

Pres. 11508

Ref 10/11/88

3610 Wolf 14th Nov^r 1888

The admission of the
The admission of
charge is sanctioned
The charge is sanctioned.

12.11.50

12/11/00

C. A. to Govt
C. A. to Govt
E. W. P. W. A.
m p w w
Desp'd
14/11

9-19/11 57/11

as Supp. Engineer do not extend to
sanctioning the charge in question.



[Handwritten signature]

C.

[Handwritten initials]
D/S/11

No. 4104 C of 25 October
1888
To
C

For opinion whether
Government sanction is
necessary in this case,
the Consulting Architect's
charge not being an
ordinary division.

Wm
24.10.88


D.W.

To W.

A. 2556 of 8.11.88

It is of course open to
Government by special order either
to exempt the Consulting Architect
from the operation of the rule,
or to raise the limit, as has been done
in the case of the Presidency
Division, vide G.O. No. 2123 W. dated
17th. August 1882; but otherwise
the rule applies to the Consulting
Architect equally with other
Executive Engineers of divisions,
he being merely an Executive Engi-
neer of a division, with the powers
of a Supg. Engineer, and his powers

Public Works Department.



From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,


To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 1145.

Dated 23rd October 1888

Received



No. of ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Requesting to obtain sanction
of Government for the
amount incurred in ad-
vertising tenders for collection
of materials for High Const.
& Constructing Victoria
Hospital.

20

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. M. Musson
Consulting Architect
to Government

1150878

P. W. D.



Consulting Architect's Office,

Chepauk, Madras,

23rd October 1888.

No. 1145.

From

J. W. Brasington, Esq.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosure.

An expenditure of Rs 39/-
has been incurred during the year on account

Advertisement charges for collection of materials to the High Court	Rs 21. " "
Do for constructing Victoria Hospital	18. " "
Total	Rs 39. " "
Limit of expenditure under the head advertisement	25. " "
Difference now required of sanction	14. " "

of charges for advertising tenders for
(1) collection of materials to the new
High Court Buildings and (2) construction
of Victoria Hospital for Caste and
Sasha women. As the amount
involved is in excess of the limit laid down in
Code para 267/n), I have the honor therefore to
request that you will be good enough to obtain
sanction of Government for the excess viz Rs (39-25)
14 being passed by the Examiners.

I

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 10800

From

The Consq. Architect
to Govt.

ENCLOS.—

No. 1145

Dated 23rd October 1888

Received 24 — do —

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain sanction
of Govt. for the amount
incurred in advertising
tenders for collection of
materials for High Court
& Constructing Victoria
Hospital.

DISPOSAL.

No. 4104 C Dated 25th October
1888

To

C

For opinion whether
Government sanction is
necessary in this case,
the Consq. Architect's
charge not being an
ordinary Division.

(Sd) W. S. C. B.
24-10-88
D. W.

16/30/10

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Gobt. of 1882 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 17th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 17th Aug. 1882, No. 2123 W.

AUGUST.

Nos. 115-116.

Advertising Charges.

*The Examiner, Public Works Accounts,
authorised to pass — in the Presidency Divi-
sion up to a limit of Rs. 150 per annum.*

17TH AUGUST 1882, No. 2123 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

No. 115.

READ—the following letter from Colonel H. L. PRENDERGAST, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 27th July 1882, No. 1580 :—

I have the honor to report that the Executive Engineer at the Presidency Division has resorted to the free use of contractors to carry out works on a schedule of rates as suggested in paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 2808 W., dated 11th November 1881. Tenders were first advertised for in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, but as these attracted no attention further advertisements were published in the local newspapers, when numerous tenders came in. The cost of these advertisements has already amounted to Rs. 99, and the Executive Engineer estimates that Rs. 150 is the approximate amount likely to be required in a year in his division.

2. By Standing Order No. 43 of 1881, the limit of advertising charges in a Division is Rs. 25, but in order to work by contract it is necessary to advertise, and thus expense is involved. Hence I beg that under the circumstances of the Presidency Division the charges incurred, viz., Rs. 99, may be admitted by the Examiner and that the yearly limit may be raised to Rs. 150.

No. 116.

ORDER—dated 17th August 1882, No. 2123 W., Public Works.

Under the circumstances stated by the Superintending Engineer, the Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorised to pass advertising charges in the Presidency Division up to a limit of Rs. 150 per annum.

(True Extract)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies