3. [illegible]

தமிழ்நாடு அரசாங்கம்

தமிழ்நாடு சிங்கம்

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண் : 529 W

நாள் : 16.2.1888

ஆ.மு. முதன்மைச்

529

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper :—

G.O., dated 30th January 1888, No. 73 R., Public.

Read—the following letter from J. BURGESS, Esq., LL.D., C.I.E., Director-General of Archæological Survey of India, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calcutta, 17th December 1887, No. 864 :—

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of G.O., No. 3056 W. (Public Works), of 12th November, and have the honor to submit the following remarks.

2. In July 1884 a classified list of all the buildings in the Presidency was prepared by Mr. R. Sewell and myself. The attention of the Engineers in the different circles should be directed to that list and it might be referred to as a standard in the annual returns relating to conservation of all Government officers, who, instead of entering descriptive accounts of the monuments, should refer to the page and serial number under which it is there entered. This would facilitate reference to other information. The list would also be helpful to officers in drawing their attention to monuments that might otherwise be overlooked, as I think has been the case in the present returns. Any necessary additions to that list could be entered from time to time, information of the same being communicated to Government and myself.

3. With reference to the returns (1) it is to be hoped the cairns at Tenkási in Courtallum (VI Circle) will be protected from injury or excavation except under Mr. Rea's immediate supervision. (2) It is very desirable that temple authorities should be persuaded to ask the advice of the Archæological Surveyor in their repairs and "restorations" so as to prevent, as far as possible, the injury of ancient monuments in their possession by incongruous and unsightly repairs. (3) Estimates (with plans) for repairs to monuments in charge of Government if submitted to me early will have immediate attention.

Order—dated 30th January 1888, No. 73 R., Public.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with a copy of the classified list referred to in paragraph 2 of the letter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. S. MEYER,
Assistant Secretary.

ORDER—dated 16th February 1888, No. 529 W., Public Works.

Copies of the list received with the proceedings recorded above will be circulated to Superintending Engineers for information and guidance.

2. Attention is called to the suggestion of the Director-General that the list should be regarded as a standard, and that future annual returns need not contain descriptive

accounts of monuments, reference to the page and serial number of the standard list being sufficient to identify the remains.

3. The preservation of the monuments should be carried out in accordance with the instructions now communicated.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers (except Periyár Circle), with copies of the list.
To the Consulting Architect, }
" Revenue Department, } with copies of the list.
" Public " "

Rjd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

3/99

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1888 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 16th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th Feb. 1888, No. 529 W.

FEBRUARY.

Archæology

A classified list of ancient monuments circulated to Superintending Engineers with instructions.

Distribution of 11 Copies of the Classified list of ancient Monuments selected for Conservation in the Presidency of Madras in 1888 - 529

6 Safety Engineers - - 6 Copies
Consulting Architect - 1
Revenue dept - 1
Library - - *3
11

*2 with Royal Co
Railway 16/2/88.

* In 2 lists one was removed and put up for Cuddapah
G.O. 63
dy 22 June 1888

3

4 Cal

J. Burgess Esqr. L.L.D. C.I.E.
Director General Archæological Survey of India

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George, Madras.

Calcutta 17th December 1887.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of G.O. No. 3056 M. (Public Works) of 12th November and have the honour to submit the following remarks:

2. In reply 1884 a classified list of all the buildings in the Presidency was prepared by Engineers in the different circles should be directed to that list and it might be referred to as a standard in the annual returns relating to Conservation of all Government Officers who, instead of entering descriptive accounts of the monuments should refer to the page and serial number under which it is there entered. This would facilitate reference to other information. The list would also be helpful to officers in drawing their attention to monuments that might otherwise be overlooked, as I think has been the

Cas

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
MADRAS.

529

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

From the Director General, Archæological Survey of India, dated 17th December 1887, No 864-

Ord. 30th January 1888, No 73R.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with a copy of the classified list referred to in paragraph 2 of the letter.

(True Extract)

Asst Secretary

To

The Public Works Dept.
with list printed-

5

6/529

529

LIST OF MONUMENTS SELECTED FOR CONSERVATION

IN THE

PRESIDENCY OF MADRAS IN 1884,

ACCORDING TO CLASSIFICATION OF GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

6.

LIST OF ANCIENT BUILDINGS AND OTHER REMAINS SELECTED FOR CONSERVATION IN THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

INTRODUCTION.

THE following "List of Monuments selected for conservation in the Madras Presidency" has been drawn up in conformity with the terms of the Resolution of the Government of India (No. 3—168-185 of 26th November 1883), of which the following is an extract:—

"3. The Governor-General in Council desires that each Local Government will now, with as little delay as possible, take up the lists of ancient monuments for its province as given in Major Cole's Report for the year 1882-83, and, as regards Northern, Eastern and Central India, in communication with General Cunningham, and as regards Madras and Bombay, in communication with the Archæological Surveyor of Southern and Western India, prepare classified lists and a detailed report showing—

I.—Those monuments which from their present condition and historical or archæological value ought to be maintained in permanent good repair.

II.—Those monuments which it is now only possible or desirable to save from further decay by such minor measures as the eradication of vegetation, the exclusion of water from the walls, and the like.

III.—Those monuments which from their advanced stage of decay or comparative unimportance it is impossible or unnecessary to preserve.

"4. The monuments in classes I and II should be further subdivided, thus—

I (*a*) and II (*a*).—Monuments in the possession or charge of Government, or in respect of which Government must undertake the cost of all measures of conservation.

I (*b*) and II (*b*).—Monuments in the possession or charge of private bodies or individuals.

"In respect of classes I (*a*) and II (*a*), the report should show what arrangements it is proposed to make for the due carrying out of the necessary measures of conservation. Definite provision should be made for the proper custody and up-keep of each monument in these classes. The Government of India do not wish to interfere in the details of these arrangements, but they desire to be satisfied that each Local Government has at its disposal the means for the intelligent and judicious treatment of any questions that may arise in connection with the preservation of buildings of such importance. The Governor-General in Council considers that the cost of these measures is a legitimate charge upon the Public Works allotment of each province, but will be prepared to consider in very special cases whether any further assistance should be granted from Imperial funds.

"In respect of classes I (*b*) and II (*b*), it should be reported what funds are available for their conservation; and whether the custodians can be induced to allow the inspection, direction, and control of Government officers in such matters. It may be advisable in some instances to offer small subsidies in view to securing the necessary amount of supervision, but this should only be proposed where the monument is one of primary importance, and its due conservation cannot be otherwise secured. In general it may be hoped that the local officers and the Local Government will be able to induce those interested to take proper steps for maintaining the buildings in their charge.

"5. If hereafter it should become apparent that the Local Governments require advice or instructions with reference to the proper mode of preserving or treating any monument, the Government of India will be happy to arrange for procuring this from the best available authorities. . . . . .

"6. In the Annual Administration Reports of each province full information should be given as to the measures of conservation carried out during the year and as to the condition of all monuments in classes I and II."

This was communicated to me with the Government of Madras order, dated 14th December 1883, No. 2360, and with my letter, No. 41 of 10th January 1884, I forwarded to Government the following general report, which is here reproduced almost entire:—

"The proper conservation of the buildings of architectural and historical interest must depend largely on the funds available and the judgment with which they are administered.

"2. It may be laid down as a first principle that, as the funds will necessarily be limited, they should be economised in such a way as to preserve as far as practicable as many of the most important ancient works as possible. And to this end preservation should in all cases alone be aimed at, and in none, except perhaps where special funds were otherwise provided, should money be spent on restoration.

"3. For this purpose one of the most essential conditions is that the buildings be preserved from appropriation for such purposes as cattle-sheds, sugar factories, and dens of squalor and dirt within, and from the growth of vegetation on the roof and walls without.

"4. It is to be borne in mind that wherever there are any Muhammadan buildings that we wish to preserve they were mostly built by the Government of the time at the public expense and are in the true sense of the term national monuments inherited by the Government of the day. Moreover, especially in the case of Muhammadan works, even those built by vazirs and courtiers, they are endowed with villages for the express purpose of keeping them in repair, and a little pressure brought to bear on

the holders of the inam lands might be sufficient to induce the owners either to dispose of their interest in the disused mosques to Government or pay for the necessary repairs.

"5. For temples and mosques and other buildings that have been deserted it would seem very desirable that Government should assume the right to appropriate them as national property naturally falling to it by inheritance. It would then become possible to select the most characteristic examples for preservation by Government, and in each locality one or more of these might be utilized as local museums in which old sculptures, inscriptions, and the like might be stored and preserved. The buildings would require no alteration for this purpose beyond perhaps a wooden door. And the existence of even a very small collection of sculptures, &c., in large outlying villages would have its educational influence and probably lead to the preservation of many sculptures, &c., that would otherwise be broken up or burnt into lime.

"6. Once Government asserts its rights to these monuments, much may be done to preserve many of the finest ancient remains in the country.

"7. In Northern India and even in Bombay—especially in such centres as Ahmedabad and Bijapur—the number of fine monuments of Muhammadan architecture is very considerable. But in Madras I am not aware that there are many examples of Musalman architecture comparable with those in other parts of India. On the other hand the large Hindu shrines which so distinguish the Madras Presidency are, I believe, in the hands of committees or panchayats, who look after the repairs as well as the revenues and ceremonies; and the monuments with which Government would be fully justified in interfering are such buildings as the old palace at Chandragiri, the large group of remains at Hampi, the monolithic remains at the Seven Pagodas, some ancient caves in the Kistna and Godavari districts and ancient buildings in various places which have been desecrated or deserted. What the number of the latter is and how many of them may really be deserving of preservation cannot at present be stated. For the Madras Presidency the lists recently prepared by Mr. R. Sewell are far more full than exist for any other districts in India. But they have been chiefly furnished by native subordinates and but rarely supply any information that can be depended on with respect to the architectural style or age of the different monuments; and no information was asked for, I believe, as to which of them were in use or otherwise.

"8. To prepare a full and accurate list on the basis of the classification laid down in the Government of India resolution would be a work entailing much labour and time, since it would be necessary, before deciding which buildings should be kept in repair, that each should be seen and reported on by some officer capable of forming a tolerably accurate estimate of its historical and artistic interest. How this is to be accomplished in any very limited period of time I feel at a loss to point out. Certain it is that it would be only waste of labour to call for fresh returns from district officers if these are to be compiled by the ordinary class of subordinates. Were Government, however, to invite information from Collectors, Assistant Collectors, and European Engineers regarding only such buildings as they had personally seen, giving their opinions as to how each should be classified under the form proposed by the Government of India, a considerable amount of fairly accurate information might thus be collected which would serve not only for a basis for the proposed lists, but also afford much that would be useful for the guidance of the archæological survey.

"9. The number of ancient buildings in the hands of Madras Government is, I believe, much smaller than in any other large province of India, and consist, so far as I remember, of the ancient temples, &c., at Hampi; a fine temple at Vellore; Tirumal Nayyak's palace at Madura; the rathas and caves at Mahabalipuram; the caves at Salavankuppam; the Chandragiri palace; Gingi, Dindigul, and other old forts; and the Amaravati and Juggayyapet topes.

"10. Of such other remains as Government ought properly to assume the possession, we have as yet too little information to be able to indicate what amount of conservation they may call for. On the above, considerable repairs have of late been carried out or are being carried out under Mr. Black at Hampi. What the exact character of his work may be I have no definite information. It may perhaps be confined entirely to what is required for the preservation of the Vithal temple. Something might also, I think, be done for the preservation, at least in no worse condition than they are at present, of several of the other old buildings at Hampi and of others in the neighbourhood which are equally deserving though less known to occasional visitors. The temple at Vellore is, I believe, a beautiful specimen of the sculptured style of Southern India and is in fair preservation. Tirumal Nayyak's palace has been within recent years most admirably repaired and restored under the Madras Government Architect and is used as the Court-house of the District and Session Judge. The remains at Mahabalipuram and Salavankuppam are among the oldest in the Madras Presidency and are very deserving of careful preservation. The danger that most threatens them seems to be lest the quarrying of the rock around should be carried so near any of them as to lead to their injury. It seems desirable also that for these remains some custodian should be appointed to prevent injury from visitors, the whitewashing or the reconsecration of any of the cells by Brahmans, and to prevent cattle from lodging in them. The Chandragiri palace has also, I believe, been repaired under the superintendence of Mr. Chisholm, and is likely to stand for many years without any further attention than occasional very petty repairs. Respecting Gingi and the other forts I have at present no information. But I consider it very desirable that some of the best specimens of the old historic defensive works of the country should be kept in such repair as to show their exact nature and extent. Some time ago I had the honour to call the attention of Government to the desirability of preserving to some extent at least the old native fort and palace at Kondapilly near Bezvada. The Amaravati and Jaggayyapet topes should both be fenced round and precautions taken to prevent the slabs that remain at either place from being carried off and burnt into lime. In the case of the Jaggayyapet tope a large portion of the drum or basement and of the procession path still remain *in situ*.

"11. With respect to those temples still in use as recognized places of worship, and which are managed by panchayats or committees, much must depend both on the tact of the curator and on the intelligence of the leaders of these committees whether much or anything can be done to control repairs. Where these committees are amenable to advice, it seems desirable that they should be urged to expend less on plaster and whitewash and more upon the cleaning off of the accumulated coatings of these materials, with which for the past fifty years they have been so defacing and disguising the beauty of their old temples. In many cases sculptures and inscriptions have totally disappeared beneath coatings of lime.

"12. In order to carry out the proposals for conservation, there must be some responsible staff. The natural agency for the supervision of this work as implied in the Government of India's minute is the Archæological Survey or a member of the staff with sufficient knowledge of the various styles of eastern architecture to enable him to make suitable proposals for necessary repairs without perpetrating incongruities and ready to be directed by the head of the Survey in all such matters.

"13. The Madras Government might either appoint a curator with so competent a knowledge of the architectural branch of archæology as to fit him for his duties and who would be ready to work in cordial agreement with the superintendent of the survey in all details of an archæological kind; . . . or, they might combine the duties of conservation with those of the survey. . . .

"14. Local custody and inspection is a matter of quite as much importance as repairs, and for this there ought to be some machinery. For small places and single isolated buildings it would be necessary to appoint either separate custodians or members of the district police who would prevent mischief being done either by visitors or natives and report all attempts of the kind to their superiors and through them, when necessary, to the District Engineers. The District Engineer would visit each place in his district once a year and report on damage done and repairs required to the curator or his deputy. For places like Hampi a somewhat superior custodian or inspector would be of great value in checking misappropriations.

"15. Generally the agency which on the whole seems most suitable and economical for the local duties of conservation is the District Engineer, who could at least once every year visit all the works to be preserved in his district—and many of them much oftener—while his subordinates could bring to him all information available, and he would act in accord with the curator.

"16. The police might also have their attention directed to the pilfering of materials from old buildings.

"17. As to utilization of buildings—with certain exceptions, I see little serious objection to it, where the monument is not to be altered or defaced in any way, or modernized by whitewashing as is too often the case. What ought to be guarded against is any material modification either in the way of mutilation or addition to adapt them to official requirements.

"18. There is one point more to which attention may be called. It may be inferred that copper-plate grants come within the scope of the law of treasure trove; yet in none of the numerous instances of copper-plate inscriptions having been dug up within recent years am I aware that the provisions of the law have been put in force. It would probably save much valuable historical information if all such finds were secured by Government at once as treasure trove and purchased at a reasonable price after inspection and recommendation by the Archæological Surveyor.

"19. With respect to separate sculptures found in the course of excavations, and which may be of any antiquarian interest, it seems most desirable that they should be placed in some museum where they could be seen and studied by those interested in them. The Central Museum at Madras, while it contains a large and important collection of specimens of Indian products and manufactures, is too crowded with these to allow room for the proper arrangement of the great number of valuable sculptures, casts, copper-plates, coins and numerous other objects of antiquarain interest which are crowded into it without any possibility of arrangement. Now that the large and unique collection from Amaravati and Jaggayyapet have been sent down to Madras, would it not be most desirable that sufficient room should be provided separately for the specially archæological museum, where it could be arranged on some systematic plan which would also allow of the addition from time to time of such objects as might be obtained through the Archæological Survey or otherwise?"

The Government resolved (G.O., of 3rd May 1884, No. 884, Public) to entrust Mr. R. Sewell, M.C.S., to select, in communication with me, from the lists he had drawn up, such monuments as fulfil the conditions stated in paragraph 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India, given above. As I left India just at this date, the duty of selection devolved entirely upon Mr. Sewell, who finally forwarded me the lists, all but complete, and part of them even in type, and I have not interfered with them to any appreciable extent, except to insert a few remarks and additions, the principal being the list of Vijayanagar remains. These lists are probably as complete as it would be possible at present to make them; but it is fully to be expected that some important omissions will in course of time be discovered.

BHAROCH, GUJARAT,
25th November 1885.

J. BURGESS,
Archæological Surveyor.

LIST OF MONUMENTS SELECTED FOR CONSERVA
ACCORDING TO CLASSIFICATION

N.B.—Column 2. Classified as I, II, or III, with reference to (—), the desirability, viewing its present condition, the necessity of water from the walls, and the like; or III, the impossibility of saving it, owing

Column 7. Classified as (a) in possession of Government or in respect of which Government must undertake the

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Religious Architectural or Historical Value (Give number under each head).	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2		3	4	5
					GANJAM
1	Palúr	Kontagodo	Śri Isvarara Mahāprabhu's Temple with inscriptions.	1, 6	This temple has been under the charge of the Palur Zamindars, and so the present Zamindar wishes it to be continued.
2	Berhampore Taluk	Jargada or Undiya.	The Aśoka inscription	6	Of high importance. Date about 250 B.C.
3	Mandasa Zamindari	Mahēndragiri	Śiva temples on the hill 1. Śri Gōkarnēśvara. 2. Śri Viraśchthira Linga. 3. Śri Bhima Linga. Vishnu temple do. 4. Śri Nārāyana.	5, 6	Believed to be old and architecturally valuable.
4	Chatrapur Taluk	Arasavilli	Ancient temple and old inscriptions.	6	Important from its age
5	Do.				
6	Do.	Śrikūrmam	An old temple. Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 5	9, 10	Historical.—Orissa and Chōla-Chalukya dynasties.

TION IN THE PRESIDENCY OF MADRAS IN 1884,
OF GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

condition, of keeping it in permanent good repair; or II, of only taking minor measures such as the eradication of to its advanced stage of decay.

cost of all measures of conservation; or (b) in the possession or charge of private bodies or individuals.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
					DISTRICT.
1	I	In the possession of the Zamindar of Palur.	.. .. ..	Is endowed with landed property worth Rs. 750. There is no objection to the inspection of the temple by Government officers. The temple is plastered and whitewashed.	The inscriptions on the stones in the temple have not been deciphered. The compound wall of the temple has been covered with sand, and the sand even covers up the space within the wall. The Zemindar has been causing the removal of the sand. It is roughly estimated that Rs. 1,500 are required for the removal of the sand dunes. I have suggested that a casuarina plantation would effectually keep out sand and cost very much less.—R.S.
2	II	(a)	No further expenditure is at present called for.	.. .. ..	The inscription is said to be deteriorating.
3	II	(b)	.. .. ..	In charge of the Zamindar of Mandasa. (1) Funds available from his estate. (2) (3) Doubtful.	
4	..	..	.. .. ..	In charge of the temple committee. (1) Funds available. (2 & 3) No.	
5	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	Omitted. A description given which seems to show that what were reported to me to be rock-cuttings are really a group of *dólmens*.—R.S.
6	I	(b)	.. .. ..	This temple is in charge of H.H. The Mahārāja of Vizianagram. Funds are therefore amply available. (2) Inspection will be allowed, but not control. (3) Yes.	

Serial Number	Taluk.	Village.	Name or Number, etc.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals. (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT.			
1	Vizianagram	Zemindari Mullaboria	Two inscriptions of Ananta-varmadeva.	13	I	(b)	In charge of the Mahārāja of Vizianagram, who has undertaken to conserve them and allow inspection.	The inscriptions are on a stone by the side of a path.
2	Do.	Ramatirtham	An inscription of Vishnuvardhana.	4	I	(b)	Do. do.	The inscription is on a rock close to a cave.
3	Do.	Bellivalasa	Inscription of A.D. 1191	8	I	(b)	Do. do.	
4	Do.	Gollu	Do. of A.D. 1168	4	III	(b)	The stone has been destroyed, and nothing is left to conserve. This is much to be regretted. Is it not possible to piece together the broken fragments? An effort might be made.—R.S.	
5	Do.	Sripuram	Do. do.	8	I	(b)	In charge of the Mahārāja of Vizianagram, who has undertaken to conserve it and allow inspection.	The slab is reported to have been used in a tank by washermen to beat clothes on. It has now been secured in a place of safety by the Mahārāja.
6	Srungavarapukōta	Rega	A rock-cut cave now dedicated to Umalingeswara.	14	I	(a)	In charge of agrahāramdars. (1) Funds available from *inam* lands. (2) They will allow inspection but not control. (3) Yes.	
7	Bobbili	Bhogapuram	Two inscriptions of A.D. 1146 and 1175; or perhaps A.D. 1147 and 1176.	12	II	(a)	To be removed to a place of safety at trifling cost and placed in special charge of the village headman.	The stones are now lying in the street.
8	Do.	Singapuram	An old Śiva temple said to have been built by the Cholas.	14	I	(b)	In charge of the Mahārāja of Vizianagram, who gives a monthly grant for its upkeep. (2) Inspection, yes; control, no. (3) Yes.	Temple now in good repair.
9	Do.	Do.	Inscription Nos. 3 and 4	14	I	(b)	Do. do.	One inscription is on a loose slab lying in the court-yard. It should be secured in some place of safety.
10	Do.	Pedavalasa	Two inscriptions of Ananta-varmadeva.	13	I	(a)	To be removed to a place of safety at a trifling cost, and placed in special charge of the village headman.	One stone has been removed to Chittivalasa, where it lies by the roadside. The other is lying in a street.
11	Do.	Pusapatirega	The old temple at the foot of the hill.	14	I	(b)	In charge of the Mahārāja. (1) Ample funds available. Temple income Rs. 8,000.	The temple is now in good repair. The Mahārāja should be asked to discourage the use of whitewash.
12	Anakapalle Taluk.	Mogalipuram	Rock-cut shrines, sculptures and images.	14	II	(a)	These await examination. It is impossible to estimate accurately the cost, but probably Rs. 50 will be required for clearances.	The monuments are said to be of Buddhist or Jain origin, and to contain sculptures.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Name.	Nature of Monument, &c.	Distance from Taluk Head-quarters.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
			VIZAGAPATAM.		
13	Sarvasiddhi Taluk	Ghoslapatnam	An old temple and rock-cut remains.	17	Archæologically important.
14	Do.	Madumuru	An old temple said to have been built by the Cholas containing an inscribed stone.	27	May be architecturally important.
15	Do.	Pañchadarala	All the inscriptions	*id.*	Apparently of great historical importance.
16	Do.	Bāyaveram	Inscription of Krishnadeva Raya of Vijayanagar.	18	Said to fix date of conquest by him.
17	Jeypur Zamindari	Podagada	A rock-cut work and inscription.	317	Archæologically valuable
18	Jeypur Zamindari, Jeypur Taluk	Rāmagiri	A rock-cut cave with sculptures dedicated to Gupta? worship.	318	Do. do.
19	Jeypur Zamindari, Kosapa Taluk	Nandāpuram	Several old temples and inscriptions.	27	Possibly of architectural and historical value.
			GODĀVARI.		
1	Rājahmundry Taluk	Kirtipūdi	Rock-cut caves on the *Pāṇḍavula Konda* hill with sculptures.	21	Archæologically valuable
2	Do.	Rājahmundry	Mosque of Muhammad Tughlak of Delhi, with inscription of A.D. 1324.	22	This is probably the earliest royal Muhammadan inscription in Southern India.
			Inscription of Gangaiḍēva of Orugal in the grounds of the Judge's house.	27	Historical
			Inscription at the District Jail of A.D. 1245.	27	

* In the possession

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*a*) of private bodies or individuals, (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the executive be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
13	II	(*a*)	Rs. 50 required now, and a grant of Rs. 5 to the village headman for clearances.	.. .. ..	The rock-cut remains are eight in number, six on a hill called Pāṇḍavulametta, and two on a hill called Būbukonda.
14	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	No expenditure reported to be necessary on the temple. The stone inscription should be placed in special charge of the village headman.	
15	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In charge of the Mahārāja of Vizianagram. (1) Funds ample. (2) Will allow inspection by caste Hindus, but not control. (3) Yes.	
16	III	(*a*)	This stone has been broken. The pieces ought to be carefully removed to a place of safety and put in charge of the village headman.	.. .. ..	
17	I	*	.. .. ..	No funds are available. The Mahārāja of Jeypur, in whose estate the monument is situate, undertakes to keep it in repair. No objection to Government officers visiting.	
18	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In the possession of the Mahārāja of Jeypur.	Non-Hindus are objected to inside the temple.
19	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	Do. do.
DISTRICT.					
1	II	(*a*)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	Trees should be eradicated, and brushwood kept cleared. It may be eventually necessary to fence off the cave. Present requirements Rs. 10 for clearances. The village headman to be put in special charge, with a grant of Rs. 4 per annum for clearances.
2	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Mosque funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	The house belongs to the Rāja of Pittapuram. The Judge resides in the house. No expenditure requisite.	
	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	This stone has been removed to the Local Museum, where it will be preserved.	

of nobody.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Classification.		Reason why conservation is necessary.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals. (1) Funds available for conservation. (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers. (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monuments, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
1	2		3	4		5	6	9	10
			GODAVARI DISTRICT—(*Continued*).						
3	Peddāpuram Taluk.	Gangannapalem	A cave-temple with image of *Virabhadra*.	I	(b)	Archæologically interesting	..	..	The Collector classes this as in charge of private persons, since once a year a certain individual performs acts of worship there. The village is deserted. Probably, therefore, it should be classed as in charge of Government. No expenditure is said to be required.—R.S.
4	Do.	Jaddangi	A cave-temple said to contain a *lingam*.	I	(a)	Do. do.	..	..	This is in much the same position as regards ownership. No expenditure necessary.—R.S.
5	Do.	Tenguti	*Inscriptions Nos.* 7, 17, 18, 20.	II	(b)	Historically valuable	(1) Temple funds available. (2) Inspection, yes; control, no. (3) Yes.		The temple belongs to the proprietor of the Viravaram estate. He should be induced to prevent any of the inscriptions being whitewashed.—R.S.
6	Pittāpuram Zemindari.	Pittāpuram	First inscription of A.D. 1136 date.	I	(b)	Important historical inscriptions.	Do. do. but as the inscriptions are inside the temple the custodians will only permit caste Hindus to examine them.		The Raja of Pittāpuram is in charge and will conserve the inscriptions.—R.S.
7	Rekapalle Division.	Hiramaram	The old temple with *sarvika gallery*.	I	(b)	Inscriptions valuable. Building architecturally important.	Do. do.		Do. do.
8	Do.	Sarpavaram	*Inscriptions Nos.* 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 17.	II	(b)	Historical	Do. do.		Do. do.
9	Rāmachandrapuram Taluk.	Bikkavolu	Temple of *Bokyāmma*	I	(b)	Architecturally and historically important.	(1) Temple funds available. (2) Not by Europeans. (3) Yes.		
			Deserted Śiva temple	II	(a)	Do. do.	Rs. 16-8-0 asked for removal of rank vegetation, and for fencing. An annual grant of Rs. 4 to the village headman for clearance of vegetation is asked.	..	
10	Do.	Drākshārāma	All the temples and old inscriptions, 107 which 216 have been noted.	I	(b)	25—31 Of great historical importance.	..	(1) Temple funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindus. (3) Yes.	
11	Amalāpuram Taluk.	Pālvala	The old temple and *inscriptions Nos.* 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10.	(?)	(b)	32 Historical	..	Do. do.	A compound wall was built two years ago, it seems, and a number of these valuable inscriptions were taken away and used for building the wall with. They cannot now be traced. *This is an instance showing how necessary Government interference is.*
12	Ellore Taluk	Ellore	*Inscriptions Nos.* 2, 7, 14, 17, 21, 25, 33.	I	Nos. 2 to 21-(b). Nos. 25 to 30-(a).	34, 35 Do.	Nos. 26, 30, should be at once removed to a place of safety, say the Municipal office or Cutcherry. Rs. 5 required for this purpose. The Head Assistant Collector might be requested to see to this, as the stones are lying uncared for in the streets.	Nos. 2 to 21 are in a mosque, and will be carefully preserved by the Trustees, who will allow inspection.	The Raja of Pittāpuram was in charge, and is so still. He seems to be responsible for this.—R.S.

Serial Number	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Volume and page of Archæological Survey Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			GODÁVARI		
13	Ellore Taluk ..	Guntupalle ..	A cave-temple	35	This seems to be a Buddhist cave of high importance.
14	Do. ..	Kanavarapukóta	Rock-cut cave with sculptured images.	*id.*	Archæologically valuable ..
15	Do. ..	Tadikalapudi ..	Very old temple and *inscriptions*.	36	Do. of historical value.
16	Tanuku Taluk ..	Iragavaram ..	*All the inscriptions* noted. ..	36, 37	Historical .. .. ..
17	Do.	Juttiga	*The inscriptions* noted, especially Nos. 1, 2, 5.	37	Do. .. .. ..
18	Bhimavaram Taluk.	Gapapeyaram ..	*All the inscriptions* and the temple itself.	39	Architecturally and historically valuable.
19	Narasapur Taluk.	Ardanta	All the *inscriptions*	40	Historical .. .. ..
20	Do.	Pdakól	*Inscriptions* Nos. 4, 6, 7, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20.	41	Do. .. .. ..
			KISTNA		
1	Nandigama Taluk	Ammavaripeta ..	All the inscriptions	43	Historically valuable .. ..
2	Do. ..	Gollanda ..	The old fort and all the inscriptions.	*id.*	Do. do. .. ..
3	Do. ..	Jaggayyapeta ..	The Buddhist remains on the hill.	*id.*	Probably the oldest Buddhist relics in Southern India.

Serial Number	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals, (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
	DISTRICT—*(Continued)*.				
13	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The cave is situated within the limits of a Zemindari village.—R.S.
14	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	Do. do.
15	II	(*a*)	The Collector asks for Rs. 1,500 for conservation and upkeep, but I do not recommend this expenditure till the place has been examined by the Archæological Surveyor.—R.S.	.. .. ..	
16	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No fixed funds, but the Trustees can provide for upkeep. (2) Yes, if caste Hindus. (3) Yes.	
17	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
18	III	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The temple is in charge of the Zemindarni of the Baharzalli estate. It is too much ruined to be saved. The *inscriptions* ought to be preserved.—R.S.
19	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) The Trustees will find funds. (2) Yes, if caste Hindus. (3) Yes.	
20	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
	DISTRICT.				
1	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but temples in charge of Dharmakartas. (2) Yes, if agency is native. (3) Yes.	
2	II	(*a*)	Rs. 50 required for clearance of vegetation. The village officers to be placed in special charge. Rs. 12 per annum to the village headman on condition of keeping the fort clear of vegetation. The Tahsildar to inspect periodically.	.. .. ..	
3	II	(*a*)	The remains should be fenced in to prevent demolition and placed in special charge of Deputy Tahsildar, who resides in the town under the hill. Rs. 100 wanted for raising wall.	.. .. ..	During my recent visit I observed that the shepherd boys are actively engaged in destroying the carvings. I think a watcher is required.—M. R. Weld, *Collector*.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2		3	4	5
			KISTNA		
4	Nandigama Taluk.	Kanakatlbi ..	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 2 ..	44	No. 2 is valuable as fixing a date for a Chola king.
5	Do. ..	Mukteyala ..	All the inscriptions	id.	Containing much historical information.
6	Do. ..	Munagalapalle ..	Inscription No. 1	45	Historical .. .. ..
7	Do. ..	Muppalla ..	The inscription of A.D. 1245.	id.	Do. .. .. ..
8	Do. ..	Kuvitapalle ..	All the six inscriptions noted.	id.	Do. .. .. ..
9	Do. ..	Peddavaram ..	Inscription No. 2	id.	Historical, fixing a date of the Ganga dynasty.
10	Do. ..	Ramireddipalle re-mained by Jonnalagadda Hill.	The "carved stone" mentioned by the Board of Revenue.	id.	This stone may be of importance.
11	Do. ..	Rivalapalle ..	Inscription No. 2	id.	Historical .. .. ..
12	Do. ..	Vishabi ..	Do. No. 3	46	Do. .. .. ..
13	Muragacha Zamindari.	Tiruvuru ..	Two inscriptions	id.	Do. .. .. ..
14	Bezwada Taluk ..	Bezwada ..	All the rock-cut shrines :— the above temples :—and inscriptions Nos. 1, 2, 3, 11—13, 16, 20, 25, 27, 33, 34, 35—36, 37, 38.	47—49	The caves are of archæological and the temples of architectural interest. The inscriptions are of historical value.
15	Do. ..	Kondapalle ..	The fort and palace on the hill.	49	All the old forts are to be conserved.
16	Do. ..	Do. ..	Inscription on a slab being on the boundary of the villages of Kondapalle and Mallapuram, dated A.D. 1529 or 1530 (?).	50	Fixing date of capture of Kondapalle by Muhammadans.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow intelligent direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
4	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but temples in charge of Dharmakartas. (2) Yes, if agency is native. (3) Yes.	
5	II	(b)	.. .. ..	The Zamindar will protect them. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but temples in charge of Trustees. (2) Yes, if native agency. (3) Yes.	
7	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but temple in charge of Trustees. (2) Yes, if native agency. (3) Yes.	
8	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
9	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
10	II	(c)	The village people are responsible, but no one now looks after it. It should be examined and measures of conservation suggested by the Survey.	.. .. ..	Report says that the stone is carved like those of the Amaravati stupa. The place should be carefully examined. The stone and two small fragments should be removed to the Taluk Office. They are very much weathered. Further excavation may possibly disclose some further remains.
11	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but temple in charge of Trustees. (2) Yes, if native agency. (3) Yes.	
12	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
13	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
14	II	(a)	Should be placed in special charge of the Tahsildar and the Village Munsiff, the latter to report once a month to Tahsildar, and the Tahsildar once a quarter to the Divisional Officer; the latter once a year to Collector. No expenditure necessary at present.	.. .. ..	
15	II	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman. Tahsildar to be allowed Rs. 50 a year for clearance of vegetation.	.. .. ..	
..	I	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	

14

Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Serial Number.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5
		KISTNA DISTRICT—(*Concluded*).		
Bezwada Taluk	Korrapalle	Inscriptions on slab north of the Parish hamlet on the way to Kaikola, dated A.D. 1470.	30	Fixing date of capture of Korrapalle by Muhammadans.
		Inscriptions east of the village, dated A.D. 1184.	44	Historically valuable
Do.	Mallâpuram	Inscriptions dated A.D. 1356.	45	Do. do.
Do.	Mogalrâjapuram	The rock-cut shrines and cells and fragments of old inscriptions.	46	Archæologically valuable
Do.	Pûnavarsh	Inscriptions dated A.D. 1107.	47	Historically valuable
Do.	Yunkipâdu	All the inscriptions noted	48	Historically very valuable
Do.	Zakkampâdu	Two inscriptions	49	Historical
Nûzvîd Zemindari	Kondapiyanivaram	The three inscriptions	51	Do.
Do.	Môdâru	Inscription on stone in street recording a battle fought by Krishnadeva Râya of Vijayanagar.	52	Do.
Do.	Purushattapatnam	Inscription No. 1	53	Do.
Gudivâda Taluk	Gudivâda	Ruined Buddhist tope, almost demolished, but masses of	52	Archæologically valuable
Do.	Do.	The Jain statue	52	Do. do.
Do.	Masâlipâdu	Two inscriptions	54	Historically valuable
Masulipatam Taluk	Avanigadda	All the inscriptions noted	53	Do. do.
Do.	Masulipatam	Three inscriptions in "Rolin-sompettah" dated A.D. 1148, 1129, 1149.	51	Do. do.
Do.	Pocina	All the inscriptions	52	Do. do.
Do.	Telagada Divi	Inscription in the temple of *Kesavasvami*; also those noted as Nos. 2 and 3.	54	Do. do.
Godâvalle Zemindari	Ghantasâla	The ruined Buddhist tope	56	Archæologically valuable
Do.	Nidumâlu	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 2	57	Historical
Do.	Pedda Kallipâdu	The inscription of A.D. 1292; also those numbered 8, 9, 10.	54, 55	Historically valuable
Do.	Sakkâjanam	Inscriptions Nos. 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 27, 29.	55	Do. do.
Palnâd Taluk	Bugga	Some of the sculpture on the ruined temple is good.	53 and App. A. xxvi.	Architecturally valuable
		Inscription of A.D. 1318	56	Historical
Do.	Chintapalle	Do. of A.D. 1304	56	Do.
Do.	Dachepalle	(*a*) Inscription of A.D. 1219. (*b*) Inscriptions and inscriptions on pillars of *Sambhunigudi*. (*c*) the temple called *Nâgabandhagudi*.	56, 57.	Valuable for sculpture and historically.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
		DISTRICT—(*Continued*).			
16	I	(*a*)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	..	
	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
17	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
18	II	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
19	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
20	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
21	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
22	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
23	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
24	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
25	III	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
26	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
27	I	(*a*)	Do. do.	..	
28	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.	
29	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.	
30	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. but funds available.	
31	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. but no funds.	
32	III	(*a*)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
33	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	The Deputy Collector reports that these inscriptions are not in existence, but he is probably mistaken.—R.S.
34	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.	
35	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
36	II	(*a*)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	Do. do.	
..	II	(*a*)	Do. do.	Do. do.	
37	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
38	(*a*) I, (*b*) III, (*c*) II.	(*a*)	Rs. 150 required for a compound wall to protect the *Nayakavârâlagudi* temple, and to remove to it the inscriptions of the *Sambhunigudi*.	.. .. ..	

Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5

KISTNA

39	Palnad Taluk	Durivemula	Inscription of A.D. 1819	57	Historical	.. .. ..
40	Do.	Durgi	The block of ruined temples in the middle of the town, and all the inscriptions there. Also the sculptures on the mound east of the town.	56, and App. A, xix, xx.	Architecturally and historically valuable.	
41	Do.	Gurzala	Inscriptions (1) of Rāja Raja Narendra, (2) dated A.D. 1050, (3) of Tirumala.	58, and App. A, xxiv.	Historical	
42	Do.	Gutikonda	The cave in the hill	58, and App. A, xvii.	Archæologically interesting ..	
43	Do.	Jetipalem	Rock-cut cave	58	Do. do. ..	
44	Do.	Kiravapadu	All the inscriptions in the temple of Śrīrāmeśvara. Also the two-storeyed mantapa.	59, and App. A, xiii.	Architecturally and historically valuable.	
45	Do.	Mādāsala	Inscriptions Nos. 4, 5, 7, 9 ..	60, and App. A, xxiv.	Historical	
46	Do.	Madavaram	The sculptured remains lately discovered, and the ground round them.	60	Archæologically valuable ..	
47	Do.	Marukūru	Inscription of A.D. 1268, and another of Ganapatidēva.	60 and App. A, xxiii.	Historical	
48	Do.	Narikuḍu	Inscription of A.D. 1212 ..	61	Do.	
49	Do.	Oggidarla	Inscriptions of A.D. 1299 and 1311.	61 and App. A, xxv.	Do.	
50	Do.	Pattapādu	Inscription of Krishnadēva Rāya.	61	Do.	
51	Do.	Sugarmūḍi	Inscription of A.D. 1518 ..	62 and App. A, xvi.	Do.	
52	Do.	Śrīrāmpuram	Do. of A.D. 1295 ..	62	Do.	
53	Do.	Tangeḍa	Inscriptions of A.D. 1372 and 1502.	id.	Do.	
54	Do.	Tēkūru	The temple called Rūpalamangapuram, and the deserted temples with sculptures and inscriptions called Śrīdappaguḍu.	62 and App. A, xxiii, xxiv.	Architecturally and historically valuable.	
55	Do.	Velidurti ..	(a) Sculpture of a battle-scene on a slab near a well, and (b) the inscription dated A.D. 1130 at the temple of Durgā.	63 and App. A, xxiv.	Archæologically and historically valuable.	

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10

DISTRICT—(*Continued*).

39	I	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
40	II	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
41	I	(b)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
42	II	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
43	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds required. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
44	I	(a)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
45	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. but funds available.	
46	III	(b)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
47	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
48	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
49	I	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
50	I	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
51	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
52	I	(b)	.. .. ..	This is in the private land of a ryot. He can be induced to look after it carefully.	
53	I	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
54	II	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
55	I	(a)	The inscribed stones should be collected in one place, and protected by a masonry building. This will cost Rs. 50.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Classification.		Reason why conservation is necessary.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				KISTNA DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
56	Sattenapalle, or Amaravati Kṛishṇa Taluk	Amarāvati	The Buddhist topo	63, 64	I	(c)	Should be fenced round with a bank covered with prickly-pear, so as to enclose the stupa 50 yards of ground all round it outside the rail. This will cost Rs. 150. A watchman to be permanently employed living in a house built at the gate of the enclosure. The house will cost Rs. 100. The man to be paid Rs. 7 a month.	..	The Archæological Survey will report on further details of conservation.
57	Do.	Do.	The old Fort of Dharanikóta	65	II	(a)	The same watchman can guard this. The village officers to be placed in special charge.	..	
58	Do.	Do.	Carved marbles in a well near the topo	66	I	(a)	These might all be collected and placed inside the fence. Cost Rs. 100 as the wall must be rebuilt.	..	
59	Do.	Do.	Carved marbles at the Śivagangā tank	67	I	(a)	These might also be removed and placed inside the fence.	..	
60	Do.	Do.	Inscriptions on a rock a mile west of Dharanikóta	67	I	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	..	
61	Do.	Do.	All the inscriptions at the *Amareśvara* temple	..	I	(b)	..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.	
62	Do.	Bellamkonda	The fort	64	II	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman, with an annual grant of Rs. 24 for clearance of vegetation.	..	
63	Do.	Dharanikóta	Do.	65	..	..	..	..	(*See above under* Amarāvati).
64	Do.	Peruguparam	Inscription of A.D. 1409	65	II	(a)	To be placed in charge of the village headman.	..	
65	Do.	Kesavaram	Do. of A.D. 1552	66	II	(a)	Do. do.	..	
66	Do.	Munagoda	Both the inscriptions	66	II	(a)	Do. do.	..	
67	Do.	Pandem	Inscription of A.D. 1231	66	II	(a)	Do. do.	..	
68	Do.	Penna Makkena	Inscriptions of A.D. 1160, 1175	66	II	(b)	..	Stands in private land. It is cared for by owners.	
69	Do.	Ezuttlen	Inscription of A.D. 1245	66	II	(b)	..	In charge of Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindus. (3) Yes.	
70	Do.	Śrīparam	Do. of A.D. 1165	66	II	(a)	The stone stands in a jungle. It might be fenced round with a wall cost Rs. 5 and placed in charge of village headman.	..	
71	Do.	Do.	Historical and of much importance	..	I	(b)	..	In charge of Temple Trustees. (1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	

Serial Number	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument &c.	Date.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
			KISTNA		
71	Vinukonda Taluk.	Agnampudu	Temple of *Venkatesa*, and inscriptions.	65	Historical. The temple is said to be architecturally important.
72	Do.	Inumella	Inscriptions of A.D. 1254, and another of earlier date.	66	Historical ... ...
73	Do.	Kammamettapalli	Inscriptions of A.D. 1080 and 1202.	68	Do. ... ...
74	Do.	Singayapalli	Inscription on a rock	67	Do. ... ...
75	Do.	Tamchuvaripalem	The leading Zemindris maintained.	64	Do.
76	Do.	Vallabhapuram	Inscription on a rock near temple.	64	Do.
77	Do.	Vinukonda	The fort	64	All forts must be conserved.
78	Pedana Taluk.	Chandra	The old temple and stone inscription of A.D. 1178.	58	The premises are of great age, and the inscription of historical value.
79	Do.	Dupadu	Inscription of A.D. 1030	59	Historical ... ...
80	Do.	Gudivada	Do. of A.D. 1179	60	Do. ... ...
81	Do.	Kharra	Inscription of A.D. 1116, &c.	61	Do. ... ...
82	Do.	Kondapalli	Inscriptions on pillars in the Fort	62	Do. ... ...
83	Do.	Kondavidu	Inscription near temple of Venkatesa. Date possibly A.D. 1515.	63	Do. ... ...
84	Do.	Kotagiri	The fort	70, 71	All old forts are to be conserved.
85	Do.	Kuchipudi	The dancing girls maintained.	69	Historical ... ...
86	Do.	Mudunuru	The dancing girls maintained.	69	Historical ... ...
87	Do.	Pedana	The fort	70, 71	All old forts are to be conserved.
88	Do.	Pedana	Inscription of A.D. 1178	72	Historical ... ...
89	Do.	Pedana	The old temple and stone inscription of A.D. 1178.	58	The premises are of great age, and the inscription of historical value.
90	Do.	Pedana	Inscription of A.D. 1178	72	Historical ... ...
91	Do.	Pedana	Inscription of A.D. 1178	72	Do. ... ...
92	Do.	Pedana	Inscription on a pillar in the Fort	72	Do. ... ...
93	Do.	Pedana	Inscription near temple of Venkatesa. Date possibly A.D. 1515.	63	Do. ... ...
94	Do.	Pedana	The fort	70, 71	All old forts are to be conserved.
95	Do.	Pedana	The dancing girls maintained.	69	Historical ... ...
96	Do.	Pedana	Temple profusely sculptured.	73	Architectural ... ...
97	Do.	Pedana	The dancing girls maintained.	69	Historical ... ...

Serial Number	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
71	II	(a)	In charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
72	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
73	II	(a)	In charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
74	II	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
75	I	(b)	.. .. ..	The owners take every care of them.	
76	II	(b)	In charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
77	II	(a)	Do. do. and of the Tahsildar. No expenditure required.	.. .. ..	
78	II	(a)	To be placed in special charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	The temple is in a ruined state. It is not worth while to conserve it.—R. SOOBLA RAU, *Deputy Collector*.
79	I	(b)	.. .. ..	The Zemindar is the Trustee.	
80	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
81	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
82	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
83	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
84	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
85	II	(a)	May be placed in special charge of Tahsildar. Rs. 24 per annum to be paid to village headman for clearance of vegetation.	.. .. ..	
	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
	II	(a)	This temple is in ruins. The *mandapam* is utilized by the village community.	.. .. ..	
	III	(a)			
86	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5
				KISTNA
87	Nuzvidu Taluk	Nuzvidu	Inscription on stone on the roof of the temple of Bhimesvara.	71 Historical .. .. ..
			The fort and palace of the former Zemindars.	72, and App. A, xi. Interesting as specimens of domestic architecture.
88	Do. ..	Vellanadu ..	All the inscriptions in the temple of Rāmalingasvāmi.	74 Historical .. .. ..
89	Gudiwada Taluk ..	Kolanu or Bolda Kolanu	Inscription of A.D. 1270 on stone in front of the temple of Gopālasvāmi, and one of A.D. 1518.	74Z. Do. .. .. ..
90	Do. ..	Kāza ..	Inscriptions at the Vishnu temple, dated A.D. 1144, and 1249.	75 Do. .. .. ..
91	Do. ..	Mangalagiri ..	Inscription on pillar lying near foot of steps leading to temple on hill.	75A. Do. .. .. ..
			Inscription of A.D. 1558 by an Orissan prince.	75B. Do. .. .. ..
92	Do. ..	Nilamuddalu ..	Inscription No. 1	75C. Do. .. .. ..
93	Do. ..	Peddipalli ..	Inscription No. 1 at the temple of Pānḍesvarasvāmi, and the inscription at the temple of Venugopālasvāmi.	76 Do. .. .. ..
94	Do. ..	Undavalle ..	The great cave temple, shrines, mandapams, and inscriptions.	76, 77 Archæologically valuable ..
95	Do. ..	Venamadala ..	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 3 at the temple of Vīrēsvarasvāmi.	77 Historical .. .. ..
96	Repalle Taluk ..	Bhattiprolu ..	The Buddhist remains ..	77, 78 Archæologically valuable ..
			The inscriptions at temples of Vithanēsvara and Mallēsvara.	78 Historical .. .. ..
97	Do. ..	Chandavolu ..	Inscription No. 4 dated A.D. 1376.	78 Do. .. .. ..
98	Do. ..	Kolakaluru ..	Inscriptions of A.D. 1318, 1360, 1362, 1318.	79 Do. .. .. ..
99	Do. ..	Kollūru	All the inscriptions ..	80 Do. .. .. ..
100	Do. ..	Nandivadagu ..	Inscription of A.D. 1132 ..	79, 80 Do. .. .. ..
101	Do. ..	Nēdubrōlu ..	Do. of A.D. 1132 ..	80 Do. .. .. ..
102	Do. ..	Vellaturu ..	(See Bhattiprolu above) ..	.. ..

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monuments, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
87	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
	II	(b)	.. .. ..	The present Zemindar is in possession. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
88	III	(a)	In charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
89	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
90	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
91	I	(a)	This should be removed, and set up at the Deputy Tahsildar's Office, and placed in his special charge. Cost Rs. 5.	.. .. ..	
	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
92	II	(a)	To be placed in charge of village headman.	.. .. ..	
93	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
94	II	(a)	Nothing required except that once a year the temples and shrines should be thoroughly swept out and cleaned of débris. For this purpose the Deputy Tahsildar of Mangalagiri might be authorized to spend Rs. 5 a year, and ordered to report to his Divisional officer. The latter to report to Collector.	.. .. ..	
95	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
96	I	(a)	The officers of the Department of Public Works have been consulted, and consent to remove the marbles which have been used for the canal sluice, and convey them to the Museum at Bezvāda for safe custody. Orders should be passed to this effect by Government. Rs. 200 would cover cost.	.. .. ..	
	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
97	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
98	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
99	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
100	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
101	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
102	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
103	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
104	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
105	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
106	I	(a)	This very important inscription should be removed to the Museum at Bezvada for safe custody.	.. .. ..	It is reported not now to be found in the village. The Collector has been addressed on the subject.—R.S.
107	I	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	This also is reported to have disappeared.—R.S.
108	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	(*See above* Chinna Ganzam. The two slabs appear to be broken pieces of one inscribed stone).
109	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
110	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
111	II	(a) (b)	The village headman will protect those in possession of Government. No expenditure required.	Do. do. for those in possession of the Temple Trustees.	The copper-plates should be sent to the Archæological Surveyor.
112	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
113	II	(a)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
114	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
DISTRICT.					
1	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds required. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
2	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	The inscription lies on a stone formerly erect, but now used as a step. The custodians have promised to preserve the inscribed portion. This should be attended to.
3	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
4	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
5	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
6	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
7	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
8	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
9	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
10	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
11	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
12	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
13	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
14	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
15	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Vol. I of the Survey List and Page thereof.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4		5
			KARNUL DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
16	Nandikotkur Taluk.	Bangantivaram ...	The rock-cut cave and inscriptions.	90	Archæologically important ...
17	Do. ...	Srisailam ...	The temple and an inscription of A.D. 1516.	90, 91	Do. also historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
18	Do. ...	Vaidanasu ...	Inscriptions of A.D. 1563 and 1544.	91	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
19	Ismailakota Taluk.	Gonullu ...	Do. of A.D. 1585 and one of 1195.	92	Do. do. ...
20	Patikonda Taluk ...	Devarakonda ...	The fort	93	... ...
21	Do. ...	Kunirapadu ...	Two inscriptions, dated A.D. 1568.	*id.*	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
22	Nandyal Taluk ...	Ayalûru ...	Inscriptions of A.D. 1531 and 1528, and a Chola inscription.	93, 94	Do. Vijayanagar and Chola dynasties.
23	Do. ...	Banâli Anaskûru.	Inscription of A.D. 1536 ...	94	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
24	Do. ...	Betam Cheruvu ...	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 2, A.D. 1548 and 1545.	*id.*	Do. do. ...
25	Do. ...	Bilakala gudûru ...	Inscription of A.D. 1307 ...	*id.*	Do. Orangal dynasty ...
26	Do. ...	Gadigenûvula ...	Do. of A.D. 1542 ...	*id.*	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
27	Do. ...	Guntanâla ...	Do. of A.D. 1547 ...	95	Do. do. ...
28	Do. ...	Kalva	Inscriptions of A.D. 1560 and 1520.	*id.*	Do. do. ...
29	Do. ...	Mahânandi ...	The temple and its inscriptions.	*id.*	Architecturally and historically valuable.
30	Do. ...	Nandyâl	Two inscriptions of A.D. 1547.	*id.*	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
31	Do. ...	Pinnâp	Three inscriptions of A.D. 1559, 1509, and 1563.	95, 96	Do. do. ...
32	Do. ...	Pedda Kânâla ...	Two inscriptions of A.D. 1559 and 1547.	96	Do. do. ...
33	Do. ...	Pulimaddi ...	Inscription of A.D. 1533 ...	*id.*	Do. do. ...
34	Do. ...	Oruvakallu ...	Do. of A.D. 1534 ...	*id.*	Do. do. ...
35	Do. ...	Yerragudla ...	Do. of A.D. 1451 ...	*id.*	Do. do. ...
36	Kumbam Taluk ...	Basâpalle ...	Do. of A.D. 1501 ...	97	Do. do. ...

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals, (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
16	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds required. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
17	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	A place of great antiquity and interest but very little known.—J.B.
18	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
19	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
20	II	(*a*)	Further dismantling should be forbidden.	Do. do.	
21	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
22	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
23	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
24	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
25	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
26	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
27	III	(*b*)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
28	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
29	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
30	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
31	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
32	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
33	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
34	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
35	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
36	II	(*a*)	The stone is near a deserted temple. The Divisional Officer has had a shed erected over it to save it.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Classification.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
					KARNUL DISTRICT—(*Continued*).
37	Kurnool Taluk	Dadanapalle	Two inscriptions of A.D. 1312 and 1491.	97	Historical,—Orangal dynasty ..
38	Do.	Digavametta	Inscription dated in the year Srimukha.	II, 263	Do. A local dynasty ..
39	Do.	Konunagiru	Do. dated A.D. 1240.	97, 98	Probably of historical value ..
40	Do.	Tadicharla	Do. of Ranga Raja ..	99	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
41	Do.	Turimilla	Do. of A.D. 1340 ..	id.	Do. do. ..
42	Do.	Vayyalavela	Do. of A.D. 1385 ..	id.	Do. do. ..
43	Koilkuntla Taluk	Abbireddipalle	Do. of A.D. 1544 ..	id.	Do. do. ..
44	Do.	Donnipadu	Inscriptions of A.D. 1538 and 1567.	id.	Do. do. ..
45	Do.	Gulladurti	Inscription of A.D. 1578 ..	id.	Do. do. ..
46	Do.	Injedu	Four inscriptions of A.D. 1533, 1539, 1553, and 1554.	id.	Do. do. ..
47	Do.	Koilkuntla	Inscription of A.D. 1643 ..	id.	Do. do. ..
48	Do.	Kolimigundla	Temples and inscriptions of the Chola dynasty.	id.	These ought to be architecturally and historically valuable.
49	Do.	Nandavaram	The local chronicles mentioned.	160	These may be important and should be carefully preserved.
50	Do.	Nichcametla	Inscription of A.D. 1555 ..	id.	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
51	Do.	Sandarallimo	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 2 ..	101	Do. do. ..
52	Sirvel Taluk	Ahôbilam	The temples and all the inscriptions.	id.	Do. do. the temples are architecturally valuable.
53	Do.	Chintakunta	Inscriptions Nos. 2 and 3 ..	102	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
54	Do.	Digura Ahôbilam	.. .. ..	..	.. ..

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
37	II	(a)	The inscription of A.D. 1312 is in a deserted temple. It should be preserved where it is or else removed to the *mandapam* which contains the other inscription, and which is in good condition.	.. .. ..	
38	II	(a)	This is now safely preserved in the Taluk Cutcherry.	.. .. ..	
39	II	(a)	The Divisional Officer has had a shed erected over this.	.. .. ..	
40	II	(b)	.. .. ..	In custody of Shrotriyamdârs who take care of it.	
41	II	(a)	A shed has been erected over it.	.. .. ..	
42	II	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
43	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available, with Temple Dharmakartas. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
44	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
45	I	(a)	Rs. 3 required for repair to this slab by Tahsildar.	.. .. ..	
46	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available, with Temple Dharmakartas. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
47	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
48	I	(a)	The temples are in ruins. The inscribed slabs should be removed to a place of safety. Rs. 50 wanted for this purpose.	.. .. ..	
49	I	(a)	The necessary orders have been issued.	.. .. ..	
50	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available, with Temple Dharmakartas. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
51	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
52	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
53	III	(b)	.. .. ..	Impossible to save them.	
54	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	(*See* Ahôbilam).

Serial Number	Taluk	Village	Name of Monument, &c.	Survey Number	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
					BELLARY
1	Kudligi Taluk	Basarakodu	A "temple in a cave"	320	May be archæologically important.
2	Bellary Taluk	Kudatani	The Jain *basties*, and the monuments generally await examination by the Archæological Surveyor. *The inscriptions* (page 322) are reported on as follows:— (The list is given as now reported, not as given in Vol. I, *List of Antiquities*.) 1. *Inscriptions* at the temple of Kumaraswami. (*a*) *One in old Canarese, on a large stone*, bearing date Vikramarka Saka 12 Bahudhanya (1008 A.D.).—(I. H.)	104, 322 323	*Inscription* of historical importance. Some may be found to belong to the Chola dynasty. Buildings of architectural value.
3	Do.	Kudatarai	(*b*) *Two large and 8 small slabs bearing "undecipherable" inscriptions* are let in to the base of the *pagal* of the *mandapam*. 2. *Votary, their inscription.* (*c*) *On a large stone at a well, "undecipherable" from old age.* 3. *Lingam, a Jaina inscription.* (*d*) *On a stone plinth in a "gopuram" "undecipherable" from old age and injury.* 4. *Lingam, Jaina inscription.* (*e*) *On a small stone in a gopuram in front of the ruined building so called "Undecipherable."* 5. *Vishnavite temple inscription.* (*f*) *On a large stone in front of temple. Illegible.* 6. *Hanumanthi inscription. Inside the mosque is an inscription on a large stone "Illegible."* 7. *Inscription at gate of village, on a large stone. Not easily decipherable.*	id. id. id. id. id.	
4	Hadagalli Taluk	Duggatti	*Inscriptions* at the temple of Ramaswami.	id. id.	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
5	Do.	Hullahalli	*Inscription* at temple of Ankalamma.	103	Do. do.

Serial Number	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
					DISTRICT.
1	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. .. (2) Inspection allowed. (3) Yes. No subsidy required.	The duty is performed by the Temple priest, who enjoys 14 acres of land granted for the purpose.
2	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) The endowment is small consisting of 42 acres of dry land. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
3	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) The endowment is small consisting of 42 acres of dry land. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The custodians ask for a grant of Rs. 25 to enable them to secure all the *inscriptions* in a *mantapam*, and mend the wall of the building. This does not seem necessary at present.
	III	(*a*)	No need for expenditure. Slab to be in custody of village headman.	.. .. ..	
	III	(*a*)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
	III	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No expenditure necessary. (2) Yes. (3) Yes. Slab to be guarded by village headman.	This is the inscription alluded to in the *List of Antiquities*, Vol. I, p. 322, as the *inscription* at the temple of *Basaveswara*. An image of that deity stood on the site of the *matham*.
	III	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
	III	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	There is no need to do anything at present. The slab is quite safe.
	III	(*a*)	No need for expenditure. Slab to be in custody of village headman.	.. .. ..	
4	II	(*a*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) No, because of poverty.	It is reported that for the present no steps are necessary but to order the village officials to guard the *inscription* from injury. It was abandoned till ten years ago.
5	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The priest has an allowance of Rs. 2-14-8 *per mensem* from the State.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4		5
			BELLARY DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
6	Hospet Taluk ...	Assetasiyanagudi.	The large ruined temple ...	105	Of great architectural interest.
7	Do. ...	Kalli Rampuram.	*Inscription* of A.D. 1678 ...	*id.*	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
8	Do. ...	Malapannagudi ...	*Do.* of A.D. 1410 ...	*id.*	Do. do. ...
9	Do. ...	Sankalapuram ...	*Do.* of A.D. 1513 ...	*id.*	Do. do. ...
10	Do. ...	Vijayanagar ...	Temple of *Vithalasvāmi* ...	106	Of great architectural importance.
	Do. ...	Small temple west from *Vithalasvāmi* temple.		107	Two historical inscriptions on walls.
	Do. ...	Temple of *Sreeranganāthasvāmi*.		106	Architectural and historical importance. Has some fine blackstone sculptures, and inscriptions.
	Do. ...	Group of Jaina temples south of *Sreerangapatisvāmi* temple.		*id.*	Architecturally interesting ...
	Do. ...	Temple of *Krishnasvāmi* ...		*id.*	Of archæological and historical importance.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies of individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
6	II	(*a*)	The village officials must be strictly warned not to allow any injury to the building.	.. .. ..	This building is well deserving of some conservation. Rs. 10 a year might be spent by the Collector in clearing the masonry of vegetation.
7	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) It is in charge of the manager of the *Śaṅkarabhāratisvāmi matham* at Hampi. Funds are available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
8	I	(*a*)	Should be placed under supervision of the village officers.	.. .. ..	
9	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In charge of the Manager of the *Śaṅkarabhāratisvāmi matham* at Hampi. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
10	I	(*a*)	Deserted. Some beams in the *gōpuras and Mahāmandapam* should be propped up; a brick pillar in *Mahamandapam* removed, and a stone put in its place. Some stones of the large central cornice to be prevented from falling.	.. .. ..	The remains at Vijayanagar are of great value, and should be conserved in as efficient a way as is consistent with a wise economy. Some restorations have already been made on this building.
	I	(*a*)	Inscribed stones to be securely fixed.	.. .. ..	Inscriptions are on east wall of temple, on separate stones, several are in imminent danger of falling out. If they fall they will be completely smashed.
	..	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Ample funds. The temple is largely endowed, and is much frequented by pilgrims from all parts of India. (2) To a certain extent. (3) Much damage already been done by whitewash.	
	I	(*a*)	All deserted. Vegetation should be prevented from taking root; some rubbish cleared out; and people prevented from removing stones.	.. .. ..	
	I	(*a*)	Deserted. Vegetation should be removed, and the village official at Hampi directed to prevent any further damage by digging or carrying off materials.	.. .. ..	The paving of *Arddhamandapam* is much damaged and one small temple considerably off the vertical. Another similar small temple with a long and important inscription should be well looked after.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Number.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
BELLARY DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
10	Hospet Taluka	Vijayanagar	Temple of *Achutarāyaswāmi*.	..	Of archæological and historical importance, with several important inscriptions.
Do.	..	..	Large monolithic statue of *Narasimha*.	107	Of archæological interest ..
Do.	..	..	Palace buildings, including—Council room, Concert hall, Zenāna, Elephant stables, 3 towers, and Queen's baths.	..	Of architectural and archæological interest.
Do.	..	..	The *Mahānavami Dibba* or throne.	..	Of architectural and archæological importance.
Do.	..	..	Temple of *Hazāra Rāma* ..	104	Of architectural and historical interest.
Do.	..	..	2 Pavilions west from temple of *Hazāra Rāma*.	..	Of architectural and archæological interest.
Do.	..	..	Temple of *Malanāta Raghavēshwara*.	..	Of archæological interest ..
Do.	..	..	Temple of *Ganapathi* near Kamalāpuram.	107	Of architectural and historical interest.
Do.	..	..	Temple of *Pattabi Rameswara* near Kamalāpuram.	..	Of archæological interest ..
Do.	..	..	Houses in Hampi bazār ..	..	Of architectural and archæological interest.
Do.	..	..	A large inscribed stone a short distance east from *Krishna* temple.	..	Historical .. .. ..

Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monuments, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
1	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).				
10	I (a)	Deserted. All vegetation should be kept under.	.. .. ..	
	II (c)	Deserted. Any wanton destruction to be guarded against.	.. .. ..	
	I (a)	All deserted. Plants should be prevented from taking root. Perforated stucco balustrades and arabesques should, as far as possible, be prevented from falling, and roofs made watertight.	.. .. ..	Great care should be exercised in any repairs to the stucco arabesques. No plain plastering over places where ornament has been. At the Queen's baths, some balcony piers which originally had diaper patterns on their faces have been smoothed over, entirely destroying the effect and character of the design.
	I (a)	Deserted. Some blackstone portions of the west façade lying around, should be collected, and replaced or put in a safe place.	.. .. ..	The earth covering the north side, should be removed to ascertain if it is covered with beautiful sculptures similar to those on the south side.
	I (a)	Deserted. Vegetation should be kept under, and treasure seekers prevented committing havoc by digging.	.. .. ..	
	I (a)	Deserted. Stucco ornaments might be looked to, and any vegetation removed.	.. .. ..	
	I (a)	A pujāri has lately taken possession. Plants should be removed; the walls of the shrine repaired to prevent them falling; and roof made watertight.	.. .. ..	
	I (a)	Deserted. The inscription on the *dandas* should be looked after by the village official at Kamalāpuram.	.. .. ..	
	I (a)	Vegetation prevented taking root.	.. .. ..	
	I (a)	.. .. ..	These are under the charge of the Hampi temple authorities.	They are much defaced by mud walls; and an unlimited annual application of whitewash.
	I (a)	Not in connection with any temple; should be removed to Madras Museum, or at least, a place of safety.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Period to which the Monument belongs (Century, Dynasty, &c.)	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
			BELLARY		
11	Huvinahadgalli Taluk.	Magali	Temple of *Somēśvara* ..	108	Of architectural value .. ..
12	Do. ..	Hirahadagalli ..	Temple of *Kallēśvara* ..	107	A very beautifully-carved black-stone temple of much architectural and archæological importance. There are also several large inscribed stones.
13	Sandur Zemindari	The temple of *Kumārasvāmi*.	Temple, cave, and *inscriptions*.	*id.*	The temple is of importance archæologically and architecturally especially the temple of *Pārvati*, which is of stone to the summit and has a waggon-shaped roof like that of the *Bhima Ratha* at Mahābalipuram or "The Seven Pagodas."
14	Do. ..	Sandūr	*The copper-plate* document ..	*id.*	?
15	Harpanahalli Taluk.	Guḍihalli ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1527 ..	103	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.
16	Do. ..	Halavagalu ..	*Do.* of A.D. 1282 ..	*id.*	Historical,—Dynasty doubtful.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
11	I	(*a*)	Deserted. It has some very beautiful sculpture. If no repairs are now carried out it is likely to fall in the course of a few years. The rain leaking through the roof is injuring it. Rs. 62 is required to mend the roof, and secure the building for the time. The village officers should be responsible for the safe custody of the building.	.. .. ..	Plants should *at once* be removed and stones propped up. The fallen sculptures are scattered about the village. These should be collected; the people say they have no funds; Rs. 20 would remove the plants, and fix some of the sculptures. The Tahsildar of Huvinahadgalli should see that the money was properly applied, and none of it used for whitewash.
12	I	(*b*)	Temple is in use, but no attention is paid to its repair. A considerable portion of the fine tower has fallen through the action of the roots of plants. Conservation is urgently required to prevent the entire tower falling.	.. .. ..	
13	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Ample funds. The temple is largely endowed; is much frequented by pilgrims from all parts of India.	The Rāja has expressed a hope that he may not be called upon to make any large outlay this year, as the temple resources have of late been diminished by unfavorable seasons.
				(2) The priests object to Europeans inside the temple, but the Rāja of Sandur, and his brother, who is the *Dharmakarta* of the temple, consent to allow a caste native of the D.P.W. or Archæological Department to inspect the whole and carry out repairs at temple cost. (3) The whole temple from base to summit is now a mass of thick whitewash. The Rāja and his brother consent to clear off the whitewash, and keep it clear henceforth.	
14	..	(*b*)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	The plate was examined by Mr. Fleet. He agrees with the compiler in thinking it not genuine. It is dated Ś. 1210 (A.D.) 1288, and purports to record a grant of land to the village carpenters by a sovereign named Krishna Rāja.
15	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) The temple has no endowment, but the inscription is in good order, and all that is necessary is to make the village officers responsible that it is not injured by whitewash. The priest should be warned. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
16	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Same remark as in the case of the Guḍihalli temple. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The [illegible] *slab* is in the temple of *Kallēśvara*.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of white-wash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
17	III	(*a*)	It is said to be unintelligible owing to decay.	.. .. ..	
18	II	(*a*)	No funds necessary, only village officers must be made to take care of it.	.. .. ..	
19	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. The Temple Committee are in charge. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The *inscription* stands in the Karnam's *Inâm* land, and is at present surrounded with prickly pear.
	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	It stands in a field called "*Made chêru.*"
20	II or III	(*a*)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	The fort is reported to be considerably ruined, and the stones to be much injured by vegetation. It will cost a considerable sum to clear it all off.
	I or II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(*See Column* 8)	*Inscription* No. 4. This is close to the cave hermitage. It relates to the history of *Śāraṅgadhara*, but it is said to be covered with whitewash.
	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. The *inscriptions* are all in charge of the Bidarakunta Temple Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
DISTRICT.					
1	II	(*a*)	The Collector suggests the employment of a watchman on Rs. 5.	.. .. ..	I think this is hardly necessary. Such a man would not live in the fort. It will probably suffice to place the Tahsildar, whose head-quarters are close to the rock, in special charge with orders to report through the Collector to the Archæological Surveyor every six months on its condition.—R.S.
2	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No expenditure required. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
3	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
4	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
5	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) The temple authorities have funds and will keep the buildings in good order. *The inscriptions* are inside the temple. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	*The inscription* is on a stone inside the temple of *Âñjaneya*, which is in charge of the village community.—R.S.
7	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
8	II	(*a*)	Village officers to be ordered to look after it.	.. .. ..	
9	II	(*a*)	Do. do.	.. .. ..	
10	II	(*a*)	Do. do.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
	1	2	3	4	5
			ANANTAPUR		
11	Penukonda Taluk	Penukonda	The fort and the buildings therein, the old palace and all the mosques.	119, 120	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty. The buildings are architecturally valuable.
12	Madakasira Taluk	Hemavati	*Inscriptions* Nos. 1 and 2	120, 121	Historical.—Cholá and Hoysala Ballála dynasties.
13	Do.	Tumnadhalli	*Inscription* of A.D. 1241	121	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
14	Hindupur Taluk	Chilamathur	Do. of A.D. 1387	44	Do. do.
15	Do.	Lepakshi	*The four inscriptions noted*	124	Do. do.
			KADAPA		
1	Proddatur Taluk	Gandikota	The Hill-Fort with its buildings and temples.	123	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
2	Do.	Chennampalle	*Inscription* in the temple of Ramaswámi.	124	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
3	Do.	Chilamakúru	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1534	44	Do. do.
4	Do.	Nágalapuram	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1343-1349	125	Do. do.
5	Badvél Taluk	Kattamapalle	*All the inscriptions noted*	126	Do. do.
6	Do.	Peluguttilapadu	*Inscriptions Nos.* 1, 2	44	Historical.—Vijayanagar and a local dynasty.
7	Do.	Porumamilla	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1369 and 1514; also others newly discovered, of date 1525, 1545-1547.	44	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
8	Do.	Vontimitta	*Inscription* of A.D. 1503	44	Do. do.
9	Pulivendla Taluk	Pereyallu	*Do.* of A.D. 1479	127	Do. do.
10	Kadapa Taluk	Ardavádi	*Do.* of A.D. 1585	44	Do. do.
11	Do.	Pillagundla	*Do.* of A.D. 1602	44	Do. do.
12	Do.	Yerramukkapalle	*Do.* of A.D. 1551	128	Do. do.
13	Siddhavattam Taluk	Gopa Peruru	*Do.* on a stone near a hill near the village.	44	Do. do.
14	Do.	Do.	*Inscription* on the compound wall of the east gate of the fort.	44	Historical ... ...

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge of Government.	In case of monuments in charge of private bodies be maintained: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the authorities be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
11	II	(a)	Rupees 20 might be placed at command of Collector for clearance of vegetation from walls. Rs. 50 for collecting scattered sculptures and placing them in safety.	.. .. ..	The Collector suggests the erection of a building for the purpose of collecting and storing articles of sculpture, of which many are to be found about the place; but it would seem sufficient to store them in the Head Assistant Collector's Office or Taluk Cutcherry.
12	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
13	II	(a)	Village officers to be ordered to look after it.	.. .. ..	
14	II	(a)	Do. do.	.. .. ..	
15	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
			DISTRICT		
1	I	(a)	The Collector considers it sufficient to give the village headman Re. 1 *per annum* for clearance of vegetation, under supervision of Taluk Tahsildar.	.. .. ..	
2	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) In charge of Temple Committee which has funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
3	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
4	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
5	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	II	(a)	*The inscriptions* are lying exposed to weather and can be removed at trifling cost to the village choultry or other safe place.	.. .. ..	
7	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but *inscriptions* are safe inside temple in charge of Temple Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
8	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
9	II	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
10	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
11	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
12	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
13	II	(a)	The stone has exposed and should be removed at trifling cost and placed in charge of village headman at the village choultry.	.. .. ..	
14	II	(a)	Might be roofed over and protected from weather at a cost of Rs. 10.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Col. 7 of Chap. III of List of Ancient Monuments in India, Local Archæological Circle.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
					KADAPA
15	Siddhavattam Taluk.	Penna Perura ..	Two rock-cut caves	130	Probably of archæological interest.
16	Do. ..	Vantimitta ..	Temple of *Kodanda Ramasvami*. *Inscription* of A.D. 1555, 1558. Two caves in the hill.	*id.*	The caves are of archæological interest, the temple architecturally important and *the inscriptions* historical, relating to the Vijayanagar dynasty.
17	Rayachōti Taluk.	Rayachōti ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1223 ..	130, 131	Historical .. .. ..
18	Pullampeti Taluk.	Nandalūru ..	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1431, 1534.	131	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
19	Madanapalle Taluk.	Kandukūru ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1567 ..	132	Do. do. ..
20	Do. ..	Mulkāla Cheruvu.	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1205 and 1473.	133	Historical .. .. ..
21	Vayalpād Taluk ..	Devalapalle ..	*Copper-plate inscription* of A.D. 1305.	134	Historical. A local dynasty. (?) Genealogy of five generations is given.
22	Do. ..	Gundlūru ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1592 ..	*id.*	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
23	Do. ..	Gurramkonda ..	The Hill-Fort	*id.*	All hill-forts to be conserved ..
			Tomb of Razā 'Ali Khān, uncle of Tipū Sultān.		.. .. ..
					NELLUR
1	Rapūr	Rapūr	Fort	Rapūr Fort in p. 146, Vol. I.	Valuable architecturally and historically.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
15	II	(*a*)	No expenditure necessary. To be placed in charge of village officers.	.. .. ..	
16	II	(*a*) (*b*)	Do. (as regards the caves).	As regards the temple and *inscriptions*. (1) Funds available with Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
17	II	(*a*)	The Collector forwards an estimate for Rs. 17-8-0 for constructing a rough-stone shelter for *the inscription*.	.. .. ..	
18	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	As regards the temple and *Inscriptions*. (1) Funds available with Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The manager of the temple has consented to remove the stones, which are at present exposed to all weathers, to a place of safety under a *mandapam*, where European officers can examine them.
19	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
20	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
21	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available with Temple Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
22	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
23	II	(*a*)	The Collector recommends an immediate expenditure of Rs. 150 for clearance of vegetation, and the employment of three watchmen on Rs. 5 each *per mensem* for keeping down vegetation in future.	.. .. ..	If the vegetation were once cleared off, a single man might do much to keep it down in future, and if too much for him a few coolies could be engaged once a year to clear it.—J.B.
..	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Three villages are allotted for the upkeep of this tomb, which is in charge of the grandson of the deceased chief. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
DISTRICT.					
1	II	(*a*)	The fort belongs to Government. The Deputy Collector of Naidupett proposes (*vide* letter, No. 499, dated 24th October 1884), that doors may be provided for the two front gate-ways, one of which is large and the other small, and that the enclosed area may be cleared of bushes and prickly-pear, the estimated cost of the whole being Rs. 315. He thinks that it will be expedient to grow grass in the area and lease it for pasturage, a gardener being employed to look after the ground and wall at a cost of Rs. 72 a year, which is likely to be realized on the lease.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Volume.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
					NELLUR
2	Gudur Taluk	Mallam	A Chola temple	Page 324, Vol. I.	Archæologically valuable
3	Udayagiri	Dasaripalli	Cave with an inscription	Page 140	Of archæological value
..	Do.	Udayagiri	Temples on the hill and cave	140-141	Do.
4	Atmakur	Atmakur	Inscription on tank bund	142-143	
		Anantasagaram	Do.	142	Historical
		Kattuvaya	Do.	142	
5	Darsi Division	Darsi	Inscriptions Nos. 1 and 2	135	Historical.—Orungal dynasty
6	Do.	Kandalagunipadu	Do. of A.D. 1556 recording grant to the Velugonda temple.	See Gazetteer, p. 137	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
7	Do.	Kocherlakota	The tank and inscription No. 1	136	Architecturally valuable
8	Do.	Kotapalle	Inscriptions near a ruined temple.	*id.*	Historical
9	Do.	Polapalle	The copper-plate inscription of A.D. 1556.	*id.*	Do.
10	Do.	Pullûru	Inscription of A.D. 1379	137	Do.
11	Podili Division	Hasladitta	Two inscriptions of A.D. 1521	*id.*	Do.
12	Do.	Igalapadu	Inscription of A.D. 1528	*id.*	Do.
13	Do.	Kandulapadu	Do. of A.D. 1551	138	Do.
14	Do.	Podili	Inscriptions of A.D. 1537 and 1585.	*id.*	Do.
15	Ongole Taluk	Kanuparti	The old bell-metal chariot said to be buried in the sand.	*id.*	Archæologically interesting
16	Kanigiri Taluk	Kanigiri	The fort and its buildings	138-139	Do. valuable
17	Kandukûr Taluk	Malyavanda Malyabri Hill	The cave-temple and inscription of A.D. 1556.	139-140	Do. do. The inscription is historical, having reference to the Vijayanagar dynasty.
18	Do.	Singarayakonda	The cave-temple	140	Archæologically valuable

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestion for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
2	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	The buildings are kept in good condition. There are endowments of lands for its support.	In the possession and under the charge of the Rāja of Veṅkatagiri.
3	III	(*a*)	The Tahsildar thinks that nothing can be done; but the Head Assistant Collector is of opinion that 5 or 10 rupees may be spent in clearing the cave and killing bats, &c. The cave will then remain with an indication of what it once contained.	.. .. ..	The Rāja may be relied upon to keep the inscriptions uninterfered with and preserve the buildings (*vide* letter, No. 328, dated 30th May 1885, from the Deputy Collector of Naidupett).
..	II	(*a*)	The hill has been notified for reservation. So the Forest Officers should look after the buildings. No dismantling is permitted (*vide* Head Assistant Collector's No. 312, dated 5th May 1885). As regards the fort, the Head Assistant Collector says that it covers such a large extent and consists of so many bastions that it would be impossible, except at very great expense to keep the same in thorough repair. Strict conservation is all that is required; for the walls, &c., are so strong that they will last for years to come.	.. .. ..	
4	II	(*a*)	All these tanks being D. P. W. tanks, the D. F. W. Overseer might be directed to see that no damage is done to the inscriptions (*vide* Head Assistant Collector's No. 312, dated 5th May 1885).	.. .. ..	
5	II	(*b*)	In the possession and charge of the Rāja of Venkatagiri.	.. .. ..	The Sub-Collector states that none of these monuments require conservation, except the bell-metal chariot at Kanuparti if found (*vide* Sub-Collector's letter, No. 13, dated 5th January 1885). As regards the bell-metal chariot at Kanuparti, he requests that 50 rupees may be advanced for its excavation, and that if more money is required he will submit proposals.
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15	..	(*a*)	If it exists, it is in the possession of Government.	.. .. ..	
16	II	(*a*)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
17					
18	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	The private possessors can be trusted to keep it in repair.	In the possession and charge of shotriemdars.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Progress Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			NORTH ARCOT		
1	Kālahasti Zamīndāri.	Kālahasti	The rock-cut *mandapam*	149	Archæologically valuable
2	Chandragiri Taluk	Chandragiri	The fort on the hill with its buildings; and the lower fort with the two Palace buildings. The ruined temple between Chandragiri and Lower Tirupati; and the *inscriptions* on a rock a quarter of a mile north-east of the *Fakeers*.	150, 151	Architecturally important. The *inscription* is of interest.
3	Do.	Tirupati, Upper and Lower.	The *Kapila Tīrtham* with its rock-cut cave. The various temples and other monuments.	152, 153	Some of the temples are of great age and bear *inscriptions* not yet examined.
4	Punganūr Zamīndāri	Pedda Kīrupalle	*Two inscriptions* of A.D. 1580, in the temple of *Vēṅkaṭēśa*, one on stone, one on copper.	153	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
5	Chittūr Taluk	Vellāndai	The temple on the hill, and its sculptures, cave, and *inscriptions*.	156	Of archæological value
6	Kārvētnagar Zamīndāri.	Arangulam	*Inscription* of A.D. 1565, in a street near a pond.	155	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
7	Do.	Nārāyanavaram	*Two inscriptions* in the temple of *Lakshmīnarasa*, and an *inscription* of A.D. 1105 mentioning Pallava Rāya and Uttama Chōla. The local chronicle should be carefully copied, and sent to the Archæological Surveyor.	157, 158	Important historical *inscriptions* of the Chālukya, Pallava, and Chōla dynasties.
8	Do.	Tiruttaṇi	*Inscription* No. I	158	Of historical importance,—Chōla dynasty.
9	Palmaner Taluk	Bāpanattam	The group of remarkable dolmens, called "*Pandavagallu*."	159	Archæologically valuable

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
1	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT.					
1	II	(b)	.. .. ..	The monument is in the possession of the Zamīndār of Kālahasti, who will do what is necessary.	He should be communicated with, and induced to co-operate.—R.S.
2	I	(a)	The upper fort should be kept clear of vegetation, and also the ruined temple between Chandragiri and Tirupati. This will cost Rs. 50 at once, and Rs. 20 per annum. *The inscription* will be placed in special charge of the village officers. The Palace and lower fort are in special charge of the Public Works Department.	.. .. ..	
3	I	(b)	.. .. ..	All are in charge of the Mahant of Tirupati. Ample funds are available, and he can be induced to do all that is necessary.	He should be addressed, officially, asking for his co-operation, especially in the matter of keeping stonework and *inscriptions* clear of whitewash and plaster.
4	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) The temple is in possession of the *pūjāris*, who will keep the inscriptions in good order. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
5	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	I	(a)	The village headman will be made responsible for its safety.	.. .. ..	The Collector suggests fencing it in with an iron railing at a cost of Rs. 35; but if this were to be done in the case of all isolated slabs bearing inscriptions the cost would be very large.—R.S.
7	I	(b)	.. .. ..	In charge of the Kārvētnagar Zamīndār, who has ample funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The Deputy Collector reports that he found the *inscription stones* covered with thick plaster and red mud. He took steps to have them cleaned, and induced the temple priests to promise that they should be kept clean in future. The Zamīndār should be asked to help by using his authority and influence.—R.S.
8	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	Do. Do.
9	II	(a)	The group is very large, as there are over 500 kistvaens. To clear vegetation from all and keep it clear would be very expensive. Collector suggests to divide the group into dolmens of three classes, and conserve strictly twelve of each as specimens. This would cost Rs. 50 now and Rs. 10 per annum in future.—R.S.	.. .. ..	As the group is of very high archæological importance I am strongly inclined to suggest that all vegetation be completely cleared at a present cost of Rs. 500; that a wall be erected round them; and that a yearly sum of Rs. 24 at Rs. 2 a month be paid to some villager on condition of his constantly keeping the monuments clear of vegetation. I have, however, not myself seen the group, and cannot be a safe guide as to its requirements. I recommend that this matter be specially put up for the orders of His Excellency the Governor, who has visited the place.—R.S.
					The erection of a wall would be costly, and, as the remains are in no immediate danger of further natural decay, it might be enough for the present to insure that they be not meddled with.—J.B.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Number in Appendix to Report for 1905 (Epigraphical Collection).	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			NORTH ARCOT DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
10	Gudiyam Taluk.	Kīrapākkam	The rock-cut cave with sculptures.	160	Archæologically valuable
11	Do.	Malayamattūr	Painted rock	*id.*	Do. do. ..
12	Wandiwash Taluk.	Mēlsivapuram	Rock-cut temple, a monolith	162	Do. do. ..
13	Vellore Taluk	Pallikonda	A fortress on scarcely reconnoised by Mr. Wadington.	164	Do. do. ..
14	Do.	Vellore	The fort and temple and the sculptured stone now standing in the second prākāra gateway.	*id.*	Of much architectural interest. The inscription relates to a Chōla conquest.
15	Arcot Taluk	Arcot	The Dēdil gate	165	Interesting as the last relic of the old Fort.
16	Do.	Vēgā	The inscription of A.D. 1533	166	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
17	Do.	Mēlsēdu	The rock-cut caves and sculptures.	166(*b*)	Archæologically valuable ..
18	Do.	Pallikuppidavasal	Rock-cut sculptures and cave.	*id.*	Do. do. ..
19	Do.	Pungāli	The old temple	167	Do. do. ..
20	Arni Jaghir	Mēnrōdu	Inscription of A.D. 1502, on a rock on the bed of a stream called "Kōvaṇāṟṟinmēdu."	168	Historical.—Vijayanagar Dynasty.
21	Do.	Pinnāpōḍi	Inscription of A.D. 1502, on a stone near the village	*id.*	Do. do. ..
22	Polūr Taluk	Perūmāḷ	Remains of palace	169	Architecturally interesting ..
23	Do.	Tiruvatti	Rock-cut chambers, sculptures, inscriptions, and temples of the Jaina religion.	170	Of archæological interest ..
24	Wandiwash Taluk	Sivatānigalam	Rock-cut temple and sculptures.	*id.*	Do. ..
25	Do.	Vallam	Inscription of A.D. 1403	*id.*	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the conditions be imposed to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
			NORTH ARCOT DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
10	II	(*a*)	The village headman to be placed in special charge.	.. .. ..	
11	II	(*a*)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
12	I	(*a*)	Do. do. .. Rs. 10 required for removing cactus-growth.	.. .. ..	
13	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) In charge of two Brahman Dharmakartas. The place is said to be now considered sacred. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
14	I	(*a*)	In charge of the Military Department. No present expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
15	I	(*a*)	To be placed in special charge of the Tahsildar of Arcot, to whom Rs. 10 per annum might be granted for upkeep.	.. .. ..	
16	II	(*b*)	.. ..	.. .. ..	
17	II	(*c*)	The front of these four caves should be fenced with iron-railing, cost Rs. 100.	.. .. ..	At present they are used as cattle-pens and are in a filthy condition.—R.S. Persons should be prevented from lighting fires in the caves. The Munsifs of Māmandūr and Narasapālaiyam might be instructed to attend to this. 2 of these caves are in Māmandūr and 2 in Narasapālaiyam.
18	II	(*a*)	Do. cost Rs. 100.	.. .. ..	Do. do.
19	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
20	II	(*a*)	To be placed in charge of the village headman. No expenditure required.	.. .. ..	
21	II	(*a*)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
22	II	(*a*)	A grant of Rs. 5 per annum may be made to the village headman for clearance of vegetation. Tahsildar of taluk to be placed in charge with orders to inspect it annually and report if the clearances are properly made.	.. .. ..	
23	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) In charge of Temple Trustees. Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
24	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) In charge of Temple Trustees, but no funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes	Pallava cave and sculptures with Chōla *mandapam*, in front, are used for worship, and need no repair. The people might be requested not to whitewash the sculptures and inscriptions.
25	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Ample funds at present. The temple has recently been repaired.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument &c.	Reference No. Vol. I of The Archæological Survey of Southern India, List of Antiquities, (Second).	Reason why conservation is necessary.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT.					
1	Ponnēri Taluk ..	Erumaivettipālaiyam.	*Inscription* of A.D. 1517 ..	172	Historical,—Vijayanagar dynasty.	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
2	Tiruvallūr Taluk..	Kambākkam Dargam.	The fort and buildings on the hill.	173	The Archæological Surveyor has recommended the conservation of old forts.	III	(*a*)	(See Column 10) ..	.. .. ..	The old fort is in the middle of thick jungle, and extensive jungle clearances would be necessary to expect much good. I have considered it best to include this under the IIIrd class of classification (Col. 6). It may be taken up at some future time.
3	Do. ..	Tiruppāsūr ..	*All the inscriptions on the old Siva temple. They are all on the outer wall of the shrine.*	174	Historical,—Chōla dynasty ..	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds ample. Temple lately repaired at a large cost by the Dharmakarta. (2) He will allow inspection by a caste Hindū. (3) *The inscriptions* are reported to be kept clear and protected.	The Dharmakarta should be induced by the District officers *to keep the inscriptions* clear of whitewash in future.
4	Do. ..	Tiruvūr ..	*The three inscriptions which are on stones in front of an old and ruined Siva temple.*	325	Do. Vijayanagar and Maisūr dynasties.	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. The temple is nominally in charge of a Zamīndār who has neglected it. *The inscribed stones* are half buried. They should be cared for.	
5	Saidāpett Taluk ..	The Little Mount.	The rock-cut cave under the church.	175	Said to be the site of Saint Thomas' martyrdom.	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds; but no expenditure necessary. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	Do. ..	Pūnamalli ..	*Inscriptions in the mosque* ..	*id.*	Historical.—Qutb Shāhi dynasty of Golkonda.	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No expenditure necessary. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The Trustees have promised to remove *the inscription*, which is on a stone outside to the inside of the mosque for safety. This should be done under proper supervision.—R.S.
7	Do. ..	Saint Thomas' Mount.	The cross in the church on the hill.	*id.*	Archæologically valuable ..	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
8	Conjiveram Taluk.	Conjiveram (Kāñchīpuram or Kāñchī).	All the old temples and *inscriptions*. The principal temples are separately noted below.	176 and II 264	Some of the temples are valuable architecturally, as they are of very early date. *The inscriptions* are equally important historically.	..	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
			1. The temple of *Ēkāmbaréswara*.	..	.. .. ..					
9						I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds ample. (2) Yes, to a modified degree. They can be *induced* but not absolutely *controlled*. (3) The place is a *mass* of whitewash. An influential resident Tahsildar would probably succeed in inducing the Trustees to spare *the inscriptions*.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Whether in charge of Government or of private bodies or individuals.	Reason why conservation is necessary.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
1	2			4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT—(*Continued*).						
	Conjeeveram ..	Kachchipuram ..	2. *Varadarāja*, alias *Arulāla Perumāl*.	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	(1) Funds ample. (2) As above. (3) As above. There is not so much whitewash here.	
			3. *Kāmākshi Amman* ..	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	Do. do.	
			4. *Gajēndravarada* in Ashtabhujam Agraharam.	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	(1) No funds ..	No necessity for present expenditure.
			5. *Jeevaratnēsvara* ..	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	(2) (3) As above. (1) No income ..	This is a small but valuable architectural building in good preservation and wonderfully rich in sculpture. There are no funds available for repairs. I strongly recommend that Government allot Rs. 500 for this temple. The vegetation should be thoroughly eradicated, the *gopura* should be repaired and leakage stopped, the fallen slabs and sculptures raised and replaced in position; and the ground round should be cleared of undergrowth and small trees, so as to allow the architectural proportions of the temple to be seen.—R.S.
									(2) Yes. (3) Yes.	If Government is to expend such a sum on this or any similar temple, it would be better first to secure full control of it for the future. But such a sum is not necessary for repairs in this case. It was partly repaired by public subscription in 1884. It is in comparatively good condition; about Rs. 50 would be sufficient to prevent leakages in the roof. The surrounding shrubs do not in any way affect the appearance or stability of the building. —J.B.
			6. *Ulakaļanda Perumāļ* ..	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	(1) No funds. (2) (3) As in Temple No. 1.	
			7. *Kailāsanātha* ..	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	(1) No funds ..	This is another temple of the highest importance architecturally. It seems to be coeval with the shore temple at the "Seven Pagodas," or Mahāvalipuram, which it strongly resembles. Dr. Burgess pronounces it older than any temple yet found in Conjeeveram. Rs. 200 required to be granted by Government for clearance of vegetation and repairs.—R.S.
									(2) Yes. (3) It has recently been completely whitewashed. The people should be requested not to repeat the process.	This would require further consideration. —J.B.
			8. *Arikaṇḍaṇārāyaṇa Perumāļ* or *Arikaṇḍaṇēsvara*.	..	..	II	(*b*)	..	(1) Very small income. (2) As in Temple No. 1. (3) Yes.	No expenditure necessary at present.
			9. *Vaikuṇṭha Perumāļ* ..	..	..	I	(*b*)	..	(1) Funds available, but scanty. (2) Priests very bigoted. They do not allow a European inside the main court yard. (3) No whitewash. The Trustees will do a little but not much.	This temple again is of very great importance. It is in good preservation, and does not at present require Government aid.—R.S.

Serial No.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Distance from Railway Station.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
	2	3		4	5
			CHINGLEPUT		
	Conjeeveram	Kalakkaturam	10. *Matangēsvara*		
			11. *Mallēsvara*		
			12. *Kachchhēsvara*, or *Kachchēsvara*		
			13. *Ārkkēsvara*		
			14. *Tenparuttikkōvil*		
			15. *Pāṇḍava Perumāl*		
			16. *Tollāiyiram*		
			17. *Tollāiyiram4*		
2	Do.	Manimangalam	The old temple with apsidal gopuram; also the *Perumāl Koil* and the erect stone in front of it; and the temple of *Ekāmbara Perumāl*	187 and 21, 205	Archæologically and architecturally valuable
20	Do.	Sōlamandalam	The sculptures outside the temple	188 and 21, 205	Historical
	Do.	Tirupparkkunram	The Jain Temple	14	Of architectural value

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow the inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
	DISTRICT—(*Continued*).				
	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The temple is very old, and this and its double (No. 11) are unique specimens of temple architecture. Vegetation has committed such havoc that it is almost impossible to save the tower, and it is a question to determine how to deal with it. Whatever is done will probably cost Rs. 200, and must be found by the State. —R.S.
	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	This is, in many respects, a pair to No. 10. It must be preserved at State cost. As it is not in such bad condition as No. 10, Rs. 100 will probably suffice.—R.S.
	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	Parts of this are very old, and parts are ruined; but I do not think it will be necessary at present to spend any money on it.—R.S.
	I	(*a*)	This temple is deserted, is quite small, but is of high architectural importance. Rs. 100 required for clearing vegetation and stripping off plaster and brick, which hide the sculptures. The temple—after these clearances—should be placed in special charge of the Tahsildar with orders to report on its condition every six months. A door with lock and key (to be kept with Tahsildar) should be provided in outer wall.	.. .. ..	This would require special examination and report.—J.B.
..	I	(*b*)	This temple is in use; very much destroyed by plaster and whitewash.		Do. do.
	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	This temple is in good condition. No whitewash. The entrance *gōpura* is not old, but the *vimāna* is.
	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Small income. (2) Yes. (3) The principal parts are covered with plaster. The Trustees can be induced not to replace it. If given Rs. 50 they will probably clear off the present plaster.	
	I	(*a*)	A temple *covered with inscriptions of ancient date*, and having the *Vimāna* entirely of stone to summit of *Śikhara*. Rs. 50 required for clearances of vegetation, and general repairs.	.. .. ..	
9	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes. There are *some very valuable inscriptions on the walls of these temples*, which are of great age. The income from the grants of land in possession of the temples is said to be hardly sufficient for daily worship.	
10	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds ample .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The temple is in very fair condition, and only needs occasional repairs by the Trustees.
11	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The temple is a mass of plaster and whitewash, but more has been put on the last few years. The Trustees will probably be willing to scrape off the old plaster.

Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5
		CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT—*Concluded*.		
12	Chingleput Taluk	Vaiyavūkkam ... *Inscription* of A.D. 1100	189 and II, 266	Historical .. .. ..
13	Do.	The "Seven Pago-das" and Sali-vahanpam. All the rock-cut and structural remains and inscriptions.	189	Of the highest archæological importance.
14	Do.	Tirukkalikunram Rock-cut shrine on the Hill. The pyrgos of the temple south of the hill has suffered, apparently (Hole), from *inscriptions* on its base.	190 and II, 266	Archæologically interesting. The *inscriptions* are of historical value.
15	Do.	Vallan. The rock-cut temple ..	*id.*	Do. do. ..
16	Do.	Vayalūr .. *Inscription* on *base of Image* ..	II, 267	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
17	Mathurāntakam ..	Achcharūkkam .. Two *inscriptions* on temple of *Ulagaperumāl*.	..	Historical.—Chōla and Pāndiya dynasties.
18	Do.	Chēyūr .. The temple of *Kāsivisvanāthar* and the *inscriptions* thereon; also the temple of *Pārthasārathi* and an *inscription* at the temple of *Vēdāchalēsvara*.	II, 267	Architecturally valuable. The *inscriptions* belong to the Chōla Dynasty.
19	Do.	Karsangāl .. Muhammadan mosque inside the Fort near the north gate, built of materials of Hindu temple and bearing an ancient *inscription*.	191 and II, 267	Historical.—Chōla dynasty ..
20	Do.	Mathurāntakam .. The Vijaya Temple of *Uttama Chōla* and the *inscriptions* on each side of the entrance of the Siva temple of *Rājasimha*.	*id.*	Do. do. ..
21	Do.	Uttiramērūr or Uttaramallūr. (1) Temple of *Sundara Pāndi-devarasan*.	191 and II, 268	Architecturally valuable ..
		(2) Temple of *Vaikuntha Perumāl*.	*id.*	Do. and historical *inscription* of Chōla dynasty.
		(3) *Inscriptions on walls* of temple of *Kūdālasōra*.	*id.*	Historical.—Chōla dynasty ..
		(4) *Inscriptions* on temple of *Kalisanātha*.	*id.*	Do. do. ..

Classification.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge of Government.	In case of monuments in charge of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the monument be placed under the control of Government officers; (3) Can Land be leased or waste given for conservation. In connection with whitewash, Taxation in lieu of whitewash, Grazing, &c.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9
DISTRICT—*Continued*).			
12 II (b)		(1) Funds available. Temple income. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
13 I		.. .. ..	It is impossible to make any present estimate for the requirements of this important group of monuments. The watcher's pay is defrayed from conservation allowances. The whole group is in a small zamindāri, and the Zamindār claims ownership, but it is not likely that he will ever be able to afford to carry out extensive repairs or clearances. These must always be done by the State. The land on which these structures stand; was bought by Government in 1884.
14 I (b)		(1) Temple funds sufficient. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
15 I (b)		Do. do.	
16 I (b)		(1) In charge of a mirasidar who agrees to conserve it. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
17 I (b)		(1) Temple funds sufficient. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
18 II (b)		(1) Small funds .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	So long as the Trustees will not plaster over *the inscriptions*, nothing more is at present required.
19 II (b)		(1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) There is no white-wash.	
20 II (b)		In both cases. (1) Temple funds sufficient. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
21 I (b)		(1) Temple funds sufficient. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The temple is in a fair state of repair. No immediate expenditure is necessary; but it is an interesting architectural structure and should be maintained.
I (b)		(1) No funds .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	Rs. 500 at least required for this structure. It is in a sad state of dilapidation, and some compensation must be paid to owners of shops built against a wall covered with most valuable *inscriptions*. The shops must be removed.—E.S.
I (b)		(1) No funds .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	No expenditure necessary at present, but some will soon have to be incurred.
I (b)		Do. do.	Do. do.

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Inscriptions in Epigraphia Indica, Vol. III, and South Indian Inscriptions, Vol. I.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	
			SALEM DISTRICT.		
2	Hosūr Taluk	Uddi or Doddabole.	*Inscription* of A.D. 1673, on a rock by the side of an old channel.	194	Historical.—Maisūr dynasty ..
2	Do.	Talli	*Inscription* of A.D. 1530, on a broken stone on the Tank bund.	195	Do. Vijayanagar and Maisūr dynasties.
3	Krishnagiri Taluk.	Krishnagiri ..	The fort	*id.*	Dr. Burgess has recommended the conservation of the principal old forts.
4	Do. ..	Rayakōta ..	The fort and cave	*id.*	Do. do. ..
5	Dharmapuri Taluk.	Adamankōttai ..	Jain temple and statue ..	196	Archæologically valuable ..
6	Do. ..	Dharmapuri ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1619 ..	*id.*	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
7	Salem Taluk ..	Tārāmangalam ..	*All the inscriptions*	200, 201	Do. Chōla, Pāndiyan, and Vijayanagar dynasties.
8	Omalūr Taluk.	Sankaragiridurgam.	The fort	202, 203	(*See above under* KRISHNAGIRI) The cave is of archæological interest.
9	Do. ..	Do. ..	The cave close to the *masjid* ..	*id.*	Do. do. ..
10	Do ..	Tiruchengōd ..	*Inscription*, No. 2 of A.D. 1659. The *inscription* on the Western *Copera* of A.D. 1653.	203	Historical.—Vijayanagar and Madura Nāyakka dynasties.
11	Nāmakal Taluk ..	Kapilamalai ..	*Copper-plate grant* of A.D. 1637.	*id.*	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
12	Do. ..	Nāmakal ..	The fort, with the buildings and temples.	204	(*See above under* KRISHNAGIRI) ..
13	Do. ..	Vennaippatti ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1652 ..	*id.*	Historical.—Nāyakkas of Madura.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the ex-Indians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of white-wash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
1	II	(*a*)	To be placed in special charge of village headman. No funds required.	.. .. ..	
2	II	(*a*)	To be removed at a trifling cost, debitable to "Contingencies" to a *mandapam* on the opposite side of the tank, and placed in special charge of the village headman.—R.S	.. .. ..	Better conserve *in situ* for the present.—J.B.
3	II	(*a*)	A watchman might be placed in charge on Rs. 5, pay total Rs. 60 *per annum*, with orders to keep the walls and buildings clear of vegetation, and provided with tools for the purpose. Cost of tools and contingencies *per annum* Rs. 20, total Rs. 80.	.. .. ..	
4	II	(*a*)	Do. do.	.. .. ..	
5	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available in hands of Temple Trustees. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
7	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds available, as the temple is poorly endowed. (2) Yes. (3) Yes. Village headman to be responsible for keeping the *inscriptions* free from white-wash and plaster.	*The inscriptions are on the temple walls.* I do not think any expenditure is necessary.—R.S.
8	II	(*a*)	No expenditure is said to be necessary. The village headman to be placed in special charge.	.. .. ..	
9	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available from Temple endowments. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
10	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
11	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Carefully preserved by Temple authorities.	
12	II	(*a*)	No expenditure necessary. The village headman to be placed in special charge.	.. .. ..	
13	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available from temple endowments. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	

Serial Number	Class (under ...)		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers? (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT.					
1	II	(a)	The stones to be placed in special charge of the village headman.	.. .. ..	
2	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds, but no expenditure at present necessary. (2) Yes, if caste Hindus. (3) Yes.	
3	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	The Tiruvannāmalai temple is now being repaired by the Nāttukōttai Chettis, and therefore there is considerable risk of *these inscriptions* being buried in plaster and whitewash. The Collector should be requested to guard strictly against such a misfortune.—R.S.
4	II	(a)	Government, in the Forest Department, have allotted Rs. 200 every year for clearance of vegetation. This is sufficient for the present. Special measures will, it is believed, be afterwards necessary.	.. .. ..	
5	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	I	(a)	Rs. 5 a year to be paid for clearances to the village headman who is to be placed in special charge.	.. .. ..	
7	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
8	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
9	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Ample funds, but misused. I think this is a case for Government interference.—R.S.	*The stones with inscriptions* of the Chōḷa dynasty were of the highest historical importance. It is now reported that the temple has been under "repair" by two Nāttukōttai Chettis, and that the repairs are "nearly finished." During the progress of the work *the inscribed slabs have been removed, and used for building a wall.* I venture to submit that Government should take some steps to recover *all the inscribed stones* and convey them to a place of safety.—R.S.
10	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available by private donations. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
11	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page of Progress Report.	Reason why Conservation is necessary.
1					

KOIMBATUR DISTRICT.

1	Kollēgal Taluk	Srīrangamudram	The fortifications, temples, and ruins generally.	214, 215	Archæologically interesting
2	Satyamangalam Taluk	Perundalaiyūr	*All the inscriptions of the Śiva temple.*	216	Historical,—Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
3	Erode Taluk	Erode	*All the inscriptions*	*id.*	Do. Ballāla (?) and Chōla dynasties.
4	Koimbatur Taluk	Perūr	*Inscriptions in the shrine*	217, 218	Do. Chōla dynasty
5	Pollachi Taluk	Avanāśi	*All the inscriptions mentioned*	218	Do. Mādura dynasty
6	Do.	Śūlūr	*The inscriptions noted*	219	Do. do.
7	Karūr Taluk	Karūr	The old Śiva temple and *the inscriptions on it.*	221	Do. Chōla dynasty
8	Udumalpēt Taluk	Śankarārāmanallūr.	Inscriptions of A.D. 1251 and one undated inscription of Tirumala Nāyakka.	222	Do. Chōla and Madura Nāyakka dynasties.
9	Do.	Tirumūrtikōvil	The sculptured boulders and other remains near it	223	Archæologically interesting

* The temple is Class II, the rest of the buildings and walls in Class III.

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT.					
1	*	(*b*)	..	The buildings and fortifications are all in charge of the Jāghīrdār. (1) Funds are therefore available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The Jāghīrdār has consented to keep all the stonework of the temples clear of whitewash, and to remove plaster already existing. He will also keep the temples clear of vegetation. As to the walls and fortifications they are reported to be in an advanced stage of decay. The jungle is thick, and removal of vegetation is hopeless. All that is necessary is to see that no one dismantles the ruins, and this the Jāghīrdār has consented to do.
2	II	(*b*)	..	In charge of Temple Committee. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
3	II	(*b*)	..	In charge of Temple Committee who (1) will devote no funds to conservation. (2) Yes, if caste Hindūs. (3) Yes.	Portions of *the inscriptions* at the Śiva temple have been recently *covered over* with new buildings, walls, and *mandapams*. To remove the obstructions Government must expend Rs. 50, as the Temple Committee will spend no money for this purpose. They consent to its being done.
4	I	(*b*)	..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes, but by caste Hindūs. (3) Yes.	
5	I	(*b*)	..	(1) Funds available with Temple Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	I	(*a*)	The stone stands in a mud wall just opposite the Police station. It should be placed in special charge of the Head Constable.	..	
7	II	(*b*)	..	(1) The Temple Committee refuse to spend any money on conservation. (2) They will allow inspection and control by caste Hindū officials. (3) They will keep *the inscriptions* clear of whitewash.	
8	I	(*b*)	..	(1) Funds available. (2) They will allow inspection by caste Hindū officers of Government. (3) Yes.	
9	I	(*b*)	..	(1), (2), (3). As with Śankarārāmanallūr (above). This is in charge of the same Temple Committee.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Vol. I of the Archæological Survey of Southern India, List of Antiquities.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
	1	2	3	4	5
					NILGIRI HILLS.
1	Paranginād ..	Achenna, near the village of Betṭalnad.	The group of sculptured dolmens.	227	Archæologically interesting ..
2	Do. ..	Beliki, east of Konavakarai.	The rock-cut caves with frescos, and *three rock-inscriptions in the valley.*	227, 228	Do. do. ..
3	Mērkunād ..	Near the Bearhill Estate, in the Tōdar valley, village of Mōlūr.	The sculptured slabs ..	229	Do. do. ..
					SOUTH CANARA DISTRICT.
1	Kundapūr Taluk.	Mudukivāsa ..	*Inscription* No. 2 of A.D. 1546.	II, 270	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
2	Do. ..	Vappunda ..	*The three inscriptions* of A.D. 1416, 1445, 1447.	230	Do. do. ..
3	Udipi Taluk ..	Kārkaḷa	The Jain statue and temple; *inscriptions* Nos. 3, 4, and 6.	231	Do. Vijayanagar and a local dynasty.
4	Do. ..	Varanga	*Inscription* No. 1	232	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
5	MangaloreTaluk ..	Mūdabidri ..	The old Jain basti; sculptures in the Rāja's palace; tombs of Jain priests—(*Fergusson*).	235	Archæologically and architecturally important.
6	Do. ..	Vēnūr or Yēnūr ..	Jain statue and bastis ..	236, 237	Do. do. ..
7	Uppinangadi Taluk.	Kuttiyar, near Ṭuruvāyankeru (in the List Vol. I, p. 237, the entry is "Guruvāyankēri" by mistake.	Jain temple and *mandapam* ..	237	Do. do. ..
8	Do. ..	Subrahmanya ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1337, and *copper-plates* Nos. 3, 4, 5. (The Collector has taken steps for the *copper-plates* being sent to Mr. Fleet for examination.)	238	Historical .. .. ..
					MALABAR DISTRICT.
1	Chirakal Taluk ..	Taḷiparamba ..	Rock-cut sculptures near the travellers' bungalow.	243	Archæologically interesting ..
2	Calicut Taluk ..	Kōvūr	Rock-cut cave	246	Do. do. ..
3	Wynad Taluk ..	Tirunelli (Tyrunelly).	Temple in the Ellornad Amsham, with *inscriptions* said to be in an "unknown" character.	..	Do. do. ..

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
NILGIRI HILLS.					
1	II	(b)	.. ..	In charge of the villagers. To be placed in special charge of village headman. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
2	II	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
3	II	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
SOUTH CANARA DISTRICT.					
1	II	(b)	.. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	In all these cases the monuments are connected with temples or individuals receiving allowances from Government for their maintenance or for the maintenance of religious worship therein, &c., in lieu of resumed lands or assignments of land revenue resumed. The Collector recommends that his department be responsible for inspection, and that strict orders be issued to ensure conservation by the Temple authorities, &c. If this is done under the direction of the officer charged by Government with the conservation of ancient architectural remains, it would secure all that is required.
2	II	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
3	I	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
4	II	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
5	I	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
6	I	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
7	I	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
8	I	(b)	.. ..	Do. do.	
MALABAR DISTRICT.					
1	II	(b)	.. ..	(1) No funds .. .. (2) Yes. (3) They will spend no money on it. The cave must be cleared of vegetation, and a mud wall erected to keep water out, &c. Rs. 10 required from Government for this purpose. The Collector can have the works carried out. Rs. 5 a year wanted for clearances and repairs to wall.	This should be reported on by the Archæological Surveyor.
2	II	(b)	.. ..	(1) None, but private means. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The cave in question is noted under "Curvāyūr" by mistake, owing to erroneous information, at p. 246, Vol. I, of the *List of Antiquities*.
3	II	(b)	.. ..	(1) Yes. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Classification.	Page of Report.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
				4	5	6
			BRITISH			
1	..	Cochin	The tomb of Vasco da Gama.	254	..	..
			TRAVAN			
1	Agastyêsvara	Kottar	*Inscriptions* of Parikrama Pandiyan.	268 and II 272	A very important *inscription*.	
2	Kottayam	Kottayam	The cross with *the Pahlavi inscription* in the old church; *a tablet with Pahlavi inscriptions and Syrian copper-plates.*	258	Archæologically valuable	..
3	Vêlamkôdu	Kulattura	Rock-cut temple, sculptures, and *inscriptions.*	*id.*	Do.	do. ..
4	Agastyêsvara	Tâluk Suchîndram	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1590 and 1418.	260	Historical	.. .. ..
			TRICHINOPOLY			
1	Perumbalur Taluk	Adutarai	*The two inscriptions noted* ..	262	Historical,—Chôla and Vijayanagar dynasties.	
2	Do.	Arumbavur	*The three inscriptions*	*id.*	Do. Vijayanagar and Madura Nâyakkan dynasties.	
3	Do.	Vâlikandapuram	A "square tank" mentioned by Mr. Walhouse.	263, 264	Of architectural importance ..	
4	Udaiyarpalaiyam Taluk	Gangaikondasolapuram	All the remains and *inscriptions* there.	264	Important both historically and architecturally.	
5	Do.	Kîlapaluvûr	*Inscriptions of the temple* ..	265	Historical.—Chôla dynasty ..	
6	Do.	Tirumalavâdi	Highly sculptured temple with *many inscriptions.*	266	Do. do. ..	
7	Musiri Taluk	Mûsiri	The old bridge and its *inscription.*	*id.*	Do. do. ..	
8	Trichinopoly Taluk	The Jambukêsvaram Temple	The buildings and *inscriptions*	267	Architecturally valuable ..	
9	Do.	Sirupâlaiyam	Deserted temple of Kâli and *its inscriptions*	*id.*	Do. do. ..	
10	Do.	Srîrangam	The temple	267, 268	Do. do. ..	
11	Do.	Tiruvellarai	The rock-cut caves	268	Archæologically interesting ..	
12	Do.	Trichinopoly	The temple on the rock; *the inscription on the cave in the rock*; caves cut in the rock; the Nâiker Shâh mosque and *its inscriptions.*	268, 269	Architecturally valuable ..	
13	Do.	Uyyakondân Malai	*Two inscriptions at head sluice of channel.*	269	Historical.—Chôla dynasty ..	

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
			COCHIN.		
1	I	(a)	Nothing necessary, the tomb being in the Church of St. Francis.	.. .. ..	
			CORE.		
1	I	..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	This is mentioned by Bishop Caldwell in his *History of Tinnevelly* (pp. 52, 53) and is said to bear date S. 1292 (A.D. 1370), fifth year of the reign.
2	I	(b)	.. .. ..	In charge of the guardians of the Church. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
3	II	(a)	In charge of the Travancore Government. Probably the Mahârâja would, on request made, do all that is necessary.	.. .. ..	
4	I	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
			DISTRICT.		
1	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	*The inscriptions* are said to be safe in a *mandapam.*
2	III	(b)	.. .. ..	In charge of Village Committee. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	*The inscriptions* are said to be already much injured.
3	III	(b)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	Said to be hopelessly out of repair. The carved *mandapam* round the tank has fallen in, and the steps are in ruins.
4	The central tower I. The four lower III.	(b)	.. .. ..	In charge of Temple Trustees. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	They have promised to keep the walls and towers free from vegetation.
5	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	Do. do.
6	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
7	I	(a)	In charge of D.P.W. No special expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
8	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
9	II	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds scanty .. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	The temple is in tolerably good repair.
10	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
11	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
12	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
13	I	(a)	In charge of D.P.W. No special expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	

Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Serial Number.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5
		TRICHINOPOLY		
14 Kulitalai Taluk	Mahadanapuram	Jain ruins and other remains	269	Architecturally valuable
15 Do.	Ranagiri	Siva temple and *inscriptions*	*id.*	Do. and historical
16 Do.	Sivayam	Sculpture on a rock, and rock-cut cave.	*id.*	Archæologically interesting
17 Do.	Sundakkapudai	Do. do. do.	*id.*	Do. do.
18 Pudukottai State	Narttamalai or *Nārttā-malai.*	Rock-cut temples and carvings.	270	Do. do.
		TANJORE		
1 Kumbakonam Taluk	Kumbakonam	The older temples and *inscriptions.*	274	Of architectural and historical value.
2 Do.	Pattīsvaram	*Inscriptions in the temple of Durgā.*	274 and II 272	Historical.—Chōla dynasty
3 Do.	Tirumpanandāl	*Five copper-plate Pāṇḍyan grants.*	*id.*	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty
4 Do.	Tiruvēdamarudūr	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1544	*id.*	Do. do.
5 Tanjore Taluk	Tanjore	The great temple and its contents and *inscriptions.*	277	Of high architectural and historical value
6 Do.	Tiruvidaimarudūr	Old Śiva temple and *inscriptions.*	279	Historical.—Chōla dynasty
7 Papanasam Taluk	Avanīyāpuram	Śiva temple and *inscriptions.*	283	Do. do.
8 Do.	Patukkōṭṭai	Do. do.	282	Do. do.
		MADURA		
1 Palni Taluk and Dindigul Palni Hills		*Inscription of Tirumalai Nāyak.*	285	Historical
2 Do.	Ettūr	*Inscription on a rock*	76	Archæologically valuable
3 Do.	Ivanmalai	Temple, cave and *inscriptions*	76	Do. do.
4 Do.	Chennia	*All the inscriptions*	286	Historical.—Chōla dynasty
5 Do.	Kīlavalavu	*Inscription* containing the name of a Pāṇḍyan sovereign.	287	Do. Pāṇḍyan dynasty
6 Do.	Mānūr	*Inscription of Sundara Pāṇḍyan.*	*id.*	Do. do.

Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).				
14	II (*a*)	No expenditure said to be at present necessary.	.. .. ..	There is said to be the remains of an extensive ruined camp near the town, and a very perfect and well-constructed stone bathing-place assigned by tradition to a Chōla King.
15	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
16	I (*a*)	To be placed in special charge of the village headman.	.. .. ..	
17	I (*a*)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
18	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	In charge of the Rāja. He takes all necessary steps to keep the place safe, and has appointed a watchman.	
DISTRICT.				
1	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple funds available in all instances. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
2	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
3	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Kept carefully in temple.	
4	I (*b*)	.. .. ..		
5	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
6	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
7	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
8	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
9	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple poor and dilapidated. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
DISTRICT.				
1	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) It is in charge of the Vēḷār Zamīndār. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
2	I (*a*)	To be placed in special charge of the village headman.	.. .. ..	
3	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available; *inām* lands. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
4	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
5	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
6	I (*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reason why conservation is necessary.	Page.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
						MADURA
7	Pálni Taluk	Pálni Taluk and Pálni Hill.	*Inscriptions noted and sketched. Ancient temples under the hill.*		287, 288	Historical.—Pándiyan, Vijayanagar, and Náyakar dynasties.
8	Do.	Periyakóttai	*Inscriptions*		288	Historical.—Chóla dynasty
9	Dindigal Taluk	Dindigal	Fort	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1618.	289	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
10	Do.	Ennamangalapuram	*Inscriptions on the Pándiyan*	*do.*		Do.
11	Do.	Tadikombu	*Inscription* of A.D. 1049	*do.*		Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
12	Madura Taluk	Kottaimangalam	*Inscriptions on temples*		291	Do. Chóla (?) dynasty
13	Do.	Kotaruppatti	*Inscriptions on a rock*	*do.*		Do. do.
14	Do.	Kóil Kunnattúrai	*Inscriptions on temple wall*	*do.*		Do. Chóla and Pándiyan dynasties.
15	Do.	Madura	The several temples, and buildings mentioned, with the *inscriptions* there.		291, 292	Architecturally and historically important.
16	Do.	Nárpattai	Rock-cut caves and *inscriptions*		293	Do. do.
17	Do.	Tiruppálai	*Inscription* of A.D. 1551		293	Historical.—Servants of Rámanád.
18	Do.	Tiruppuvanam	Temple of Subramanya. Rock-cut cave and *inscriptions*	*do.*		Do. Pándiyan dynasty
19	Tirumangalam Taluk	Kallupatti	*Inscriptions, inscriptions of Lokasúriya Deva*		293	Do. Vijayanagar dynasty.
20	Do.	Kunnattúrpatti	Cave, temple of Ananya and *inscriptions*	*do.*		Do. Pándiyan dynasty
21	Do.	Kóvilpatti	The temple		293, 294, 295	Architecturally valuable
22	Do.	Karpakattam	Rock-cut caves and sculptures with *inscriptions*		295	Archæologically valuable

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggested one for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it free of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
7	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available from ready-money allowances and gifts by pilgrims. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
8	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available; *inám* lands. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
9	II	(a)	No expenditure at present necessary. The Tahsildar of the taluk should be placed in special charge.	.. .. ..	
10	I	(a)	No expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
11	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available; ready-money allowances. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
12	I	(a)	The village headman to be placed in special charge. No expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
13	I	(a)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	
14	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple endowments. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
15	*	†	The palace is in charge of Government, but no special expenditure is necessary, as the building is used for Government offices.	The temples are generally endowed, and the Trustees willing to allow inspection, &c., and to keep the walls and *inscriptions* free from whitewash.	* Most are in good order and come under Class I. † Most are in charge of Temple Committees.
16	I	(a)	To be placed in special charge of the *village* headman. No expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
17	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) Temple endowments. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
18	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
19	I	(b)	.. .. ..	Is kept by the Temple Trustees in a place of safety.	
20	I	(b)	.. .. ..	(1) No fixed funds, but Temple Trustees can maintain it. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
21	II	(a)	At present the temple is half ruined, and the *lingam* confiscated because worship was not maintained. The Collector recommends that part of the lands be reassigned on condition of the temple being kept in repair. It would probably be found practically better for Government in the Public Works Department to allot annually Rs. 100 for repairs.	.. .. ..	
22	II	(a)	No necessity for present expenditure.	.. .. ..	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Epigraphia Indica, Vol. and page.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
					MADURA.
23	Tirumangalam Taluk.	Sōlappatti	*Inscription on the temple*	296	Historical,—Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
24	Do.	Tōḍiyan	Do. do.	*id.*	Do. do.
25	Do.	Vellalapatti	*Inscription on the temple*	295 and II, 273	Do. do.
26	Śrīvaiṣṇava Taluk.	Thoṇḍigudi	Temple of Rājēndra Chōḷadēva, with inscriptions.	296	Do. Chōḷa dynasty
27	Do.	Kunnāṇgudi	*All the inscriptions*	*id.*	Do. Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
28	Do.	Tirūmālai	Do. do.	297	Do. Pāṇḍiyan and Vijayanagar dynasties.
29	Do.	Tirukkōḍikkāḍi	*The three inscriptions mentioned*	*id.*	Do. Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
30	Do.	Tirukkaṇṇaṅgudi	*Inscription* of A.D. 1679	*id.*	Do. Sētupatis of Ramnād.
31	Do.	Tiruppattūr	*Two inscriptions of the Pāṇḍiyan dynasty*	298	Do. Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
32	Ramnād Zemindari	Dēvipaṭṭaṇam	*Three inscriptions of Pāṇḍiyan date*	*id.* and II, 278	Do. do.
33	Do.	Hanumantapuṭṭi	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1673 and 1741	295	Do. Sētupatis of Ramnād.
34	Do.	Kaṇṇaṅgaraṇ	*The temple. The mandapam* of two storeys near Rāmēśvaram.	300, 301	Architecturally valuable
35	Do.	Tiruppullāṇi	*All the inscriptions noted*	301, 302	Historical,—Chōḷa and Ūḍaiyār dynasties.
36	Do.	Tiruttaṅkōṭṭaṇaṅgaṇ.	Do. do.	302	Do. Pāṇḍiyan and Madura Nāyaṇkā dynasties.
37	Do.	Tiruvāḍāṇai	*The copper-plate inscription*	*id.*	Do. Sētupatis of Ramnād.
					TINNEVELLY.
1	Śrīvilliputtūr Taluk.	Eḷḷaikuḷam	*Inscription on a rock*	304	Probably important
2	Do.	Śrīvilliputtūr	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1453, 1570, and ?, 1344.	305	Historical,—Governors of Madura, and a late Pāṇḍiyan Ruler.
3	Sattūr Taluk	Nerkuṭṭi	*Inscription* of A.D. 1601 and *id.*	*id.*	Historical,—Madura Nāyakkas.
4	Śaṅkaraṇaṇkōvil Taluk.	Koṟkuḷaṅguḍi-naḍiyūr.	*All the inscriptions noted*	305	Do. Pāṇḍiyan dynasty

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals; (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
23	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) In charge of Temple Committee. Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindūs. (3) Yes.	
24	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
25	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	Struck out—Vide Bp. 2/6.11.30. p. 745 Below
26	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Ample funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
27	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
28	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
29	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
30	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
31	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
32	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
33	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
34	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
35	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
36	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do.	
37	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	The plates are carefully preserved by the Temple Trustees.	
			DISTRICT.		
1	II	(*a*)	The village officers must be placed in charge of this and directed to see to its safety.	.. .. ..	
2	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
3	I	(*a*)	*The inscription is on a stone* on a Tank-embankment. The Collector suggests fencing in the stone at a cost of Rs. 10, but this seems hardly necessary. The village officers may be ordered to protect it.	.. .. ..	
4	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes. The *inner inscriptions* are allowed to be seen by caste Hindūs at present.	

Serial Number.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Reference to Tamil Section of Part II of Report for 1905-06 (Epigraphical Notes).	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
					TINNEVELLY DISTRICT—(*Continued*).
5	Sankaranainārkōvil Taluk.	Vāsudēvanallūr ..	Rock-cut caves and sculpture.	306	Of archæological value ..
6	Ōttapidāram Taluk.	Kāḷugumalai ..	Rock-cut temple, sculptures, and *inscriptions*.	307	Of great archæological value ..
7	Do. ..	Mandūppu ..	*Inscribed rock*	*id.*	Probably of archæological value
8	Tenkāsi Taluk ..	Kuttālam ..	Sculptured temple and *inscriptions*.	309 and II. 24.	The inscriptions are of high archæological importance.
9	Do. ..	Tenkāsi ..	*Inscriptions on temple* ..	*id.*	Historical,—Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
10	Ambāsamudram Taluk.	Shērmādēvi ..	*Do.*	310	Do. Travancore dynasty.
11	Do. ..	Vaṭṭāvilkulam ..	*Inscriptions* of A.D. 1643 ..	*id.*	Do. Madura Nāyakkas ..
12	Tinnevelly Taluk.	Krishṇāpuram ..	*Inscription* of A.D. 1578, and fine sculptures.	*id.*	Do. do. ..
13	Do. ..	Tinnevelly ..	The temple	311	Architecturally valuable ..
14	Do. ..	Ukkirankōṭṭai ..	*Inscription on a rock* called *Asaikōṭṭaippāṟai*.	*id.*	Historical,—Pāṇḍiyan dynasty.
15	Tenkarai Taluk ..	Kāyal	Two Jain statues	311, 312	Of archæological interest ..
16	Do. ..	Korkai	Do.	312	Do. do. ..

Serial Number.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (*a*) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (*b*) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monument, keeping it clear of whitewash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
			DISTRICT—(*Continued*).		
5	II	(*a*)	Village officers to be entrusted with seeing to their safety. No expenditure at present necessary.	.. .. ..	
6	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In possession of the Zamindār of Ettaiyāpuram. (1) Funds ample. (2) Yes, in the case of most of the remains. (3) Yes.	
7	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	This is in the possession of a Goshai priest who will do all that is wanted. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
8	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In possession of the Committee of the Śiva temple. (1) Funds available, but the temple is reported not rich. (2) Yes, in the case of all the outer portion of the temple. (3) *The inscriptions* are covered with whitewash, but the Trustees have no objection to its being removed.	Rs. 25 might be granted by Government for clearance of whitewash.—R.S.
9	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	Do. do. ..	Rs. 25 might be granted by Government for clearance of whitewash.—R.S.
10	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
11	II	(*a*)	Village officers to be ordered to preserve them. No expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
12	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In possession of the Committee of a Vishnu Temple. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	It is suggested to rail this off and so protect it at a cost of Rs. 35. I think this probably unnecessary. Visitors seldom injure the monuments and inscriptions. It is the *guardians* that ruin them as a rule, with plaster. There is no danger at present to the wall the inscription is engraved on, or to the sculptured *mandapam*.
13	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In charge of a Temple Committee. (1) Funds ample. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	
14	I	(*a*)	To be placed in special charge of the Tahsildar. It is suggested that a railing be erected round it at a cost of Rs. 35.	.. .. ..	
15	II	(*a*)	The Archæological Surveyor will decide whether to remove these or leave them where they are. The villagers take no interest in them, and it will not be possible to ensure their absolute safety except by building a high wall or railing to protect them, which seems unnecessary. They can easily be moved.—R.S.	.. .. ..	
16	II	(*a*)	Do. do. ..	.. .. ..	

Serial Number	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument, &c.	Page.	Reason why conservation is necessary.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			TINNEVELLY.		
17	Tenkasi Taluk ..	Kurkai	*Some old Tamil inscriptions on two of a temple.*	312	Possibly of historical importance.
18	Do. ..	Solakudiyiruppu.	*Inscribed stones, and two inscriptions of A.D. 1447.*	313 and II, 274	The latter are of historical value as fixing a date for a Pāndiyan ruler.
19	Do. ..	Tirukkūr ..	*Inscription of A.D. 1681-82* ..	313, 314	Historical.—Travancore dynasty.
20	Nāngunēri Taluk	Kāduvai ..	*All the inscriptions noted* ..	314	Do. do. ..
21	Do. ..	Nāngunēri ..	*The temple and its inscriptions.*	*id.*	The building and the inscriptions are said to be very ancient.
22	Do. ..	Pattai	*Inscription No. 1 of A.D. 1455, (?).*	315	Historical.—Vijayanagar dynasty.
23	Do. ..	Tirukkarangudi ..	*The three copper-plate inscriptions.*	*id.*	Do. do. ..
24	Do. ..	Valliyūr	Rock-cut temple	*id.*	Of archæological value ..
25	Do. ..	Vijayapati ..	*Inscription of A.D. 1569* ..	316	Historical.—Madura Nāyakkas.

Serial Number	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation in case of monuments in charge (a) of Government.	In case of monuments in charge (b) of private bodies or individuals: (1) Funds available for conservation; (2) Can the custodians be induced to allow inspection, direction, and control of Government officers; (3) Can they be induced to take proper steps for maintaining the monuments, keeping it clear of white-wash, plaster, &c.	Remarks.
6	7		8	9	10
DISTRICT—(*Continued*).					
17	II	(*a*)	The temple is abandoned. No expenditure at present necessary. Village officers to be placed specially in charge.	.. .. ..	
18	II	(*a*)	The village officers should be ordered to keep these safe. No expenditure necessary.	.. .. ..	
19	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Only caste Hindūs. (3) Yes.	
20	II	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Caste Hindūs only. (3) Yes.	
21	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In charge of the Agent of a *Mathām*. (1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if Hindū. (3) Yes.	
22	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) No funds. (2) Caste Hindūs only. (3) Yes.	
23	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	In possession of the *Jyar*, who will preserve them carefully.	
24	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
25	I	(*b*)	.. .. ..	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.	

MADRAS: PRINTED BY R. HILL, AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, FORT ST. GEORGE.

No. 448 C.

Government of Madras,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepak. 2nd February 1888.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

The Secy to Govt
P.W. Deptt

To

The Chief Secretary.

With Public Deptt. P.O.
No. 73 R of 30 January 1888, one copy
of the classified list of monu-
ments selected for conservation
in the Madras Presidency is
supplied for the use of the P.W.
Deptt. If the list is not to be
reprinted by this Deptt., we
shall require at least 12 copies
for distribution and office use.
Even this will allow only one
copy for each Circle. If more
copies are available, I should
like to have as many as can
be spared.

Note added :-
Col. R. C.
beg to send D/S

R.S.

to of monuments

188 .

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 1379 48/99

From

The asst Secy to Govt
Public dept

ENCLOS.— 1
(7 p.c.)

No. —

Dated 10. 2. 88

Received 13-2-88

SUBJECT.

Supplying two copies of the list of monuments selected for conservation in the Madras Presidency in 1884

DISPOSAL.

No. Dated

17

Public Works Department.

5 10 Feby 88

In reply to your letter No. 379 dated 10th instant I am directed to forward herewith two copies of the list of monuments selected for conservation in the Madras Presidency in 1884

Nr. 448 C d/ 2-2-88
" 533 C - 7-2-88
" 1514 - 12-11-87 Pmb
" 3056 W - 12-11-87
" 1353 W - 17-5-87
" 1347 W - 29-5-84
" 108 W - 14-1-84
" 401 B - 14-7-8
" 1513 W - 15-6-

Skrev om Sakl.
dep. K.O. 73 Rd/ 30 Jan 88.
Ny letter ordered to be
"transferred" has not
been rec.d.
K.S
8-2-88

Skrev til
Chef for
Ing. Distr. at
anfinde
K.S.
1/2

Skrev regnin. blanket
for
Chef
K.S
12-88

4 C

accounts of monuments

50/529

No. 529

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 16th February 88

READ the following

Superintending Engr Public Dept (RC No. 73 K dated Janry. 30. 1888)

Copies of the list received with the Proceedings recorded above will be communicated to Superintending Engineers for information and guidance.

2. Attention is called to the suggestion of the Director General that the list should be regarded as a standard, and that future annual returns need not contain descriptive accounts of monuments

51/52

$\frac{3D}{5}$