

- (1) பக்குங்கலின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/12/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் lema

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

(15)

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. O. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1096	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	25-4-1889	198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7. Sheets

ஆவண, கா-1-18,008-18-8-87.

lema

1889

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 2798

From The Suptg. Engineer
I circle

ENCLOS.— /

No. 607 v. 3.

Dated 15th March 1889

Received 23 — do —

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the
sanction of Govt. a proposi-
tion statement for tempo-
rary Establishment
required in the Vizagapatam
Division.

DISPOSAL.

No. 15912 Dated 27th March 1889

To B. M. J.
C

For favor of remarks
whether the pay of the
watchman in question
cannot be charged to
"Tools and Plant, Repairs".

2. It is requested
that a copy of the

x
1. Watchman to look after the
Gen. Stores at Vizagapatam for
1st April 1888 to 28th Feb 89
= 11 months @ Rs 4

29/3

27/3-

25-3-89

 ∂_ω

(096)

Proposition Statement for temporary Establishment required in the ^{off} Vagapatham Division

1/39724/25

[illegible]

Vogagapalinn von Office } 1009 }
 28 July }
 Watten }
 20/12

Pauline Buchanan

Lucy Engineers / 1st. Cir. 1861



Enclosure in a letter
to 607 V.Z. 715 Insulting
from the Sup^r Engrs
1st Circle

EX^{2A}

14/2798
3239

1096

Copy of letter from the Examiner, P. W. Nicombs
Madras to the Executive Engineer Vizagapa-
tam Division N^o 32 $\frac{S.E.}{1}$ dated 18th January 1889.

From the accounts of your
Division for November 1888 and previous
months it appears that a store-watcher
on Rs 4-⁰⁰ per mensem was entertained
by you as Work Establishment, apparently
under Code para 935 for "Watching Stores
at Vizagapatam" and the pay of the
watcher charged to an estimate of Rs 48-⁰⁰
Sanctioned in Register N^o $\frac{40}{88/89}$ under Tools
and Plant. Repairs.

2. The watcher in question was pre-
sumably entertained to look after general
Stores at Vizagapatam and not in
Connection with Stores of only one work

3

3

or

or Section of a large work as provided for in Code para 935 rule I, otherwise the pay of the watcher would no doubt have been charged to that work and not to a separate estimate.

3. Under the circumstance the Entertainment of the watcher under Work Establishment under Code para 935 is inadmissible and the sanction of Government should be obtained for the Entertainment of the watcher as temporary Establishment. I request that this may be done without delay.

(True Copy)

G. K. Patten
Superintendent, Correspondence Branch.

D 4/4

1096 1096 No. 607 V. Z.
FROM, Lieut Colonel, Drake Brockman
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.
TO, THE CHIEF ENGINEER
J. W. Dept.
FOR IRRIGATION.

DOWLAISHWARAM, 15 March 1889
Des 576^a to

SIR,

In forwarding herewith a copy of the Examiner's letter No. 32 SE 1st dated 18th January/89 to the address of the Executive Engineer Vizagapatam Division, I have the honor to submit and to recommend for the sanction of Government a proposition statement for temporary Establishment required in the Vizagapatam Division.

for 11 months
from 12 April/88
to 30th February/89
at Rs 4 per month.

2. The Executive Engineer reports that the employment of the watcher is necessary as there is no one else available to look after the stores, and as a certain quantity of teak wood for use on buildings in port stations has been received and stored at Vizagapatam

and that it would not be safe to leave
it without a responsible person in
charge

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedt Servant

Fredrick. Knickerbocker


Superintending Engineer

at

1st Circle.

CO 96 CO 96

55



FROM, *P.W.D. 3234*
Lunt Hollis & Co. 27/3/89
 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

FOR IRRIGATION.


DATED
 DESPATCHED
 RECEIVED

15
16 } *March* 1889
23

No. 607 V.7

ENCLOSURES. *See*

SUBJECT.



Temporary Establishment
required in the Vizagapatam
Division.

Submits for the sanction
of Government a proposition
statement for

Lo

No. 1591 C of 27 March 1889

To
 C.

For favor of remarks
whether the pay of the watch-
man in question cannot
be charged to Tools and
Plant, Repairs."

2. *It is requested that*
a copy of the letter No. 32 S.E.I
dated 18 January 1889 referred
to by the Supg. Engineer
may be furnished.

WMB
25.3.89
D.W.

No 433 of 4 April 1889
 To W.
enc. 2

The establishment in ques-
 tion has apparently nothing to do
 with tools and plant, being required,
 according to the Supg. Engineer's
 letter for looking after stock; but
 whether it is required in connection
 with tools and plant, or with stock,
 I do not think it can be sanctioned
 by the Supg. Engineer as the effect

would be to withdraw the regular
 divisional establishment from the
 control of Government, a control which
 seems to be contemplated by the Code.

2. With reference to para 2 of
 your note, I beg to enclose a copy of
 my letter No. 32 S.E.I dated 18th.
 January 1889 to the Executive Engi-
 neer Vizagapatam Division.

1096
11/4
c.
SP 4/4
Euc.

6

minus no 15910 727-3. 89

PWC N: 3234

R. 6.4.89

E. 2

8-4-89

N: 1096 W 2/25th April 1889

0/11/89 R. 18/4/89

H. 1096 W

N: 1096 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1096

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 25th April 1889

READ the following

From the Suptg Engr I circle H. E. No: 607 U. Z. d/15th March 1889.

Office note from the Examiner P. W. Accts. H. E. No: 433 d/4th April 1889

Has been included in the general prop: statement of tempy est: for 1889/90.

Sanction is accorded to the ~~employment~~ ^{employment}, from 1st April 1888 to 28th February 1889

of a watchman on Rs 4 per mensem to look after the general stores in the Vizagapatam Division.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No: 5 W of 1889-90, and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

H. 1096 W

11.4.89

13.4.89

To The Suptg Engr I circle.
" Examiner P. W. Accts.

Handwritten signature

May

7

29/4-

2. Aug. 37/16. H. in B. 16. in B. 16.

Geo
to