in the required detail, as shown in G. O. No: 2135 W dated 11th August 1887 should be expedited.

H. E. No: 675 W d/ 27th Feby/88

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt. of India.

23. 2. 88

23/2/88

Urgent

No. 674/675 W d/ 27th Feby/88

B

H 27/2

To

The [illegible]man, H. T. Board ✓ D 27/2
" Examiner of P. W. Accts ✓
" Marine Department. ✓
" Financial Dept ✓

D 28/2

R. S.

H.M. 23.11.88

R. 24.11.88

S.W.P. 24/11

No. 585 S.B. 18/2/88

No. 164 W d/ 20. 1. 85.
" 2026 W d/ 1. 9. 85.
" 1218 W d/ 13. 5. 86
" 1612 W d/ 24. 6. 86.
" 1761 C d/ 23. 5. 87
" 446 C d/ 2. 2. 88
" 109 C d/ 13. 1. 87
" 470 C d/ 9. 2. 87

H.W. No. 660 W d/ 24th Feby/88

P.H.T. 23 Feb

The Supdg Engineer will arrange for the preparation of an estimate for ~~of the cost~~ of the ~~necessary~~ protective works ~~being framed~~ which may be found really necessary

22. 2. 88

22/2/88

D 24/2

SE IV Circle ✓ H.M. 22.11.88

R. 24.11.88

S.W.P. 27/11

~~Current No 154~~

No. 537C d/ 7th Feby 1888

PPH 7 Feb

Referred to the Political Department for information whether the protection of the coast at Tangacheri is a work devolving on the British Government.

[illegible] Col R.E.,
Secy: to Govt, P.W.D.

Mem
6.2.88

~~D/ 21st Feby /88 No 27 R.~~

Returned to the P.W. department with remark that the sea-wall is within British territory, & that any outlay considered necessary will have to be provided for by the Madras Govt.

Madras
21 February /88.

H. K. Stokes
Chief Secy

A.S.P.
17/2

A.W.O. 6.2.88

R 7.11.88

Order with them

P.W.C. No ~~1046~~ 1718

From
The Offg: Sup.g: Engineer,
Fourth circle.

P. W. Dept.
To be returned with reply.

Dated 29th Jany:
Despd: 2nd Feby: } 1888.

~~R/ 3.2.88~~
R/ 22.2.88

No. 37
Ew.
Enclo: ~~3~~ ~~2~~ 3

Encroachment by the Sea on the mound on which the Flag staff at Tangacherry is standing:—

Forwarding for information certain correspondence in regard to the encroachment; and requesting to know whether this Department should adopt measures to stop the encroachment, or leave the matter to the Travancore Government.

No. 1180

Commercial Office
Alleppy 2nd August 1887.

To
The British Resident in
Travancore and Cochin
Trevandrum.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring the following matter to your notice.

In the Chart which I forward under separate cover you will note that the shore due west of the Tangacheri Flagstaff is not protected by laterite rock to the same extent as North and South and a sea wall had consequently to be built. This wall is now destroyed and a land slip has occurred bringing the brow of the artificial mound in which the flagstaff is erected within 58 feet of the mast. It is not probable that any further damage will be done until the next South west Monsoon and even if nothing is done in the way of protective works, the sea will probably take 5 or 6 years to destroy the artificial mound, but

as

No. 537

as the expenditure necessary to protect the place would now be small, while if left for a few years will be a serious item, and as once the artificial Mound is destroyed the Sea would make a clean sweep through the Canal and low lands lying immediately on the North side of the Road marked in red, I would suggest that the British Government be advised to execute the repairs necessary before April next. It is almost needless to point out to you that once the Sea swept through the Canal, the whole of the land south of the red line would be lost and it is probable that the breach from Tangacheri to the South would be considerably encroached upon by the Sea.

I have &ca
Sd/ J. Rohde
Commercial Agent.

No. 5503.

Chief Engineer's Office
Trevandram 11th August/87.

To
The Ag. British Resident in
Trevancore and Cochin.

Sir,

In reply to your No. 895 of the 9th Instant, I have the honor to state that I have inspected the locality alluded to by the Commercial Agent and am of opinion that there is no immediate Cause of alarm.

2. The wall alluded to by the Commercial Agent was not I think built for the protection from the Sea, but as a defensive work against enemies by land or Sea. This seems to be proved by the fact that this wall appears in one place to have been built in the laterite rocks and to a considerable distance from the wash of the Sea.

3. There is gradual encroachment of the Sea where all these promontories such as Tangacheri exist in Travancore whether of laterite rock or clay where a sandy beach exists, there is no general encroachment, but the Sea line varies to some extent from year to year according to the strength of the S. West Monsoon. Even if the Sea washed down the Cliff at Tangacheri as feared by the Commercial Agent, I am of opinion, merely a sand beach would take its place and that no further serious

Serious encroachment would occur.

4. To protect the Cliff as suggested by Mr Rohde, would cost a good deal of money, not less I think, than between 5 and 10,000 Rupees.

No. 5.

5. Perhaps it will be better to carefully keep this encroachment under observation and if any thing serious is immediately anticipated to then take measures to impose a barrier in the shape of a wall or concrete blocks and this I will do if it meet with approval.

6. The Chart is returned.

I have &c
Signed/ A. H. Jacob
Chief Engineer.

(True Copies)
Signed/ H. N. D. Predegast Gl
Ag. Resident.

(True Copies)
Signed/ C. Kunhi Kannan
For Collector

(True Copies)
Signed/ J. F. Wilson
Ex: Engr M. C. Dt

No 496.

Commercial Office.
Alleppey 12th December 1887.

To
J. C. Hannyngton Esqr
British Resident of Travancore
& Cochin, Trevandrum.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the Sea-wall in front of the Tangacherry Flag staff has been undermined by the action of the Sea and has given way. If repaired now, the cost would be comparatively small, but if it is neglected the Sea will gradually wash away the rampart on which the flagstaff is erected and once it reaches the Canal, will make a clean sweep round to the Master Attendants Old Office and do considerable damage probably washing away half of Tangacherry.

I brought this matter verbally to the notice of Sir Harry Prendergast, but am not aware whether he ordered any steps to be taken or left you any record of this information

information.

I have &ca

Signed/ J. Rohde,
Commercial Agent

(True Copy)

Signed/ J. C. Hannyngton
President

(True Copy)

Signed/ C. Kunhi Kannan
For Collector

(True Copies)

Signed/ J. J. Wilson
Executive Engineer
West Coast Divisn.

(True Copies)

E. W. Martin
Offg. Supg. Engineer
IV Circle.

E.+ 2

P.W. 1046/
1718/88

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 1046

From

The Supdg: Engineer
IV circle

Enclos.— 2

No. 37/S.W.

Dated 29th Jany 1888

Received 3 Feby 1888

SUBJECT.

Forwg: for information certain correspondence in regard to the encroachment by the sea on the mound on which the Flag staff at Tangacherry is standing & requesting to know whether P.W.D. should adopt measures to stop the encroachment, or leave the matter to the Travancore Govt.

DISPOSAL.

No. 537C Dated 7th Feby 1888

Referred to the Political Department for information whether the protection of the Coast at Tangacheri is a work devolving

---

Supg. Engineer's Office 4th Circle,
p Meppadi 29th Jany. 1888.

Martin Esqr.
tending Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

hief Engineer
P. W. Department.

e the honor to bring to your
llector of Malabar forwarded,
the Executive Engineer
n the accompanying Copies
between the British
core and Cochin and the
nt Travancore regarding
the sea on the mound on
staff at Tangacherry is
not know whether this
ld adopt measures to
hment or leave the matter
Government to settle.
ngacherry is not one of
the

the British Government.

(sd) J. O. Hasted Col. R.E.
Secy. to Govt P.W.D.

(Id) P.P.H.
7 Feb.

(Id) W.L.C.B.
6-2-88

Despd 7-2-88

No. 470C d/ 9-2-87
" 109C " 13-1-87
" 446C " 2-2-88
" 1761C " 23-5-87 ~~with Cho~~ 1535/88
" 1612W " 24-6-86
" 1218W " 13-5-86
" 2026W " 1-9-85
" 164W " 20-1-85

E.F²

P.W. ~~1046~~ 1718/88

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE 4TH CIRCLE,
Camp Meppadi 29th Jany. 1888.

No: 37/E.W.

From,
J. W. Martin Esq
Offg. Superintending Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Enclosures 3
Copies of letters No. 1180 & 496 d/ 2d. augt & 12th December/87 from the Commercial Agent Alleppy to the British Resident Travancore & Cochin
Do. do No 5503 d/ 11/8/87 from Chief Engineer to the B. Resident - forwarded by the Collector of Malabar to the Ex: Engr. W Coast Dn.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the Collector of Malabar forwarded, for information, to the Executive Engineer, West Coast Division the accompanying copies of correspondence between the British Resident Travancore and Cochin and the Commercial Agent ~~Travancore~~ regarding encroachment by the sea on the mound on which the Flag Staff at Tangacherry is standing. I do not know whether this department should adopt measures to stop the encroachment or leave the matter to the Travancore Government to settle. I notice that Tangacherry is not one of the in the ports entered in the programme of your tour for inspecting the ports of Madras Presidency forwarded with your Memorandum No. 109 C dated 13th January 1887, so I presume the Travancore Government should protect the Flag Staff-

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servt.,

J W Martin

Offg. Supg. Engineer

IV Circle.

E

PW 1718/87

7TH FEBRUARY 1887, No. 249, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Read—the following G.O., dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial:—

Read—the following letter from H. G. TURNER, Esq., District Magistrate, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizianagrum, 17th August 1886, No. 1416:—

In their order, No. 1621, Judicial, dated 19th June 1886, Government have directed that copies of judgments of acquittal in abkári cases should be forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner of the division by the magistracy, and that the cost of preparing them should be debited to the Abkári Department.

2. Under G.O., dated 28th July 1885, No. 1951, Judicial Department, copies of judgments of acquittal in salt cases have also to be furnished by the magistracy to the Assistant Commissioner of the division; but there is nothing stated in the Government Order as to the payment of copying fees in such cases.

3. Presumably, the cost of preparing copies of judgments in salt cases should be debited to the Salt Department, calculated at the rates prescribed in the Revenue Board's Standing Order, No. 226.

4. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I am right in the above presumption, and if so, whether the cost of preparing copies in salt as well as abkári cases should be recovered from the Salt and Abkári Department, or whether it should be merely charged to that department and included in the magistrates' monthly contingent bills.

5. The former course seems to me preferable, as, although it entails additional work on the magistracy in sending requisition for fees every time copies are sent to the Assistant Commissioner of the division, it keeps the Salt and Abkári Department informed of the amount debitable to that head, with a view to provide therefor in their budget.

Order—dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial.

With reference to the first of his inquiries, the District Magistrate is referred to G.O., 27th August 1886, No. 2296.

2. The further questions regarding the manner of recovering the cost of copies of judgments, &c., in salt and abkári cases will be referred, in the Revenue Department, for the remarks of the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

To the District Magistrate, Vizagapatam.
„ Revenue Department.

Mis. No. 6222.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their own and the Commissioner's remarks.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
18*th October* 1886.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

Reference on the above, dated 2nd November 1886.

Referred to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue for remarks.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue,
with file (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 6th November 1886, No. 14300 :—

In reply to the Board's reference, dated 2nd instant, on their Government Register No. 4272 of 1886, referring for remarks the endorsement of the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 18th October 1886, Mis. No. 6222, I have the honor to state that the cost of copies of judgments in both salt and abkári cases may, in the first instance, be incurred by magistrates and the amounts communicated to this department for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Resolution—dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement, Mis. No. 6222, dated 18th October 1886. The Board agree with the Commissioner.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with District Magistrate's letter and Government Order returned.
Copy to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

No. 571.

Endorsement on the above.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, with reference to order in that department, dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521.

27th January 1887.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Acting Secretary to Govt.

ORDER—dated 7th February 1887, No. 249, Judicial.

Communicated to all Magistrates of districts, for information and guidance, in continuation of G.Os., 19th June and 27th August 1886, Nos. 1621 and 2296.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all District Magistrates.
„ the Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Read—the following G.O., dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial :—

Read—the following letter from H. G. TURNER, Esq., District Magistrate, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizianagrum, 17th August 1886, No. 1416 :—

In their order, No. 1621, Judicial, dated 19th June 1886, Government have directed that copies of judgments of acquittal in abkári cases should be forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner of the division by the magistracy, and that the cost of preparing them should be debited to the Abkári Department.

2. Under G.O., dated 28th July 1885, No. 1951, Judicial Department, copies of judgments of acquittal in salt cases have also to be furnished by the magistracy to the Assistant Commissioner of the division ; but there is nothing stated in the Government Order as to the payment of copying fees in such cases.

3. Presumably, the cost of preparing copies of judgments in salt cases should be debited to the Salt Department, calculated at the rates prescribed in the Revenue Board's Standing Order, No. 226.

4. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I am right in the above presumption, and if so, whether the cost of preparing copies in salt as well as abkári cases should be recovered from the Salt and Abkári Department, or whether it should be merely charged to that department and included in the magistrates' monthly contingent bills.

5. The former course seems to me preferable, as, although it entails additional work on the magistracy in sending requisition for fees every time copies are sent to the Assistant Commissioner of the division, it keeps the Salt and Abkári Department informed of the amount debitable to that head, with a view to provide therefor in their budget.

Order—dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial.

With reference to the first of his inquiries, the District Magistrate is referred to G.O., 27th August 1886, No. 2296.

2. The further questions regarding the manner of recovering the cost of copies of judgments, &c., in salt and abkári cases will be referred, in the Revenue Department, for the remarks of the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

To the District Magistrate, Vizagapatam.
„ Revenue Department.

Mis. No. 6222.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their own and the Commissioner's remarks.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
18th October 1886.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

Reference on the above, dated 2nd November 1886.

Referred to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue for remarks.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue,
with file (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 6th November 1886, No. 14300 :—

In reply to the Board's reference, dated 2nd instant, on their Government Register No. 4272 of 1886, referring for remarks the endorsement of the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 18th October 1886, Mis. No. 6222, I have the honor to state that the cost of copies of judgments in both salt and abkári cases may, in the first instance, be incurred by magistrates and the amounts communicated to this department for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Resolution—dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement, Mis. No. 6222, dated 18th October 1886. The Board agree with the Commissioner.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with District Magistrate's letter and Government Order returned.
Copy to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

No. 571.

Endorsement on the above.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, with reference to order in that department, dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521.

27th January 1887. (Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Acting Secretary to Govt.

ORDER—dated 7th February 1887, No. 249, Judicial.

Communicated to all Magistrates of districts, for information and guidance, in continuation of G.Os., 19th June and 27th August 1886, Nos. 1621 and 2296.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all District Magistrates.
„ the Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Read—the following G.O., dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial :—

Read—the following letter from H. G. TURNER, Esq., District Magistrate, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizianagrum, 17th August 1886, No. 1416 :—

In their order, No. 1621, Judicial, dated 19th June 1886, Government have directed that copies of judgments of acquittal in abkári cases should be forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner of the division by the magistracy, and that the cost of preparing them should be debited to the Abkári Department.

2. Under G.O., dated 28th July 1885, No. 1951, Judicial Department, copies of judgments of acquittal in salt cases have also to be furnished by the magistracy to the Assistant Commissioner of the division ; but there is nothing stated in the Government Order as to the payment of copying fees in such cases.

3. Presumably, the cost of preparing copies of judgments in salt cases should be debited to the Salt Department, calculated at the rates prescribed in the Revenue Board's Standing Order, No. 226.

4. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I am right in the above presumption, and if so, whether the cost of preparing copies in salt as well as abkári cases should be recovered from the Salt and Abkári Department, or whether it should be merely charged to that department and included in the magistrates' monthly contingent bills.

5. The former course seems to me preferable, as, although it entails additional work on the magistracy in sending requisition for fees every time copies are sent to the Assistant Commissioner of the division, it keeps the Salt and Abkári Department informed of the amount debitable to that head, with a view to provide therefor in their budget.

Order—dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial.

With reference to the first of his inquiries, the District Magistrate is referred to G.O., 27th August 1886, No. 2296.

2. The further questions regarding the manner of recovering the cost of copies of judgments, &c., in salt and abkári cases will be referred, in the Revenue Department, for the remarks of the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

To the District Magistrate, Vizagapatam.
„ Revenue Department.

Mis. No. 6222.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their own and the Commissioner's remarks.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
18th October 1886.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

Reference on the above, dated 2nd November 1886.

Referred to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue for remarks.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue,
with file (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 6th November 1886, No. 14300 :—

In reply to the Board's reference, dated 2nd instant, on their Government Register No. 4272 of 1886, referring for remarks the endorsement of the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 18th October 1886, Mis. No. 6222, I have the honor to state that the cost of copies of judgments in both salt and abkári cases may, in the first instance, be incurred by magistrates and the amounts communicated to this department for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Resolution—dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement, Mis. No. 6222, dated 18th October 1886. The Board agree with the Commissioner.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with District Magistrate's letter and Government Order returned.
Copy to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

No. 571.

Endorsement on the above.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, with reference to order in that department, dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521.

27th January 1887.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Acting Secretary to Govt.

Order—dated 7th February 1887, No. 249, Judicial.

Communicated to all Magistrates of districts, for information and guidance, in continuation of G.Os., 19th June and 27th August 1886, Nos. 1621 and 2296.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all District Magistrates.
„ the Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Read—the following G.O., dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial :—

Read—the following letter from H. G. TURNER, Esq., District Magistrate, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizianagrum, 17th August 1886, No. 1416 :—

In their order, No. 1621, Judicial, dated 19th June 1886, Government have directed that copies of judgments of acquittal in abkári cases should be forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner of the division by the magistracy, and that the cost of preparing them should be debited to the Abkári Department.

2. Under G.O., dated 28th July 1885, No. 1951, Judicial Department, copies of judgments of acquittal in salt cases have also to be furnished by the magistracy to the Assistant Commissioner of the division ; but there is nothing stated in the Government Order as to the payment of copying fees in such cases.

3. Presumably, the cost of preparing copies of judgments in salt cases should be debited to the Salt Department, calculated at the rates prescribed in the Revenue Board's Standing Order, No. 226.

4. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I am right in the above presumption, and if so, whether the cost of preparing copies in salt as well as abkári cases should be recovered from the Salt and Abkári Department, or whether it should be merely charged to that department and included in the magistrates' monthly contingent bills.

5. The former course seems to me preferable, as, although it entails additional work on the magistracy in sending requisition for fees every time copies are sent to the Assistant Commissioner of the division, it keeps the Salt and Abkári Department informed of the amount debitable to that head, with a view to provide therefor in their budget.

Order—dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521, Judicial.

With reference to the first of his inquiries, the District Magistrate is referred to G.O., 27th August 1886, No. 2296.

2. The further questions regarding the manner of recovering the cost of copies of judgments, &c., in salt and abkári cases will be referred, in the Revenue Department, for the remarks of the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

To the District Magistrate, Vizagapatam.
„ Revenue Department.

Mis. No. 6222.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their own and the Commissioner's remarks.

Fort St. George,
18th October 1886.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

Reference on the above, dated 2nd November 1886.

Referred to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue for remarks.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue,
with file (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 6th November 1886, No. 14300 :—

In reply to the Board's reference, dated 2nd instant, on their Government Register No. 4272 of 1886, referring for remarks the endorsement of the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 18th October 1886, Mis. No. 6222, I have the honor to state that the cost of copies of judgments in both salt and abkári cases may, in the first instance, be incurred by magistrates and the amounts communicated to this department for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Resolution—dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement, Mis. No. 6222, dated 18th October 1886. The Board agree with the Commissioner.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with District Magistrate's letter and Government Order returned.
Copy to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

No. 571.

Endorsement on the above.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, with reference to order in that department, dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521.

27th January 1887.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Acting Secretary to Govt.

ORDER—dated 7th February 1887, No. 249, Judicial.

Communicated to all Magistrates of districts, for information and guidance, in continuation of G.Os., 19th June and 27th August 1886, Nos. 1621 and 2296.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all District Magistrates.
„ the Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Reference on the above, dated 2nd November 1886.

Referred to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue for remarks.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue,
with file (to be returned).

Read—the following letter from C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 6th November 1886, No. 14300:—

In reply to the Board's reference, dated 2nd instant, on their Government Register No. 4272 of 1886, referring for remarks the endorsement of the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 18th October 1886, Mis. No. 6222, I have the honor to state that the cost of copies of judgments in both salt and abkári cases may, in the first instance, be incurred by magistrates and the amounts communicated to this department for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Resolution—dated 30th November 1886, No. 2582.

Submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement, Mis. No. 6222, dated 18th October 1886. The Board agree with the Commissioner.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with District Magistrate's letter and Government Order returned.
Copy to the Commissioner of Salt and Abkári Revenue.

No. 571.

Endorsement on the above.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, with reference to order in that department, dated 22nd September 1886, Mis. No. 2521.

27th January 1887.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Acting Secretary to Govt.

ORDER—dated 7th February 1887, No. 249, Judicial.

Communicated to all Magistrates of districts, for information and guidance, in continuation of G.Os., 19th June and 27th August 1886, Nos. 1621 and 2296.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To all District Magistrates.
„ the Revenue Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 7th February 1887, No. 249.

Vide Go B No. 659w
24.2.88

FEBRUARY.

Salt and Abkari Cases.

Communicating to all District Magistrates, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, stating that the cost of copies of judgments in — may in the first instance be incurred by Magistrates, and the amounts communicated to the Commissioner for adjustment in the monthly salt cash remittance statements.

Nellore Division

Statement of proposition for temporary Establishment required during 1888-89 in the Nellore Division of the II circle.

Order sanctioning present Establishment Govt. of Madras P.W.D. No.	Date	Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of changes: Present scale: Number	Present scale: Designation	Present scale: Pay: Minimum	Present scale: Pay: Increment	Present scale: Pay: Maximum	Present scale: Pay: Average or fixed	Proposed scale: Number	Proposed scale: Designation	Proposed scale: Pay: Minimum	Proposed scale: Pay: Increment	Proposed scale: Pay: Maximum	Proposed scale: Pay: Average or fixed	Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount	Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount	Temporary: Increase per month: Period	Temporary: Increase per month: Amount	Temporary: Decrease per month: Period	Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount
"	"	Nellore Division		"	"															

Note - This clerk is required … till November next,

2 - As the sanction f…
they have not been …

J. Hammer
Superintending Engineer
II Circle

Supdg Engineer's Office
2nd Circle
6th February 1888

Compd. J.W.

No 2163-G

Returned to the Chief Engineer P.W.D

J. W. Runnalls
Supdg Engineer
VI Circle

Supdg Engrs Office
VI Circle, Trichinopoly
26/2/88

No 662 / 24-2-88 sanctioning a temporary clerk on Rs 15 for Nellore Dn.

Sent to II Circle 1/3/88

R 2.3.88

E-1

---

in the required detail, as shown in G. O. No: 2135 W dated 11th August 1887 should be expedited.

H. E. No. 675 W a/27th Feby/88

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt. of India.

23.2.88

23/2/88

Urgent

No. $\frac{674}{675}$ W a/27th Feby/88

B 1/27/2

To

The Chairman, H. S. Board
" Examiner of P. W. Accts ✓
" Marine Department. ✓
" Financial Dept

23.II.88