

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(12)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1170	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	1 - 5 - 1889	198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10/89

7. Sheets

Statement NO 2

ஆவண, 40-1-18,008-18-6-87.

No. 3085 Wd/16-11-87

1888

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 5674

From

The Suptg. Engineer
✓ circle

ENCLOS. — 1

No. 1036

Dated 12 June 1888

Received 14 — do —

SUBJECT.

Forwarding Ence. Engineers
replies to the several points
requiring explanation in
the Notes of Inspection
of North Arcot Division
by the Dy. Examiner
P. W. Accounts.

DISPOSAL.

No. 2265 Dated 16 June 1888

To

C

For favour of
remarks.

(Ld) W. L. C. B.

16-6-88

Dw. 16/6

S. 28/6

1170

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.
PARAS. 566 AND 567.

P. W. D. FORM.
No. M 152.



CIRCLE— V

DIVISION— North Arcot

Journal of the Occupation and Duties of

*Supervisor V. Kuppusamy
Sengar.*

having his head-quarters at

Wallajahungger for the month of
July 188*6*.

M. M.
5 AUG. 86



No. 1036.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

Bangalore V Circle, Madras,

Chepah, 12 June 1888.

From

Colonel H. M. Vibart R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE

Chief Engineer

Public Works Department.

SIR,

Enclosures. /

Journal.

With reference to para 2 of G.O. No 3085 w dated 16 November 1887, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer explains as follows as regards the several points therein alluded to. Item No 12.a. The contractor of Arkonum Cutcherry tendered at 6 per. cent below Estimated rates but when certain alterations were made in roofing &c he represented the matter to the Executive Engineer Colonel H. Smalley who asked Superintending Engineer's sanction to pay the contractor at estimated rates. The Superintending Engineer promised to sanction

it provided the work was finished at an early date. The work was completed 2 months after contract time but the site had not been settled till 2 months after signing the contract, and the contractor was paid at estimate rates.

The contractor of Rajakal Channel undertook the work for less rates than in estimate, but in the course of execution of work he was unable to go on with the work at the tendered rates or at estimate rates, on which the Executive Engineer Mr Davidson was obliged to submit a revised estimate for sanction with the object of paying the contractor at the rates entered in the revised estimate and the contractor was paid accordingly after the Revised Estimate was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer.

Item No 17. The Subordinate was at Kaveripak on 25 July 1886 and the fact is entered in his journal but no mention was made about

about Kaveripak Tank in column 15. Journal is herewith sent. 117

Item No 21. No payment was made to the Contractor before the bill was checked but the Executive Engineer signed the bill after it was received back from the contractor.

Item No 22. Certain deviations occurred when the work was put in hand and as the work was approaching completion these deviations were reported in C. I. Statement.

Item No 27. The vouchers for payment under Rs 5/- are bundled with duplicate bills of the month. If sent out of the office the dates of issue and receipt are entered in the correspondence registers.

Item No 30. Two items of Rs 6.5.10 and of Rs 70.9.2 were not paid for want of Sub Divisional officer's inspection. The work on which Rs 6.5.10 was due is situated at a remote place in Gudiyatham Taluq and the travelling expenses of the Sub Divisional officer would cost more than Rs 22/-.

These two items however have been since settled.

1170

1170

2. I have directed the Executive Engineer's special attention to the Examiners remarks in item 8 of his Summary.

3. The voucher for the payment referred to in item 4 of the Summary was sent by the Executive Engineer to the Examiners on the 22nd February 1888. with slip No 18. The overpayment of halting allowance to clerks (item 34 of the Summary) was made under the impression that the rule as regards halts in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code was applicable only to Engineers and Upper Subordinates and not to Clerks, the necessary recovery will be made in the next bill.

I have the honor to be,

Sir


Your Most Obedt. Servant

Henry T. West

(ol. R. E.)

Superintending Engineer

V Circle



From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V. Circle,

To

THE

Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

No. 2265 C d/16 June 1888

To

C

For favour of
remarks.

W. W.
16.6.88

D. W.

Dated 12th

Recd.

14th June

1888.

ENCLOSURES. 1

To W.

No. 18109578
Encl

Returned with the follow-
ing remarks :-

2. Item 12(a) of summary. It is for Government to say whether, with reference to Code para 1368, such departures from contract terms are desirable. In the first case, it is not clear why the contractor should have been allowed enhanced rates all round because of alteration in one part of the work; it is also a question whether tenders should be invited before the site of a work is fixed. Any estimate made under such conditions cannot be of much

No. 1036.

Notes of Inspection of
North Arcot Division by
the Examiner of Public Works
Accounts.

Forwarded Executive Eng-
ineers explanation on the
items called for in the

To

1170

use. In the second case, no reason is given except that the contractor found the rates too low; but that is one of the ordinary risks of a contract, and contractors do not refund profits realized in excess of their expectations: also the practice seems unfair to competitors, likely to discourage genuine competition, and therefore inimical to economy.

3. Item 17 of summary. The inspecting officer appears to have been mistaken.

4. ^{other} The items call for no remarks.

49

C.

49
47

5

6

W 2265C 17.16.88
S.O. 3085W 16.11.87.

P.W. Cus 6590

No 2176 W of 17 July 1888

(157)
The Harris note will
be communicated to
the S.E. V Circle for information
will report whether anything
further can be added
to notify the
departure from contract
terms in the two cases
referred to in item
12(a).

For information

Wm
12.7.88

J.H.

13/7/88

S.E. V Circle
S.E. V Circle
Examined
18/7

2.12.7-88

1879.7
Wm

1879.7
P. 20/7

with the side.

(1170)

1170

7

7

S.O. No 2176 W of 17.7.88
Munis 2265 of 16.6.88
P.W. No 732 W of 3-2-88
" " 13085 W of 16.11.88

P.W.C No 360/88

B. Comd. to the Supt
Comd. to the Supt
Engr. Circle for the
Engr. Circle for the
information & guidance
of the Executive Engineer
North Arcot Division who
should submit the
necessary explanations
with reference to the several
matters brought to notice
by the Engr. Circle.
2. The attention of the
S.E. is drawn to the
remarks opposite
item 16, and he is
requested to submit
his opinion on the
point raised by the
Examiner in connection
with item 11.
with item 11.

From
The Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras.
To
The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department.

No. 506

Recd. 18.4.89

No. 1170 W of 16.11.88

MCB

26.4.89

Que. 11

29.4.89

Dated 17 April 1889.
Recd.

(7)

S.O. Circle
with papers in original
(to be returned)
The Engr. P. W. A.
The Engr. P. W. A.
29.4.89

Inspection report of the North
Arcot Division dated 25th.
February 1889. Forwards
with summary and remarks.

With Order W