

No. 3423 W. 15 ²/₈₇

FROM,

G. T. Naleh Esq.
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 10th
DESPATCHED 16th } March 1888
RECEIVED 21 }

No. 2743 G. O.

ENCLOSURES. 4

B. No. 966 W. d/24 March 1888

SUBJECT.

Irregular occupation of
land by Godavari Eastern
and Central Division
officers -

Submits a report on -

Communicated to the
Revenue Dept with reference
to para 3 of the orders in
that Department No. 1070
of 14th Novr. 1887.

The Supty Engineer will
take measures to prevent
the recurrence of such
irregularities -

W. 3. 88

21/3/88

Supty Engr I to write
Rev Dept. sent
Cir 21.3.88. No 23.3.88

With Order

the truck, death!
The Financial Dept.

14TH NOVEMBER 1887, No. 1070, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated
21st September 1887, No. 577:—

H. S. THOMAS, Esq., F.L.S., F.Z.S.

Read—the following letter from W. A. HAPPELL, Esq., Collector of Godáviri, to
the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Cocanada,
12th August 1887, No. 68:—

I have the honor to submit herewith the usual compensation statements I and II
for the half-year ending with 30th June last.

2. The abstracts given below show the particulars of the statements:—

Statement No. I.

	ACS.	C.
Extent of land remaining to be transferred at the end of the last half-year	344	2
Land applied for in this half-year	425	88·95
	Total ...	769 90·95
Land transferred during the half-year	270	15·7
		42 sq. yds.
Extent remaining to be transferred at the end of the half- year	499	75·25
		42 sq. yds.

3. Of the extent remaining to be transferred, bills and statements have been
sent during the half-year for about 32 acres and subsequently for about 25 acres,
and for about 24 acres land applications were received only in the last month of the
half-year. The increase in the balance is due to the larger extent of land applied for
in the half-year under report.

4. The following is an abstract of statement No. II:—

	CASES.	ACS.	C.
Pending at the end of the last half-year	871	341	9·3
Claims for lands taken up during the half-year	509	270	15·7
			42 yds.
	Total ...	1,380	611 25
			954½ yds.
Claims disposed of during the half-year	614	223	5·3
			42 yds.
Balance for disposal	766	388	19·7
			912½ yds.

The quick death!
The Imperial Dept.
for the order

Particulars of the balance—

	CASES.	ACS.	C.
Information due from taluk and compensation statements subsequently received	274	215	37·7
Parties are not yet present to receive compensation. Held in deposit pending Civil Court's decrees ...	307	99	67
Bills and statements have been sent and compensation not yet sanctioned	6	1	53
	149	55	43
		912 $\frac{5}{8}$ yds.	
Compensation subsequently sanctioned	30	16	19
Total ...	766	388	19·7
		912 $\frac{5}{8}$ yds.	

5. In almost all the cases in which compensation has been sanctioned but the parties have not appeared, notices have been sent for publication in the District Gazette, and the cases will be struck off immediately after the prescribed period has elapsed.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 AND 2.—Compensation statements.

Resolution—dated 21st September 1887, No. 577.

Submitted for the information of Government with the following remarks.

2. *Statement No. I.*—There are several old cases of 1885 and 1886 in the taluks of Rámachandrapuram, Narsápur, Amalápuram, Rajahmundry, Yernagudem and Tuni. These should receive the early attention of the Collector. In cases 2 to 6 in the taluk of Cocanada, lands are stated to have been occupied by the Executive Engineer, Eastern Division, before application.

In column 9 the total should be Acres 2·20 and not 3·89 against Rámachandrapuram taluk, and Acres 1·55 and not 1·83 against Narsápur. In the latter taluk the extent transferred during the half-year and that remaining to be transferred are given as 1 cent and 40 acres against items 11 and 12; but the correct figures appear to be 1 acre and 40 cents.

3. *Statement No. II.*—As in statement No. I, there are several old cases of 1883, 1884, 1885 and 1886 in almost all the taluks. These should be disposed of at an early date. In the taluk of Rámachandrapuram there is one case of 1877-78, No. 91. The explanation given in the remark column, viz., “that the parties were asked to state what compensation they required,” is not satisfactory. Full explanation should be given for the delay.

The totals in columns 13 and 14 against the taluks of Rámachandrapuram and Amalápuram are given as Nos. 93 and 140 and Acres 36·89 and 37·49, respectively. The correct figures according to details are Nos. 76 and 148 and Acres 25·61 and 37·76. In case No. 20 against Bhímavaram the totals in columns 8 and 10 are given as 2·87 instead of 2·17 acres. Against Peddápuram the total in column 13 is entered as 32 instead of 35.

4. In future, the Collector is requested to see that the totals are entered at foot of each page and carried forward on to the next page.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with two compensation statements.

Copy to the Collector of Godáviri.

ORDER—dated 14th November 1887, No. 1070, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

Statement No. I.—In the following cases, the entries in column 4 should have been made in column 3, inasmuch as the applications for land are stated to have been made prior to the commencement of the half-year under report:—

Amalápuram taluk	Cases Nos. 65 to 131, 147 and 148.
Bhímavaram taluk	Cases Nos. 11 to 14.
Rajahmundry taluk	Case No. 3.
Peddápuram taluk	Case No. 5.
Yernagudem taluk	Cases Nos. 4 and 11 to 13.

2. The explanation furnished as regards the delay in the transfer of land is in several cases inadequate and unsatisfactory. For instance, the case appertaining to the Tuni division is one of 1885, and the remark entered opposite to it in the column “cause of delay” is that compensation statements are being prepared in the taluk. It is not stated why there has been such delay in doing this. Nos. 3 and 6, Narsápur taluk, are also cases of 1885, and the delay in the disposal of them is alleged to be due to the whereabouts of the inamdars not being known. The same explanation is given in case No. 11 also. This, however, was no reason for allowing the cases to remain so long undisposed of. Steps should have been taken to acquire the lands under the Act, if the whereabouts of the parties could not be ascertained.

3. In case No. 142, Amalápuram taluk, the Executive Engineer, Central Division, is stated to have occupied the land before the settlement of compensation, and in cases Nos. 2 to 6, Cocanada taluk, the Executive Engineer, Eastern Division, is reported to have done the same even before application. The attention of the Public Works Department will be drawn to this irregular procedure. The lands having been already occupied, there was no need to show these cases in statement No. I.

4. *Statement No. II.*—In the cases specified below, the entries in columns 5 and 6 are inconsistent with those made in column 2. While the former show that the lands were taken up during the half-year, the latter indicate that they were acquired prior to it.

Rámachandrapuram taluk	Case No. 91.
Rajahmundry taluk	Cases Nos. 8 to 15.
Yernagudem taluk	Cases Nos. 9 and 10.
Cocanada taluk	Cases Nos. 15 to 18.

5. The delay in the settlement of compensation has not been satisfactorily explained in several cases. In cases Nos. 2, 3 and 11, Rámachandrapuram taluk, which appertain to the years 1884 and 1885, the delay is attributed to the fact that the inamdars have not yet been able to select lands in exchange. A similar remark is made against several other cases. The Collector's attention should be drawn to paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 27th September 1882, No. 1032, which lays down the procedure to be adopted in such cases. Cases Nos. 6 and 8 of the taluk just referred to have been pending for two years, and the remark entered against them is that information is due from the taluk. The inadequacy of such an explanation is obvious. In the case alluded to in paragraph 3 of the Board's Proceedings read above, which relates to the year 1887-88, the explanation furnished is simply absurd. Case No. 13, Peddápuram taluk, is one of 1883-84, and the delay in its settlement is ascribed to the fact that it is under reference to the Zemindar of Jaggammamet. It should have been stated when the reference was made and why it is still pending.

6. It was pointed out in paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings,* dated 24th March 1887, No. 594, that column 2 of statement No. II should show the month as well as the year in which the land was taken up. This instruction has not been carried out in certain cases in the present return.

7. From the foregoing remarks as well as those made by the Board it is evident that due care is not exercised in the preparation of compensation statements. Some

responsible officer, such as the Collector's Sheristadar, should be made to supervise the work and held accountable for the accuracy of the returns. The Board will issue instructions accordingly.

8. In the last line but two of paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, the words "extent transferred" would appear to be a clerical error for "extent applied for."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue,
with two statements returned.
„ the Public Works Department, with extracts of Nos. 142
(Amalápuram taluk), and 2 to 6 (Cocanada taluk) in statement No. I.

Exd. W. H. French.

70 Copies.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

REVENUE.

Communicated to the
Suptg. Engr. I Circle for report,
as regards the cases of unauthorised
occupation referred to in para 3.

Sd/- J. O.H.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 14th November 1887, No. 1070.

NOVEMBER.

Land Compensation Statements.

Passing orders on the — of the Godavari
district for the half-year ending 30th June 1887.

To The Suptg. Engr. I Circle
with statement.

With Order

(True copy)

No 121

3/1952 QN/88
Godavari Eastern Division Office

12th May 1887

Forwarded to the Wetla
with petition in original for favor of the Sub Division

Signed Officer.

Godavari E. Div Office

Dowlaiswaram

25th April 1887

Respd 29th do

No 117

To Division Officer

Sir

I beg to inform you that I was
"that all this land belonged to the Raja
the Raja, from whom I took charge), who
to give up the land & have the work done
offered to advance the money for the
allotments had been made. And the
were taken up & the work pushed on. Be-
ments were submitted as soon as

if all the land statements have
so when the reason given by the
for irregular occupation is
stood. This department has no
ter on Zamindari land than land
fact less so.

Signed J. E. Paul
Executive Engineer

Division Officer

that all the Land Statements
I have submitted with this Office No 127

Initialed

(Initialed) J. D. L.

21.5.87

Respd 24th do

(True copy)

(True copy)

Encl.

Executive Engineer
Eastern Division
Godavari

No 258

Godavari Eastern Division Office
Dowlaisheeram 26th May 1887

To

The Wetta Sub Division

Officer

With reference to his No 138 dated 21st
May 1887, has the honor to state that
what is required is a clear explanation
as to why the land was irregularly occupied.

W. E. Paul

Executive Engineer

(True Copy)

Executive Engineer
Eastern Division
Godavari.

W. E. Paul

No 209

Wetta Sub Division Office
Camp Mamur 12th June 87

To

The Executive Engineer

Eastern Division

Sir,

With reference to your No 258 of the
26th ultimo regarding the lands occu-
pied for the Chollangi & Vemavaram
branch channels from No 5 sluice
in East Bank of Kovur Manjair
Junction canal, I beg to inform
you that the Works were pushed
on with, only for the sake of speed-
ing the grants in good time. Even
the Division Officer was urging them
on. It was not at all anticipated
that there would be any question
as to the occupation; because the
Rajah himself had written some
letters desiring the early execution
of the Works and offering to pay
for them too, as he was the holder
of the lands. Up to very recently I
thought

E. 3
PW 2737
/ 87

W. E. Paul

thought he held every cent of those
lands, but now it turns out that
a few small areas belong to other
parties

2 In Conclusion, I beg to state that
the land statements have been sub-
mitted and that such irregularities
will not be permitted to occur again.

I beg to remain

Sir

Your devoted servant

J. A. S. Dixon Legge

Sub Engineer

Distt Sub Eng

(True Copy)

C. S. Walsh

Supr Engineer / 1st Circle

100

No. 2743 G.D.

FROM,

G. J. Walsh Esquire
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWARAM, 10th March
1888

188 8

SIR,

With reference to G. O. No. 34234
dated the 15th December/87, calling
for report regarding the irregular
occupation of land by officers of
this Department, I have the honor
to state that the Godavari Central
Division Officer reports that the
land (32 cents) in Pativela village
in the Anulapore Taluk was
occupied according to a private
negotiation made by the Division
Officer with the owner of the land
without the intervention of the Re-
venue

to Revenue authorities I have drawn
to the particular attention of the Division
a Officer to paras 1272 & 1274 to 1276 of the
P. D. Code and requested him to see
2 that a similar irregularity does not
th occur again for the future.

m2 As regards the irregular occupa-
tion of land in locanada Taluq it
appears from the Executive Engineer
Godavari Eastern Division's report
that Mr. J. D. Legge Sub Engineer
who was in charge of Detta Sub Dy
is entirely to blame, when the land
was being occupied without the
Consent of the owners and without
any application to the Revenue
authorities the Treasury Deputy Collec-
tor Godavari in his letter no 44 + copy en-
closed.
dated the 13th April 1887 brought to the
notice of the Executive Engineer Godavari
Eastern Division this irregular proceed-
ings and then the Division Officer
called upon Mr. Legge for ex-
planation

explanation which was furnished
by him in his letter No 209 dated
12th June 1887 - copy enclosed; this ex-
planation is far from satisfactory.
Copies of correspondence that took
place between the Division Officer
and Mr. Legge on the subject are
herewith enclosed.

3 Mr. Legge is now on 3 months
privilege leave ^{but on return} and with he warned
against again acting in so irregular
a way.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

C. R. Waleh

Supr Engineer 1st Div

ms

G. R. Waleh