

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 450 B N Madras

Dated 22nd
Recd 23rd
Received



Enclosure. 12.

Forwards papers relating
to a claim for work done in
improving the Devasthanam
Superintendents office at
Ramanad, and requests
sanction for the settlement
of the same for the reasons
stated.

S. E. VI Circle 1/12
with 14 enclosures.
Ex. P. W. Dept.

Recd 28. XI. 88
R 29. XI. 88

P 6/12 57/12

With order

12-3785 Wd/30. XI. 88

for

Ref
29 Nov

The settlement
mentioned in para 3
of the Supty Engineer's
letter is approved
and sanctioned.

W. H. V.
20. 11. 88

M. H.
20/11/88

15
30/11

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly 22nd November 1888.
Draft 23rd

No. 450 RN

From W. Hughes Esquire.
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Sir,

* in original - to be
kindly returned.

ENCLOSURES. 1/2

1. Letter from Manager
P. W. D. No 61
18.7.88
1. E. E. report No 1346. RN
14.8.88
1. S. E. note of 26.7.88
1. Mr. Target's letter
No 477
7.11.88

I have the honor to forward the marginally noted papers relating to a claim for work done in improving the Devasta. : new Superintendent's Office at Rannad.

2. Mr. Randall's note fully explains the circumstances connected with the claim. There has been delay in getting Mr. Target's explanation as he did not find the first reference to him sufficiently explicit and I had to send him a number of papers and plans. His reply to the second reference from this office is enclosed. Mr. Randall appears to have been mistaken in supposing that any alteration was made in the plan after the contract was given. Mr. Target distinctly says that when the work was given out the Contractor knew that some of the timber was not cut to the scantlings required for the places where it was at first intended.

intended

intended to use it but that he accepted it on the report of his agent that it could be used elsewhere. The Contractor in fact admits in one part of his letter that he knew the timber was not of the required scantlings but says he understood reductions would in consequence be made in the book rates.

3. The rate allowed for fitting was far too low if the wastage in recutting be not allowed for and for this reason I think the Contractor has a claim in equity if not in law. Mr. Randall may have been mistaken on some points in considering the claims but decided on the best information which he could get at the time that No. 232.4-6 should be offered in excess of what was due under the bond, in settlement of all claims. As the money has been paid and a final bill signed before receipt of Mr. Target's explanation I beg that the settlement made may be sanctioned. —

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servant.

W. Hughes.

Off^r Superintending Engineer
VI Circle