

No. 801 wa/10 March 88

B

Communicated to
the Supy Engr to Cincle
in continuation of
No. 116 w of 12 January
1888.

2. The Supy Engr will
report his opinion on
the subject of para 2
of the order in the
Judicial Dept.

W.M.
7.3.88

W.M.
8/3/88

14/3

S. E. to Cincle
with plan
S. E. to Cincle
with plan
14/3
14/3

To

The M. Dept

E. 7

(Plan)

14.7.3.88

Proceedings, dated 6-3-1888
No. 576

Subject.

No. 801 wa/10 March 88

Provision of Portico
re in Calicut
Law Court



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers

From the Registrar of the High Court, Madras, Appellate side, dated 13th February 1888, No. 402.

With reference to G.O. dated 5th January 1888, No. 4 (Judicial), I am directed to forward Copy of letter No. 106, dated 30th idem, from the Acting District Judge of South Malabar together with the plan which accompanied it, and to state that the High Court are of opinion that the entrance used by the Subordinate Judge as well as that used by the District Judge should be provided with a portico. They are also of opinion that the building intended for Vakils' chambers should be completed as originally designed. There will be a fair return for the outlay. There appears no reason why the Vakils who occupy chambers in the upper story should not pay Rs 2 per mensem.

From the Adj. District Judge, South Malabar, Calicut, dated 30th January 1888, No. 106, to the Honble Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, through the Registrar of the High Court, Madras.

In reply to G.O. No. 4 dated the 5th January 1888, I have the honor to report as follows:—

2. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department suggests in clause 1 of his note recorded in the order under reference, that one portico at the entrance to the District Court would suffice. There are however two entrances to the building in the front, one of which is used by the District Judge, and one by the Sub Judge. I think that both should be provided with porticos.

3. With respect to the suggestion in clause 9, I have the honor as directed by Government, to report that the Executive Engineer informs me that the actual amount spent up to date for converting the garbling shed into Vakhils' chambers is Rs 7310-5- and that the amount still remaining to be spent to complete the building according to the revised estimate is Rs 2378.

4. There are 16 rooms in the lower part of the building set apart for chambers for Vakhils at a rent of Rs 2 per mensem. From July 1886 to December 1887 inclusive, the amount collected as rent is Rs 410. The Vakhils were required to vacate the rooms during the last recess to enable the Public Works Department to finish the partitions between the several rooms. During 1886 rent was paid at a reduced rate of only Rupee 1 per mensem as partitions had not been put up & the rooms were not in good order. For the future a regular rent of Rs 32 may be expected from the rooms in the lower part of the building. One room in the lower part of the building is intended to be used for the custody of property connected with Sessions cases

and one for undertrial prisoners. Upstairs it is believed that there will be room when the building is completed for about 20 Vakhils' chambers, for which it is proposed to charge Rs 1 per mensem as rent. The total rent will therefore be Rs 52 a month or Rs 624 a year. According to the figures received from the Executive Engineer the total cost of putting the building in order will be Rs 9688 on which the rent paid by the Vakhils will give interest at above 6 percent. As ordered by Government, I enclose plans showing the dimensions of the separate building containing the record room and of the old garbling shed.

5. I agree with the Chief Engineer in thinking (clause 10 of his note) that it is not necessary to build a new wall at the left of the gate, & that the gate may be placed as suggested by him.

Order: 6th March 1888 No 576.

The second portico should be provided as it appears that there are separate entrances to the District & Sub Judges' Courts.

2. The question whether, in view of the return promised, the whole of the old garbling shed should not be renewed will be considered in the Public Works Department. Meanwhile the District Judge will report on the proposal to charge

2 Rs for each of the upper chambers also, and as to how many of the rooms may be regarded as certain to be taken up.

3. As regards the entrance to the Court compound it is observed that the Chief Engineer's suggestion has been accepted.

/ True Extract /

Ry Cardew.

A^g Under Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department (with plan) ✓
-u- Hon. the Judges of the High Court

2
28.0

116 W. 12. 1. 88
46206. 13. 12. 87
310 W. 26. 1. 88
3131 W. 19. 11. 87
2049 W. 16. 8. 86.
1533 W. 20. 6. 86
1833 W. 8. 7. 87
1806 W. 6. 7. 87
483 W. 21. 2. 86
3211 W. 16. 12. 87