8340

Dr.

The journals of Inspection Harris & Sergt. Turner do not show that they did any proper Duty while they were in the Colonies.

If they are to be treated as on leave for the time, there will be no difficulty in Mr. Harris' case, for he was entitled to furl. leave.

Sergeant Turner will however be subject to serious retrenchment if he be put on leave.

For orders Shelton G. of S. Nicolle be addressed.

Cr
22.8.44

Considering the facts of the case I think the Govt of Bo should be addressed in the time Speech on the by both men, leaving on as on duty. WCR
22.8.

87.

Dr.

7693

Dear

Please see the Barrack
office note re 2004 of
3.8.88-

In the case of Mrs
Harris, the matter will
be simple, for he was
entitled to privilege
leave for the period
during which he was
ordered to the Plains.

In Sergeant Turner's
case however, the
retrenchment would
be serious, as he
would not only lose
pay for the period
he was absent in the
Plains, but the
privilege subsequently
granted to him from
January to February
1888 would have
to be converted
into leave on half

pay, or no pay.

It might be well perhaps to call for the Journals of the two Subordinates for the period they were in the plains - for they show that the men were put on light work of any kind while they were in the plains, the Govt might rule, notwithstanding the Ci dictum, that the Subordinates were on duty.

Please see your note on the slip attached to the office copy of No 2564 C of 6.7.88

For orders.

Ch

10.8.88

If Mr Harris keeps on p.c. for the period, even he may be subject to some retrenchment on account of horse allowance

Dr E. Cap[illegible] has 100 of 2 wr Army and Navy Gaz. Also 100 of the Journals may be called for

CMG 10.8.88

6321

Dft.

For orders whether Mr Harris & Script. Turner shall be treated as if on leave for the periods in question, or whether G. of I. shall be addressed with respect to granting them full pay for the time.

It seems a pity that the two Subordinates were not put on duty of some kind when they were ordered to the plains, for it will apparently be difficult now to obtain Govt's sanction to allow them full pay.

The men must be treated as if they had taken leave, but the retirchment should be arranged so as to inflict as little hardship as possible. Ch

No. 3073 C.

Government of Madras,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepâk, 11th August 1888.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your Office note No 2004 dated 3-8-88.

Please forward, for reference, if available, the Journals of Supervisor R. Harris for the period from 14.8.87 to 21.10.87, & of Serjeant J. Turner for the period from 25.7.87 to 15.10.87.

11.8.88

11.8.88

EXAMINER
No. 1467
14 AUG 1888
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS

P.W.C. No 8340

(4)

A. 2117 of 20.8.88
Encl: 4

To W.

Herewith the journal of
Mr Harris for the month of October
1887, and of Sergeant Turner for

July, August and October 1887. No
journals have been received for
Mr Harris for August and September
1887 or for Sergeant Turner for
September 1887.

R/21-8-88

Encls. 4.

C.

No 3073C. 11.8.88

a. 27-8-88 Rd. 15.8

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

~~UNDER date the~~

READ the following

From the Supty Engineer I circle A.E. No. 125 D.y. d/4 th June 1888.

Office note from the Examiner W. Accts A.E. No. 1779 dated 28th June 1888.

Office note from the Examiner W. Accts A.E. No. 2004 d/3 rd August 1888

Office note from the Examiner W. Accts A.E. No. 2117 d/ 20. August 188

Ordered that the Govern=
=ment of India be addressed.

(A. E. No. 2730 W d/ 3-9-88

To

The Secretary to the Govt of India
PWD

Sir, I am directed to sub=
=mit the following case for
the favourable consideration
of the Government of India.

Supty Engr I circle
Examiner W. Accts.

PRH
30 Aug

1. Mr. R. Harris, Supervisor 2nd. grade, and Sergeant T. Turner, Overseer 1st. grade, employed on the Potinghi - Koraput road, were on account of ill. health permitted by their Executive Engineer to leave the Koraput Sub-division and to reside in the plains for periods from 14th August to 21st October 1887, and from 25th July to 15th October 1887, respectively. During these periods the subordinates are reported to have done no work, & the Examiner Public Works Accounts is of opinion that they cannot, without the sanction of the Govern-ment of India, be treated as otherwise than on leave.

3. On the 14th August 1887, Mr. Harris had three months privilege leave at his credit and there is therefore no difficulty in his case, but Sergeant Turner on the 25th July 1887 had only one month and three days privilege leave to his credit, and the matter has been further complicated by his having been granted privilege leave from 4th January to 17th February 1888. If therefore the first period from 25th July to 15th October 1887, be converted into sick leave, the subsequent period from 4th January to 17th February 1888 will also have to be converted

into sick leave or leave without allowances, and the overseer will be subject to serious retrenchment.

4. The action of the Executive Engineer in sending the men to the plains on full pay was no doubt ultra vires, but in consideration of the peculiarly very unhealthy climate in which the road work (the health of several subordinates has broken down there, one after another) is situated, this Govern= =ment hope that the Govern= =ment of India will not object to the subordinates being treated as on duty for the periods they were sent down to recover their health. The journals submitted by the subordinates for portions of the periods in question are forwarded for inspection.

5. The case of Mr. Harris is submitted also, as it is considered that the same rule should apply to both men.

WCR
27.8.88

Jou
29/8/88

Desbd with
4 journals
4/9/88

Cu

(4)

E 88 2/4

R.O. 2729/2730 d/ 3 Sept 1888

H-C

The file to be returned
forwarded not only after use
etc

Returned 7/9/88

Old 15b: 8
New 29/8
30/8

5-6-9-