

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 11
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/89
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/89

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	3990	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	20.12.1888	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1888.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 13 November 1888

OFFICE NOTE No.

2582



To

W.

Under G.O. No. 3332 W. dated

18th. October 1888, it would seem that the Journal of an Asstt. Engineer who is not in charge of a sub-division would be finally dealt with by the Executive Engineer, whereas that of an overseer in charge of a sub-division, cannot be dealt with by him but must go to the Supg. Engineer. Please state whether this is intended.

2. Please also state whether an Executive Engineer in charge of a sub-division, or in any way attached to a division otherwise than as the Executive Engineer in charge of it, will under these orders, be the controlling officer for all officers subordinate to himself, or whether

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

the Executive Engineer of the
division should be the controlling
officer in such cases.

13/11

C.

13/11

13/11

No. 4572 C/17 Nov 1888

To

C

Your 2582 d/13-11-88

The question in para
is answered in the
affirmative.

With reference to para 2 -
only Executive Engineers
in charge of divisions
are "Controlling officers"
under the G.O. quoted.

WMB
15.11.88

WMB

W



14.11.88

590/9
N 2762 d/17-12-88

PLEASE OBTAIN ORDERS OF
GOVERNMENT AS TO WHO SHOULD BE
"CONTROLLING OFFICERS" FOR EXECUTIVE
ENGINEERS AND OTHERS IN TANK SURVEY
PARTIES. I PRESUME THAT IF AN
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IS IN CHARGE, HE
MAY BE CONTROLLING OFFICER FOR THOSE
UNDER HIM, THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR
IRRIGATION BEING HIS CONTROLLING
OFFICER; BUT IF THE OFFICER IN CHARGE
OF THE PARTY IS NOT AN EXECUTIVE
ENGINEER, IT IS NOT CLEAR WHO WILL BE
THE CONTROLLING OFFICER FOR THE
WHOLE PARTY. I SUPPOSE IT WILL BE
THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.

WMB

C.

WMB
17/12

2/5/13
19-2-17 ✓

MC No 12975

Feb 12 88

No 3990 W a/ 20. 21. 88

The Hammer's as-
sumptions are correct

MEM
19. 12. 88

M
19/12/88

20/12

Ch. & for S D/W
Ch. for S D/W
Exp. P.W. a

20/12 88