(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 38

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

69

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1344

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 20-5-1889 198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

19 sheets

Sheet no 6 Station

ஆவண. கா-1—13,000—15-6-87.

No. 635

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3rd Circle
Bellary 12th july 1886.

(344

From, Col: H. M. Vibart R.E.

(344

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIrd CIRCLE.

To,
THE Chief Engineer
P.W Department, Madras
Through
The Examiner
of P.W Accounts. Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.
1 Statement of Cement damaged

I have the honor to submit a statement shewing quantity of Portland Cement damaged in the Kurnool Canal Sub Division, and to request that under para 2368 of the P.W Code that authority be given for the value being finally charged off to the accounts of the works concerned

2. The Cement was purchased in March 1885 for Rs 753—12—0 for repairing the Locks and Head works of the Canal, and the charge debited to the Sub head "Materials at Site", but the whole supply has been spoiled and is considered to be unfit for use on the works.

The supply left in the Upper Sub Division deteriorated, it would seem, for want of proper precautions regarding its safety, on the part of the then Sub Divisional Officer. In the middle Sub Division the cement was kept in the Lock huts, and was damaged during the floods of August last; while in the Lower Sub Division, the supply is supposed to have been received in a damaged condition, but this was not noticed and no complaint was made at the time the bags were delivered at Cuddapah. The Railway Company cannot therefore be held responsible for the damage.

4. It has been ascertained that the Cement cannot in any way be restored to its original Condition, and that it is not likely to realize any thing if sold; hence the loss should be debited to the works.

5 The Sub Divisional Officers ought to have made better arrangements for the protection of such perishable stores. Their particular attention has been drawn to this subject, and they have been warned that, in future, they will be personally held responsible for such losses.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle

EXAMINER
No. [illegible]
3 JUL 1886
ENCLOSURES 1
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

From,
The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle.

No. $\frac{1}{5303/86}$

To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D
Madras

Through
The Examiner of
P. W. Accounts
Madras

Dated 1st } July 188**6.**
Received

Enclosures. 1

No. 635

Portland Cement damaged in the Kurnool Canal Sub Division.

Requesting authority to charge the value of it to the accounts of the works concerned.

13/4/5

Endorsement No 80 S. E. 5
dated 7th July 1886.

ent showing the number of bags of Portland Cement
ed in the Sub Divisions of the canal during the
~~current~~ expired year

Name of Sub Divn	No of bags	Remarks
Kurnool Upper Sub Divn	24½	A report was already sent about these.
Middle Sub Divn	51¾	Damage is said to be due to monsoons & floods of August last
Lower Sub Division	7½	Supposed to have been received from Madras in damaged state
	83¾	

Kurnool
10th March 1886

Executive Engineer

EXAMINER
No.
3 JUL 1886
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS.

From,

The Superintending Enginee

IIIrd

ENCLOSURE
3 JUL 86
EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS

Canal Sub Divisi

Requesting authori
charge the value of
to the accounts of
works concerned.

1345

Endorsement No. 80 S.E. 5th
dated 7th July 1886.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Madras.

2. If sanctioned, the loss may be debited to the works concerned as a distinct sub head.

Examiner P.W. Acct.
Madras.

Enclo: 2. 7/7

W.Chr 5303

(10)

Encl 8-7-86

Inc 2

No. 2480 C a/ 15th July 1886

C. 9.7.86 R. 14.7.86

Public Works Department.

No. 2480 C a/ 15th July 1886

Memorandum

13/44

7344

With reference to his No. 635 dated 1st July 1886, the Superintending Engineer III Circle is requested to submit fuller information as to the causes which led to the deterioration of the 84 bags of Portland Cement.

2. With regard to that in the upper Subdivision, it is stated that the Cement deteriorated for want of proper precautions for its safety. This is unsatisfactory and requires further explanation. The same remarks apply to that in the Middle Sub-division, - the Cement

having

5

having been kept in lockhuts.

3. In regard to the Cement in the lower Subdivision, it is stated that "the supply is supposed to have been received in a damaged condition". It should be stated how it was that the condition of the Cement was not noticed when delivery was taken

9.7.86

12.7.86

No. 2480 C of 15th July 1886

To

The Supg. Engr. III Circle

Recd 15/7/86

~~D.W.~~

Opg 54/7 d. 9.7.86

20/7

Entd with R. 20/7

having
lock
3.
Cement
Sub
state
is
recei
cond
be
that
Cement
whi
take

Central, Sub. Division,

Statement showing Cement at site of Works called for in Executive Engineer's Circular No 13 dated 8th February 1886.

Locality and the Names of Works.	Total No. of Cement Bags.	No of bags damaged and unfit for use.	Balance No. of bags in Godowns	Remarks.
Intoor Lock Huts	5	5	.	Submerged in floods of August 1885
" Inspection Bungalow	15	15	.	These were damaged at Lock No 2, 5 and 6. out of 53 bags received from the 6/3 Section these 15 were damaged.
Do:	6 Barrels	6 Barrels	.	Old cement taken over from Company and kept in Kibaguntla Bungalow. It is not known when it was damaged.
Lock No 16	Bags 2	.	2	
" 17	" 1	.	1	
" 18	" 5	1	4	
No 1 Lockinaula	Barrel 1 1	.	Barrel 1 1	
" 2 Lock	7	4	3	Spoiled while in transit in a leaky Boat from Cuddapah
" 3 Do:	1	.	1	Do: Do:
" 4 Do:	1	.	1	
" 5 Do:	3	1	2	
" 6 Do:	2	2	.	
" 7 Do:	2 3/4	2 3/4	.	
" 8 Do:	7 1/2	1	6 1/2	
Velapanoor Aqueduct	17	2	15	
No 9 Lock	1	.	1	
" 10 Do: Barrels	5 3/4	5 3/4	.	
" 11 Do: Bags	1	.	1	
" 12 Do: do	1	.	1	
" 13 Do: do	1	.	1	
" 14 Do: do	2	2	.	Damaged by floods of August last.
		41 1/2		

6

Kurnool Dn
August 1886.

Ld

~~Majorite~~
~~Offg Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle~~

Executive Engineer
Kurnool Dn

1349

Copy of letter No 343 d/21st August 1886 from the Exe: Engineer Kurnool Dn. to the Superintending Engineer 3d Circle

134^{4}

Enclosure 1.

In reply to your No 777 d/21st July 1886, I have the honor to forward a Statement giving particulars regarding the Cement damaged in the Central Sub Division.

The cement was ordered in February by Captain Smart who had it all sent to Cuddapah.

To save expence of Carriage it was intended to have the Cement taken to the different places where it was required by the boats returning to Kurnool at the close of the Navigation season.

Many of these boats I am told leaked very badly as they generally do at the end of the season, and a good deal of Cement was damaged in Consequence. Moreover water in the Canal fill very rapidly and in some instances the boats had to be unloaded and the cement left on the bank till it could be carted away to a secure place. It was in this way

fell

7 7

that the cement near Jupaud was damaged and I dare say this was not a solitary case.

As regards the Cement in Lock huts that at Lock 14 was completely submerged and I have no doubt that not a single Lock hut was capable of keeping out the very heavy rain that fell last August.

There are very few buildings along the Canal which are really waterproof and the only way to avoid the risk of getting Cement damaged is not to order it in excess of what is required for the working season.

As regards the 7½ bags of damaged Cement in Lower Sub Division they were obtained from Madras as far back as October 1884. The Boat Superintendent reports that all the bags were in good Condition when he took them over but there is a letter from Mr Medlicott reporting to Captain Smart that two bags were received in a damaged state. It is impossible now to ascertain how the other 5 bags were really damaged.

Soon after I came to the Division on finding such a large amount of damaged Cement. I warned all Sub Divisional Officers of the necessity of taking every precaution for the safety of Cement and other perishable materials.

Sd/ A.H. Garrett
Executive Engineer Kurnool

134k 134k

A H Smith Major R.E.
Offg Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle

8 8

Encls: 1

RW-6993/8

No. 1140

Superintending Engineer's Office,

3RD CIRCLE

Bellary 7 September 1886.

FROM,

Major A. C. Smith R.E.

Offg SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIrd CIRCLE.

To,

THE Chief Engineer
P W Department
Madras

SIR,

Enclosures. 2

1 copy of letter No 343 d/21 August 86 with a statement shewing particulars of Cement damage in Central Sub Dn.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 2480 C dated 15th July 1886, I have the honor to forward the accompanying copy of letter from the Executive Engineer Kurnool Division, giving further explanation regarding the Cement damaged in the Central and Lower Sub Divisions

2. As regards the quantity of Cement damaged in the Upper Sub Division Honorary Assistant Engineer Mahamed Qasim Saib who was the Sub Divisional Officer at the time is responsible.

3. In reply to the Executive Engineer's letters addressed to him on the subject in July 1885

G G

The Assistant Engineer stated that the bags were brought up by boats whose crews he fined. In the next letter he said he was "under the impression" that the bags were despatched to the works for which they were intended and in the 3rd letter he stated he was "not aware of the Cement bags left in the 5th Section"

4. The Assistant Engineer's neglect of duty in this connection was brought to your notice among other irregularities reported in letter No. 1373+ dated 30th September 1885.

+ B No 2387 W d
13/10/85 put ...
Mth 2373 C 7
57/58

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
A L Smith Major RE
Offg Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle

No 2480 C. 15.7.86

P.W.C. No

From,
The Superintending Engine
IIIrd

To,
The Chief Eng
P.W.
&
Mad

Dated 7 } Septembe
Received 9 }

Enclosures. 2

No. 1140

Cement damaged
the Central & Lower
Divisions of Kurnoo

Forwarding a cop
explanatory letter
the Exec. Engr Kurnoo
regarding the L.

O.L.L. 70/9.
C. 11.9.86, M 15.9.86.

1344

The value of the damaged cement must be written off. There seems no reason why Messrs A. E. Maclean & Graham should be blamed any more than those in charge of other works. 1344

It is difficult to attach blame to any particular individuals, but it is very unsatisfactory that ~~better~~ more precautions have not been taken for the better custody of the cement. As it was apparently known that there was no suitable place for storing the cement, such a large building should not have

No 2480 C. 15.7.86

O.L. 70/9

C. 11.9.86, M 15.9.86

---

been noticed.

The gradual use of Minal cement is repairs for works to be carried out and as should be got one working season, & only the cement in mud be taken to protect at flow damage, and the officer in immediately charge of the work will be held responsible that it is not damaged

S.E. III Circle
Exec Engineer 30/8/86

Draft endorsement - omitting part marked in blue. The Head Assist. Engineer has transferred after due consideration of complaints brought against him.

1/9/86

134k

134k

No 2480 C. 15.7.86

O.S. 70/9.
C. 11.9.86, M 15.9.86

B4

13

To D.W. (34/8

These papers relate to cement to the value of Rs 753-12-" in the Kurnool division being damaged & rendered unfit for use –

In the upper sub-division, lately in charge of Hony. Asst. Engr. Muhammad Qasim, 24½ bags were damaged. It is stated that the loss was due to the absence of proper precautions for the safety of the cement, & the Hony. Asst. Engr. is blamed. 13

13

In the middle sub division, 51¾ bags were spoiled, & the explanation given is that some of the cement was damaged in conveyance in leaky boats; some when unloaded on the canal banks, & the rest owing to the leaky huts in which the cement was stored having been submerged during floods.

In the lower sub division, 7½ bags were damaged; & the explanation is that two bags are supposed to have been received in a damaged state, while it is impossible to ascertain how the remaining five bags were spoiled.

It will be seen that while the Hony. Cent. Supt. is blamed for not taking proper precautions for the safety of the cement in the upper sub division, nothing is said as to the absence of precautions in the other sub divisions.

The points on which orders are now required are,—

(1) Whether the value of the damaged cement may be written off — (this apparently must be done)

(2) Whether the Hony. Asst. Supt. shall be censured for his alleged neglect.

(3) Whether the explanation given with respect to the losses in the central & lower sub divisions can be regarded as satisfactory.

This paper has been delayed in disposal, owing to previous papers not being available until yesterday.

Cu 29.9.96

B/

No. 2628 W. 1348

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date Octr. 8. 1886

READ the following

From the Superintending Engineer III Circle H.E. No. 635 d/ 18th July 1886.
Endorsement by the Examiner P.W. Accts. " No. 80 S.E.3d d/ 7th July 1886
From the Superintending Engineer III Circle " No. 1140 d/ 7th September 1886.

The value of the cement damaged in the Kurnool Canal sub-division, Rs 753-12-" may be finally charged off to the accounts of the works concerned.

2. It is difficult to attach blame to any particular individuals, but it is very unsatisfactory that precautions were not taken for the better custody of the cement.

(5

As

15

As it was apparently known that there were no suitable places for storing the Cement, such a large quantity should not have been ordered. In future only so much Cement as is required for works to be carried out in one working season should be ~~got~~ obtained ~~and~~ every precaution ~~must be~~ being taken to protect it from damp; and the officer in immediate charge of the work will be held responsible that it is not damaged.

6/10/86

No 2628 W&f Octr 8 1886

/B maj

To

The Supg. Engr. III Circle

" Examiner P. W. Accts

Desp'd 9-10.86

Jdn

6/10/86

2480 C-15-7-86.

Ent

19/10 13/10.

1344

134

16

16

No. 2628 Wd/8-10-86
" 2480C . 15-7-86

1889

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3566

From The Supdg. Engineer
I circle.

ENCLOS.— 1

No. 116 G.D.
Dated 11th April 1889
Received 17 — do —

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain the sanction of Govt to charge to the Tanuku Taluq Cutchery work a sum of Rs 216 being the value of the 26 bags of Portland cement originally purchased for that work but damaged by floods of August 1886.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1990C Dated 24th April 1889

To
C

For favor of report.

(Sd) W. L. C. B.
18-4-89

Jo 27/4

J 24/5 D.W.
25/4

No. 512.

Executive Engr's office Godavari Western Dn.
23rd February 1889.

13th/k

From

134k The Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Dn

To

The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle.

Sir,

With reference to the Examiner's remarks against item No. 14 of the O.D. Statement for November/88, I have the honor to report that 26 bags of portland cement purchased for the use of Tanuku Taluq Cutchery for Rs 216 were damaged by the unexpected and extraordinary floods of August 1886 and they are now lying near the lime kilns at Chettipett. The cement is useless and cannot be used on any works or worth while to sell by public auction

17

I therefore request that the value thereof be ordered to be charged to the work which was completed with a saving of Rs 233.

ENCLOSURE
337
26 APR 89

I have the honor to be
&c

(Sd) J. S. Wilson
Asst Engineer
incharge Godavari Western Dn.

E. 1

P.W. 3566
4200/89

(True copy)

D. McN. Campbell Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer
1st Circle

JK

NO. 116 G.D.

FROM, Major D. McNeil Campbell R.E.
~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE

TO,
THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Deptt

134 W

Encls: 1.

Dowlaishweram, 11th April 1889

SIR,

I have the honor to forward copy of a letter No. 512 of 23-2-89, from the Executive Engineer Godavari Western Division and to request that under the circumstances stated therein, you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to charge to the Tanukee taluq Cutcherry work a sum of Rs 216 being the value of the 26 bags of Port land cement originally purchased for that work but damaged by floods of August/86.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant

18

18

D. McN. Campbell Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer 1st Circle

JK

EXAMINER
APR 1889
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

Memo No. 1990 C of 24.4.89
G.O. No. 2628 W of 8.10.86
Memo No. 2480 C of 15.7.86

134

13/4

14

19

19

No. 1990 C of 24th April 1889

To C.

For favor of report.

W.W.
10.4.89
D.W.

EXAMINED
No. 337
APR 89
ENCLOSURES 1
ACCOUNTS

No 604 of 7th May 1889
Encl 1

To W.

There appears to be no objection to the course proposed by the Supg. Engineer Ist. Circle.

C.

[illegible] 7/5

A.G.I. [illegible]

WWS
15 May

The value Rs 216 of the bags of Portland Cement which were damaged will be charged against the work for which the Cement was purchased viz the Tamulkur Taluq Cutcherry

D 21/5/89

(19)

S.E. I Circle
in W.A.

W.W.
9.5.89

A.G. 57/5. [illegible] 14/5

[illegible]
13.5.89

[illegible] 28/5

FROM,

P.W.C. No. 3566

Major W. Hebert Campbell

~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 11th
DESPATCHED 13th April 1889
RECEIVED

NO. 116 G.D.

ENCLOSURES. 1

[illegible] May 1889

SUBJECT.

26 Bags of Portland Cement damaged by the floods of 1886 –

Requests to obtain Sanction of Government to charge to Tamulkur Taluq Cutcherry a sum of Rs 216 being the value of –

(19)

With order

20