

W.W.

C. No. 3438.

1323

Under para 1302 of
the P. W. Code completion
reports for projects costing
over one lakh of rupees should
be sent to the Chief Engineer
for final record in his office.
In cases of works on which
outlay has exceeded the
limits prescribed in para
352 & (1) to (3), the sanction
of Govt is necessary to have
the excess outlay admitted
by the Examiner. As in
the absence of completion
reports it is impossible to
find the excesses on each
subhead, it has been ordered
vide Circular No. 2949-6.
d/6th August 1888 that all
applications for sanction
of Govt to pass excess expendi-
-ture on completed works
should be accompanied
by completion reports

Yours faithfully
W. W. W.

and this ruling has been introduced into the P.W. Code as Standing Order No: 524. It is only in such cases complete reports for works costing less than 1 lakh of Rupees are sent to the Chief Engr. for obtaining the sanction of Govt to admit excess expenditure.

The form does no doubt provide for the S. E.'s signature, which is intended for transmission of the report to the Chief Engineer for final record in his office.

In the present case an estimate of Rs. 2,780 was received, but as it required the sanction of Govt of India under para 1540 of the P.W. Code no sanction was accorded thereto. The Govt of India however, after some objection sanctioned the charge vide G.O. No: 2562 W.D/20 & August/8 but as the work was completed before obtaining sanction to the estimate

the S. E. was asked to submit a completion report, which has now been received.

[323] Maj
4/6

B

I never saw the circular referred to. The completion report can be sanctioned without further reference.

W.M.
6.5.59

2

2 of post and
to be sent with

Thanks for your letter No. 3438.
completion reports definitely
settled as S.E. V continuously
sends them to the C.E.
With reference to your
instructions on 15 note, I beg
to state that no provision is
made in the form of completion
report for the signature of
Suptg. Engineer and there is
no ruling in the P.W. Code ¹³²³
which requires a Suptg. Engr.
to sign a completion report.

Under para 248 of the P.W. Code,
Suptg. Engineers, when submitting
drawings or estimates requiring
superior sanction, are required
to endorse the words "examined
and approved" or "not approved".

In case of completion reports
for works which have been
already completed, the approval
of the Suptg. Engr. does not
seem to be quite necessary
and there is no ruling to that
effect. 3

As the S.E. submits
the completion report for the
sanction of Govt and as it

is of post paid
kindly with

may thereby be meant that
the report has his approval
it may, if approved, be sanc-
-ed by Govt.

In these circumstances
orders are solicited as to
whether the S. Co. should be
asked to sign the completion
report or the report be sanc-
-tioned by Govt. without fur-
reference.

May
26/4

B

Why should Govt be
asked to sanction completion
reports?

The form does provide a
place for the S. Co.'s opinion
and if the completion
report is to be transmitted

to Govt for sanction, it
should be signed by the
S. Co. But I do not

think any completion
reports for works under
1,00,000 should come to the
Govt in para 1302 C.F.O.

Public Works Department.

3438

324

16 April 1889

Supy. Engr. F. C. C.

From the Completion Report

F. C. C. Signature

NOTE OF REMARKS.

16.4.89

the reference to this

in Memo No 802 C

8/2/89 the Supy Engr.

its contents

completion report

The actual outlay

made in the construction

the Racket Court

Govt House building

its amount to

36-8-4 -

to be for the

contract. The Govt

contract with

the builder in the

black and white

of the building

the building with

petitioned Chairman.

The following cases
are in cases of the
cases prior in the
Schedule for the
Presidency Division.

In Case No. 10/12 per 100 of
the amount Rs 14-1-0

2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

The other cases are
Sari.

The Completion report
has been counterchecked
by the Chief Secy.
but it has not been
signed by the Chief Secy.
I circle although it is
for sanction - Mr. Sub
Officer.

15/4/04

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.
PARAS. 1561 AND 1564.

ENCLOSURE
5 MAR 89

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE
P. W. D.
25 JUN. 88
PRESIDENCY DIVISION

P. W. D. MADRAS
Form No. M 57.

DIVISION Presidency

NAME OF WORK Building a Raquet Court
at Govt House Madras.

Completion Certificate. 1323

(To accompany the Completion Report for the
above work.)

The atty. Secretary

Forwarded to to H.E. the Governor of Madras
for signature and return, as this Office No. 3, dated 15/4/00.

June/00.

Documents accompanying.

Station Prangalor for Executive Engineer,
Dated 16th June 1888.
Presidency Division.

Returned to the Executive Engineer, Presi-
deney Division, duly signed, with the above-
mentioned documents.

W. W. Quinn
Commanding or Departmental Officer.
Officiating Military Secy

* Here mention report, specification, and drawings of the work
as executed, and any other documents submitted with this comple-
tion Certificate.

Division Presidency

COMPLETION CERTIFICATE

Name of Work Building a Raquet Court at Good House
Madras.

Authority

Certified that the above-mentioned work was taken over on 18. 1. 88
and that it is considered satisfactory and suitable to the purposes for which it is intended.

82
PW 343

25 JUN 88

W. H. D. Lawrie
Capt.

Commanding or Departmental Officer.

Offg. Military Secretary

Station Potacammund
Dated 22nd June 1888

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.
PARAS. 1561 TO 1565 AND 1568.

1323

P. W. D. FORM.
No. M 56.

Division Presidency

Completion Report No.

NAME OF WORK Building a Raquet Court at Good House Madras

AMOUNT OF ESTIMATE—Rs. 2400

EXPENDITURE—Rs. 2406-3-4

DIFFERENCE—Rs. 6-3-4

PERCENTAGE OF EXCESS (IF ANY) —

DATE OF COMMENCEMENT 10th November 1887

DATE OF COMPLETION 18th January 1888

DATE OF LAST INSPECTION 18th January 1888

By whom last inspected Ch. C. Swickland

Forwarded to the Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
for verification, as this Office No. _____,

dated _____

Documents accompanying.

1323

Executive Engineer,

Division.

Transmitted to the Superintending Engineer,
5th Circle, duly verified, as this
Office No. _____, dated _____

Chas. C. Swickland
Asst. Engineer
for Examiner of P. W. Accounts.

Transmitted to _____
for record as this Office No. _____, dated _____

Superintending Engineer.

* Here note "Completion Certificate" granted by the Civil or
Military Authority concerned, report, specification, drawing and
detail of quantities when necessary.

NAME OF WORK— *I. Building a Racquet Court at Govt House*
 FUND— *Provincial*
 DEPARTMENTAL HEAD— *Official work*
 SERVICE HEAD— *Civil*
 MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION— *3 Administration*
 SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION—
 ARM OF THE SERVICE—
 Reference to last Work Voucher submitted—No. — for the Month of — 188

| Sub-heads of Estimate. | AS ESTIMATED. | | | AS EXECUTED. | | | DIFFERENCE.* | | | Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences. |
|--|---------------|-------|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----------|---|
| | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | |
| | | RS. | RS. | | RS. | RS. A. P. | | RS. | RS. A. P. | |
| Excavating | 4721 5 | 24 | 4721 5 | 28 9 8 | 1 | 6 4 | 1 | | | |
| Concrete | 921 18 | 166 | 937 5 | 168 10 7 | 16 | 2 10 7 | 2 | | | |
| Foundations & work brick in mortar | 2623 19 | 499 | 2409 19 | 457 11 4 | 219 1/2 | 41 4 8 | 3 | | | |
| Superstructure do | 5749 22 | 825 | 5838 20 | 767 9 7 | 89 | 57 6 5 | 1 | | | |
| Plastering with Portland Cement | 27 8 6 | 243 | 23 1/2 8 6 | 198 14 6 | 3 1/4 | 44 1 6 | 5 | | | |
| Plastering with Coat Mortar polished | | | 46 2 4 | 103 8 | | | 6 | | | |
| Putting down old stone flooring with 3" Concrete | 14 1/2 8 | 94 | 10 8 | 80 | 1 1/4 | 14 | 7 | | | |
| And pointing with cement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Archwork | | | 29 1/2 24 | 7 1 8 | | | 8 | | | |
| Teakwood wrought and put up | 20 1/2 3 8 | 77 | 15 1/2 3 8 | 53 6 | 5 | 17 10 | 9 | | | |
| Double windows | 37 1/2 1 8 | 98 | 31 1/2 1 8 | 38 7 | 10 | | 10 | | | |
| Panellled doors | 38 1/2 1 | 37 | 75 1/2 | 75 8 | | 3 8 8 | 11 | | | |
| Chocolate paint 3 Coat. | | | 3 1/2 2 1/2 | 9 10 | | | 12 | | | |
| White paint 2 coat | | | 4 1/2 1 7 | 5 10 6 | | | 13 | | | |
| Wire netting in standard | | | 336 1 6 | 126 | | | 14 | | | |
| Carried over | | | | 2110 105 | | | | | | |

* Excesses to be entered in red ink.

| Sub-heads of Estimate. | AS ESTIMATED. | | | AS EXECUTED. | | | DIFFERENCE.* | | | Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences. | |
|---|---------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-------|---------|---|-------|
| | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | | |
| | | RS. | RS. | | RS. | RS. | A. P. | | RS. | RS. | A. P. |
| Brought forward .. | | | | | | 2389 | | | | | |
| Cost for putting up wall plaster under the points | | | | | | 2 | | | | | 24 |
| Brought forward | | | | | | 2110 105 | | | | | |
| Terraced roof | 2 | 2 | 52 | | | | | | | | 15 |
| cut stone for steps | 50 1/2 | 1 | 88 9 6 | | | | | | | | 16 |
| high scaffolding | 25 | | 25 | | | | | | | | 17 |
| Wooden blocks for and back of water hole | 14 | | 5 4 | | | | | | | | 18 |
| Dental Cornice work with brick and plastering | 192 | | 60 | | | | | | | | 19 |
| 2 Coat mortar | 1 1/2 | | 1 8 | | | | | | | | 20 |
| Brass clamps for hanging clock | 6 | | 2 4 | | | | | | | | 21 |
| Brought over | | | | | | 2348 3 11 | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | 2436 3 4 | | | | | |

* Excesses to be entered in red ink.

[N.B.—When any considerable deviations from the original design have occurred, the report, specification, drawing, and details of the measurements of the work actually done, in the same form as the estimates, should accompany the Completion Reports.]

CERTIFICATE.

I do hereby certify that, with the exceptions above noted and explained this work has been fully executed to the extent of the estimate in a substantial and satisfactory manner, as regards materials and workmanship, and that, excluding trifling deviations and those explained over-leaf, it corresponds in all respects with the sanctioned plan and estimate.

30 May 1888.
 [Signature]
 Executive Engineer.
 [Signature] Coll. R.

NAME OF WORK.— *Building a Raquet Court at Govt House*
 FUND.— *Provincial*
 DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.— *Official work*
 SERVICE HEAD.— *Civil*
 MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.— *3 Administration*
 SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
 ARM OF THE SERVICE.—
 Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. — for the Month of — 188

| Sub-heads of Estimate. | AS ESTIMATED. | | | AS EXECUTED. | | | DIFFERENCE. | | | Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining difference. |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------|---------|--|
| | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | |
| Brought forward | | | | | | 2348 3/11 | | | | |
| Best for dismantling | | | | | | | | | | |
| a portion built | | | | | | | | | | |
| Compound | | | | | | | | | | |
| wall to prop | | | | | | | | | | |
| the material | | | | 8 | 2 | 16 | | | | |
| & rebuilding | | | | | | | | | | |
| the same with | | | | | | | | | | |
| new masonry | | | | | | | | | | |
| & plastering | | | | | | | | | | |
| Complete | | | | | | | | | | |
| best for paved | | | | | | | | | | |
| excavations | | | | | | | | | | |
| earth & levelling | | | | | | | | | | |
| ground around | | | | | | | | | | |
| the building | | | | | | 25 | | | | |
| cleaning & gut | | | | | | | | | | |
| at the | | | | | | | | | | |
| with the | | | | | | | | | | |
| the | | | | | | | | | | |
| and cover | | | | | | 2359 3/11 | | | | |
| Doors & windows | 37 1/2 | 12 | 450 | 31 1/2 | 12 | 378 | | | | |
| Panellled doors | 38 1/2 | 12 | 462 | 37 1/2 | 12 | 450 | | | | |
| Chocolate paint | | | | 3 1/2 | 2 1/2 | 9 | | | | |
| 3 Coats | | | | | | | | | | |
| White paint 3 Coats | | | | 2 1/2 | 12 | 30 | | | | |
| Wire netting incl | | | | 336 | 6 | 2016 | | | | |
| incl Standard | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carried over | | | | | | 2110 105 | | | | |

| Sub-heads of Estimate. | AS ESTIMATED. | | | AS EXECUTED. | | | DIFFERENCE.* | | | Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences. |
|--|---------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|------------|--------------|-------|-----------|---|
| | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | Quantity. | Rate. | Amount. | |
| Brought forward .. | | RS. | RS. | | RS. | RS. A. P. | | RS. | RS. A. P. | |
| Cost for putting up wall plaster under the joints with old wood. | | | | | | 2389 | | | | |
| Clearing 1/2" cement with a layer of 8 cement extra concrete work under old cut stone to fill up irregularity of the thickness of stone. | | | | 12 1/2 | 95 | 16 10 | | | | 24 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 25 |
| | | | | 10 | | 36 | | | | 26 |
| Petty supervision | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contingencies | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 2457 13 11 | | | | |
| Deduct percentage | | | | | | 1 10 7 | | | | |
| Total | | RS. | | | RS. | 2436-3-4 | | RS. | 5 | |

CERTIFICATE

Names of Engineers and Subordinates by whom the Work was supervised, giving the Dates of their several incumbencies, i.e., the dates of taking and making over charge, in connection therewith.

| | PERIOD OF INCUMBENCY. | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | From | To |
| Mrs. A. Gnanasekara Doss. | | |
| Res. A. Gnanasekara Doss. | 10 Nov 87 | 18 Jan 88 |
| C. Works. | | |

Explanations of differences and proposals as to disposal of materials at site.

See p. 3. 4. 5. 7 & 9 - So much work was not found necessary as required.
See p. 11. An extra door was found necessary.

M. S. Gnanasekara
Asst. Engr. C. Works.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V CIRCLE, MADRAS,

Chepak, 11th April 1889.

No. 324

From Colonel W. H. Burton R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE

Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

1323

SIR,

Enclosures.

Completion
cont

With reference to your memo no. 802c dated 18th February 1889 I have the honor to submit for sanction a Completion report for actual outlay of Rs. 2436-3-4 for constructing a Raquet Court in the Government House at Madras.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. H. Burton. Colonel R.E.

Superintending Engineer V Circle.

9

9

Memo No 202 C of 18-2-89
 P. No 316 N of 5-2-89
 A - 25626 of 10-8-89
 B - 1872-74-78 of 22-6-89
 C - 1126-27 of 14-4-89
 D - 2286 of 20-1-89
 Memo No 2947 of 6-8-89

13th May
 13th May

The outlay of Rs 2436
 incurred, without a pre-
 -sented estimate, on the con-
 -struction of a Raquet Court
 at the Goot House, Madras,
 is sanctioned.

7.5.89
 9.5.89

From P.W.C. No 3438
 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
 V CIRCLE,
 (1)
 To THE Chief Engineer
 P.W. Department

Dated 11 April 1889.
 Recd. 12

ENCLOSURES 2

13 23 W of 17-5-1889

No. 324

Raquet Court in the Goot
 House Madras.

Submitted for sanction a
 completion Report for actual
 outlay of Rs 2436-3-4 for
 constructing a -

V C Circle
 By Mr. A. V.
 2/5-

(9)
 12/5
 10/5

10/5
 10/5