(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 38

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/89

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

68

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P WD துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1343

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 20 . 5 . 1889 ~~198~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

19 Sheets

Sheet no 6+7 Stained

ஆவண, [illegible]

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, IST CIRCLE, BELLARY
Dy. No. 7379
1 - FEB. 89

Notes of Inspection, by H. Rainer Esqr. Inspecting Officer, of the office of the Executive Engineer Bellary Division on the 30th October till 3rd November 1888. 1343

1343

Mr. H. W. Clift Executive Engineer 1st Grade took over charge of this Division from Mr. A. H. Garrett Executive Engineer 3rd Grade on 13th August 1888. M.R.Ry P. Hanumaji Row Accountant 4th Grade is still the Accountant. Both the Executive Engineer and the Accountant were present. The office was last examined by an accounts officer in August 1887 vide papers printed with G.O. No. 2986 W. of 8th November 1887.

2. There are now no sub Divisional drawing officers.

The Sub Divisions are:-

(1) Bellary or Northern - under Serjeant Macintosh Supervisor who has an imprest of Rs. 250.

(2) Anantapur or Southern under Mr. J.

Nicholson Assistant Engineer 1st Grade who has an imprest of Rs 100.

3. Other Imprest holders are

Serjeant W. Rule Overseer 1st Grade ——— Rs 80.
Mr H. S. Lazarus Supervisor 2nd Grade — Rs 100.
S. Moonoosawmy Moodeliar Overseer 1st Grade Rs 100.
Mr Cory Supervisor ——— Rs 20.

4. The accounts of Cash, Stock and works were thoroughly examined in detail for 2 months. There is improvement since last report.

5. The aggregate total of balances in treasury as per counterfoils of Cheque books differed from the balance in column "bank or treasury" of Cash book on 30th October 1888 by Rs 1975-8-1. On examination, this was found to be the aggregate of cheques said to have been drawn by but not yet entered in Cash book. Some of these cheques had been paid and should have been thereupon entered in cash book. They were enumerated on a slip of paper instead of being registered as required by Standing Order No 367 of 11th June 1888 with reference to code para 1744 A to C. a copy of which standing order was left with the Executive Engineer. 1343

1343 6. Voucher No 60 at page 40 of Executive Engineer's cash book of September 1888 is an advance of travelling allowance made by Executive Engineer to himself on 11th September, the Superintending Engineer's sanction to this advance was applied for on the 3rd October and received on the 8th October 1888.

7 × × ×

8. In the payment of salaries before despatch of salary bill to audit for the following months code 473 (2) has been infringed.

Salary Bill &c	Date of despatch	Date of payment.
April 1888	2nd May 1888	1st May 1888
July 1888	3rd August 1888	1st August 1888.

Salary Bill &c	Date of despatch	Date of payment.
August 1888	3rd September 1888	1st September 1888
September 1888	2nd October 1888	1st October 1888.
October 1888	2nd November 1888	1st November 1888.

9. In the case of the following works, the outlay is not accounted for by items of Estimates and no work abstracts are prepared, though this, it is said, will now be rectified.

(1.) Repairing Arsikarra Tank weir sluice &c Estimate Rs 2583.

(2) Repairing the road from Ramandroog to Soondur frontier on Hospet side. Estimate Rs 3520.

10. Constructing a new Gunshed for the Royal Artillery Bellary, Estimate Rs 11560, Contractor Dhurmalinga Moodeliar & Co.

In the month of July 1888 the total quantity of work done by the Contractor under 13473

Burnt Stone in chunam viz 57.64 cubic feet was measured and billed for by Sergeant Rule and paid for by the Executive Engineer. It was afterwards found that 22.74 cubic feet on account of previous measurement and payment (i e of May 1888) had not been deducted, the mistake was adjusted in August 1888.

11. Additions and alterations to the Collector's Cutcherry at Bellary Estimate Rs 47540.

Contractor S. Kristnasawmy Moodally.

The value of materials at site is Rs 3910-15-6. consisting of.

300 cubic yards of Burnt stone	Rs 300-0-0.
82 Cast Iron columns	Rs 2466-8-0.
2 Pieces of Cast Iron Railings	Rs 18-0-0.
1 Cast Iron railing set	Rs 722-14-6.
89.68 cubic feet of Teak for door frames	Rs 403-9-0.
	Rs 3910-15-6

From the contract certificate No 13 of August 1888 it would appear that the contractor has been paid for fitting 30 pairs of Cast Iron pillars and railings although the columns and railings so used are still borne as materials at site as above. The necessary transfer from form "materials at site" to the Items of Estimate concerned should have been, but has not been made. This will now be done.

12. Constructing Collector's Cutcherry at Anantapur Estimate Rs 64290.

This work is executed by Contract.

Contractors Meſsrs Raghavandra Row & Co.

The items in the contract certificate No. 30 of January 1888 do not correspond with those in the Register of works and yet no work abstract was used.

It was promised that in similar cases a work abstract will be used in future.

No measurement sheet was available in support of the above although it was stated to have been received with the bill.

13. Constructing Police Huts at Vanavole Estimate Rs 2928.

Contractor

Contractor – Razack Sahib.

In the case of this work also, the work abstract form is not used although the Register of works could not be directly posted from the contract certificate.

14. Constructing a new Gunshed for the Royal Artillery Bellary Estimate Rs 11560.

There are very many corrections on pages 14 and 15 of No. 3 measurement book of Sergeant Rule for this work – due to errors in calculation. The corrections are not initialled by any body.

15. For the following works on which there has been expenditure in September 1888 there are no accounts in the Register of works.

Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Outlay to end of September 1888		
		Rs	A	P
(1) Constructing a first class Taluq office at Hudlighee	23871	1	8	.
(2) Constructing drains in the Collector's Cutcherry at Anantapur	Estimate for Rs 4142 submitted for sanction	144	"	.
(3) Repairing the roof of the New Huzur Cutcherry at Anantapur	Estimate under preparation	96	3	4.
(4) Repairing the roof of the Inspection Lodge at Hospet	Estimate for Rs 240 submitted for sanction	10	15	0.

16. Metalling and gravelling Dharwar road

from East Gate of Fort to its junction with Kurnool road near Bellary Club.

The Register of works for this work shews that Rs 2348-0-3 represented value of materials at site at the end of March last but in the statement B sent to the Examiner's Office this work did not appear.

17. The final measurement of the above work is dated 25th February 1888 while the bill was signed by the Overseer in charge of the work on 16th May 1888 and payment was made by Divisional Officer on the same date.

18. There is no regular and periodical verification of materials at site of works. Respecting the materials which appear on Contract certificates the Sub Divisional Officer's signature at the foot of the contract certificate is the only acknowledgment by him of the materials at site. Few day reports and work abstracts are not properly kept up in respect of contract works having materials at site, whether supplied by the Executive Engineer or by the Contractor.

19. Measurement Book No 4 of Hospett (Mr Supervisor Lazarus) 1343

The measurements in the book appear to have been copied into it from some other record which has already been noticed and forbidden more than once by the Executive Engineer.

20. Anantapur Collector's Cutcherry final measurements.

The final measurement of this important work on the 18th to 22nd January 1888 was taken on loose sheets of paper and the measurements are signed by the Overseer, there has been no check measurement at all. Part payment was made by Divisional Officer on 31st January 1888. There is according to the accounts a balance of Rs 718 still due to the Contractor namely Rs 446 deposits and Rs 272 for work done The contractor has avoided settlement contending that more is due to him both in quantity and rate than is shewn by the accounts.

ENCLOSURE
22 APR 89

21. Emergent repairs to Gooty Tank Estimate No. 293 Contractor Etherajooloo Naidoo. The final measurement of this work taken by Mr. Macintosh Sub Divisional Officer is still in pencil, not having been inked in, the measurement has not been cancelled, though payment is long ago made. This however is an isolated case, measurements being as a rule cancelled betimes and sufficiently.

22. There are not sufficient particulars at the head of measurement lists, but this is understood to be under remedy.

23. The contractor's ledger is not posted to date payments made in October 1888 not being entered to debit of payees (see para 37 of last report)

Irregularities regarding contracts are particularized below a × mark in any column is intended to signify that the irregularity stated in the heading of that column applies to the work opposite.

Item No	Name of work	Name of contractor or Piece worker	Amount of Estimate	Amount of Contract	Nature of Irregularity						(1343)
					a	b		c	d	e	
					Tenders not invited	Agreement signed by the Sub Divisional Officer instead of by the Executive Engineer	Bills in contract form agreement in Piecework form	Form of Piecework used for cases of contract	Ledger account not kept	Earnest money not received	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8	9	10	
1	Repairs and Minor New works Military belonging to the British Infantry during 1888-89 costing Rs 2000 each and under	S. Kristnasawmy Moodr	.	.	.	.	.	×	×	×	
2	Constructing a workshop in the Arsenal	T. Kuppusawmy Moodr	45,5	.	×	.	.	.	.	×	
3	Repairs and Minor works costing Rs 2000 and under during 1888-89 in connection with Arsenal building and buildings under Provincial services	Do	.	.	.	.	.	×	×	×	
4	Raising the bund, improving and extending the weir No 1 South end of Neerkal Tank	T. Ethirajulu Naidoo	233	5 % over Estimate rate	.	.	.	×	×	×	
5	Repairs and Minor works costing Rs 2000 and under each during 1888-89 in connection with the buildings occupied by Royal Artillery Native Infantry and Cavalry Fort Hospital and cantonment buildings situated in the cantonment and town of Bellary	T. Ruthnavelu Moodr	.	.	.	.	.	×	×	×	
6	New Gunshed for the Royal Artillery	A. Durmalinga Moodr	1156	11000	×	.	.	.	.	×	
7	Constructing Collectors Cutcherry at Anantapur	Messrs Raghavendra Row	64,20	.	×	.	.	.	.	×	
8	Constructing Police huts at Vonavole	T. A. Razzack Sahib	298	.	×	.	×	.	.	×	
9	Collectors residence at Anantapur	R. Chendriah	28,19	.	×	×	.	.	.	×	
10	Repairing the roof of the Police Superintendents bungalow at Anantapur	Do	670	.	×	.	.	.	.	×	

25. Sub Divisional returns of Tools and Plant were compared with the General return and found to agree.

The returns are in order. 1343

× Originally of but very little value being small in size and simple in construction.

26. Two cotton cleaning machines× which were sold by auction in June 1888 under the Superintending Engineer's authority had been in the stores it is said for 20 to 25 years without ever being borne on any stores or tools return or accounted for in any way. Their proceeds Rs 8-4-0 were credited to Provincial Revenue.

27. Certain old tools and plant sold in September 1888 at Tadputri such as chubbs and other padlocks, an auger &c which had apparently been in charge of the Tahsildar for some years had also been unaccounted for in the returns of this Division.

28. The Stock balance is small Rs 180-5-6 chiefly consisting of Dynamite Barrels of pitch and Mangalore tiles except the tiles which are at Adoni, the Stock is said to have

been verified by the Executive Engineer on the 4th October 1888. The accounts are in order.

29. The claims for travelling allowance of Lower Subordinates, office and Petty Establishments from March to September 1888 inclusive were audited and found correct.

30. Service books were examined and found to be generally in order but the service book of the following individuals have been attested by Sub Divisional Officers instead of by the Executive Engineer

Name and Rank of Individual	Date of attestation
(1) Ramanna Lascar	6th April 1888.
(2) Moonoosawmy Pillay Lascar	16th October 1888.
(3) Dadda Sahib Lascar	29th July 1888.
(4) Abboo Lascar	2nd March 1888.
(5) Ahmed Shah Do	26th November 1886.
(6) Annasaraiar Clerk	22nd October 1886.
(7) Chinnapen Messenger	4th May 1884.

31. A service book is not maintained for the store keeper Mr R. LeMoyree who is a pensioner re-employed. It is thought that a service book should be opened out. 1343

32. There is no record of service telegrams sent, the last message recorded was on the 10th August 1888. The counterfoil of the message book is the proper place for the office copy to be recorded.

33 Requisitions for service labels having been sent in duplicate, the counterfoils have the appearance of double requisition in each case, the word duplicate never appearing – the amount of each requisition should be stated in words as well as in figures and each counterfoil should be initialled. Whenever a new supply of labels is received the Accountant compares the amount received with the amount of the requisition

but does not check the service label account this being done by the head Clerk

EXAMINER
No. 32
22 APR 1889
ENCLOSURES 1
P. W. ACCOUNTS

Kurnool
9th November 1888

(Signed) H. Raimes
Inspecting Officer

O.M. No 80 of 21.1.89

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government P. W. Department for information and orders of Government with the accompanying summary and remarks

---

Enc 2 / 737 W of 22/5/89

(C. No. 93.)

Division Bellary Summary of Inspection Notes dated 9th November 1888.

1343

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
1	5	Failure to enter in Cash book cheques actually paid away		The Executive Engineer should report whether a proper register is now being kept of cheques drawn but not paid away. If this is done and S.O. 367 is attended to, failure noticed is not likely to occur again; but if such cheques are simply noted on scraps of paper, it is pretty certain to occur.
2	6	Travelling allowance advanced by the Executive Engineer to himself prior to application to and sanction of Superintending Engineer	454	The Executive Engineer should explain why Superintending Engineer's sanction was not obtained Vide P. W. D. Code para 454 I.
3	8	Salaries irregularly disbursed before despatch of salary bill to Examiner for audit	473(2)	The Executive Engineer should explain this disregard of the rule, and state whether it is now being observed.
4.	9	Failure to account for works costing over Rs 2500, by items of work, and to prepare work abstracts for the same, in two cases.	1753 1760 I 1760 III 1866 II	Requires Executive Engineer's attention. He should report whether the accounts are now being properly kept by items.

10

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
5.	10	Failure to deduct work done and payment made previously in a contractor's bill and consequent overpayment.	Form M14.	As the overpayment has been recovered nothing further is required but greater care should be taken in future in the preparation and check of bills.
6.	11	Failure to show materials merged in work, as issued to item of work, in the materials at site account of a certain work.	1652 and 1653	The Executive Engineer should report whether the necessary adjustments have been made
7.	12 & 13	Failure to prepare work abstracts for two works carried out by contract the account of which could not be posted in the Register of works direct for from contract certificates.		When the Register of Works cannot be posted from a contract certificate, a work abstract must be prepared The Executive Engineer should report whether this is now attended to.
8.		A measurement list not forthcoming in support of a certain bill.		Apparently an isolated case.

1343

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
9.	14	Numerous corrections in a measurement book due to errors in calculations and these corrections not initialled by any body.	1563 and 366.	The subordinate concerned might be warned to be more careful, and he should be directed to attest all corrections in his measurement book
10.	15	Failure to post outlay on four works in the Register of works	1866.	Outlay on all works, estimated to cost over Rs 200/- or of which the Executive Engineer is aware that the estimate will exceed that amount, should be entered in the Register of Works. He should say whether this is now being attended to.
11.	16	Failure to enter materials to the value of 2348-0-3, as per Register of works, in the annual statement of materials at site of works sent to Examiner		The Executive Engineer should explain the omission.
12.	17.	Delay of nearly three months in billing for measured works in one case	1824	Seems to require some explanation.

11

1343

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
13.	18.	Want of periodical verification of materials at site of works	1713	The Executive Engineer sho report what steps have bee taken to remedy the omissi noticed.
14.	19	Entries in a measurement book apparently copied into it from some other record.		It is observed that th Executive Engineer has alr taken steps to stop the pra
15.	20.	Final measurements of a certain important work taken on loose sheets of paper by the overseer in charge, work not check, measured at all and contractor disputes the measurements.	Form M 19 No 2 1764	It seems desirable that Executive Engineer should re upon this work, and on the prospects of settlement wi the contractor. The irregularit as to measurements shoul explained.
16.	21.	Final measurement of a certain work not yet inked in, in the Measurement Book and measurements not cancelled	Form M 19 Note 3. 1756	Apparently an isolated but Sub Divisional Officer attention should be drawn Note 3 on Form of (M 19) M ment Book, regarding the in of measurements, and t para 1756 regarding cancelling entries.
17.	23.	Contractor's ledger not current	1868	The work done and payment made should have been recorded in the Ledger as soon as the bills were passed and paid. The question of definite rules on the posting of the contractor's Ledger is under consideration.
18.	24(a)	Tenders not invited by the Executive Engineer in 6 cases	1355.	The Executive Engineer should explain the omission (see also item 21).
19.	24(b)	Agreement signed by the Sub Divisional Officer in one case	1350	Do
20.	24(c)	Bills in piece work form for work done by regular contractors in 4 cases	1818	Form 14 should have been used. The Executive Engineer should state why it was not in these cases. 12✓
21.	24	Earnest money not involved ~~invited~~ recd in 10 cases 12	1360.	This is a matter within the discretion of the Executive Engineer (Vide G. O. No 3488 W dated 3rd November 1888. It seems very doubtful whether the discretion should be exercised in the case of large work costing Rs 1000/ and upwards, but the original irregularity seems to have been not calling for tenders, so that of course earnest money could not be taken.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
22.	26 & 27	Failure to bring on to the Tools and Plant returns certain articles	1782	As the articles have now been sold it is probably unnecessary to say anything more about the matter.
23.	30.	Service books attested by Sub Divisional Officer instead of by the Executive Engineer in 7 cases.	Section 129 of Civil Pension Code G.O. 17b[illegible] dated 14 June 1880	The Service books should be attested by the Executive Engineer.
24.	31	Service Book not maintained for Mr Labyrie store-keeper a government pensioner.		Not necessary as he does not count service in his present appointment for a separate pension. Vide Section 188 Rule 9 of the Civil Pension Code.
25.	32	Want of a record of service telegrams		The copy of telegram should be recorded on the counterfoil in the message book. The Executive Engineer should see that this is attended to.
26.	33.	Failure to state the amount of each requisition for service labels in words and to note the word duplicate in the counterfoil of the duplicate requisition.		Requires attention.

Major R.E.

Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

1343

13

13

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

ENCLOSURE
324
22 APR 89

Enclosures
G O No. 241W d/ 29.1.89 with Dy Examiner's Inspection Notes —— }

1343

No. 62.

To

The Superintending Engineer
III Circle

1343

Sir,

In reply to your No. 2446 of the 4th February 1889 I have the honor to return the G.O No. 241W dated ~~and~~ the 29th January last together with the Dy Examiner's notes of inspection of this Divisional office and other papers received

2. The reports and explanations called for are given below, the item numbers noted being those of the summary by the Examiner.

Item 1. — A register in the Examiner's form is now being maintained of all cheques drawn but not paid away: previous to the receipt of the form the information was recorded in a note book but not on scraps of paper: I enclose this book for your inspection

Item 2 -

Being out on a Circuit and having run short of funds I had no time to apply and wait for sanction to advance myself but this will be guarded against in future as far as possible.

It should be remembered that I have nearly 11000 sq. miles to travel over and if I have to wait in every case for sanction to be advanced it would frequently make a week's delay.

Item 3. The Executive Engineer signs the salary bills at the time the Cheques for pay are drawn but there have been delays in the despatch of the bill — This is now avoided.

Item 4. Accounts of the Assekere Tank is now brought up to date and is posted in detail by Sub heads in the register of works. With regard to Ramandroog road

road details are being made up and will be completed shortly.

Item 6. The necessary adjustment has been made in the accounts for October 1888. {3/c3

Item 7. This is now attended to but with regard to para 13, Vanavole police huts, all the outlay on the work has found place in the only contract certificate with this deference viz that the item of H D done is separately shown under Basement and superstructure in the Certificate whereas the item is lumped together in the Estimate and under these circumstances it is thought that no work abstract is necessary.

Item 10 This is now attended to

Item 11. The materials were gravel and metal collected on the Dharwar road but before the end of March 1888 they had been used on the work and were not actually at site. As however bill for the work was not submitted the materials were shewn in the Register of work as at site. this ought to have been shewn in the annual B statement and a remark made that the materials were used up but that was not done by mistake.

Item 12: The measurement of the work was made on the 25th Feb/88 Certain defects were however pointed out to the Contractor such as removing heaps of gravel &c here and there. Pending this being done the submission of the bill was delayed till May 1888.

Item 13. With regard to periodical verification of materials at site I have given orders to verify materials at end of March 1889. and arrangements will be made to have a half yearly

16

yearly verification in future.

Item 16. This refers to Anantapur Collector's Cutchery. The final measurements were taken by overseer Sergt J Buck in January 1888. There was no sub Divisional officer available at the time. The Contractor was not present. He is involved in a Civil suit whereby all his money is attached. He was several times written to and he has now come forward with his claims and which he enquired into and a final remeasurement will be made with a view to dispose of the matter as early as possible. 16

Item 18(24a) I cannot answer why Tenders were not called for in these cases as the works were given out before my time. It would appear you have noticed the failure in the case of the Arsenal Workshop. In the case of the Collector's residence

16 pt

at Anantapur Tenders were called for but none were received and the present man appears to have been taken on in June /87.

In this connection I think the Executive Engineer should be allowed to dispence with tenders in cases of works up to Rs 2000 each, indeed it is only in Madras that tenders appeared to be so insisted upon, elsewhere and for far larger amounts I have had the fullest lattitude.

Item 19 (24b) This is the agreement for the Collectors residence. The form of agreement was sent to the sub-Divisional Officer to have the agreement drawn up. He did it and signed the document. The Executive Engineer who received it forwarded the same to you without apparently through oversight, signing the same vide ~~year~~ your Register No 102 B of 58-9.

1393

13403

Item 20 (24c) Out of the four works one viz Raising the bund &c of Neerkal Tank, appears to have been brought under objection by mistake: the first bill paid is in February 1889 and that is in the right form. With regard to 3 other works the agreement is a general one for petty repairs and minor new works up to Rs 2000 in the Bellary-Cantonment and town for the whole year 1888-89. and the form though found suitable should not have been used. this will be stopped from 1st April 1889.

Item 21 (24E). Noted.

Items 5, 8, 9, 16, 17 and 23 to 26 will be attended to and steps taken where necessary.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

17

17

10th April 89

Executive Engineer

825

No 62

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.
Dy. No. 1415
11 APR 89
III CIRCLE, BELLARY P.W.D.

From

The Exec Engr
Bellary Dn

To

The Supg Engineer
III Circle
Bellary

E.
PW 356

Dated 10th } April 1889
Recd }

Ref No 2446 of 4th Feb 89

Returning the Inspection Notes of the Exr P.W. Acct Madras, on the Bellary D. office, with explanation called for.

No 154

Enclo
1 Dy Examiners Report
1 Examiners summary of notes

13/13

Endorsement on letter no 62 d/10 april from H. W. Clift Esqr Executive Engineer Bellary Division to the Superintg Engineer 3d Circle

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department with reference to G.O. No. 241 W dated 29th January 1889

The Dy Examiner's report and the Examiner's Summary of Notes thereon are returned herewith.

H Smalley
Col R.E.
Superintg Engineer 3d Circle

Suptg Engrs Office 3d Circle }
Bellary 13th April 1889 }

18

To
The Chief Engineer
P W Department
Madras

18

No. 1958 C d/ 17th April 1889

No. 325
22 APR 89
ENCLOSURES 2
P.W. ACCOUNTS

To
C

For favour of any further remarks you may have to offer.

17.4.89
D.W.

No 636. D/ 11th May 1889

To W. Encl 2

Returned with the following remarks:-

2. Item 2 of summary. Such advances are not admissible at all and cannot be sanctioned even by Supg. Engineer.

3. Item 3. The proper way of avoiding the irregularity seems to be for the Executive Engineer to retain the cheques until the bill is despatched, instead of trusting to its being despatched as soon as it is signed.

4. Item 4. Further report regarding the completion of the Ramandrug road repair accounts will be awaited.

5. Item II. The Executive — ngineer's explanation is not undertood. If the materials were used up he accounts should have recorded — that fact. In this case the material were apparently issued to a contractor and the Executive Engineer should have debited the contractor with the value. Further explanation seems desirable.

1343

6. Item I5. The Executive Engineer has not explained the irregularity in measurements brought to notice and he might also be required to report further when the dispute with the contractor has been finally settled.

7. Item I8. The code rule (para I355) admits of no minimum limit, but says tenders will invariably be invited.

8. Item 23. Further report will be awaited when all service books have been attested by the Executive Engineer.

9. The other items call for no further remarks.

C.

11/5

11/5

C 9

From S.W.C. No. ~~354~~ 437

The Supt. of Engineer
3rd Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D
Madras

Dated 13th
Recd 16th } April/89

E. 2

No. 1343 W d/ 20 May

No 154

Forwarding letter No. 62 d/ 10th April/89 from the Exec Engr Bellary Dn furnishing explanation on certain points in the Examiner's Notes of Inspection of the Bellary Dn office.

TO

1-4-89. [illegible] 534 C 4/7

1596 C by 27-2-89

[illegible] 241 C by 29-1-89.

" " 346 W by 3-12-88.

" " 295 W by 8-11-87

[illegible] 1849 C by 11-5-84

" 158 C by 13-4-85

" 865 C by 1-3-85

" 497 C by 3-2-86

Comd. to the S.E.

III Circle for the information and guidance [of] the Ex. Engr. Bellary Division.

2. The Examiner's remark on item 2 does not coincide with what he said on the subsequent summary, which was apparently based on a misunderstanding

MOB
14.5.89

Recd
15.5.89

26

S.E. III C

D

Ex. Bnd 21/5/89

O.S. 64/5 - Di 157.

J. 23/5

1843

~~17~~

1343

20

PWC No 437

(2)

[illegible]

Registered 20 May 18

(11)

O.S. 69/5 - Ai 15.