P.W.C. № 766

2294 C of 8/7/87
473 D of 23/8/87
529 D of 3/9/87
4038 C of 12/11/87
25 C of 6/1/88

R 26.1.88

342 W dated 30th January/88

Communicated to the Chairman Madras Harbour Trust Board.

27.1.88

27/1/88

To the Chairman M.H.T.B.
" " Secy. P.W. Acctt.
Despd 30-1-88

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers

Memorandum from the Public Works Department, No. 473. D. dated 23rd August 1887.

Petitions from Mr. DeCaster, late Manager, Madras Harbour Works. dated 20th October 1887. &c &c

---

Order thereon. 25 January/88 No. 80

His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that Mr. D'[illegible]er has no claim upon Government for any retiring allowan[illegible] and he is not therefore prepared to recommend the case to the Government of India. The most important part of his service was under the Harbour Trust Board, and it is within their competence to grant him a gratuity

2. It is observed that in the file of papers transferred by the Public Works Department, there is a petition addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy. If Mr. D'Caster wishes this to be forwarded he must comply with para 2. of the Memorial rules.

(True Extract)

H A Stuart

Under Secretary, to Govt.

To/ The Public Works Deptt.

— " Petitioner with para 2. of the Memorial rules.

23/1

227D-27-6-87

No. 473 D, a/ 23rd Aug 87 1887

Old 23.viii

despd 23/8/87

8

P.W.D.

No. 473 Day 23d August 1887

Memo

Office note from the Exe. P.W.D. No 433 d/ 9.8.87.

Copy of the above with the enclos. in orig., forwarded to the Pension Dept. with suggestion that Mr deCaster be recommended to the Govt of India for a pension of Rs 10/- per mensem.

Jdl 22/8/87.

To the Pension Dept with enclos.

Ch

The Rev. [illegible] returned.

PWC No. 6239

(1)

TO MR. DCASTER ANY RETIRING ALLOWANCE, THE SANCTION OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE WILL BE NECESSARY UNDER SECTION 138 OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE, UNLESS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD RECOMMEND A PENSION NOT EXCEEDING RS. 10/ PER MENSEM, WHICH COULD BE SANCTIONED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA UNDER SECTION 74 CIVIL PENSION CODE, AND MR. DCASTER WILL HAVE FOR THE PURPOSE A TOTAL PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SERVICE OF 20 YEARS, 8 MONTHS AND 11 DAYS, ASSUMING THAT ALL INTERRUPTIONS IN HIS SERVICES WILL BE CONDONED.

Rg 10.8.87

E.14

No 1574 C. 4.5.87
" 1831 C. 31.5.87
" 2294 C. 8.7.87
" 1591 C. 24.8.83
B. No 2309 W. 16.8.83
" 2035 W. 26.7.83
" 1429 W. 15.5.83
" 1881 W. 22.7.82
G.O. 1066. 28.3.1877
B. No 878 W. 31.3.86
" 848 W. 29.3.86
No 927 C. 6.3.86
" 808 C. 26.2.86
B. No 2896 W. 4.12.83

G.O. 2533 W. 2.11.85
No 2657 D. 20.11.85
G.O. 2524 W. 16.10.84
B. No 2864 W. 1.12.85
" 1560 W. 21.6.84
" 1898 W. 7.7.83
" 1578 W. 24.6.81
G.O. 2166 W. 15.9.80
" 1829 W. 2.8.80

C.

Al 8/8.

E.P. 8/8

In accordance with G.O. 383 pension of 8th May 1883 the case of Mr D'Caster should now be forwarded for the orders of the Govt of India. A pension of 10 Rs a month might be recommended.

W.C.V. 15.8.87

Jdl 18/8/87.

~~D~~ W

C. 16.8.87.

TO W. №433 d/9-8-87
Encl.-9

THE ORDERS QUOTED BY YOU ARE OF OLD DATE. THE MOST RECENT ORDERS ARE THOSE CONTAINED IN G.O. PENSION DEPARTMENT NO.610 DATED 11TH.JULY 1882 AND NO.383 DATED 8TH. MAY 1883.

G.O. no 1881 d/ 22/7/82

G.O. no 1124 d/ 19/5/83.

2. IN THE CORRESPONDENCE PRINTED WITH THE FIRST QUOTED ORDER, THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ASKED, WHETHER THE CASES OF MEN TRANSFERRED FOR SERVICE ON THE MADRAS HARBOR WORKS MIGHT NOT BE BROUGHT UNDER SECTION 75 OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE; WHEREUPON THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS, AND THIS OFFICE, REPORTED TO THE EFFECT THAT THEY COULD NOT BE BROUGHT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE SECTION QUOTED, AND GOVERNMENT THEN PASSED ORDERS THAT, THEIR CASES WOULD BE REFERRED FOR THE ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, WHEN THEY RETIRED. MR.DCASTER IS ONE OF THE MEN REFERRED TO IN THE ABOVE CORRESPONDENCE.

3. MR.DCASTER HAS NOW FORFEITED ALL HIS PAST SERVICES BY RESIGNATION, AND IF GOVERNMENT WISH TO GRANT

Mr. de Castra

№ 227 d/ 27-6-87
" 5290 " 3-9-87
" 4738 " 23-8-87
" 2294C " 8-7-87

№ 4038 C d/ 12-11-87

Do 14/11.
15/11

No. 4038C of 12th November 1887

87)

Memorandum

C. No. 9058.

Mr. de Caster is informed with reference to his letter dated 9th November 1887 that his pension papers were sent to the Pension Department for disposal in August last, and that any further inquiries regarding them should be addressed to that Department.

His application for Subsistence allowance will be considered in the usual course when it reaches the Government.

10.11.87

Recd 12/11

10/11/87

To
Mr. de Caster

to the [illegible]
with enclos.

No. 1831C . 31-5-87
" 1546C . 4-5-87
G.O. 2166W . 15-9-80
" . 1829W . 2-8-80
No. 1591C . 24-8-83
B. No. 2035W . 26-7-83
" 1429W . 15-5-83
" 1898W . 7-7-83
G.O. 1066 . 28-3-77
B. No. 878W . 31-3-86
" 848W 29-3-86
No. 927C 6-3-86
" 808C 26-2-86
B. No. 2896W 4-12-85
G.O. . 2555W 2-11-85
No. 2654D 20-11-85
G.O. . 2545W 16-10-84
B . 2864W 1-12-85
" . 1560W 21-6-84
" . 1898W 7-7-83

---

10 [illegible] 87
P.W. DEPARTMENT

Colonel J. C. [illegible] R.E.
Secretary to Govt.
Public Works Departt.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo. No. 1092 dated 11th June 1887, I have now the honour to inquire if any decision in my case has been arrived at [illegible] and what? – If no decision has been come to as yet when it is likely the matter will be settled. –

In the meantime I have [illegible] submitted

"Mr. E. de Caster is informed "that his petition of 26th April "is under reference to the Accounts department, where his "pensionable service is being "verified. On a decision being "arrived at the result will be "duly communicated." –

12-10-87

16-11-87

No. 4038 C of 12-11-87

No. 227 Dy 27th June 87

475D 23-8-87

despatched 14/6/87

Prod.

No 227 D of 14th June 1887

Memo

In reply to his letter of 6th June 1887, Mr E. de Caster is informed that his petition of 26 April is under reference to the Accounts dept, where his pensionable service is being verified – On a decision being arrived at, the result will be duly communicated.

Jou
14/6/87 W

To Mr E. de Caster –

PWC No 4414

No 227 D of 14.6.87

R 10.6.87

SECRETARY TO GOVT. P.W. DEPARTMENT MADRAS
7 JUN 87

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Ootacamund.

Sir,

On the 26th April 1887, I addressed a Petition to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor in Council praying for a pension or gratuity and stating that my papers for pension were submitted through the Superintendent Madras Harbour Works on the 28th August 1886. –

I shall be much obliged by yo kindly informing me whether Government have come to any decision my Petition and what. – I am, Sir,

Your obedient Ser.
Edwd. de Caster
Late Manager Office
Supdt. Madras Harbour

ngalore
mlet Ville
June 1887

No S. 19.vi / M 8.6.87

[illegible] dtd 6.11.6.87

I. Cam.

Papers were sent to Madras on the 17 Oct for file and have not yet returned – D.S.
8-6-87

Papers sent 17 Oct

RM 7

SKW

relinquished his lien.

(By order)

/Sd/ C.B.

Dy. W.

G.O. B/2309W d/16-8-83
" 2035W d/26-7-83
" 1586W d/16-6-83
" 1429W d/15-5-83
" 1881W d/22-7-82
" A/1066 d/28-3-77

Re 1591C d/24-8-83

To
The Supdt., M H Works
with one Enclos.

do C/9 . Entd in Recpt. Register per W.J.

Chepauk
24th Aug/83

No. 1591C

Memo

With refce to letter from the Supdt M.H.W. No. 19W dated 7th Aug/83, the undersigned has the honor to remark that Mr. Thorowgood appears to have overlooked G.O. No. 1066 dated 28th Mar/87 in which sanction was accorded to Mr DCastre drawing Rs. 60 per mensem on the understanding that he relinquished his lien on his appointment in the Exr's office –

2 A refce is also requested to letter from the Supdt M.H.W. to the Exer P.W.A. No. 29C d/ 7th Nov/82 with which was forwd a communication from Mr DCastre acknowledging that he had

---

RON452 of 83-84.

Enclos: one

No. 17[illegible] D d/ 11th Aug/83

To C.

~~For remarks.~~

Cy
[illegible]
7/8

No. 511 d/ 18 Augt/83
Enc ~~one~~ 4

P.W.C. No. ~~6711~~ 7142

Pensions

From
The Superintendent
Madras Harbour Works

No. 19W
Dated 7th August 1883
Recd 8/20

No. of Enclosures one 5

Mr. DeCastre's services

Forwards letter from the Controller P.W. Accounts, shewing that — are pensionable.

To W.

When Mr. deCaster was first transferred to the Madras Harbor Works he was allowed to hold a lien on his permanent appointment in this Office- vide this Office letter No. 245 M. dated 10th. January 1876 to the Superintendent Madras Harbor Works. Subsequently, however, on application being made by the Superintendent Madras Harbor Works to increase his pay to Rs. 60 per mensem Government in G.O. No. 1066 dated 28th. March 1877 sanctioned the increase applied for on the distinct understanding that he should relinquish all claim to his appointment in this Office. This he did and drew the increased rate of pay from 1st. January 1877, from which date he ceased to be borne on the Establishment of this Office, vide also Superintendent Madras Harbor Works letter No. 29 C. dated 7th. November 1882 and its enclosures (copies attached)

C.

17/8

18/8

PWDept
5142/83

Chepauk

Statement of Service of Mr. E. D'Caster age when first entertained 19 years.

Establishment	Date	Appointment	Salary		Period of Service in each appointment			Remarks regarding punishments severe and formal reprimands and all Special Commendations or marks of approbation.
					Year	Months	Days	
1	2	3	4		5			6
Adjutant General's Office	From 19th July 1855 to 12th March 1858.	Clerk Permanent	17	8	2	8	12	This is to certify that Mr. Edward D'Caster was employed in this Office for a period of 3 years & during that time his Conduct was Satisfactory and his Capacity and attention to business very Creditable (Signed) F. S. Gabb Major Dy. Adjutant General of the Army
Office of Inspector General of Hospital	From 9th May 1858 to 9th June 1859	Head Clerk Permanent	40	"	1	1	"	
Accountant General's Office	From 1st June to 31st August 1860	Assistant Permanent	15	"	"	3	"	Regular in attendance and attentive (Signed) F. Lushington Actg. Accountt. General
Office of Inspector General Ordnance & Magazines.	From 1st Septr. to 10th December 1860.	Clerk Permanent	16	"	"	3	10.	Regular in attendance & attentive to his duties. (Signed) G. H. G. Simpson Lt. Coll. Actg. Inspr. General of Ordnance & Magazines
Office of Inspector of Schools 4th Division	From 15th Decr. 1860 to 12th ~~July 1863~~ Feby/62	Head Writer Permanent	40	"	1	1	2	(Signed) E. C. Caldwell Inspector of Schools 4th Division
Cuddalore Jail Office	From 18th July 1862 to 20th Septr. 1865	Writer Permanent	20	"	3	2	2	Intelligent & attentive to his duties which have been performed by him very Creditably (Signed) Geo: Ellis.
Office of Controller P. Works Accounts Madras	From 1st January 1874 to 1st July ~~1865~~ 1875	Clerk Permanent	16	.	.	.	.	Transferred to the Harbour Works (Signed) L. Conway Gordon Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras Harbour Works Office	From 1st July 1875 to 1st Sept. 1876	Assistant Accountant Permanent	30	"	"	"	.	
Do.	From 1st Sept. 1876 to 1st January 1877	Manager Permanent	40	.	.	.	.	
Do.	From 1st January 1877 to 6th August 1878.	Do.	60	.	.	.	.	Considered to be deserving of this increase of 50 percent on account of increase of his general work (Signed) F. N. Thorowgood Supdt. Madras Harbour Works
Do.	From 6th August 1878 to 7th Novr. November 1882	Do.	80	"	8	9	26	Character particularly good and attendance most diligent on account of which recommended for Rs 100 a month January 27th 1882 (Signed) F. N. Thorowgood. Supdt. Madras Harbour Works (Signed) E. D'Caster.

True Copy
G. E. Patton
Superintt. Correspondence Branch

E.G. 18/8

a mutiny in his Office to allow of the proposed increase notwithstanding that I passed the Higher standard in English in Precis Writing.

He then advised Government that the proposed increase should not be sanctioned unless I gave up my lien on my appointment in this Office.

Government in their Order No 1066 dated 28th March 1877. sanctioned the increase on the understanding that I relinquish ~~ish~~ my lien on my appointment in the Examiner's Office.

I very reluctanty relinquished it as being ~~completed~~ compelled from want to do so.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt servt
(sd) Edward Dé Castor
P. T. C.

Madras
7th Nov: 1882

Forwarded to Examiner
P. W. Accounts.

(Sd) B. Beardmore C.E.
Ag: Supdt M. H. W.
7.11.82

(True Copy)

G. E. Latter
Supdt Correspondence Branch.

HC

EP
18/8

Thro: the Supdt. Madras Harbor Works.

To,

The Examiner
P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Sir,

On the 4th January 1877 Mr. Thorowgood applied to Government that my pay should be raised from Rs. 40 to Rs. 60 per mensem.

The application was referred to the Examiner, P. W. Accounts for report.

The Examiner in several Office notes to Colonel Farewell, objected to the increase on the ground that I had not passed the P. W. Code Examination. That I was held on his Office Establishment and that as I was only getting 16 Rs. in his Office, it would be against discipline, and it would create

P.W. 3
7142/03

Copy of letter from the General Superintendent, Madras Harbor works, to the Examiner P.W. Accounts. Madras No. 29 C dated 7 November/82

In reply to your letter No. 518 X of the 4th instant, I have the honor to forward you a letter of this date from Mr. D'Caster. The order of 28th March 1877 gave him an increase of salary from the 1st January 1877 so I presume it would be considered that he gave up on that date, the lien which he retained in your office from July 1875.

I also enclose a statement of Mr. D'Caster's entire service under Government with copies of letters relating thereto.

(True Copy)

G. E. Patton
Supdt Correspondence Branch

D.G.
1818

ED 1818

0 Pros 5
7142/83

was communicated direct to this Office. G.O. No. 596 Pension July 10. 1883 also bears upon his case indirectly. –

4. I wish to draw attention to the understanding on which Mr. de Castre came to this Office, and I enclose, in original, a letter from the Controller of Public Works Accounts, dated January 10th 1876 to the Superintendent Harbour Works on this subject. This letter states that Mr. de Castre "will be considered
" as detached on temporary duty, and
" when the temporary duty ceases he
" will return to his permanent ap-
" pointment in this Office as provided
" for in Section 18, Chapter V of the
"Civil Pension Code." – This would clearly shew that his service in this Office was supposed to count for pension at the time of his joining. –

5. The Establishment of these works has now been in existence for nearly 8 years, and yet the expression "Temporary" is applied to it, which does not seem consistent. –

6. I have the honour to ask that the letter of the Controller of January 10th 1876 may be communicated to the Pension Department and if necessary to the Government of India. –

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servt. –

F. W. Thorowgood. M. Inst. C.E.
Superintendent
Madras Harbour Works

No. 19W

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS,

TO

Major General R. H. Sankey, C.B. R.E.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

MADRAS, 7th August 1883.

Sir,

I have the honour to intimate that I have the intention of addressing Government shortly on the subject of this Office Establishment and Staff generally with the object of having its exact position and prospects clearly understood before commencing another arduous five years of construction.–

(1 Enclosure (to be returned))

2. But before doing this I have the honour very respectfully to draw attention once more to the individual case of Mr. Edward de Castro, Manager of this Office.–

3. The last order of Government on his case is to be found in G. O. No. 383. Pension. May 8. 1883 which

was

P. 1881 — 22.7.82
1429 — 15.5.83
1586 — 8.6.83
1733 2035 — 26.7.83
" — 11.8.83

No. 2309 Wa/16th Augt /83

B

Recorded.

CY
15/8

P.W.C. No. 6932

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

2 S.C

Issued

Recd. 14/8/83 Encl.

G.O., 7th August 1883, No. 701.

No. 2309 Wa/16-8-83

AUGUST.

Mr. Hart,

Storekeeper, Madras Harbour Works.—Presuming that — would prefer applying for his pension for service in the Public Works Examiner's Office, after the termination of his present employment, and stating that it is not likely he will be permitted to count for pension his present increased rate of pay.

E.T.C

2. It does not however appear likely from the orders passed by the Government of India in the case of C. Ramaswami Naidu that he will be permitted to count his increased pay on the Madras Harbour Works for pension.

G.O., 11th July 1882, No. 610.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
,, Public Works Department. ✓
,, Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
,, Accountant-General,

Exd. J. Wright.

2 SC

7th August 1883, No. 701, Pension.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read—again—

G.O., dated 8th May 1883, No. 383.

Read—also the following paper:—

From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. Inst., C.E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works (through the Examiner of Public Works Accounts), to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 19th July 1883, No. 17-W.

In G.O., Pension Department, No. 383, of the 8th May 1883, it is stated that an application will be submitted for the retiring allowance due to Mr. Hart, Storekeeper on these works, from date on which his appointment was abolished in the Examiner of Public Works Accounts' Office, viz., 5th June 1880. I have the honor, therefore, to inquire whether there is any difficulty in allowing Mr. Hart the pension he has qualified for; or, whether his appeal asking that his services subsequent to 5th June 1880, on the Madras Harbour Works, may be reckoned in continuation to qualify for a higher rate of pension, has been recommended to the Government of India, who, in their Proceedings on the pension question of Surveyor Ramaswami Naidu, directed that similar cases should be submitted by the Local Government for their special orders.

2. Mr. Hart's appeal was forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, and by that officer to Government, Pension Department, through the Accountant-General.

No. 565-X.

Endorsement on the above.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, for favor of disposal and orders.

2. There appears no objection to the pension admissible to Mr. Hart for his services up to 5th June 1880 being sanctioned for him, but as he has been employed in the Harbour Works as Storekeeper on a salary of Rs. 220 per mensem, he will draw no portion of his pension (*vide* Section 177 of the Civil Pension Code).

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

26th July 1883.

Order—dated 7th August 1883, No. 701, Pension.

The Government presume that, under the circumstances set forth in paragraph 2 of the Examiner's endorsement, Mr. Hart will prefer to delay his application for pension till his present employment has come to an end.

B 1881 " 22.7.82
" 1429 " 15.5.83
" 1586 " 6.6.83

No. 2035 Wd 26th July/83

B

Recorded

Ch 23/7

P.W.C. No. 5956

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

2. S.C

Issued

Recd. 14/7/83 Encl.

G.O., 10th July 1883, No. 596.

No. 2035 Wd 26-7-83

JULY.

Mr. C. A. Huffton's service in the Madras Harbour Works.

Stating that the services of Mr. Huffton, while attached to the Madras Harbour Works, is admitted as qualifying for pension.

E.T.C. 31/7

With order

Entd. in [illegible]

10th July 1883, No. 596, Pension.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., dated 8th May 1883, No. 383, Pension.
G.O., „ 30th May 1883, No. 466, Pension.

Read—also the following paper :—

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 8th June 1883, No. 191-X.

The orders of Government in G.O., Pension Department, No. 466, dated 30th May 1883, are not quite clear, and I have therefore the honor to inquire if it is intended that the orders contained in G.O., No. 383, dated 8th May 1883, are to be considered as modified so far as Mr. Huffton is concerned, and that his services, while attached to the Harbour Works, are admitted to be pensionable in the same manner as if he had been attached as Accountant to any other Public Works Divisional Office.

Order—dated 10th July 1883, No. 596, Pension.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts having pointed out an error in the Accountant-General's report which necessarily modified G.O., 8th May 1883, No. 383, his letter was recorded by G.O., 30th May 1883, No. 466, for reference when Mr. Huffton's pension papers come before Government. His services, while attached to the Harbour Works, are admitted to be pensionable.

(True Extract.)

A. S. Russell
for *Acting Under Secy. to Govt.*

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Controller of Military Accounts.
„ Accountant-General.
„ Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

P.W.C. No 4088

No 1429 W d/15th May/83

B/

Recorded

Cy
15/5.

Gobt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

2 S.C.

Issued

Recd. 14/5/83 *Encl.*

G.O., 8th May 1883, No. 383.

No 1429 W d/15-5-83

MAY.

1881 W d/ 22.7.82

Men transferred to Madras Harbour Works.

Directing the submission of an application for retiring allowance due to Mr. Hart up to the date on which his appointment was abolished, that Messrs. Huffton and D'Caster be re-entertained as occasion offers in the Office of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, and that their cases, with that of Mr. Manuel, be referred to Government of India, when they retire.

Entd. in Receipt Regr. Do 19/5

No further order

4. The several communications on the subject, received from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, are herewith forwarded for facility of reference by Government.

Letter from Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, dated 11th April 1883, No. 5-W.

Abstract.—Transferring a petition from Mr. Manuel praying that his Harbour Works service may be declared pensionable.

No. 18.

Official Memorandum.

With reference to the Accountant-General's letter, No. I.A.E.-490, dated 28th February 1883, the Controller of Military Accounts and the Examiner of Public Works Accounts are requested to report if Messrs. Manuel, Huffton, and D'Caster cannot be restored to their permanent posts, either now or when vacancies occur. Mr. Hart can, it is understood, get a compensation pension for his services up to the date of the abolition of his permanent appointment. In the event of its being impossible to restore these officers to their permanent posts, it should be reported whether their cases could not be brought within Section 7, Civil Pension Code.

(Signed) J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with one enclosure.
" Controller of Military Accounts, with five enclosures.

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 6th April 1883, No. 22-X.

With reference to your Official Memorandum, No. 18, dated the 13th ultimo, I have the honor to state that Mr. C. A. Huffton, Accountant, Third Grade, is borne on the general list of Accountants sanctioned for the entire Presidency, and will be transferred to this office on the termination of his services in the Harbour Works.

2. Mr. D'Caster may also be taken on the establishment of this office on a vacancy occurring hereafter, but not necessarily on his present rate of pay, viz., Rs. 80 per mensem. Mr. D'Caster, when attached to this office before he took up an appointment in the Office of Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, drew a salary of Rs. 16 per mensem.

3. To admit of the cases of these individuals being brought within the scope of Section 71 (not Section 7 as entered in the Memorandum) of the Civil Pension Code, the approval of the Government of India appears necessary.

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. CARTER, Officiating Controller of Military Accounts, Madras, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 6th April 1883, C.

In returning the accompanying documents received with your Official Memorandum, No. 18, dated 13th March 1883, I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Manuel having resigned his appointment on the 24th July 1878, on the distinct understanding that he would have no claim to re-entertainment in the Pay Examiner's Office in case of his being thrown out of employment in the Harbour Works, I regret I am unable to authorize his restoration to his former appointment; nor is there any immediate prospect of a suitable vacancy.

2. The employment of Mr. Manuel by the Harbour Works does not apparently constitute Foreign Service, as defined on page 7 of the Financial Code.

Order—dated 8th May 1883, No. 383, Pension.

An application will be submitted for the retiring allowance due to Mr. Hart up to the date on which his appointment was abolished.

2. Messrs. Huffton and D'Caster will be re-entertained as occasion offers in the Office of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, and their cases, with that of Mr. Manuel, will be referred for the orders of the Government of India when they retire, as it appears impossible to bring them within the scope of Sections 7 or 71 of the Civil Pension Code.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Public Works Department.
" Controller of Military Accounts.
" Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

Exd. A. F. Aaron.

8th May 1883, No. 383, Pension.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read—again G.O., dated 11th July 1882, No. 610, Pension.

Read—also the following papers:—

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 28th February 1883, No. I.A.E.-490.

With reference to paragraph 4 of the Proceedings of Government, No. 610, dated the 11th July 1882, requesting me to submit a report on the services of those officers who have been transferred from permanent appointments to the Madras Harbour Works Establishment, in view to considering whether their cases may be brought within the scope of Section 71 of the Civil Pension Code, I have the honor to state that the officers named in the margin are those whose cases deserve consideration with reference to the Section quoted above. From the remarks of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, recorded in the respective statements of services of these officers, it appears that all the officers, with the exception of Mr. Hart, were transferred to appointments on the establishment of the Madras Harbour Works without any definite understanding that their appointments in that establishment were to be temporary, and that they should revert to their respective substantive appointments when their new appointments ceased to exist. The vacancies caused by the transfers were substantively filled up by others who were eligible for pension in those appointments with effect from the date of promotion. The cases of these officers cannot, therefore, be brought within the scope of Section 71 of the Code, and their services in the Madras Harbour Works cannot reckon for pension without the special orders of the Government of India, to whom their cases should be submitted for decision on their merits, under paragraph 2, No. 1644, dated 13th June 1882.

Mr. J. Manuel,
„ C. Huffton,
„ E. D'Caster, and
„ J. Hart.

2. With regard to the case of Mr. Hart, I beg to state that, although Mr. Hart was transferred to the Harbour Works Establishment on the understanding that he would retain a lien on his substantive appointment in the Office of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, yet he was entitled to reckon his service for pension up to 5th June 1880 only, the date on which that permanent appointment was abolished in the reduction of establishment. His service subsequent to that date cannot be considered as pensionable, even under the provisions of Section 72, of the Code, as his duties in the Harbour Works are not of a special nature as contemplated by that Section.

3. In his letter to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 6th October 1882, Mr. Hart requests that he may either be granted a pension for his services up to 5th June 1880, or that his subsequent service in the Madras Harbour Works Establishment may be declared pensionable. The application is herewith transmitted for such orders as Government may deem fit to pass.

5464

Govt. of (1880) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated 17/9

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O. 15th Sept. 1880, No. 2166 W.

Nos.

Service on the Madras Harbour Works Counting towards pension or gratuity

Passing orders on letter from the Insp. Genl: the names of certain employés who are affected by G.O. No: 1829 W. of 2nd August /80.

38/14

Cir 10.9.80. Rtu 13.9.80 –
0.8.9.80

affected in the case of Mr. Manuel by the fact of his salary, during a portion of his employment on the Harbour Works, having been charged to "works" instead of to "establishment".

2. The individuals named in lists No. 2 and No. 3 will be exempted from the operation of the rule prohibiting the entertainment in the public service of men whose age exceeds 25 years, but those named in the margin, will not be exempted by this order from the operation of the examination rules.

A. Jaganan Koolashaidu
V. Ramasawmy

3. With these remarks the lists will be recorded for future reference.

7.9

10/9/80

Supt. M. H. Wks. ✓ with copies of lists
Ex. P. Wks. do ✓
Pension Dept.

Despd
24-9-80

w/

No. 2166 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 15th Septr 1880

Read the following :—

From,
The Supdt
Madras Harbour Works } (H.E. No. 38 W of 27th Augt/80,)

It has already been decided in G.O. No. 1829 W of 2nd August 1880 that service on the Madras Harbour Works will in the case of men transferred to these works from permanent employment under Government count as service for pension and gratuity; this ruling will not be

---

2

P.W.D.
30 AUG 80
No.

G.O. 2-8-80 - 1829.W.

Cir 10-9-80. Rd 13-9-80 -
S - 8.9.80

first joined this office and I beg that it may be considered so whenever his case comes to be tried on its own merits.

4. List No. 2 contains names of those now on the Establishment of the works who have attained the age of 25 years since their employment here. No remark need be made on this list, beyond asking that it may be recorded for reference at any future date when any of those whose names are upon it may seek other employment, as I can speak very highly of the good qualities of several of the individuals mentioned.

5. List No. 3 bears the solitary name of a very creditable employé, M. Govindoorajooloo Naidoo, whose services I dispensed with in 1878 when reducing the staff as much as possible. I venture to draw special attention to his case as he has, I fear, lost a permanent situation in an office where he was acting temporarily because he had attained the age of 25 years and hd only been working in this Office Establishment, which was not recognised as Government employ. I beg to recommend his case strongly, as he left my office for no fault of his own and believing that he would be able to obtain employment elsewhere under Government.

6. I shall endeavour to give due notice of the termination of the employment on these works of any of those men mentioned in these lists, so that each case may be separately considered on its own merits, and I would respectfully remark that I consider the office staff under me have every reason to be satisfied in every way with the order under reply, which meets every case in the clearest way.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servt.

F. N. Thorowgood. M.I.C.E.
Superintendent
M. H. Works

Ddl

No. 38 W

From

The SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS,

To Colonel R. H. Sankey C.B. R.E.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

MADRAS, 27th August 1880

3 Enclosures

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith 3 lists of men employed on these works who are in some way or other affected by the G. O. No. 1829 W of August 2nd 1880.

2. The list No. 1 shews the names of those who were in Government employ before they came to the Harbour Works. The last name on this list is Mr. J. Manuel about whom I wish to make a few remarks.

3. From February 1879 to August 31. 1879 his salary was charged to the works and not to Establishment, consequently during those months his pay was not audited by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts. His service however has been continuous since he first

---

April and August –

Ought not Madura-nayaga Mood.rs name be in list No 1?

D. W.

To D. W.

I am extremely annoyed that I should send a list with so many errors – but I did not personally examine the dates as I ought to have done – The list was made out under a misunderstanding of what was required –

A revised list is herewith submitted.

Maduranayaga Moodelliar had resigned his appointment under Government (at the Mount) before he came to these works, and therefore I think cannot be classed with those who joined from other Govt. situations.

F. W. T.
Sup. MHW

6. 9. 80

No. 400

1

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1880. { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepauk, 21st Aug. 1880.

OFFICE NOTE.

-126 W

To Supdt M. W. W.

Your letter No 38 W. of 27th inst —

Please revise your list No 2 which is very incorrect; the men against whom a pencil mark is placed all (if the dates given are correct) attained the age of 25 before entertainment on the works, and in one case (marked with a cross) there is a confusion between

Papers P.R.

P.W. 5404/3 /80

No. 2.

Revised

List of men now in the service of the Madras Harbour Works who attained the age of 25 years after engagement therein.

Names	Nature of Employment	Date of Birth	Engagement on Works	Date on which attained age of 25 Years	Remarks
A Jagannaicooloo Naidu.	Draughtsman	15th Apl 1853	1st Jany 1877	15th Apl 1878	Unpassed
M Moonoosawmy Roa	-do.	7 Septr 1854	17 Apl 1876	7 Septr 1879	
P.N. Narrayanasawmy Naicker	Clerk	12 June 1852	1st Feby 1876	12 June 1877	
V. Ramasawmy	-do-	1st Apl 1855	1st June 1875	1st Apl 1880.	Unpassed
C. Thaniyachella Moodelly –	-do	17 Feby 1854	10 Septr 1876	17 Feby 1879	

6th September 1880.

F.N. Horowgood.
Superintendent
M.H. Works

P.W.D.
30 AUG 80
No. 2166

2166/-

P.W.D.
30 AUG 80
No. 5464

No. 3

List of men who have exceeded 25 years of age while in the service of the Madras Harbour Works now discharged.

Name	Nature of Employment	Date of Birth	Engagement on Works	Date of discharge	Remarks
M. Govindoorajaloo Naidu	clerk	18th Decr 1852	21st November 1877	1st Septr 1878	Service dispensed with by reduction. Restricted from permanent employment owing to having exceeded the age of 25 while serving in the Harbour Works.

Madras 27th August 1880

F. N. Elerowgood.
Superintendent
M H Works

P.W.D.
30 AUG 80
No

2166W -

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of [1880] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 15th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 78-79

Service on the Madras Harbour Works counting towards Pension or Gratuity.—Passing orders on letter from the Superintendent submitting the names of certain employés who are affected by G.O., No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August 1880, regarding—

works from permanent employment under Government, count as service for pension and gratuity; this ruling will not be affected in the case of Mr. Manuel by the fact of his salary, during a portion of his employment on the harbour works, having been charged to "works" instead of to "establishment."

2. The individuals named in lists No. 2 and No. 3 will be exempted from the operation of the rule prohibiting the entertainment in the public service of men whose age exceeds twenty-five years, but those named in the margin will not be exempted by this order from the operation of the examination rules.

A. Jaganaikooloo Naidu.
V. Ramasawmy.

3. With these remarks the lists will be recorded for future reference.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
,, Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
,, Pension Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

No. 78. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. INST. C.E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 27th August 1880, No. 38 W.

I HAVE the honor to submit herewith three lists of men employed on these works who are in some way or other affected by the G.O., No. 1,829 W., of August 2nd, 1880.

2. The list No. 1 shows the names of those who were in Government employ before they came to the harbour works. The last name on this list is Mr. J. Manuel, about whom I wish to make a few remarks.

3. From February 1879 to August 31st, 1879, his salary was charged to the works and not to establishment; consequently during those months his pay was not audited by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts. His service, however, has been continuous since he first joined this office, and I beg that it may be considered so whenever his case comes to be tried on its own merits.

4. List No. 2 contains names of those now on the establishment of the works who have attained the age of twenty-five years since their employment here. No remark need be made on this list, beyond asking that it may be recorded for reference at any future date when any of those whose names are upon it may seek other employment, as I can speak very highly of the good qualities of several of the individuals mentioned.

5. List No. 3 bears the solitary name of a very creditable employé, M. Govindoorajooloo Naidoo, whose services I dispensed with in 1878 when reducing the staff as much as possible. I venture to draw special attention to his case, as he has, I fear, lost a permanent situation in an office where he was acting temporarily because he had attained the age of twenty-five years and had only been working in this office establishment, which was not recognised as Government employ. I beg to recommend his case strongly, as he left my office for no fault of his own and believing that he would be able to obtain employment elsewhere under Government.

6. I shall endeavour to give due notice of the termination of the employment on these works of any of those men mentioned in these lists, so that each case may be separately considered on its own merits; and I would respectfully remark that I consider the office staff under me have every reason to be satisfied in every way with the order under reply, which meets every case in the clearest way.

No. 79. ORDER THEREON, 15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

IT has already been decided in G.O., No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August 1880, that service on the Madras Harbour Works will, in the case of men transferred to these

---

4644
4116
4017

Govt. of (1877) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated March 28th.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 28th March 1877, No. 1,066.

MARCH.

Nos. 722-723.

Mr. E. D' Caster, Clerk in the Office of the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.—The maximum salary Rupees 60 to —, sanctioned with effect from 1st January 1877, on certain condition.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th March 1877. *No.* 1,066.

No. 722. Read the following letter from F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 4th January 1877, No. 97—W:—

I HAVE the honor to address you again on the subject of the salary of the Head Clerk in this office.

In letter No. 53—W, dated July 28th, 1876, the then Officiating Superintendent asked that the pay of this Clerk (Mr. E. D'Caster) should be raised to Rs. 120 per mensem, but after the matter had been considered by the Deputy Chief Engineer and the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Government sanctioned that his pay should be increased from Rupees 30 to Rupees 40 per mensem, and that a maximum salary of Rupees 60 should be eventually reached by annual increments of Rupees 5 per mensem.

I concurred in this arrangement.

Since the date of the letter from the Deputy Chief Engineer (No. 6,731, August 26th, 1876,) to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, I have been better able to judge as to the merits and capabilities of Mr. D'Caster, and I am of opinion that his work entitles him to the maximum salary of Rupees 60 per mensem at the present time.

He is well suited for his post, and is very hardworking, and I consider that, comparing his work and his pay with that of other officials on the works, Rupees 60 would be only a fair rate of salary.

I have considered the matter carefully before making this application and have consulted Mr. Parkes, the Engineer-in-Chief, on the subject, who entirely agrees with me that the proposed increase should be made.

No. 723. ORDER THEREON, 28th March 1877, No. 1,066.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 32.

SANCTIONED on the understanding that Mr. D'Caster relinquishes his lien on his appointment in the Examiner's Office.

2. The increase of pay will have effect from the 1st January 1877.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. FAREWELL, Colonel,
Acting Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Buildings and Roads Branch.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Grubert.
Spare Copies

4644
4116
4017

Govt. of (1880) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To 8

Dated 4

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 2nd Augt 1880, No. 1829W

Nos.

Service on the Madras Harbour Works.

Passing orders regarding — Counting towards pension & gratuity

12

Rault Huddleston

of men so situated showing in each case nature of employment, date of birth, of engagement on works, and of discharge therefrom (if yet discharged) with any remarks or recommendation he may desire to offer.

S
267

Print
29/7

Cir 28.7.80. Rtd 29.7.80.
[illegible] B. 26.7.80 R 2 8

3 ✓
Supdt of Madras Harbour Works -
Exr P. W. Accounts ✓
D.S.W. 7
R.S. 12-8-80 27

information of Government.

4. With regard to men who are precluded from obtaining employment under Government by reason of having been employed on the Harbour Works until over twenty five years of age, no general rule can be laid down, but each case must be dealt with on its own merits.

5. The Superintendent will submit a list of

---

any lien on the particular appointments they held previous to transfer, but the question of their re-employment will be considered (separately in each case) on the termination of their employment on the Harbour Works, of which due notice should be given by the Superintendent.

3. A list of men affected by this order, showing the appointments they held previous to transfer to the Harbour Works will be submitted for the

Cir

9.

No. 1829. W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

~~UNDER date the~~ 2d aug: 80

Read the following :—

From the Supdt. M. H. Works (H.E. No. 23 W of 22d June/80)
Office 5 Note to Exr. P.W. Accts (" " 315 " 25 " ")
6 and reply thereto (" " 333 quote 24 July/80
Exr. P.W. Accounts (H.E. No. 44 W 16th June/80)
Do 9 - Do (" " 67 W " 19th July ")

P 10

Service on the Madras Harbour Works will in the case of men transferred to those works from permanent employment under Government, count as service for pension and gratuity.

2. Such men will not (except by special agreement) retain any

---

P.W.D.
20JUL80
No. 4644

FROM THE EXAMINER P.W.ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1829 W

NO. 67 W

DATED 19th JULY 1880.
RECD. 20th

REQUESTS AN EARLY REPLY TO THIS OFFICE LETTER NO.44 W.DATED 16TH.JUNE 1880.

Cir 28.7.80. Dd 29.7.80
C. 26.7.80 R.2.8

FROM MAJOR D.H.TRAIL R.E.

OFFG. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

NO. 67 W. MADRAS, 19 JULY 1880.

SIR,

I HAVE THE HONOR TO INVITE YOUR ATTENTION TO THIS OFFICE LETTER, NO. 44 W. DATED 16TH. JUNE 1880, ENQUIRING WHETHER THE SERVICES OF MR. JAMES HART IN THE MADRAS HARBOR WORKS COUNT TOWARDS PENSION, AND TO REQUEST THE FAVOR OF AN EARLY REPLY THERETO.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT.

FOR OFFG. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

5130/19.7.

№ 28 JUN 80 ENCL.

Mis No 2560 W. D/ 3rd Octr 1879.

Establishment

From the Superintendent
Madras Harbour Wo

P.W.D.
28 JUN 80
№ 4017

№ 23 W

Dated 22 June 1880.

Service

Submits hardship of certain employés not being allowed to count service for pension strongly by those under me on the subject about which I am writing that I am constrained to place the matter at some length before Government, and the two points that I would specially call attention to are,

First, that those who have joined this office from other Government employ should not lose the time spent on these works, as though the service were in no way connected with Government.

And secondly that those who are qualified to serve on the Regular Establishment of these works after the age of 25 years, may not be prevented from obtaining other Government employment on the ground that this is not to be reckoned as regular Government service.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obdt Servt

F. W. Thorowgood, M. Inst. C.E.
Supdt M. H. Works

P.W.D.
25JUN80
No. 4017

M.S. Ex. Engl

in 1875, he was told off to assist Mr May here temporarily - He returned in February 1876 to the Revenue Survey office, but was transferred back to these works in July 1876 and he has been working satisfactorily (except by reason of occasional illness) ever since - I can hardly think that the Government will deprive him of 4 years service out of 21 years because those 4 years have been passed on the Madras Harbour Works, and I would venture to appeal on his behalf that his service may be taken as continuous.

7. Another case that I will venture to mention is that of a writer named M. Govindoo Rajooloo Naidoo who was for a time on this Establishment, but whose services I dispensed with as I wished to reduce the staff as much as I possibly could - I had nothing whatever against the man - He is now past 25 years of age and cannot obtain Government employ because no Government office will recognise his service book as being a sign of his having ever been in the service of Government - I think this is very hard on the man, as he joined this Establishment under the firm conviction that he was entering Government service when he was forced to provide himself with a service book, which events have since proved to be useless.

8. I would also mention the case of Mr J. Manuel who came here from the Military Pay Examiner's Office, believing that he was only transferred from one Department to another - I think his service on this Establishment might be reckoned in addition to the time he served with Military Pay Examiner.

9. I have been appealed to so

P8

works, duly qualified for Government service, and who on presenting his service book at another office was told that it was of no value whatever. The consequence is that many members of this Establishment are now barred admission to Government service, by the order of December 13th 1879, as they have failed to enter that service before they were 25 years of age, although they believed that on being placed on the Establishment of these large Government works they were practically entering Government service.

6. But there are individual cases to which I must refer more in detail. I will first take the case of the principal surveyor on this staff, C. Ramasawmy Naidoo, who in May 1859 obtained a Draughtsman's certificate, and became Head Draughtsman in Colonel Priestley's office on the Revenue Survey. He was in Government employ in various places until

the following:-

By G. O. No 2374 of December 13th 1879 the limit of age for entering Government service is fixed at 25 years. Now it so happens that many on the Establishment of this office have already passed that limit, but having had to keep service registers and being under all the rules for Government service in other offices they have believed that they were not, as it were, losing their chance of obtaining Government service while they were engaged on a Government work, and I confess I shared this belief on their behalf. But as a matter of fact these service books which the staff are bound to keep by G. O. No. 151, of October 26th 1876 (and which order I am told to see carried out,) are treated as nothing when the matter of service really comes to be tested, and I shall refer to a case of a man who was on the fixed Establishment of these works

works

in some cases of a particular nature), service on this Establishment would count as Government service, and this view was shared by those of the office staff who had to qualify by means of examination before the Examiner would pass their pay.

3. In reply to my question, the Examiner informs me that the Government of India have decided that "service on the Harbour Works "is temporary and as such cannot "qualify for gratuity or pension". He also explains to me that qualifying examinations are necessary for temporary as well as for permanent establishments. He further points out that by G.O. No 899 of March 16th 1877 (in which I applied for the exemption from examination of a store clerk) it was understood that the service was temporary. The position now therefore appears perfectly clear, and I am sorry that it was not made so clear in 1875 when the staff was first formed.

4 There are several cases of individuals on this staff upon whom the intelligence that service on this Establishment counts for nothing seems to have fallen severely, and as the length of time for which the Establishment was supposed to be required (4 or 5 years) put it quite beyond the definition of "temporary" in the Code. I never for a moment doubted that those individuals to whom I shall refer were serving for pension or gratuity otherwise I should not have understood why they were less under my individual control than men on the works. My mistake, based in the first instance upon the correspondence in Office notes referred to above, has I fear only served to aggravate the feelings of those subordinates who have appealed to me in this matter.

5. The first grievance that is felt is

P1

No. 23 W

From

The SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS,

To Colonel R. H. Sankey. C. B. R. E.
Secretary to Government P. W. Dept,
Fort Saint George

MADRAS, 22nd June 1880

SIR,

I have the honor to state that I have recently had occasion to ask the Examiner of Public Works Accounts whether service on the fixed "Establishment" of these works counts as Government service for pension or gratuity, in the case of those who have had to pass an examination before entering the service and who provide themselves with service books during their employment here.-

2. From correspondence by means of office notes between the Examiner's office and the then Under Secretary in the Public Works Department in February 1877 I supposed that there was no doubt that (except

---

P.W.D.
28 JUN 80
No 4116

FROM THE EXAMINER P.W.ACCOUNTS,
MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
P.W.DEPARTMENT.

NO. 44 W.

DATED 16th JUNE 1880.

RECD.

Print

ENCLOS-2.

MR.HART- REGARDING THE DEPUTA-
-TION OF -

Cir 28.7.80. Rtd 29.7-80.
C 26.7.80 R 2.8

WILL BE ALLOWED TO COUNT THE PERIOD OF HIS EMPLOYMENT ON THE HARBOR WORKS TOWARDS PENSION AND AS IT IS CLEAR FROM MAJOR GORDONS LETTER ABOVE ALLUDED TO, THAT HE WAS ALLOWED TO RETAIN A LIEN ON HIS SUBSTANTIVE APPOINTMENT IN THIS OFFICE, I AM OF OPINION THAT THOUGH HE DID NOT RESUME THE DUTIES OF HIS SUBSTANTIVE APPOINTMENT IN THIS OFFICE HIS CASE FALLS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF SECTION 28 OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE, AND THAT THEREFORE, HE IS ENTITLED TO COUNT HIS SERVICES ON THE HARBOR WORKS UP TO THE 5TH. JUNE 1880, THE DATE ON WHICH THE NOTICE GIVEN HIM WILL EXPIRE.

2. THE FAVOR OF EARLY ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT ON THE CASE IS SOLICITED.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT.

D. H. Trail

MAJOR R.E.

OFFG. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

P.W.D.
20JUN80
No 4116
2

FROM MAJOR D.H.TRAIL R.E.

OFFG.EXAMINER P.W.ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

NO. 44 W

MADRAS, 16 JUNE 1880.
Despd. 26 – do ——

SIR,

IN FORWARDING HEREWITH FOR THE ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT AN EXTRACT OF PARA 3 FROM LETTER NO. 10 C. DATED 3RD. MAY 1880 RECEIVED FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT MADRAS HARBOR WORKS ENQUIRING WHETHER UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES REPRESENTED THEREIN, THE SERVICES OF MR. HART DURING THE PERIOD OF HIS EMPLOYMENT ON THE HARBOR WORKS COUNT TOWARDS PENSION, I HAVE THE HONOR TO STATE AS FOLLOWS:-

(A) MR. HART WAS BORNE ON THE PERMANENT STRENGTH OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THIS OFFICE AS A CLERK DOING DUTY AS ACCOUNTANT ON RS.150 PER MENSEM PRIOR TO HIS JOINING THE HARBOR WORKS OFFICE. ON THE 23RD. APRIL 1877 HE WAS ALLOWED TO TAKE UP THE APPOINTMENT OF STOREKEEPER ON THOSE WORKS BY MY PREDECESSOR MAJOR L.CONWAY-GORDON R.E. UNDER THE CONDITION SPECIFIED IN HIS LETTER NO.66 X. DATED 11TH. MAY 1877 (COPY ATTACHED).

(B) IN CONSEQUENCE OF THE REDUCTION OF ESTABLISHMENT IN THE ACCOUNTS BRANCH RECENTLY ORDERED BY GOVERNMENT MR. HART'S SERVICES WERE DISPENSED WITH, AND HE WAS ACCORDINGLY SERVED WITH THE USUAL NOTICE OF THREE MONTHS ON THE 5TH. MARCH 1880 WHICH EXPIRED ON THE 5TH. INSTANT.

(C) THE SUPERINTENDENT NOW ENQUIRES WHETHER MR. HART

WILL

No 8

Copy of an extract of para 3 of letter No 10 C dated 3rd May 1880 from the Superintendent Madras Harbour Works to the Offg Examiner, P.W. Accounts. Madras

I have already addressed you on the general question of service on this + Government Work counting for pension, and I now wish to state that I hope Mr Hart's service since March 1877 on the Harbour Works will be reckoned as Government service, for most certainly all previous transactions have tended to point that his service would be counted.

True Copy.

W. J. Jackson

Superintendent Correspondence Bh.

W.J./16/6

P.W.D.
28JUN80
No 4116

1

24/7

OFFG. d.

WB
24/7

Copy of a letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras to the Superintendent Madras Harbor No. 16 x of 11th May 1877.

With reference to your letter No. 7. C dated 26th April 1877, I have the honor to express my concurrence in the appointment by you of Mr. James Hart as Storekeeper on the Madras Harbor Works.

2. I have appointed Mr. Huffton, an accountant of this Office to do duty at the Harbor Works until the return of E. Somasoondrum Modelliar from privilege leave.

3. During his employment under your orders, Mr. Hart will be considered as detailed on temporary duty, and when the temporary duty ceases, he will be permitted to return to his permanent appointment in this Office as provided for in Section 20, Chapter V. of the Civil Pension Code.

(True Copy)

W.J. Jackson

Supdt: Correspondence Branch.

B 17.6.80.

* Edition 7/76.

W.J. 17.6

WITH REFERENCE TO SECTION 28, CIVIL PENSION CODE, APPEAR NO OBJECTION TO THE GRANT OF THE FIRST OF THE CONCESSIONS SOUGHT FOR IN THE LAST PARA. BUT IN THE EVENT OF THIS BEING AGREED TO, THE ARRANGEMENTS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN MADE IN THE OTHER OFFICES CONSEQUENT ON THE TEMPORARY TRANSFER OF THESE MEN TO THE MADRAS HARBOR WORKS, WILL HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED AS ONLY TEMPORARY, AS THESE MEN ON THEIR BEING SET FREE FROM THE HARBOR WORKS WILL HAVE TO RETURN TO THE PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT. AS REGARDS THE 2ND. REQUEST OF THE SUPERINTENDENT, IT IS WITHIN THE COMPETENCE OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO REMOVE AT ITS DISCRETION THE RESTRICTION IMPOSED BY G.O.NO. 1772. DATED 15TH. SEPTEMBER 1879 AND THE ORDERS QUOTED THEREIN, BUT IT IS SUGGESTED, IF GOVERNMENT BE WILLING TO ACCEDE TO THIS REQUEST, THAT INSTEAD OF A GENERAL ORDER BEING PASSED TO ALL THE MEN IN QUESTION, EACH CASE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AND DISPOSED OF ON ITS OWN MERITS.

Dm 24/7

OFFG. C.

W.J. 24/7

W.B. 24/7

No. 315 Cu 4017

R No. 2499

140/3

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1880. { P. W. DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepauk, 25th June 1880.

OFFICE NOTE.

To

C.

For report as to whether the concessions sought for in the last para are within the powers of the Local Government.

No. 333

Enclo. 1

24 July 1880

TO

W.

IF THE INDIVIDUALS REFERRED TO BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS HARBOR WORKS, IN HIS LETTER NO.23.W DATED 22ND. JUNE 1880 ARE CONSIDERED TO HAVE RETAINED A LIEN ON THEIR SUBSTANTIVE APPOINTMENTS UNDER GOVERNMENT IN THE OFFICES IN WHICH THEY WERE EMPLOYED PRIOR TO THEIR ENTERING UPON THEIR DUTIES ON THE MADRAS HARBOR WORKS, THERE WOULD

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1880} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 2nd.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 2nd *August* 1880, *No.* 1,829 W.

AUGUST.
Nos. 6-7.

Services on the Madras Harbour Works.—Passing orders regarding — counting towards pension and gratuity.

5. The Superintendent will submit a list of men so situated, showing in each case nature of employment, date of birth, of engagement on works, and of discharge therefrom (if yet discharged), with any remarks or recommendation he may desire to offer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

No. 6-A. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. INST. C. E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 22nd June 1880, No. 23 W.

I HAVE the honor to state that I have recently had occasion to ask the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, whether service on the fixed "establishment" of these works counts as Government service for pension or gratuity, in the case of those who have had to pass an examination before entering the service and who provide themselves with service books during their employment here.

2. From correspondence by means of office notes between the Examiner's Office and the then Under Secretary in the Public Works Department in February 1877, I supposed that there was no doubt that (except in some cases of a particular nature) service on this establishment would count as Government service, and this view was shared by those of the office staff who had to qualify by means of examination before the Examiner would pass their pay.

3. In reply to my question the Examiner informs me that the Government of India have decided that "service on the Harbour Works is temporary, and as such cannot qualify for gratuity or pension." He also explains to me that qualifying examinations are necessary for temporary as well as for permanent establishments. He further points out that by G.O., No. 899, of 16th March 1877 (in which I applied for the exemption from examination of a Store Clerk) it was understood that the service was temporary. The question now therefore appears perfectly clear, and I am sorry that it was not made so clear in 1875 when the staff was first formed.

4. There are several cases of individuals on this staff upon whom the intelligence that service on this establishment counts for nothing seems to have fallen severely, and as the length of time for which the establishment was supposed to be required (four or five years) put it quite beyond the definition of "temporary" in the code, I never for a moment doubted that those individuals to whom I shall refer were serving for pension or gratuity, otherwise I should not have understood why they were less under my individual control than men on the works. My mistake, based in the first instance upon the correspondence in office notes referred to above, has I fear only served to aggravate the feelings of those subordinates who have appealed to me in this matter.

5. The first grievance that is felt is the following:—

By G.O., No. 2,374, of 13th December 1879, the limit of age for entering Government service is fixed at twenty-five years. Now it so happens that many on the establishment of this office have already passed that limit; but having had to keep service registers and being under all the rules for Government service in other offices they have believed that they were not, as it were, losing their chance of obtaining Government service while they were engaged on a Government work, and I confess I shared this belief on their behalf. But, as a matter of fact, these service books which the staff are bound to keep by G.O., No. 151, of 26th October 1876 (and which order I am told to see carried out) are treated as nothing when the matter of service really comes to be tested, and I shall refer to a case of a man who was on the fixed establishment of these works duly qualified for Government service, and who on presenting his service book at another office was told that it was of no value whatever. The consequence is that many members of this establishment are now barred admission to Government service by the order of 13th December 1879, as they have failed to enter that service before they were twenty-five years of age, although they believed that on being placed on the establishment of these large Government works they were practically entering Government service.

6. But there are individual cases to which I must refer more in detail. I will first take the case of the principal Surveyor on this staff, C. Ramasawmy Naidoo, who in May 1859 obtained a Draughtsman's certificate and became Head Draughtsman in Colonel Priestley's office on the Revenue Survey. He was in Government employ in various places until in 1875 he was told off to assist Mr. May here temporarily. He returned in February 1876 to the Revenue Survey office, but was transferred back to these works in July 1876, and he has been working satisfactorily (except by reason of occasional illness) ever since. I can hardly think that the Government will deprive him of four years' service out of twenty-one years because those four years have been passed on the Madras Harbour Works, and I would venture to appeal on his behalf that his service may be taken as continuous.

7. Another case that I will venture to mention is that of a Writer named M. Govindoo Rajooloo Naidoo, who was for a time on this establishment, but whose services I dispensed with, as I wished to reduce the staff as much as I possibly could. I had nothing whatever against the man. He is now past twenty-five years of age and cannot obtain Government employ because no Government office will recognise his service book as being a sign of his having ever been in the service of Government. I think this is very hard on the man, as he joined this establishment under the firm conviction that he was entering Government service when he was forced to provide himself with a service book, which events have since proved to be useless.

8. I would also mention the case of Mr. J. Manuel, who came here from the Military Pay Examiner's office, believing that he was only transferred from one department to another. I think his service on this establishment might be reckoned in addition to the time he served with Military Pay Examiner.

9. I have been appealed to so strongly by those under me on the subject about which I am writing that I am constrained to place the matter at some length before Government; and the two points that I would specially call attention to are—

Firstly, that those who have joined this office from other Government employ should not lose the time spent on these works as though the service were in no way connected with Government; and

Secondly, that those who are qualified to serve on the regular establishment of these works after the age of twenty-five years may not be prevented from obtaining other Government employment on the ground that this is not to be reckoned as regular Government service.

No. 6-B. From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 19th July 1880, No. 67 W.

No. 6-C. Office Note to Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 25th June 1880, No. 315, and reply thereto, dated 24th July 1880, No. 333.

No. 7. ORDER THEREON, 2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

SERVICE on the Madras Harbour Works will in the case of men transferred to those works from permanent employment under Government count as service for pension and gratuity.

2. Such men will not (except by special agreement) retain any lien on the particular appointments they held previous to transfer, but the question of their re-employment will be considered (separately in each case) on the termination of their employment on the Harbour Works, of which due notice should be given by the Superintendent.

3. A list of men affected by this order, showing the appointments they held previous to transfer to the Harbour Works, will be submitted for the information of Government.

4. With regard to men who are precluded from obtaining employment under Government by reason of having been employed on the Harbour Works until over twenty-five years of age, no general rule can be laid down, but each case must be dealt with on its merits.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following papers :—

No. 6. From Major D. H. TRAIL, R.E., Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 16th June 1880, No. 44 W.

IN forwarding herewith for the orders of Government an extract of paragraph 3 from letter No. 10-C., dated 3rd May 1880, received from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, inquiring whether, under the circumstances represented therein, the services of Mr. Hart during the period of his employment on the Harbour Works count towards pension, I have the honor to state as follows :—

(a.) Mr. Hart was borne on the permanent strength of the establishment of this office as a Clerk doing duty as Accountant on Rs. 150 per mensem prior to his joining the Harbour Work's office. On the 23rd April 1877 he was allowed to take up the appointment of Storekeeper on those works by my predecessor, Major L. Conway-Gordon, R.E., under the condition specified in his letter, No. 66-X., dated 11th May 1877 (copy attached).

(b.) In consequence of the reduction of establishment in the Account's Branch recently ordered by Government, Mr. Hart's services were dispensed with, and he was accordingly served with the usual notice of three months on the 5th March 1880 which expired on the 5th instant.

(c.) The Superintendent now inquires whether Mr. Hart will be allowed to count the period of his employment on the Harbour Works towards pension, and as it is clear from Major Gordon's letter above alluded to that he was allowed to retain a lien on his substantive appointment in this office, I am of opinion that though he did not resume the duties of his substantive appointment in this office his case falls within the scope of Section 28 of the Civil Pension Code, and that therefore he is entitled to count his services on the Harbour Works up to the 5th June 1880, the date on which the notice given him will expire.

2. The favor of early orders of Government on the case is solicited.

Copy of an extract of paragraph 3 of letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 3rd May 1880, No. 10-C.

I HAVE already addressed you on the general question of service on this Government work counting for pension, and I now wish to state that I hope Mr. Hart's service since March 1877 on the Harbour Works will be reckoned as Government service, for most certainly all previous transactions have tended to point that his service would be so counted.

No. 4038C of 12-11-87
- 529 D - 3-9-87 Rev.
- 473 D - 23-8-87

1887/8

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 10540

From The Marine Dept

Enclos.— 6

No.

Dated 20th December 1887

Received 22nd — " —

SUBJECT.

Mr. Edward [illegible] Caster, late Manager of Office of Supt Madras Harbour Works — Transferring to the P.W.D. petn. from ditto praying for restoration to his appointment

DISPOSAL.

No. 25C Dated 6th Jany 1888.

Forwarded to the Chairman Madras Harbour Trust Board for disposal.

(Sd) W. L. C. Baddeley, Capt. R.E.
Under Secy. to Govt. P.W. Dept.

D 6/1/88

1887

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3326

From Mr. Edward de Caster late Manager office of Supt. Madras Harbour Works now residing on Dickenson Road, Bangalore.

Enclos.—

No.

Dated 26th April 1887.

Received 3d May 1887.

SUBJECT.

Stating that he was allowed to retire from the Service owing to ill health and on the same date his papers for pension were submitted to Govt. thro' the Supt Madras Harbour Works but he has received no reply yet.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1546 Dated 4th May 1887

Referred to the Chairman Madras Harbour Trust Board, for favor of remarks.

(Sd) J. O. Hasted, Colonel R.E.
Secy. to Govt. P. W. Dept.

To
The Chairman M. H. T. Bd.

1887

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 4090

From The Chairman
Harbour Trust Board
Madras

No. 925

Dated 28th May 1887

Received 30 — do —

Enclos.—
1 Service Register
1 — do —
1 Abstract of Service
1 Copy of letter from Controller P.W. Accts
1 memorial to H.E.V.
1 — do — H.T.B
1 Orig. certificate from Dy. Adjt Genl d/ 6/3/87

SUBJECT.

Forwarding certain papers called for in memo. No. 1779C d/ 25 May 1887 relating to Mr. De Caster's application for pension.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1831C Dated 31 May 1887.

Forwarded in original, with the pension papers, to the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, for favor of report.

(By order)
(Sd) W. L. C. Baddeley
Capt R.E.
Dy. Chief Engr. P. W. D.

D 1/6/87

---

in their order No 2166 W of 15th Septr 1880 ruled that the service of Mr De Caster in the office of the Supt of the Harbour Works, should count for pension — and consequently the former GO No 1066 W of 20th March 1877 cannot be quoted when considering Mr De Caster's claim for pension —

You will notice in his record of service that besides several small breaks there is one of more than 5 years, which would debar him from pension — but considering that he entered the Govt service in 1855 and left it in 1886 the last 11 years having been employed on the Harbour Works, I think as an act of grace he should receive a gratuity — If the Harbour Trust Board will not give him a gratuity I think the question might be considered by Govt —

1.7.87

Japan Sn

C. 1.7.87 R. 7.7.87 4/7/87

14/7

No 1779C d/ 25-4-87
" 1546C d/ 4-5-87
G.O. No 2166W d/ 15-9-80
" 1829W d/ 2-8-80
" 878W d/ 31-3-86
" 848W d/ 29-3-86
No 927C d/ 6-3-86
" 808C d/ 26-2-86
G.O. No 2896W d/ 4-12-85
" 2555W d/ 2-11-85
No 2654D d/ 20-11-85
G.O. 2545W d/ 16-10-84
" 2864W d/ 1-12-85
" 1560W d/ 21-6-84
" 1898W d/ 7-7-83
" 1578W d/ 24-6-81.

Papers sent in original

C. No 3326 – Petn from Mr. E. de Caster – late manager Harbor Works office

memo No 1546C d/ 4th May 1887 on the above.

C. No 3801 – Letter from the Chairman H. T. Bd No 712 d/ 14 May 1887

Memo. No 1779C d/ 25 May 1887 on the above

KM
9/8

+

1887.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3326

From Mr Edward de Caster late Manager office of Supt. Madras Harbour Works now residing on Dickens Road, Bangalore.

ENCLOS.—

No.

Dated 26th April 1887.

Received 3d May 1887.

SUBJECT.

Stating that he was allowed to retire from the Service owing to ill health and on the same date his papers for pension were submitted to Govt. thro' the Supt Madras Harbour Works but he has received no reply yet.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1546C Dated 4th May 1887

Referred to the Chairman Madras Harbour Trust Board, for favor of remarks.

(Sd) J. O. Hasted, Colonel RE.
Secy: to Govt. P. W. Dept

To
The Chairman M. H. T. Bd.

D
5/5

---

after transfer for pension and gratuity. Further Mr. D'Caster's name is specially entered in list 1 referred to in Mr. Thorowgood's letter recorded in G.O. No 2166W dated 15th September 1880. These two G.Os apparently do away with the restriction which under G.O. No 1066, dated 28th March 1877 it was intended to place on Mr. D'Caster in regard to any future claim for pension or gratuity. He therefore seems to be entitled to a gratuity at least for his services.

(Sd) J. O. H.
4/7/87

(Sd) W. L. C. B
1-7-87

W

D. W.

D
11/7

D 13/7

EM
14/7

1546C d/ 4-5-87
1831C d/ 31-5-87
2294C d/ 8-7-87
1591C d/ 24-8-83
B 2309W d/ 16-8-83
B 2035W d/ 26-7-83
B 1429W d/ 15-5-83
B 1881W d/ 22-7-82
S.O. 1066 d/ 28-3-77.
B. 878W d/ 31-3-86 ✓
" 848W d/ 29-3-86 ✓
No 927C d/ 6-3-86. ✓
808C, d/ 26-2-86. ✓
B. 2596W d/ 4-12-85. ✓
B. 2555W d/ 2-11-85. ✓
2654D d/ 20-11-85 ✓
2545W d/ 16-10-84. ✓
B 2864W d/ 1-12-85 ✓
" 1560W d/ 21-6-84. ✓
" 1898W d/ 7-7-83. ✓
1578W d/ 24-6-81. ✓
S.O. 2166W d/ 15-9-80
1829W d/ 2-8-80.
473D d/ 23-8-87.
227D d/ 27-8-87.

Taken out of file & sent to Madras for Pension dept. [illegible]
9/9

1887.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 4870

From

The Examiner
P. W. Accounts

Enclos.—

No. 254

Dated 25th June 1887

Received 28 — do —

Subject.

Forwarding a statement of the services of Mr. E. D'Cast late managing clerk of the Harbour Works office & stating that as his appointment in this office was purely temporary & as he had no lien on any appt. to enable him to count his service for pension he lost all claims for a pension or gratuity.

Disposal.

No 2294 Dated 8th July 1887

To.

C

Please see G.O. No. 1829 W dated 2nd August 1880, under which men transferred to the Harbour Works did not retain any lien on their permanent appointments but were allowed to count their service

---

1887.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 6929

From

The Private Secy:
to the Rt. Hon'ble the Govr.

Enclos.—

No. —

Dated 27th Augst. 87

Received 3rd Septr. 87.

Subject.

Mr. Edward DeCaster late manager Madras Harbour Works office

Transferring petition from —— praying for a pension or gratuity.

Disposal.

No. 529 D. Dated 3rd Septr. 1887.

Transferred to the Pension Dept. in continuation of No. 473 D, dated 23rd Augst. 1887.

(Sd)

J. O. Hasted Col: R
Secy to Govt P.W.

1. The Pension Dept.

---

in their order No 2166 W of 15th Septr. 1880 ruled that the service of Mr De Caster in the office of the Supt of the Harbour Works, should count for pension — and consequently the former G.O. No 1066 W of 20th March 1877 cannot be quoted when considering Mr. De Caster's claim for pension —

You will notice in his record of service that besides several small breaks there is one of more than 8 years, which would debar him from pension — but considering that he entered the Govt service in 1855 and left it in 1886 the last 11 years having been employed on the Harbour Works, I think as an act of grace he should receive a gratuity — If the Harbour Trust Board will not give him a gratuity I think the question might be considered by Govt —

1.7.87

Taper Sec

C. 1.7.87 a. 7.7.87 4/7/87

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1885} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 2nd.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 2nd Nov. 1885, No. 2555 W.

NOVEMBER.

Madras Harbour Works

Orders on the notes of inspection submitted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, of the office of the —.

9. The accounts of "works" and "stock" are well kept, and all charges are sufficiently vouched for; only vouchers for amounts exceeding Rs. 50 are sent to head office. The labor employed is divided into "monthly men," "fortnightly men" and "extra coolies," the latter being paid from the Sub-Engineer's imprest. The "monthly men" include divers, &c., in receipt of monthly salaries from Rs. 200 downwards. Their acquittances might, with advantage, be sent to the central office with the accounts.

10. Measurement sheets are not sent with contract certificates, nor are there any measurement books filed in the Superintendent's office. Progress is noted in the work abstract from a slip furnished by the Sub-Engineer.

11. The contract accounts are in order. Certified copies of the contractors' receipts are filed in the Superintendent's office and agree with the originals. No security deposits are taken or percentages deducted from the contractors, the Superintendent considering this unnecessary.

12. Balances of Rs. 3,131-4-6 in the contractors' ledger and Rs. 400 in the deposit schedule are shown as due to P. Anamalai Chetty, contractor, who died in May 1885, and whose heirs are not forthcoming. They have been advertised for in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

13. The office has at present large transactions under "London invoices" and "London stores," which are well accounted for.

14. There is no register of land and buildings; all those taken up are in charge of the Collector of Madras, who is responsible for collections, merely advising this department through the exchange accounts of the monthly collections.

15. *Miscellaneous advances.*—An advance of one month's salary to a clerk who is under suspension, having resorted to the Insolvent Court, is not within the Superintendent's powers of sanction.

16. All books and accounts not specially mentioned are in order. The accountant appears to be thoroughly efficient and to have sufficient assistance.

ORDER—dated 2nd November 1885, No. 2555 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, whose attention will be directed to the different matters noted in the report which require rectification.

2. The Superintendent will submit any remarks he may desire to offer with respect to paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Examiner's letter.

3. The Superintendent will report the circumstances in which an advance of one month's pay was made to the clerk referred to in paragraph 4 of the Examiner's letter. The amount should be recovered from the clerk at the earliest opportunity.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

2nd November 1885, No. 2555 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 26th October 1885, No. 73 W. :—

I have the honor to submit, for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Heaven, Deputy Examiner, of his inspection of the office of the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

2. It seems unnecessary for the Sub-Engineer to have an imprest, as payments might be made by the cashier on pay orders signed by the Sub-Engineer; this would also relieve the latter of the necessity of submitting imprest accounts.

3. Attention is drawn to paragraph 10. It is presumed the slip furnished by the Sub-Engineer is initialled or otherwise definitely acknowledged by the Superintendent.

4. The advance given to the clerk while under suspension ought to be recovered in full at the earliest opportunity.

5. The office is in good order.

Enclosure.

Notes of inspection by F. G. HEAVEN, Esq., Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, of the office of the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, on the 22nd October 1885.

The Superintendent, F. N. Thorowgood, Esq., and the accountant, G. Muthusami Naidu, were present. The last inspection by an accounts officer was on the 2nd October 1884 (*vide* G.O., No. 2545 W., dated 16th October 1884).

2. Mr. Thorowgood is the only disburser drawing on the Bank of Madras; Mr. B. C. Dumphy, Sub-Engineer, holds an imprest of Rs. 50.

3. The bank pass-book was examined and proved correct. The accountant states that it is sent to the bank early in the month, but not returned till the 1st of the succeeding month. This is opposed to code, paragraph 1882.

4. The counterfoils of cheque-books are correctly written up; cheques are numbered in the office commencing with one at the beginning of January each year. This seems unnecessary, as the book and cheque numbers printed by the bank are quite sufficient. The cheque-book is kept in the cashier's custody, instead of the Superintendent's.

5. The cash-book is very neat; it is kept by the cashier, audited and initialled daily by the accountant, and seen by the Superintendent only at the end of the month, when the certificate of actual count is signed.

6. The imprest accounts are properly checked, and the manner of recoupment noted; the vouchers accompanying them, however, are not satisfactory, many of them being merely slips of paper stating that such and such payments have been made, which is already done in the imprest itself. It would be more satisfactory if the cashier made all payments for extra labor, &c., on muster rolls or pay orders made over to him by the Sub-Engineer for payment.

7. A payment of jutka hire to a surveyor and draftsman on the establishment for attending surveys has been charged to works, which appears irregular. "Washing office towels" is charged to contingencies of works instead of establishment.

8. Miscellaneous receipts are usually paid into the bank weekly.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 16th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th Oct. 1884, No. 2545 W.

OCTOBER.

Notes of Inspection

Orders on —, submitted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, of the office of the Madras Harbour Works.

subsequent G.O., No. 544 W., of 28th February 1884, decided that the Collector should take charge of all such property, arranging for the punctual collection of the rent, and this arrangement began on the 1st May 1884. A schedule was furnished to the Deputy Collector showing the buildings and lands, &c., which had been acquired by the harbour works, with particulars of rent due or in arrears respecting each item; and such rent as is since recovered by the Deputy Collector is credited to the harbour works by the Civil Accountant-General through the exchange accounts with the Examiner. As no such credit is accompanied by particulars showing the building, land, or whatever it may be, for which the rent is collected, no detailed account is now maintained in the Superintendent's office whereby the rent due, and recovery of and taxation of same regarding each item of the property, can be ascertained from month to month. Such an account is no doubt duly maintained by the Deputy Collector. The state of these rents as described by the Collector after assuming charge of them was as follows :—

"The properties transferred consist of 1076 holdings, of which 60 huts and houses had been destroyed and 32 remained unoccupied up to 1st May 1884, the date of transfer, and the total monthly demand of rents against the remaining 984 properties is Rs. 426, exclusive of rents of 24 timber depôts, which are occupied only in the timber import season. The rents on these depôts vary from month to month but average about Rs. 35 per month, or Rs. 420 per annum. The total average monthly rents may thus be fixed at Rs. 460 or Rs. 5,520 a-year, which is as much as, if not more than, the yearly revenue of the largest division in this taluk * * * * * the arrears outstanding due up to the 1st May amounted to Rs. 1,395, of which Rs. 364 were collected in the months of May and June, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,031. The rents due for the months of May and June amounted to Rs. 933, of which only Rs. 179 were collected in June, leaving an arrear of Rs. 754, which raises the total outstanding on 1st July 1884 to Rs. 1,785. * * * * * * The properties consist of five bungalows, 140 *pucka* native houses, 848 huts, and three casuarina plantations." (Papers printed with G.O., No. 998, of 9th September 1884, Revenue Department.)

7. The register of works in the Superintendent's office contains the following accounts :—

I. Preliminary works.
II. North pier.
III. Concrete blocks.
IV. South pier.
V. Tools and plant.
VI. Construction of a drain.
VII. Depreciation of the Madras Railway rolling stock used for carrying materials paid to the Madras Railway Company.
VIII. Moorings.
IX. Establishment.
X. Repairs.
XI. Purchase of land, &c.
XII. Contingencies.
XIII. Concrete blocks (materials at site).
XIV. Advances to work-people.
XV. Abstract of all the above by sub-heads.

As regards III, this account shows the outlay on entire construction of the blocks themselves, but not the outlay for placing them finally in position. This latter outlay is included under II (*north pier*) or IV (*south pier*) as the case may be, sub-head *setting blocks*. The first outlay on these blocks is debited to XIII, materials at site, and transferred thence, when the blocks are complete, to III as above. The total cost of making these concrete blocks up to 31st August 1884 is Rs. 18,38,092.

8. One general work abstract is prepared for all the accounts in the register of works, but as regards *moorings* and *tools and plant* no sub-heads are shown in this general abstract, a separate work abstract for each being prepared in detail. The work abstracts are evidently compiled with care, but the posting of charges for labor should be from the daily (or ten-day) reports rather than from muster rolls, the former being soonest available besides most appropriate.

9. The cash accounts leave nothing to be desired, and the balance of the Superintendent's drawing account on 30th September 1884 was proved correct.

10. Rs. 98-0-7 are due by the Madras Steam Laundry Company, in liquidation, for leather belting supplied The bills have been presented to the official liquidator, and it is understood there will be no loss in respect of this item under some special arrangement regarding it by which recovery is guaranteed.

11. The stock balance at end of August 1884 amounted to Rs. 74,240, and consisted chiefly of Portland cement (Rs. 21,310) and metals (Rs. 19,352). The stock rates seem fair, and there is no bad or surplus stock, I was told. Stock accounts are in order. The tools and plant are still under overhaul.

12. The accountant is sufficiently assisted and the office is now in very good order.

ORDER—dated 16th October 1884, No. 2545 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

16TH OCTOBER 1884, No. 2545 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.

Account.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 9th October 1884, No. 81 W. :—

I have the honor to submit, for information and orders of Government, notes by Mr. Rainier, Deputy Examiner, of his inspection of the Madras Harbour Works office.

2. The office is in good order.

ENCLOSURE.

Notes of inspection by H. RAINIER, Esq., Deputy Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, of the office of the Superintendent of the Madras Harbour Works, on the 2nd October 1884.

The Superintendent, Mr. F. N. Thorowgood, was present, and the accountant, G. Muthusawmy Naidu. The office was last examined on the 26th March 1884, *vide* papers printed with G.O., No. 901 W., of 2nd April 1884.

2. Then the outlay was being recorded in the Finance Accounts of the Government of India, in this department, under *M. Expenditure on Productive Public Works, Capital Account*, 55. *Miscellaneous Public Improvements*; but, in passing orders on the budget estimate of this project for 1884-85, and revised estimate, 1883-84, the Government of India, in its resolution, No. 104 A.G., of 14th March 1884 (printed with G.O., No. 852 W., of 28th March 1884), desired that all outlay to the end of 1882-83, should be written back to debit of *H. Expenditure on Public Works not classed as Productive*, 48. *Civil Buildings, Roads and Services*, by credit to *Productive Public Works.* It was at the same time directed that further outlay on these harbour works in 1883-84 and in 1884-85 should not be recorded in the accounts of this department, though the auditing must for the present rest with the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, but should be transferred to the Civil Department, and booked by the Accountant-General, Madras. The outlay written back from Productive Public Works under the above resolution and now recorded under Imperial Civil Buildings, Roads and Services, is Rs. 65,40,058. The outlay of 1883-84 transferred to the Civil Department in the exchange accounts respecting this project amounted to Rs. 2,24,856. The outlay in 1884-85 so far as August 1884, inclusive, thus transferred is Rs. 72,313.

3. The works have been almost at a stand-still since the beginning of 1883, pending a decision how best to continue and end the project. The outlay is being incurred under sanction conveyed in G.O., No. 712 W., of 16th March 1881, at present, and the budget estimate for 1884-85, approved by the Government of India as per G.O., No. 852 W., of 28th March 1884, amounts to Rs. 1,79,900.

4. Everything points, however, to an early resumption of active operations, and Mr. Thorowgood, the Superintendent, who has just returned from England after conferring with Mr. Parkes, was understood to say that a comprehensive estimate of all outlay from first to last revised, to include everything now in contemplation and for final completion, may very shortly be expected.

5. Certain lands, houses, huts and trees, situate where the sea north of the harbour has encroached or threatened to encroach, had from time to time been the subject of compensation (*vide* G.O., No. 601 W., of 8th March 1882), and to avoid such expense, such buildings and lands were later on, when threatened by the sea, bought up by Government through the Deputy Collector, becoming thus the property of the harbour works. The outlay for such compensation and for such purchases of property has been up to the end of August 1884 Rs. 2,87,788. Rents have been recovered aggregating Rs. 5,951 up to the end of July 1884 (civil exchange accounts for August not yet received).

6. The Superintendent, in his letter printed with G O., No. 2837 W., of 9th October 1883, pointed out what a business this rent collection had become; the items of property then aggregated nearly 1,300 and were increasing fast, and there was much growing timber; and in view of the difficulty experienced in getting in rents and preventing or punishing petty robbery, it was, in a