

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following Board's
Proceedings, dated 18th October 1886, No 7728
in re: on letter from the Collector of Anantapur
dated 11th October 1886, No 469.

The ~~first~~ wall of the village of
Cherlopalli in the Anantapur Taluk is
reported to be in a very dangerous condition,
some of the stones having already fallen
and others being about to fall in the
village public way.

2. The stones are said to possess no
historical or archaeological interest
and the Department of the Public Works
does not want them.

3. In these circumstances I request
sanction for disposing of the stones
by public auction.

4. The Assistant Collector reports
that the sale might fetch 50 or 60 Rupees.

Resolution

Resolution

Submitted for the sanction of
Government.

P.W.C. No 8444

R, 29.10.86

Order thereon, 25 October 1886, No 6429.

Sanctioned.



(True Extract)

H. A. Chant

Ag. Under Secretary
for Secretary

20

The Board of Revenue.

Public Works Dept.

No 2876 Waf. Nov 2. 1886.

Communicated
to the S. E. III Circle
to the S. S. III Circle

1/11/86

1/11/86

SE III Circle dated 2-11-86
SS. III Circle

P.W.C. No 8444

19TH JANUARY 1888, No. 137, JUDICIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From E. TURNER, Esq., District Magistrate, Madura, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madura, 16th November 1887, No. 63.

Adverting to G.O., dated 29th September 1887, No. 2238, Judicial, I have the honor to inform you that I am altogether opposed to the amalgamation of the sub-jail at Madura with the district jail. There will be no saving, but, on the other hand, very great inconvenience and considerable risk in case of epidemic disease breaking out in Madura town, as pointed out by Colonel Tennant, the late Inspector-General of Jails, in his letter, No. 102, dated 10th April 1884, embodied in G.O., dated 8th May 1884, No. 1155, Judicial. The matter has already been settled—vide the above-quoted G.O., dated 8th May 1884, No. 1155.

2. I beg to enclose copy of my Temporary Deputy Magistrate's letter giving the other necessary information called for in the Government order under reply. He states that about Rs. 70 can be saved by the proposed amalgamation, which appears to me to be incorrect, inasmuch as this sum will have to be borne by the district jail instead of the sub-jail, and cannot therefore be called saving at all.

3. A reference to the following correspondence is also solicited :—

Paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 9th July 1874, No. 1242, Judicial.

G.O., dated 28th October 1874, No. 1996, Judicial.

Letter, No. 353, dated 22nd October 1887, from the Superintendent of District Jail, Madura, to the District Magistrate (copy enclosed.)

ENCLOSURE.

From M.R. Ry. N. PRABALANADAM, Temporary Deputy Magistrate, Madura, to the District Magistrate, Madura, dated 7th November 1887, No. 403.

With reference to your footnote, dated 19th ultimo, to the G.O., No. 2238, dated 29th September last, I have the honor to report that a building of the new pattern has been built for the sub-jail along with the new building intended for the Town Sub-Magistrate's office. If the sub-jail is amalgamated with the district jail, the building may be utilized for police lock-up.

2. The average number of prisoners confined in the sub-jail during the previous years was 4,314 a year; the average number of prisoners under sentence was 745, and the cost incurred on their account was Rs. 69-13-6. This will be a saving. It will not be possible to effect any saving in the cost of establishment, consisting of a warder and a toty at a monthly pay of Rs. 3 and 2½ respectively. The establishment will have to be kept up for the use of prisoners under remand, which numbered 3,569 annually.

From A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., District Magistrate, Kistna, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Masulipatam, 17th November 1887, No. 1507.

Referring to G.O., dated 29th September 1887, No. 2238 (Judicial), I have the honor to report that the sub-jail building at Guntur is of an old pattern. The Joint Magistrate, in whose jurisdiction it is situated, recommends that its amalgamation with the district jail there may be effected, and reports that the Superintendent of the District Jail is also in favor of the proposal.

2. An establishment, consisting of one head constable, three constables, a warder and a toty, is now maintained for guarding the prisoners in the sub-jail at a monthly cost of Rs. 42-8-0. Both the Joint Magistrate and the Jail Superintendent are of opinion that the whole of this establishment may be abolished if the proposal is carried out. I fully concur in their recommendations. The wards would be utilized for the police station, &c., thus giving much needed space in the cramped taluk cutcherry.

From S. H. WYNNE, Esq., Acting District Magistrate of South Canara, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 18th November 1887, No. 3804.

In reply to G.O., dated 29th September 1887, No. 2238, I have the honor to report that the financial effect of a transfer of the subsidiary jail to the district jail would be as follows:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Annual saving of monsoon repairs and whitewashing	28	0	0
Do. do. from decreased cost of dieting from advantage of district jail contracts (calculated on averages) ..	64	12	9
Do. do. from pay of scavenger	48	0	0
Total ..	140	12	9

If the jail were dismantled and the materials sold, there would be an annual saving of the municipal tax, Rs. 22-15-0, besides the immediate value of the materials.

2. The sub-jail is at present guarded by the Hosur Treasury police guard, viz., one head constable and six constables. There is practically no cash to guard, but only stamps, which are in a strong room. The Superintendent informs me, however, that by departmental rules this is a guard of minimum strength and therefore no saving can be made under this head. The removal of the sub-jail may, however, enable the guard to take charge of the cutcherry building—a matter which is, I believe, under consideration (G.O., dated 1st August 1887, Mis. No. 4494, Revenue Department).

3. No extra cost will be involved in the transfer, as escort duty in the case of under-trial prisoners does not fall on sub-jail officials, but on magistrates' orderlies or the police in charge of the case when the offence is charged by them.

4. The plan and estimate of the sub-jail were sanctioned in G.O., dated 11th July 1868, No. 1103, Judicial Department. It is not of the new pattern.

5. I see no reason why the transfer should not take place.

From J. G. HORSFALL, Esq., District Magistrate, Ganjam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chatrapur, 23rd November 1887, No. 5512.

Referring to G.O., dated 29th September 1887, No. 2238, Judicial Department, directing me to report whether buildings of the new pattern have been built for the sub-jails at Berhampore and Russellkonda, and what financial saving would accrue from their abolition, I have the honor to state that if the sub-jails in question be amalgamated with the district and hill jails at Berhampore and Russellkonda, respectively, there will be a saving of Rs. 666 * annually. The sub-jail buildings are reported to be of the old pattern.

	RS.
* Annual cost for the Berhampore Sub-Jail.	372
Do. for the Russellkonda do.	294
Total ..	666

2. Should, as presumed by the Inspector-General in paragraph 2 of his letter recorded in the above Government order, persons coming under clauses *a*, *c* and *d* of Rule 1481 of the Jail Code continue to be confined in these sub-jails, the saving to Government will be *nil*, as these constitute fully three-fourths of the sub-jail population, and the existing establishment would have to be maintained on their account.

From H. G. TURNER, Esq., District Magistrate, Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vizianagrum, 17th December 1887, No. 2990.

Adverting to G.O., No. 2238, dated the 29th September last, Judicial, referring for my opinion the proposed amalgamation of the Vizagapatam sub-jail with district jail, I have the honor to state that I concur with Mr. Grimes and support the proposal.

2. The establishment entertained for the sub-jail, as at present stands, consists of a warder and a toty, and is maintained at a cost of Rs. 6-12-0 per mensem; and the expenditure under Contingencies, taking the average of the last four years, is Rs. 2. The total expenditure on account of the sub-jail is thus Rs. 8-12-0 a month, or Rs. 105 a year. I doubt whether this sum will be saved to Government if the sub-jail should be amalgamated with the district jail.

3. In the event of the proposal being carried out, it will be necessary, as stated by Mr. Grimes in paragraph 2 of his letter printed in the Government order, that the sub-jail should be retained as a police lock-up for the confinement of remand and under-trial prisoners, of persons who have been in the custody of the police for more than eighteen hours, and of persons in custody *en route* through the station to another station (clauses *a*, *c* and *d* of Rule 1481 of the Jail Code). In that case the present establishment—the warder and the toty—will have to be maintained, and some money, though not perhaps as much as Rs. 2, will have to be spent for contingencies. It is not likely that the Police department will bear any portion of the cost beyond providing the usual police guard; and even if it should, it would not be a saving to Government.

4. Although the proposed amalgamation may not result in a saving to Government, it is nevertheless very desirable, inasmuch as the district jail affords better supervision and better employment for prisoners sentenced to imprisonment than the sub-jail, and I should like to take this opportunity to suggest the desirability of similar amalgamation of other sub-jails in the district, wherever practicable, provided there is accommodation enough in the district jail.

5. For the last one year and a half or so, there has not been a proper sub-jail at Vizagapatam, built according to any standard plan, the taluk magistrate's office and the sub-jail being both located in a rented house, the Government building having been condemned as unsafe. What is used as sub-jail at present consists of but two cells, in which remand and under-trial prisoners are confined, those sentenced to imprisonment being sent to the district jail, under a temporary arrangement sanctioned by Government in their order, Mis. No. 2352, dated the 31st August 1886.

ORDER—dated 19th January 1888, No. 137, Judicial.

The Government accept the recommendation of the District Magistrate of Madura that the sub-jail at that station should be retained; and as in the case of Russellkonda and Berhampore, the abolition of the sub-jails would effect no saving if, as is necessary, a police lock-up is retained, the suggested abolition of the sub-jails at those stations also is abandoned.

2. The District Magistrates of Kistna, South Canara and Vizagapatam are in favor of the amalgamation of the sub-jails at Guntur, Mangalore and Vizagapatam, respectively, with the district jails at those places, and the Government approve of the proposal. The abolition of the sub-jails should be at once carried out, and the Inspector-General of Police will report what reductions in the district force are in each case possible.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Jails.
 „ District Magistrate, Ganjam.
 „ „ „ Vizagapatam.
 „ „ „ Kistna.
 „ „ „ Madura.
 „ „ „ South Canara.
 „ Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Gobt. of 1888 Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 19th January 1888, No. 137.

JANUARY.

Sub-jails.

Approving the proposals for the amalgamation of the — at Guntur, Mangalore and Vizagapatam, respectively, with the district jails at those places, and directing the abolition at once of the —. The Inspector-General of Police directed to report what reductions in the district force are in each case possible.

[25]



Judicial Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

From the District Magistrate of South Canara, dated 4th February 1888, no. 406.

Referring to para 2 of G.O. 19th January 1888, no 137, Judicial, I have the honor to request to be informed if the proposal contained in the latter part of para 1 of my letter therein embodied, viz, that the Subsidiary jail building at Mangalore should, on being abandoned, be dismantled and the materials sold, has been approved, and, if so, that Government will be pleased to issue the necessary orders in the Public Works Department, for giving effect to it. I recommend this course as the building cannot be put to any use and is at present an inconvenience.

Order thereon. 18th February 1888 No 454.

The proposal of the District Magistrate that the Sub-jail at Mangalore should be dismantled and the materials sold is approved and the necessary orders for carrying out the work will be issued in the P.W.D.

[True Extract]

A. G. Carraway.

At Under Secretary.

To,

The Public Works Department, with P.O.
— District Magistrate, S. Canara

2nd L.D.

28/6/11 - 2-11-86

[6]

Govt. of (188) Madras.

No 641 W of 23rd February/88

To

The M.D.

Communicated to
the Supt. Engr. Tr.
Circular who will arrange
for the building being
dismantled and the
materials sold.

Wm.

21.2.88

21/2/88

R. 20.2.88

Proceedings, dated 18-2-1888

No.

454.

Subject.

No 641 W dated 23rd February/88

Approving the
proposal to dis-

-mantle the subse-
-quary jail building
at Mangalore

S. S. Tr. C. with copy of S. A. R.

S. S. Tr. C. with copy of S. A. R.
Engr. Tr. 23/2/88

Recd 23-2-88

Wm. 23/2/88

With order