(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 32

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2.5-89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

12

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PSWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். — 1455
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 1. 6. 1989 19~~8~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

16 sheets

ஆவண, கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

1455

1st June 1889, No. 1455 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (through the Examiner, Public Works Accounts), dated Bezváda, 5th April 1889, No. 5 N.L.

I have the honor to forward herewith, for your disposal, copy of letter No. 571, dated 28th ultimo, together with its enclosures, on the subject of frontier allowance to Mr. Phillips, Assistant Engineer.

Enclosures.

From H. H. O'CONNELL, Esq., Executive Engineer, Nellore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 28th March 1889, No. 571.

I have the honor to forward herewith the following correspondence regarding the disallowance of the frontier allowance from 3rd to 20th July last and mileage for 4th, 5th, 6th and 18th July 1888 by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, in his disallowance lists, Nos. 281 and 337 of 1888-89 :—

1 Copy of this office No. 2713, dated 18th December 1888, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, through the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
1 Copy of Public Works Accounts Examiner's reply, No. 99 S.E. II, dated 26th January 1889, to my address.
1 Copy of Mr. Phillips' letter No. 1483, dated 21st March 1889, to my address.

2. I request you will be so good as to forward Mr. Phillips' letter No. 1483, dated 21st March 1889, for the decision of Government.

Letter from the Executive Engineer, Nellore Division, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts (through the Superintending Engineer, II Circle), dated 18th December 1888, No. 2713.

I have the honor to forward herewith the extracts of your disallowance lists, Nos. 281 and 337, disallowing the frontier allowance from the 3rd to 20th July last and the mileage for 4th, 5th, 6th and 18th July 1889, respectively, due to Mr. Assistant Engineer H. Phillips and his explanation for claiming them for your disposal.

Endorsement by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 15th April 1889, No. 494.

No. 84 D.

Transferred to the Financial Department with the request that, if necessary, the orders of the Government of India may be obtained. Mr. Phillips is an officer on the Government of India list and his services have been lent to the Madras Public Works Department.

(By order.)

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

24th April 1889.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General to the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 14th May 1889, No. 1553.

With reference to your endorsement on current No. 491, dated the 27th of April 1889, I have the honor to state that the application of section 22 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code to journeys on transfer is incorrect, as that section occurs in chapter IV, which regulates allowances for journeys *on tour* only, except when specifically made applicable to other journeys. The cases of transfer from one appointment to another fall under chapter VI, and the rates of travelling allowance admissible for such journeys are regulated by chapters X to XII, according to the modes of travelling, the allowance by road being at a rate varying from 1 to 8 annas, according to the rank of the officer, and no daily allowance is admissible for any part of the time occupied in the transit from one appointment to another (*vide* note 2 to section 18).

2. The nature of frontier salary and the conditions under which it is drawn are not known to this office. If, as assumed by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, it is of the nature of a local allowance, it is admissible to an officer only so long as he actually performs the duties of the office to which the allowance is attached.

3. An officer on transfer from Beluchistan to India is entitled to draw additional mileage of one half of the ordinary rate for journeys in Beluchistan under rule 1, section 71 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

2. It does not appear to be necessary to lay the questions raised before the Government of India. The Accountant-General's opinion may be accepted except as regards the second point, regarding which it is suggested that Major Christie might consult the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, as to the precise nature of frontier allowance and dispose of the claim in accordance with the reply received.

OOTACAMUND,
23rd May 1889.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

ORDER—dated 1st June 1889, No. 1455 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Superintending Engineer, II Circle.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

1455

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 1st.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 1st June 1889, No. 1455 W.

JUNE.

Frontier Allowance

Proceedings in the Financial Department stating that it is unnecessary to refer to the Government of India the question raised by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, in regard to the — claimed by Mr. Phillips, Assistant Engineer, and that the opinion of the Accountant-General on the subject may be accepted, communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

1455

22nd March 1889, No. 862 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following paper:—

From G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Under-Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Calcutta, 9th March 1889, No. 695 G.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 3608 W., dated the 14th November 1888, on the subject of the special allowance to be granted to the Superintending Engineer in charge of the Periyár Project.

2. In reply, I am directed to state that it has been definitely accepted by the Government of India as a principle in dealing with local allowances that they shall not be given to officers drawing more than Rs. 1,100 consolidated pay. It has also been accepted as a principle that a Superintending Engineer does not require more local allowance than an Assistant Engineer. The Government of India is, therefore, unable to sanction the grant of any special allowance to Colonel Pennycuick while holding charge of the Periyár project.

3. I am to forward, for perusal, copy of the Proceedings of a Committee held for the purpose of drawing up a scale of local allowances for officers of the Military Works, Public Works and Telegraph Departments.

4. I am to add that orders on your letter No. 453 W., dated the 15th February, will be communicated hereafter.

Enclosure.

Proceedings of a Committee held for the purpose of drawing up a scale of local allowances for officers of the Military Works Department, Public Works Department, and Telegraph Department.

First Meeting, 12th March 1888.

Present:

Mr. Jacob.
„ Reynolds.
Major Broadbent, R.E.
Major Brackenbury, R.E.
Mr. Buckley.
„ Walker.

I. It was determined that a scale should be first drawn up for Baluchistan and Burma. In the absence of any reply from the Chief Commissioner, Assam, to a call made by Government as to what changes he would recommend in the scale now applicable to that Province, it was determined not at present to discuss that scale.

II. It was determined, first, to draw up a scale for superior officers of the Public Works and Telegraph Departments in Baluchistan and Burma.

III. The following proposals were then agreed to as regards the Baluchistan scale:—

First.—That local allowance should be drawn—

By members of the superior establishment of the Public Works Department, in the Engineer, State Railway, Superior Revenue and Account branches, drawing Rs. 1,100 and under.

The grounds for fixing this limit were that there is a margin of Rs. 250 between this and the next grade (1,350), so that the grant of the allowances still leaves a considerable difference of salary between the two grades. Generally, it was considered that the grant of allowances in the grades of Superintending and Chief Engineers of the Engineer establishment, and corresponding grades of other branches and departments, is unnecessary, but that it is at the same time not desirable so to adjust the allowances that the men in the highest executive or corresponding grades may be drawing almost the same salary as the men in the class above. This difficulty, it is thought, is obviated by the Committee's proposal.

3

Second.—That the allowance admissible under the preceding rule should be Rs. 100.

It was thought by a majority of the Committee, that as the allowance is given to compensate for the greater dearness of certain necessaries of life, and as the incidence of such dearness is nearly the same for all superior officers, so far as Government is bound to take cognisance of the fact of such dearness, it is fair that the same allowance should be drawn by all grades alike. (But see Resolution VI.)

Third.—That officers of the superior establishment of the Telegraph Department up to and including 2nd-grade Superintendents should draw allowances on the same scale.

IV. The scale for Burma was then considered. It was found that the Chief Commissioner, Burma, had more than once pronounced a decided opinion that the present scale for Lower Burma, which permits the allowance to men drawing Rs. 800 and under only, was sufficient and should continue for Upper as well as Lower Burma. On the other hand, it was found that the Director-General of Telegraphs considered that in Upper Burma the present rate of frontier allowances, which is, in some cases, higher than the Public Works scale, and also extends to the highest grades, should continue. Again, the departmental officers of the Public Works Department considered that in consequence of the expense of living, the allowances in Upper Burma should be extended to the upper grades.

It was, therefore, determined to recommend that the case, as regards the allowances to be drawn by Public Works Department in Upper Burma, be again referred to the Chief Commissioner, for consideration of the question whether the scale proposed for Baluchistan in each branch should be allowed for Upper Burma only; the scale for Lower Burma remaining as at present.

Secondly.—It was recommended that the superior establishment of the Telegraph Department should be placed on the same footing as the Public Works Department in Upper and Lower Burma, respectively; according to this, Telegraph officers in Lower Burma would draw an allowance of Rs. 100, subject to a maximum for salary *plus* local allowance of Rs. 900; the present Pegu or special locality allowance being abolished.

V. The case of the Upper Subordinate establishment in Baluchistan was then considered, and it was resolved to recommend the following scale:—

(*a*) An allowance of Rs. 80—
  For Sub-Engineers.
  For Accountants, 1st and 2nd grades.
  For classes G and H subordinates, Revenue establishment, State Railways.
  For Sub-Assistant Superintendents, 1st grade, Telegraph Department.

(*b*) An allowance of Rs. 60—
  For Supervisors.
  For Accountants, 3rd grade.
  For class F subordinates, Revenue establishment, State Railways.
  For Sub-Assistant Superintendents, 2nd grade, Telegraph Department.

(*c*) An allowance of Rs. 40—
  For Overseers.
  For Accountants, 4th grade.
  For class E Subordinates, Revenue establishment, State Railways.
  For Inspectors, Telegraph Department.

(*d*) Classes A to D of the subordinate State Railway Revenue establishment, if any, to continue to draw their present allowances. These classes, it is observed, are gradually disappearing, and no new appointments are made.

(*e*) It was considered unnecessary to make any provision for unclassified men appointed by managers; the rate of pay given to these should continue to be governed by the regulations in force. See also Resolution IX.

Second Meeting, 14th March.

Members present as before.

VI. Apprentice Engineers and Examiners, Baluchistan and Burma.

The allowance to Apprentice Engineers in Burma under original orders was Rs. 100. No provision was made in the original orders for Apprentice Examiners, but, under a very recent order, an allowance of Rs. 50 only was granted to them. It was considered that in future an allowance of Rs. 50 for apprentices belonging to each of these classes was ample.

VII. The case of men of the Public Works and Telegraph Departments below the class of Upper Subordinates was fully discussed, and the following proposals were agreed to:—

(1) That 30 per cent. on salaries ranging from Rs. 30 upwards, subject to a maximum allowance of Rs. 40; and 50 per cent. on salaries under Rs. 30, subject to a maximum of Rs. 8, should be given to men of the following classes serving in Baluchistan and Burma:—

Public Works Department.—To Sub-Overseers and Clerks not engaged locally.

Telegraph Department.—To Telegraph Masters, Signallers, Lower Subordinate Line Establishment, and Clerks not engaged locally.*

These rates should take the place of ordinary and special locality allowances of the Telegraph Department, p. 10, P. 43, Telegraph Department Code, but should not be extended to any individuals to whom allowances are not now admissible.

(2) As regards places in Burma at which double ordinary rates are now drawn by men of certain classes in the Telegraph Department; men drawing Rs. 30 and upwards to draw 45 per cent. on salaries, subject to a maximum allowance of Rs. 40; men drawing under Rs. 30 to receive 50 per cent. on salaries without any maximum.

The classes and places are as follows:—

Signalling establishment at Mungdoo, Pabroo, Rue, Tounghoop, Myitta and Elephant Point; signalling and line establishment on the telegraph route betweeen Tavoy and the junction with the telegraph line of the Siam Government (excluding, Tavoy itself), and the route *viâ* Myawaddi, between Moulmein and the junction with the telegraph line of the Siam Government (but excluding Moulmein itself).

(3) It was recognised by the Committee that the above proposals tend somewhat to raise the allowances of these classes in the Telegraph Department; but they are more economical than those recommended in 1882 by the Director-General of Telegraphs, and are much simpler than the existing scales of locality and frontier allowances for Baluchistan and Burma which they will supersede.

It is also understood that the grant of these better allowances may reduce the number of transfers with their attendant expense to Government.

(4) The Committee strongly recommends that all clerical establishments should be locally engaged on consolidated rates of pay, to obviate the necessity of the grant of local allowances. All the unclassified staff of the Subordinate Establishment of State Railways would be so engaged as a matter of course.

3rd Meeting, 16th March.

Members present as before.

VIII. The case of allowances drawn by certain subordinates of the Railway Branch within the Province of Sindh was considered, and it was decided to recommend that the allowances sanctioned for subordinates of classes E to G, stationed in the Laki Pass, † which correspond to the present Baluchistan Frontier allowances, should be superseded by those proposed for subordinates in Resolution V. The personal allowances sanctioned under the Resolution quoted above, to men drawing on the old Indus Valley State Railway scale of allowances are not affected.

IX. It was decided to state clearly that the recommendations of the Committee do not affect the allowances drawn by the running establishment of State Railways, such as, *e. g.*, the percentage on overtime and mileage drawn under Resolution No. 581 R.E. of 16th August 1882.

X. The special locality allowances drawn by the Telegraph Department in places outside Burma and Baluchistan (para. 60 of Mr. Jacob's note) were then considered; and it was decided to make the following recommendations:—

(*a*) That all local allowances granted to the Signalling Establishment in the Bombay Presidency should be withdrawn; the special conditions under which they were sanctioned in 1886-87 being no longer applicable.

(*b*) That Lower Subordinate line Establishment of the Karwar-Hubli Section should draw the new ordinary rate recommended for this class in Resolution VII (1).

(*c*) That the allowances for Rajputana, Indore and Malabar having become obsolete should be definitely withdrawn.

(*d*) That the Signalling Establishment in Pámban should draw the new ordinary rate recommended in Resolution VII (1).

(*e*) It was observed that no allowances were drawn by the Signalling Establishment at Vayitri and Devála now; but it was recommended that the Signalling Establishment, when stationed at those places should draw at the new special rate of Resolution VII (2).

(*f*) That the Lower Subordinate line Establishment at the following places should draw the new special rate [Resolution VII (2)].

From Calicut through Devála to Ootacamund.
" Ootacamund to Mysore.
" " to Mettupálaiyam.
" " through Coonoor to Kótagiri.
On the local lines at Ootacamund.

---

* In the case of signalling establishment, Natives of the Province only are held to be locally engaged (see Telegraph Department Code, p. 14, P. 44, edition of 1887).

† Resolution No. 581 R.E., dated 16th August 1882.

The above resolution disposes of the special locality allowances in places outside Burma and Assam mentioned in pages 10—13 of the Telegraph Code corrected to 31st December 1887.

XI. Allowance to Upper Subordinates at Dumagudiem in the Upper Godávari (para. 51 of Mr. Jacob's note). This allowance is drawn under Resolution No. 1235, dated 30th July 1869, which sanctioned the Presidency allowances for officers stationed in the Upper Godávari. It was found on inquiry from Madras that the only person now drawing allowances under this order was a Supervisor who draws Rs. 30 (the Presidency allowance rate as modified by the Madras Government). It was considered that this should be continued.

XII. Allowances at Potinghy ghât and the Wynaad (para. 50, Mr. Jacob's note).

It was observed that this case was under inquiry in the Public Works Department. At present, the allowances are drawn without any limit of pay, and it was considered that whatever decision was come to as to the allowances to be given, they should not be drawn by men of a higher grade than 3rd-class Superintending Engineers (Resolution No. III.)

XIII. No reply having yet been received from Assam, notwithstanding repeated reminders, the Committee cannot make any recommendations regarding the allowances for that Province.

20th March 1888.

Members present as before, excepting Major Broadbent, R.E.

XIV. The case of the allowances to be drawn by officers of the Madras Establishment employed on the Periyár project having been referred to the Committee, it was considered that the scale proposed for Baluchistan in Resolutions III, V, and VII should be adopted.

S. JACOB.
C. H. REYNOLDS.
J. E. BROADBENT, R.E.
M. C. BRACKENBURY, R.E.
R. B. BUCKLEY.
G. H. D. WALKER.

The following memorandum was recorded by Mr. C. H. Reynolds to be appended to the Proceedings:—

In appending my signature to these Proceedings, I wish to state, with reference to Resolution X (*a*), that I find on inquiry that the case of the Signalling Establishment employed in the Presidency town of Bombay is one requiring some further investigation, and I beg to suggest that a final decision on it may be reserved until the Director General of Telegraphs has had an opportunity of again reporting on the question.

22nd March 1888.

C. H. R.

Order—dated 22nd March 1889, No. 862 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Periyár Project,
~~with enclosure for perusal and return~~
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. W. H. French.
Spare Copies

1455

5

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 22nd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 22nd Mar. 1889, No. 862 W.

MARCH.

Colonel Pennycuick, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Periyar Project

Letter from the Government of India, declining to grant any special allowance to — while holding charge of the project and to the officers concerned.

R No.862 W. 22.3.89

1455

---

th
9 from the Examiner
counts Madras to the
Nellore Division —
1455
erence to your letter No.
8th December 1888, I have
le that it seems doubtful
ion 22 (b) of the Civil
ance Code applies to journey
the amount claimed
n accordance with the
ch has always been
by this Office, and which
been questioned. If
satisfied of the correctness
, I would suggest that
matter for the orders
rough the proper channel.

ds the claim to Frontier
uent to date of relief,
to P. W. D Code para 525
ccordance with which
nce is not admissable
m this Office in respect
view. In the absence of
r which Frontier Allowance
m unable to determine
allowance is an exception

8

1889.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3555

From Examiner P. W. Accounts.

ENCLOS.—

No. 494

Dated 15th April 1889

Received

SUBJECT.

Mr. Phillips. Exet: Engineer Nellore Dn. frontier allowance.

Fwdg a letter from S.E. II and certain correspondence re —

DISPOSAL.

No.

Dated

Transferred to the Financial

suggest that [illegible] if

the Govt of

to the

Please mark the rules to be printed

1453

1/ Recd 3/6

Done

3/6

7

EII / 89 from the Examiner

[illegible]ccounts Madras to the

[illegible]er Nellore Division. —

1453

[illegible]ference to your letter No

18th December 1888, I have

[illegible]ate that it seems doubtful

[illegible]tion 22 (b) of the Civil

[illegible]vance Code applies to journey

[illegible] the amount claimed

in accordance with the

[illegible]ich has always been

[illegible] by this Office, and which

[illegible] been questioned. If

[illegible] satisfied of the correctness

[illegible]ce, I would suggest that

[illegible] the matter for the orders

[illegible] through the proper channel.

[illegible]ards the claim to Frontier

[illegible]quent to date of relief,

to P. W. D Code para 525

[illegible] accordance with which

[illegible]ance is not admissable

[illegible]om this Office in respect

[illegible]view. In the absence of

[illegible] which Frontier Allowance

[illegible] unable to determine

whether [illegible]llowance is an exception

8

1527

2/2 W(8)

Letter No. 99 S.C.W. / 26.2.89 from the Examiner Public Works Accounts Madras to the Executive Engineer Nellore Division. —

1455

—

With reference to your letter No. 2713 dated the 18th December 1888, I have the honor to state that it seems doubtful as to whether section 22 (b) of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code applies to journey on transfer, but the amount claimed was disallowed in accordance with the interpretation which has always been put upon the rules by this Office, and which has not before been questioned. If Mr. Phillips is not satisfied of the correctness of the disallowance, I would suggest that he should refer the matter for the orders of Government through the proper channel.

2. As regards the claim to Frontier Allowance subsequent to date of relief, I beg to refer you to P. W. D Code para 525 last clause in accordance with which a local allowance is not admissable after relief from this Office in respect of which it is given. In the absence of the order under which Frontier Allowance is granted, I am unable to determine whether that allowance is an exception

Despd 24/4/89 (Signed) W.L.C. Baddeley
Under Secy to Govt PW

to the general rule but if Mr. Phillips will furnish me with a copy of the order of rule in question, I shall be happy to reconsider the matter.

ENCLOSURE
1775
6 APR 89

(True Copy)

Steph. O'Connell
Executive Engineer

EB 28.3.89

3rd Enclos: to S.E. II. No. 5N/5-4-

E&4

PW ~~3533~~ 4443/89

Letter No. 1483/21.3.89 from Mr. H. Phillips Sub Dr. Officer Sangam to the Executive Engineer Nellore Division 1488

Letter No. 2713/18.12.88 from Ex Engineer Nellore to the Examr. Public Works Accts through Supg Engineer II Circle
No. 99 SE/2 of 26.2.89 From Examiner P.W. Accts to Ex. Engineer Nellore Division —

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin and your endorsement No. 2011E dated 2.3.89 I have, the honor to request that the following points may be referred through the proper channels for the decision of Government.

(a) A Gazetted Officer travels a certain distance by road on transfer from one appointment to another. Is he not entitled to Travelling allowance of eight annas a mile for the total distance travelled by road?

(b) Can an Officer under any circumstances get daily travelling allowance when making a journey on transfer.

(c) A Public Works Gazetted Officer is transferred from Beluchistan to India. Is he not entitled to his Frontier rate of salary and his Frontier rate of Travelling allowance up to date of crossing frontier between Beluchistan and India.

(True Copy)

28.3.89 Steph. O'Connell
Executive Engineer

EB

P.W. ACCOUNTS
8 APR 1889
ENCLOSURES

SUPG. ENGINEER
No. 7/2NL/89
P.W.D.
SECOND CIRCLE

2nd Enclo: to S.E. II No. 5 NL/5-4-89

Ex3

PW3555
4942/89

1455a

A.S. 58/4

P1

No. 5 NL.

1455

Suptg. Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Bezvada
5th April 1889

From,

J. Hannan Esquire;
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Deptt.

Through,

The Examiner,
Public Works Accounts,
Madras.

Enclos: 4
1 Copy of Ex Engr's letter
with its 3 enclosures

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for your disposal copy of letter No. 571 dated 28th Ultimo together with its enclosures on the subject of Frontier allowance to Mr. Phillips, Asst. Engineer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servt.,

J Hannan

Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

H.
4/4/89.

Letter No. 1188 from [illegible]
to the [illegible]
[illegible]

8 APR 1889
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

SUPG. ENGINEER
No. 2/2NL/89
P.W.D.
SECOND CIRCLE

2nd Enclo: to S.E. II No. 5NL / 5-4-89

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin and your Government No. 2011 dated 2-3-89 I have the honor to request that the following points may be referred through the proper channels for the decision of Government.

(a) Is a Gazetted Officer travelling certain distance by road on transfer from one appointment to another to be not entitled to travelling allowance of eight annas a mile for the total distance travelled by road?

(b) Can an officer receiving frontier get double travelling allowance when [illegible] on transfer?

(c) A Public Works Gazetted Officer transferred from Beluchistan to Madras, is he not entitled to the frontier rate of pay and the [illegible] travelling allowance [illegible] of [illegible] frontier [illegible]

E/3

PW3858
4/4/89

1455a

P1

No. 5NL.

1455

Suptg. Engineer's Office,
2nd Circle, Bezvada
5th April 1889.

From,
J. Hannan Esquire;
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Deptt.

Through,
The Examiner,
Public Works Accounts,
Madras.

Sir,

Enclos: 4
1 Copy of the Ex. letter with its 3 enclosures

I have the honor to forward herewith for your disposal copy of letter No. 571 dated 28th Ultimo together with its enclosures on the subject of frontier allowance to Mr. Phillips, Asst. Engineer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servt.,

J Hannan

Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

H.
4/4/89

10

1455

11

G. o. Nos. 862 W a/22-3-89

No. 494 d/ 15th April 1889

To E.W.

Forwarded with the remark that no remarks are necessary as my letter on the subject of the reference is in the file.

C.

No. 84 D. (5)

24th April 1889.

P.W. Department

Transferred to the Financial Dept with the request that if necessary the orders of the Govt of India may be obtained – Mr. Phillips is an officer on the Govt of India list and his services have been lent to the Madras P.W. Dept –

(By Order)

W C Bardshaw Captain R.E.

Under Secy to Govt P.W. Dept

Recd 20.4.89

17/4 – O.S.I. 58/4.

EXAMINER No. 177 8 APR ENCLOSURES P.W. ACCOUNTS

E2 4443/89 ~~3535~~

From

The Supdg Engineer,

II Circle

(7)

To

The Chief Engineer,

P.W.D

Through the Examiner,

P.W. Accts.

Dated 5th April 1889

R/16-4-89

No. 5 NL.

Enclos: 4

SECRETARIAT 23 APL 89 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No 1155 W

Mr. Phillips. Asst Engr Nellore Dn – Forwarding for disposal correspondence regarding frontier Allowance to –

Transferred

O.S.I. 58/4 Under Secy to Govt

1st Enclos: to S.E. II No. $\frac{5\ NL}{5-4-89}$ S P2

From H. H. O'Connell Esquire,

Executive Engineer, Nellore division,

to the Superintending Engineer II Circle,

dated 28th March 1889 No. 571.

I have the honor to forward herewith the following correspondence regarding the disallowance of the Frontier allowance from 3rd to 20th July last and mileage for 4th, 5th, 6th and 18th July/88 by the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras, in his Disallowance Lists Nos 281 & 337 of 1888-89.

1. Copy of this Office No $\frac{2713}{18-12-88}$ to the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras, through the Supdg. Engineer II Circle.

1 – Do – P.W. Accounts Examiner's reply No. $\frac{99\ S.E.\ II}{26-1-89}$ to my address.

1 – Do – Mr Phillips' letter No. $\frac{1483}{21-3-89}$ to my address.

12

P 3

I request you will be so good as to forward Mr Phillips' letter No $\frac{1483}{21\text{-}3\text{-}89}$ for the decision of Government.

ENCLOSURE 17325 13 APR 89

(True copy)

J Hammill

Superintending Engineer

II Circle

H.
4-4-89

5/2 N4/87

Letter No $\frac{2713}{18\text{-}12\text{-}88}$ from the Executive Engineer Nellore Division to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts through the Superintending Engineer II Circle

1485

I have the honor to forward herewith the extracts of your disallowance lists No 281 and 337 disallowing the Frontier allowance from the 3rd to 20th July last and the mileage for 4th, 5th 6th and 18th July 1889 respectively due to Mr Assistant Engineer H. Phillips and his explanation for claiming them for your disposal.

(True Copy)

Steph O'Connell

Executive Engineer

28.7.89

UB

13

ENCLOSURE
1775
8 APR 89

4th Encls: to S.E. II No $\frac{5 \text{ NC}}{5-4-89}$

E3

~~M/~~ 4942/89

P6

Gazetted Audit Department.

Print

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
14th May 1889.

No. 1553

1455

From
J. W. Rawlins Esq.,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Secretary
to Government,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Endorsement on Current No. 491, dated the 27th of April 1889, I have the honor to state that the application of Section 22 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, to journeys on transfer, is incorrect, as that Section occurs in Chapter IV, which regulates allowances for journeys on tour only, except when specifically made applicable to other journeys. The cases of transfer from one appointment to another, fall under Chapter VI, and the rates of travelling allowance admissible for such journeys are regulated by Chapters X to XII, according to the modes of travelling, the allowance by road being at a rate varying from 1 to 8 Annas, according to the rank of the Officer, and no daily allowance is admissible for any part of the time occupied in the transit from one appointment to another, vide Note 2, to Section 18.–

14

2. The nature of Frontier salary and the conditions under which it is drawn are not known to this Office. If, as assumed by the Examiner of Public

work

works Accounts, it is of the nature of a local allowance, it is admissible to an Officer only so long as he actually performs the duties of the Office to which the allowance is attached.—

3. An Officer on transfer from Beluchistan to India is entitled to draw additional mileage of one half of the ordinary rate for journeys in Beluchistan, under rule 1, Section 71 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed^t. Serv^t.

Account. General.

Pres
4942/89

N.W.L.

~~Dated [illegible] 1889.~~
~~In replying the [illegible] should be quoted.~~

Financial DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

1455

Print

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
28 MAY 89
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

READ the following papers.—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department dated 24th April 1889, No. 86 D.

From the Accountant General, dated 14th May 1889, No. 1553.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.—

2. It does not appear to be necessary to lay the questions raised before the Government of India. The Accountant General's opinion may be accepted except as regards the second point, regarding which it is suggested that Major Christie might consult the Accountant General, Public Works Department as to the precise nature of frontier allowance and dispose of the claim in accordance with the reply received.—

Ootacamund
23 May/89

Chief Secretary

To
The Public Works Department, with papers

4942

1-6-89

Genl Estate

~~Communicated~~ Communicated
to the Examiner Public
Works Accounts for
information and
guidance

29.5.89

31.5.89

Ex. P.W.A.
S.E.II Circle
C.S.

12/6/89

C.W. 115/5

8

1455

16

Govt. of (1889) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

3/6/89

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 1 June 1889 No. 1455 W

Frontier Allowance

Pro. in the Fin. Dept. stating that it is unnecessary to refer to the party making the question raised by the Exr., P.W. Accts., in regard to the — claimed by Mr Phillips, Apt Engr., and that the opinion of the Acct. Genl. on the subject may be accepted,

Comd. to the ~~Exr.~~ Exr. Accts.,

for infn. 5/2

(16)

Cd/16

3d. /6-