govt: of Madras as parties to them.

WLS
24 May-

considers the intention of that sanction has now disbursed 90 percent of the allowances to temporary Estabishments calculated up to the 31st march. 1/6 22-23

5. The allowances for the period from the 15th September/88 & 31st March/89 are in the Examiner's opinion, unauthorised by the Govt: of India, and if now conceded by the Madras Govt: a further reference to the Govt: of India will no doubt be considered necessary by the Examiner.

6. There is also the question of the increased pay to the temply: establishment who will now be deprived of local allowances which they had been led to expect. These increases can be sanctioned from the date, to be now fixed, from which the local allowances are to cease.

RWeston
23.5.89 W

14

R.F.

C. No 4621

I & W

For orders. 1472-23

Please see notes written on the original reference with No 175-D of 13.V.89.

L.S.
23.V.89

I think the fact of the Govt of India having allowed such a length of time to elapse before despatching the reference from us showed that they did not consider the subject of particular importance, and that their timing the receipt of their reply with the close of the Afghan war may be taken as significant.

Ames
23.5.89

I think decision on this may be taken as final

15

A&S. 100/5. 23.5.89

A&S. 100/5. Nov 23/5.

KC
C 91 1472-73
16

Reply for no
1473 W is herewith
sent for regulation
of W and issue to
Indian

Please inform
date of despatch
so that there
after may be
sent.

To, W

Submitted for signature

15.VI.89

Endt No 1473 W dy 4.VI.89
signed and despatched
this day to Govt.
P.W.D.

1472-73

15.VI.

C/S. 1005. N no 2315.

Hw A

1472-23

Genl Estabt

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from the Supdg Engineer Periyar Project (A.E. No. 632 P.R. of 19th April 1889.)
Do. Do. (" " 728 P.R. " 2nd May 1889.)
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts (" " 611 " 8 May 1889.)
Do. (" " 682 " 18 May 1889.)

9P

In their letter of 9th March 1889, recorded with G.O. No. 861 W&F of 22nd March '89, the Govt of India intimated the disbursement of special allowances to the Staff of the Periyar Project for periods spent above ghats, intimated that the grant of the allowances to members of the temporary Establishm "should now be discontinued."—

Secs 29 May

The Govt of India were aware that the Madras Govt had previously authorised the disbursement of the allowances to the temporary Establishments; and in ordering

17

that the allowances to these Establishments "should now be discontinued," it is clear that the intention was that they should be discontinued from date of receipt of the orders withdrawing them - These orders reached the Supty Engineer on the 4th April 1889 - There thus seems no objection to the Supty Engineers proposal to stop the allowances from the preceding 31st March, the last day of the official year -

2. As it would appear that certain of the members of the temporary Establishment were engaged on the understanding that they would receive the special allowances now withdrawn, it is only just that these

1472-23

1010

men should be compensated by increase of pay - The proposed increases are therefore sanctioned with effect from 1st April 1889. Temporary Establishments engaged in future should be distinctly informed that they will be entitled to no local allowances -

3. These Proceedings will be forwarded for the information of the Govt of India.

Rnes
28.5.89

(H.E. No. 1072 dated 28th May 1889)

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt of India with reference to their letter No. 694 Cal. 9th March 1889.

Rnes
28.5.89

Rnes
4.6.89

To The Supty Engineer Sengar Project
.. Exr S. W. Accounts -

SC
D
17/6/89

D 14/7

18

R.S.

3-9-06
11-6-09
19-5-11
23-12-09

Govt. of (1889) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 17 April 1889

Read. Encl.

G.O., 4 June 1889 No. 1472

1473

Perrin Allowances

Forwarding copy of Sec. to Govt. letters from the S. E. P. W. regarding the stoppage of to the temporary Employés and recommending the grant of increased Salaries.

Perrin Allowances

Forwarding copy of Sec. to Govt. letters from the S. E. P. W. regarding in connection with the stoppage of to the temporary Employés & recommending the grant of increased Salaries.

Orders on letters from the Supdg Engr. S. Circle, Trichy, regarding in connection with the stoppage of to the temporary recommending Employés & the grant of increased Salaries.

19