

22 38/3 W of 4 Dec/88

B Communicated to

To

The P.W. Dept

Communicated to
the Exr P.W. Accounts with
reference to his office
note no 2448 of 19
Oct. 1888.

2. The limitations under
which casual leave may
be granted in the P.W.
Dept are defined in
para 563 of the Code

Recd 30. 11. 88.
Proceedings, dated 28-11-1888
No. 1114



B. 22 38/3 W of 4-12-88

Stating that for
No 41 of 10-January/88
applies only to
Casual leave on
account of illness

WCB
3.12.88. MML
3/12/88

RHA

Exr P.W. A.
San P.W.

25/12

25/12 27/12

Remitted to
Commission

withdwn

27 09/12 3-8/12

27/12

Received on 27/12

Public Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

Endorsement from the Public Works Department dated
23rd October 1888 No 4111 C

Order 28 November 1888, No 1114

Para 2 of the letter from the Government of India recorded in G. O. 10th January 1884, no 41 Public, applies to 'casual leave' on account of illness up to any limit of time that may be fixed by departmental rules.

2 With reference to the note to section 16 of the Civil Leave Code, it is for the Public Works Department itself to decide under what general conditions and restrictions casual leave may be granted to subordinates by officers of that Department, and for the Head of an office to decide whether subject to such general conditions, he will grant or refuse casual leave in any particular instance.

(True Extract)

The Public Works Department

(with Examiner's Office Note)

W. W. W.
Chief Secretary

20
X.D.D.

20/12 3/12

20/12

1888.

Madras, 19 October 1888.

Office Note No. 2448



To W.

In G.O. Public Department
No. 2291 dated 4th. December 1883
communicated with G.O. No. 3598 W.
dated 17th. December 1883, Government
ruled that medical certificates
granted by private medical practi-
tioners to Government servants are
not to be accepted; and in G.O.
Public Department No. 41 dated 10th.
January 1884 communicated with G.O.
No. 205 W. dated 23rd. January 1884,
this ruling was made applicable
only to cases of medical certificates
required under the Civil Leave and
Pension Codes, or other special rules,
and not to certificates required to
justify mere casual leave of absence.

2. With reference to the above
rulings
~~rules~~, I solicit orders of Govern-
ment as to whether the "mere casual
leave of absence" referred to in
para 2 of the Government of India
letter communicated with G.O. No.

41 Public Department dated 10th.
January 1884 is necessarily subject
to the limitation of Code para
563, or not.

3. The case which has given
rise to this reference is that of
a peon who was absent for twelve
consecutive days on the plea of
sickness, in support of which he is
only able to submit a certificate
of private native practitioner.

4. I also solicit orders, as to
whether the above quoted rulings,
and any further ruling that Govern-
ment may now give, may be codified.

h/lo

19/10
19/10

No. 4111 C of 25 Oct. 1888

*Referred to the
Public Department
for decision of the
question raised in
para 2 of the Examiner's
office note.*

W. B. ...
Capt. R. E.
Under Secy. to Govt P. W. D.

23/10/1888

W. B. 10647

12319

1/88

3711

19/10/88

P. W. Dept.
To be returned with
reply.

orders of Govt. as to whether
the "mere casual leave
of absence" referred to
in para 2 of the Govt of
India letter Communica-
ted with G.O. No. 41 Public
Dept. d/10-1-84 is necessary
subject to the limitation
of Code para 563, or not.

3. The case which has given
rise to this reference is that
of a peon who was absent
for 12 consecutive days on
the plea of sickness, in
support of which he is only
able to submit a certificate
of private native practitioners.

4. I also solicit orders as
to whether the above quoted
rulings, & any further ruling
that Govt. may now give,
may be codified.

(Sd) C. H. P. C.

C.

No. 205 W d/23-1-84

" 3598 W. 17-12-83

P.W.C. No. 4064

24-2-88

No. 4111 C d/25 Oct. 1888

Referred to the
Public Department for
decision of the question
raised in para 2 of the
Examiner's office note.

(Sd) W. L. C. Baddeley

Capt R. E.

Under Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.

(Sd) H. R. M.

23/10/88.

Desp. to
26/10

with order

P. 10/12

85/12

95/30/10

No. 2448

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Chepák, 19th October 1883.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

C

To

W

In G.O. Public Dept. No 2291
4/4-12-83 Comd with G.O. No
3593 W of 17-12-83, Govt. ruled
that medical certificates
granted by private medical
practitioners to Govt. servants
are not to be accepted, and
in G.O. Public Dept. No 41 of
10-1-84 Comd with G.O. No
205 W of 23-1-84, this ruling
was made applicable only
to cases of medical certifi-
-cates reqd under the Civil
Leave & Pension Codes, or other
special rules, & not to
certificates reqd to justify
mere casual leave of
absence.

2. With reference to the
above rulings, I solicit
orders.

No 205 W of 23rd Jan/84.

Communicated to the
officers of the Public Works
Department in continu-
-ation of G.O. 3598 W
dated 17th December 1883.

[Sgd] J. S. S.

C. E. for S.

C. E. for Rys.

S. Es:

Engrs.: in Chief S. H. Rys.

Ex: P. W. Accounts

Supt. M. H. Works.

Genl. Supt. P. W. Stores

C. A. to Govt.

Ex: Ry. Accts.

P. W. Deptt.

Govt. of 1884 Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 10th January 1884, No. 41.

No 205 W of 23rd Jan/84.

JANUARY.

Medical Certificates.

Communicating letter from the Government of
India regarding the — required from Government
servants to justify mere casual leave of absence.

With order

10TH JANUARY 1884, No. 41, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From A. MACKENZIE, Esq., C.S., Secretary to the Government of India, Home department (Medical), to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Calcutta, 3rd January 1884, No. 1.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 4635, dated the 15th December 1883, inquiring whether the orders contained in Home department, No. 8-410, dated the 13th November 1883, expressing the opinion of the Government of India that medical certificates should ordinarily be accepted only from Government Medical officers, are intended to apply to all medical certificates or only to those which under the provisions of the Civil Leave Code or Pension Code or other special rules are required to be granted by the Civil Surgeon of a district or a Commissioned Medical officer.

2. In reply, I am to say that it is not necessary to insist on the course prescribed in the orders cited when certificates are required to justify mere casual leave of absence.

No. 1-2.

Endorsement on the above.

* Madras.	Central Provinces.	Copy of correspondence forwarded to other * Local Governments and Administrations for information and guidance.
Bengal.	British Burma.	
N. W. Provs. and Oudh.	Assam.	
Punjab.	Coorg.	
	Hyderabad.	

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. MONTEATH, Esq., Acting Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay, General department, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home department, dated Bombay Castle, 15th December 1883, No. 4635.

With reference to Mr. Officiating Under-Secretary Forbes' memorandum, No. 8-410, dated 13th ultimo, communicating the orders of the Government of India to the effect that medical certificates should ordinarily be accepted only from Government medical officers who are under Government control and supervision, I am directed to inquire whether these orders are intended to apply to all medical certificates or only to those which, under the provisions of the Civil Leave and Pension Codes or other special rules, are required to be granted by the Civil Surgeon of a district or a Commissioned Medical officer. If a medical certificate from a Government Medical officer is insisted on in the case of every application from a Government servant for sick leave or casual leave on account of illness, great inconvenience will ensue, and the orders now communicated will have the effect of indirectly compelling Government servants in case of need to seek the advice of Government Medical officers only.

2. With
alone rulings, I submit
orders

2. It has been the practice in this Presidency for heads of offices, whenever any of their subordinates absent themselves from duty for more than 2 days on account of illness, to insist upon the production of a medical certificate, which is generally furnished from the subordinate's medical attendant. If, therefore, the orders of the Government of India are held to be of general applicability, they will not only entail hardship on the subordinates but also throw considerable extra work upon Government Medical officers, as applications for such certificates will be numerous. Moreover, it will be apparent that in many cases up-country, no Government Medical officer can be found within many miles.

ORDER—dated 10th January 1884, No. 41, Public.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat and to heads of departments, in continuation of G.O., dated 4th December 1883, No. 2291.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the departments of the Secretariat.
„ all heads of departments.
„ the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.