Number of pages :- 52
Date of repaire :- 4 88
Initial of reference :-

P. W. Department

Go.no. 312 W
Dated. 26.1.1888

26TH JANUARY 1888, No. 312 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

312/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following letter from J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., M.I.C.E., Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (through the Chief Engineer for Irrigation), dated 9th December 1887, No. 1552 K.S. :—

At the instance of the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, I have the honor to forward herewith, for your approval and sanction of Government, an estimate, amounting to Rs. 900, for constructing a culvert in the first mile of the good-water channel at Nizampatam.

2. This is a work contributed entirely by the Local Fund Board. The Examiner in his remarks in the divisional objectionable item statement states that, as the estimated amount of this work exceeds Rs. 500, the sanction of Government is necessary. But I understand from paragraph 1339 of the Public Works Code and G.O., No. 1134 W., of the 25th April 1887, that the sanction of Government is necessary for all contribution works, whatever may be the amount of the estimate.

No. 2 E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for disposal.

(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

CHEPÂK,
5th January 1888.

ORDER—dated 26th January 1888, No. 312 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 900, for constructing a culvert in the first mile of the good-water channel at Nizampatam, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Local, No. 40 W. of 1887-88, and classified as Communications, Original Works.

3. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Superintending Engineer's letter above recorded, it is observed that paragraph 1339 of the Public Works Code does not apply to works executed from Incorporated Local Funds, which are included in the general estimates of the empire.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with four enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with the estimate for note and return to Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. J. Moses.
Sapare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of 1888 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 26th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 26th Jan. 1888, No. 312 W.

JANUARY.

Culvert

Estimate for constructing a — in the first mile of the good-water channel at Nizampatam, sanctioned.

1st April 1884, No. 455, Revenue.

312/2

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th February 1884, Mis. No. 1804 :—

Read—again Board's Proceedings, dated 25th October 1883, Mis. No. 8864.

Read—also petition from DEVANAYAGA Reddi and others, Ryots of Krishnapuram village in Villupuram taluk, South Arcot district; and reference thereon, 11th December 1883 :—

Read—the following letter from A. CRUICKSHANK, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 2nd February 1884, No. 62 :—

With regard to the Board's reference on Petition Register No. 3755 * of 1883, I have the honor to state that, on its receipt, I desired the Sub-Collector and the Executive Engineer to inspect the subject of dispute together and submit the report required by the Board. I now submit the reports † sent me by them, from which the Board will see that these officers did not inspect the disputed land together. Some considerable time ago, I referred to the Executive Engineer for his opinion a dispute that had arisen regarding water between the ryots of Krishnapuram (an English village) and those of Manamedu (a French village) and, in submitting his report on this dispute on the 4th ultimo, the Executive Engineer remarked that he thought that the question referred to him in the Board's Proceedings under reply was the same as that on which he then reported, and asked me whether this was the case. So far as it could be ascertained from the papers in this office, it was thought that the matters in dispute in the two cases were different; but to make sure whether this was the case or not, the Tahsildar was directed to report at once, as the Sub-Collector, who was spending his Christmas holidays in Cuddalore, was anxious to have the land in dispute inspected in company with the Executive Engineer before his return to his own head-quarters which are a long way off from the village where the land lies, while it is only about six or seven miles from Cuddalore. The Tahsildar replied without delay that the subject of dispute in the two cases was different. I informed the Executive Engineer of this at once, and his letter of the 21st ultimo is his reply, and it is for the Board to say whether their wishes are to be disregarded in the way they have been. The Executive Engineer makes remarks of a general nature which in no way assist the solution of the present dispute regarding the waterspread of tanks, and it is superfluous on my part to observe that all Revenue officers of the higher grades are now-a-days quite alive to the giving of tank bed being objectionable. The land in dispute does not, according to the accounts, form part of the bed of the tangal, but every Revenue officer knows that in many cases the water of a tank covers frequently, from various causes, more land than has been set aside for the waterspread in the accounts, and the Board will have clearly seen from all that has taken place in this case that it was my desire to make sure that the land was not required for the waterspread of the tangal, though not entered as such in the accounts, that led to the frequent references from this office to the Tahsildar which the parties objecting to what I did, have now attempted to turn to their profit by alleging that they were made with a view to constrain the Tahsildar to say the land was assignable. It is all very well for the Executive Engineer to speak about a reference to his department (*vide* paragraph 4 of his letter); but, knowing from experience what would have

* Enclosures returned.

† With plan referred to in Sub-Collector's letter.

been the reply to such a reference (and in corroboration of this I would invite attention to the end of paragraph 5 and to paragraph 6 of the Engineer's letter), I adopted the means at my command to obtain the information I wanted.

Enclosures.

Letter from M. R. WELD, Esq., Sub-Collector of South Arcot, to the Collector of South Arcot, dated Cuddalore, 12th January 1884, No. A.

I have the honor to inform you that, as directed in Board's reference on petition No. 3755 of 1883, communicated with your footnote No. 2509* of the 18th ultimo, I inspected the Tathan tangal in the Krishnapuram hamlet of Pakkam village of Villupuram taluk on the morning of the 12th instant.

* Enclosures returned.

2. The District Engineer declined to accompany me. The place is near Cuddalore about five miles north of the Ponniar and can most conveniently be inspected from there.

3. Early in the Christmas holidays, therefore, I spoke to the Engineer about it and asked him to meet me. He said he had not yet seen the order, afterwards he told me he had written to you about it.

4. In that letter he asked if the work was not part of certain other works which he had already inspected; this letter you sent to the Tahsildar for report.

5. As I have cases posted for the 16th, I must leave Cuddalore on the 15th at latest, and as I want to get out to Chengam for the jamabandi, I cannot get back without great inconvenience; besides the inspection was to be made while there is water in the tank and the time for that is passing.

6. I therefore directed the Tahsildar to let me know about his reply to you as soon as possible.

7. On the 11th morning I received a copy of his report to the effect that the tangal is altogether distinct from the works mentioned by the Engineer. I therefore wrote to Major Smith (demi-officially), telling him that I would be glad to accompany him to the place that evening or on the 12th or 14th. He replied that the tangal, if not part of the works he had specified, must be part of the Pakkam tank, that he was sure he and Mr. Heinig know all about it, that if a sketch were sent he could trace the site, and that as he believed he had been over the ground he was not disposed to go again. I sent the Tahsildar's sketch and he then wrote that he had been over it both alone and with Mr. Heinig the Sub-divisional Officer, that Mr. Heinig knows all about it and doubtless has papers as they are not in his office.

8. As the Engineer thus declined to go with me, I saw nothing for it but to go alone, and as I wished to meet the Tahsildar who wanted to be at Villupuram on the 14th, the Pongul holiday, I went on the 12th.

9. I may here mention that, during the jamabandi, I have made a practice of ascertaining the state of the irrigation works from the village officers, and in the case of imperial works, writing to the Engineer about the repairs said to be necessary, and the almost invariable reply has been that he has no time and no establishment to inspect them or have them inspected. One important case is that of the supply of two imperial and three minor tanks near Kurinjipadi under 20 miles from Cuddalore. If this officer has not time to attend to large works in which important interests are at stake, I do not think that he should be ordered to waste his valuable time in visiting a petty tangal like this.

10. I found the tangal about three-fourths full, the sluice discharging. Judging by the look of the bund I should say that it could now safely hold another foot of water, and with slight improvements, a foot and a half.

11. The enclosed plan has been made by the Tahsildar and is pretty correct. The fields in dispute, colored red in the plan, are Nos. 1941, 1942, 1943, which are ayyan, and 1944 and 1853 which are kalar (stiff clay), poramboke. The four first-mentioned have been assigned and the last was allowed to be cultivated on shiva jama, but the permission was afterwards withdrawn. It contains many babool trees, but being decidedly higher than the others its cultivation is less objectionable.

12. The water came up to men's knees who stood in the field (not on the varappus) along the line * * the eastern boundary of 1941 and 1943. It stood about 8 inches deep along the line *b b*, covering even the taliaris inam, and I could not walk along the varappus (field bunds) there, but had to go further west to the line *c c*. There is no doubt that it would be well if the whole extent marked red were reserved as tank bed; the temptation to the holder to cut the bund is extreme. There is a crop of rice standing on 1942 and 1944 and on the western portion of 1941 and 1943; the vazal grass gets the better of it on the eastern portions of the latter fields where the water is deepest. Some of the rice from 1942 was brought to me and seemed fair, but the plants are not so thick as elsewhere, and the Tahsildar considered the crop about half an average one; of course it is still worse in 1941 and 1943, and I do not think that the cultivation of them can be profitable if the tangal gets a full supply.

13. As I have said above, the whole extent should be tank bed, but more especially the eastern part Nos. 1941 and 1943; these should not on any account be assigned. 1853 is rather higher than these fields lying to the north of it and its assignment is less objectionable.

14. I beg to recommend that the western boundary of 1941 be extended north and south, as the line *y y* in blue pencil, and that the land east of it up to the bund be declared to be tank bed and the land west of it to be ayyan, and that accordingly the assignment of 1941 and of about four-fifths of 1943 lying east of *y y* be cancelled, and that about half of 1853 lying west of *y y* be assigned.

Letter from Major A. C. SMITH, R.E., Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, to the Collector of South Arcot, dated Cuddalore, 21st January 1884, No. 82.

In reply to your No 5, 12th January 1884, regarding the Krishnapuram Tathan tangal, I have the honor to state that I by no means wish to disregard the wishes of the Board of Revenue, but I do not see of what practical use it will be, if I were again to visit the locality in question.

2. I know the tangal and the general conditions thereof and the surrounding country fairly well, as I was in camp there at Pakkam for some days in February last year.

3. As far as I understand the state of the case, it is one of the usual disputes regarding land assigned in tank bed; this is a question which I have frequently brought to your notice, especially in my No. 884, 3rd November 1882, on the Elemodu tank.

4. The general rule that is laid down for my guidance is contained in G.O., No. 1043, 15th April 1875, wherein I am prohibited from giving any endorsement to the effect that any portion of a tank bed may be cultivated except after full inquiry and report in exceptional cases. I can find no trace of any such endorsement on the case in question. Whether or no the matter should have been referred to this department prior to assignment of the lands is not for me to decide; but had such reference been made, the chances are that I would have opposed such assigment as you know from prior correspondence what my views on this point are.

5. With regard to the land in question, I have a petition now before me from the cultivators under the Krishnapuram Tathan Tangal complaining that the parties to whom the land in the bed has been assigned have surreptitiously cut the tank bund. The reason for their doing so is obvious and strengthens my argument against assigning such lands, for though their actual cultivation may possibly do no harm *per se*, yet the practice invariably leads to irregularities of this nature, and endless disputes between the villagers and petitions and correspondence and waste of time all round. The tangal in question is a minor work only irrigating some 90 acres or so, and I have consequently endorsed on this petition, "As the tank is not Imperial, it is not under the Public Works Department."

6. In a matter of this sort, my professional assistance would only be made of use by causing a contour to be run round the waterspread at F.T.L, but not only has this department no staff to spare for such duties, but no one can tell me what F.T.L. is and my merely revisiting the spot cannot determine this.

Resolution—dated 28th February 1884, Mis. No. 1804.

The Board approve of the course suggested in paragraph 14 of the Sub-Collector's letter and direct the Collector to adopt it.

2. The Board resolve to submit to Government the review petition, reference thereon dated 11th December 1883, and the letters in reply from the Collector, Sub-Collector and Executive Engineer, in order that Government may decide whether the Executive Engineer was justified in refusing to inspect this locality which is within an easy distance of Cuddalore.

3. The Board are not aware whether Major Smith, when he wrote his letter of the 21st January 1884, had received G.O., No. 1549, dated 11th December 1883, paragraph 2 of which governs the action he should have taken on the requisition of the Collector.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of South Arcot.
„ the Secretary to Government, Revenue
Department, with papers in original
to be returned.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th October 1883, Mis. No. 8864.

Read—Petition Register No. 3061 of 1882, from DEVARAYA Reddi and others, Ryots of Krishnapuram village, Villapuram taluk, South Arcot district, dated 27th October 1882, appealing against the grant of darkhast for certain land; and reference thereon, dated 15th November 1882.

Read—also Petition Register No. 2677 of 1883, from the Collector of South Arcot, dated 29th September 1883, No. 337, reporting on the above.

Read—also Petition Register No. 2782 of 1883, from RANGA Reddi and others, inhabitants of Krishnapuram, attached to Pakkam village, Villupuram taluk, South Arcot district, dated 10th September 1883, appealing against the said assignment.

Resolution—dated 25th October 1883, Mis. No. 8864.

Petitioners will be informed that the Board, having considered a report received on their case from the Collector, see no reason to interfere.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To Petitioners.
Copy to the Collector of South Arcot.

Read—Petition from DEVANAYAGA Reddi and others, Ryots of Krishnapuram village in Villupuram taluk, South Arcot district.

Abstract.—Praying, for the reasons given in this petition, for reconsideration of the Board's Proceedings, No. 8864, dated 25th October 1883, declining to interfere in the matter of their former petition appealing against the assignment under darkhast rules of certain lands in the waterspread of Thathan tangal in Krishnapuram village.

Reference on the above, dated 11th December 1883.

In view of the renewed representations of the villagers in this review petition, the Board resolve to call for a report from the Sub-Collector and the officers of the Public Works Department, who should, if possible, inspect the disputed land simultaneously as to the advisability or otherwise of assigning the land for cultivation. The inspection of the land should be made at as early a date as possible as the tangal must now be full of water. Pending the receipt by the Collector of final orders, Board's Proceedings, No. 8864, dated 25th October 1883, will be held in abeyance.

(Signed) G. MACKENZIE,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of South Arcot, with file to be returned.

Order—dated 1st April 1884, No. 455, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

The Government consider that the Executive Engineer was not justified in treating a particular request of the Board of Revenue in the manner he has done.

2. It is observed that the land in question did not, according to the revenue accounts, form part of the waterspread of the tank, and was, therefore, unless there had been any special objection, available for cultivation. To determine whether there was any special objection, as alleged by the ryots, to its being assigned on darkhast, it was considered that a professional examination of the locality by the Public Works Department was necessary, and the Board accordingly desired that the Executive Engineer should inspect it in company with the Sub-Collector and give his opinion. Major Smith, however, on grounds which appear to Government to be unsatisfactory, has declined to comply with this request, and has, in so doing, laid himself open to censure.

3. The Government desire it to be clearly understood that the officers of the Public Works Department are bound to respond to requisitions made by the Revenue Department for professional advice and assistance, and that any disregard of such requisitions, without proper grounds, will be severely noticed. On the other hand, Revenue officers must bear in mind that the Public Works Department is short-handed and has arduous duties to perform, and should not make demands on the time of the officers of that department without clear and absolute necessity.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with six original enclosures.
,, the Public Works Department.

Exd. W. H. French.

60 Copies.

No. 1057 W. of 28th April/84

Communicated to the Supdg: Engr: V Circle

2. Extract para 3 of the rders in the Revenue Dept. will be communicated to all officers of the Dept. for information and guidance.

3. The substance of the order will be embodied in the Public Works Code.

(Signed) J.S.

S. E. V Circle

Other Supdg: Engrs } with Extract para 3.
C. E. for I.
Secy. P. W. Code Committee

S.E. 25/4

P. W. D.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

REVENUE.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 1st April 1884, No. 455.

No. 1057 W. of 28th April/84

APRIL.

Professional advice to be given by Public Works Officers

when sought by the Revenue Department.—Expressing dissatisfaction at the Executive Engineer of South Arcot having declined to inspect a tank as desired by the Board of Revenue, and requesting it to be understood that officers of the Public Works Department are bound to assist Revenue officers with their professional advice when desired.

With order

25th April 1887, No. 1134 W., Public Works.

252/5

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper:—

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 19th April 1887, No. 46.

Order—dated 25th April 1887, No. 1134 W., Public Works.

It having come to the notice of Government that an estimate, amounting to Rs. 770, of which Rs. 394 was to be a contribution, for closing breaches and reconstructing a sluice in a channel, was sanctioned by a Superintending Engineer without reference to Government, the attention of all Superintending Engineers is directed to paragraph 1339 of the Public Works Code, the orders contained in which should be strictly followed in future.

2. In cases of emergency the necessary sanction of Government can be applied for by wire; and failing this, the Superintending Engineer should without delay report the action taken by him for the orders of Government.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
Chief Engr for Irrigation.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.
Spare Copies.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 25th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 25th April 1887, No. 1134 W.

APRIL.

Contribution Works

The attention of Superintending Engineers directed to paragraph 1339 of the Public Works Code which states that the definite sanction of Government in each case is necessary before — are put in hand.

9TH OCTOBER 1885, No. 2345 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

312
6

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following letter from Colonel H. R. MEAD, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Trichinopoly, 28th August 1885, No. 858 T.J. :—

With reference to paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 677 I., Public Works, dated 23rd July 1884, I have the honor to forward an estimate for raising a wall on the south side of the Cauvery river, in Kumbakónam town, as protection from floods in those places where there is no space for an embankment. The cost of the wall is estimated at Rs. 2,330.

2. I also forward copy of a letter from the Collector of Tanjore enclosing proceedings of the Kumbakónam municipality, in which they state they are willing to contribute two-thirds of the cost on condition that Government pay the other third. The President does not give any separate opinion on the matter; so, I suppose, he agrees with the members of the municipality.

3. I consider that the municipality have no claim whatever for any grant-in-aid in the work of protection of the town. Should Government accept the offer of the municipality, I suppose Government will relieve them of the charge of 24½ per cent. for establishment, tools and plant, &c., on the contribution.

ENCLOSURE.

From J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated Vallam, 19th August 1885, No. 628.

Adverting to your endorsement, No. 1896 T.J., dated 7th March 1885, appended to copy of a letter from the Executive Engineer, Tanjore, I have the honor to forward an extract from the proceedings of the municipal councillors, Kumbakónam, about the construction of a protective wall along the south bank of the Cauvery from the bridge to the subjail.

2. The enclosures received with your letter under reference are herewith returned.

Proceedings of the Municipal Councillors, Kumbakónam, dated 12th August 1885.

Read Collector's letter, No. 591, dated 3rd August 1885, requesting the views of the councillors, after reconsideration, about the erection of a protective wall along the southern bank of the Cauvery.

Resolved that the councillors, on reconsideration, are of opinion that the construction of the works included in the estimate is necessary. The councillors are willing to contribute two-thirds of the cost on condition that Government will contribute the remaining third.

* * * * * *

(Signed) P. W. MOORE,
Chairman.

Endorsement on the above.

No. 80 E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with a recommendation that the estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,330, or Rs. 2,890 including establishment and tools and plant charges at 23 and 1 per cent., respectively, for raising a masonry wall on the south side of the Cauvery river, in Kumbakónam town, may be sanctioned under "Miscellaneous Public Improvements," two-thirds of the total estimate being paid by the municipality and the balance being met from Provincial Funds.

2. Under paragraph 83, clauses III and IV, of the rules communicated with the Government of India circular, No. 13, Public Works, dated 29th July 1885, an acknowledgment should be procured from the municipality to the effect that in undertaking the work this department does not accept responsibility for unavoidable and reasonable excesses, and the contribution by the municipality should be paid into the Government treasury either in lump sum or in such instalments and by such dates as Government may decide.

Chepák,
5th September 1885.

(Signed) A. W. SMART, Captain, R.E.,
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Order—dated 9th October 1885, No. 2345 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,330, for constructing a masonry wall on the south side of the Cauvery river for the protection of the town of Kumbakónam, is sanctioned.

2. The municipal council will pay into the Government treasury, to the credit of the Public Works Department, a sum of Rs. 1,925, as shown in the margin, as their contribution towards the cost of the work. The balance, Rs. 777, to be provided from Provincial Funds, will be allotted as soon as practicable.

	RS.
Works	2,330
Establishment and tools and plant ...	560
Total ...	2,890

⅔ of 2,890 = Rs. 1,925.

3. The work will be carried out by the Public Works Department in accordance with the rules embodied in proceedings, No. 1976 W., dated 25th August 1885.

4. This sanction has been registered as Provincial, No. 134 W. of 1885-86, and classified as Miscellaneous Public Improvements, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with three enclosures.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Financial Department.

Exd. E. Fernandez.
Spare Copies

392/7

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 9th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 9th Oct. 1885, No. 2345 W.

OCTOBER.

Protection of the Town of Kumbakonam

Estimate for a masonry wall on the south side of the Cauvery river for the —, sanctioned with remarks.

[For Heads of Departments.]

312/8

Circular No. X Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

Simla, June 26, 1882.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, British Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments and Administrations and Officers marginally noted for information, in continuation of Public Works Department Circular No. 93 of 2nd November 1878.

By order,
A W Sampson
Under Secretary.

No. 1600, dated 9th June 1882.

RESOLUTION—By the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

Read again—

Financial Resolution No. 2679, dated the 31st August 1878, prescribing rules to regulate the powers of Local Governments to sanction special additions to salaries, which are not authorised by any general or special orders of the Government of India.

RESOLUTION.—In the above Resolution the Government of India declared that, unless in any icular case it be otherwise distinctly provided, the whole time of a public officer must be hel to be paid for by the State; and an officer may be employed, in any manner required by proper authority, within his own branch of duty, without any claim to additional remuneration, whether the services rendered were such as might ordinarily be paid for from the Imperial or Provincial Revenues or from any Local Fund. But in order to obviate the necessity of frequent references to the Government of India, rules were framed under which, in certain cases, Local Governments might sanction an addition to the pay of any officer, employed under its orders, for the performance of any special duty outside the duties of his regular appointment, as a charge against any fund administered under the Local Government, including Municipal or Port Trust Funds or Wards' Estates; it being, however, provided that in cases not covered by existing special orders, the sanction of the Government of India should be obtained to the grant of remuneration in addition to the fixed pay of any public officer.

2. The Governor General in Council observes that the principle of these rules appears to be thoroughly sound. But in determining whether the duty, for which an addition is proposed to the pay of any officer employed for the performance of any special duty, is or is not outside the duties of the officer's regular appointment or beyond his regular office duties, the mode of applying these rules in particular cases has been called in question.

3. For example, it has been argued that the supervision of municipal works lay properly beyond the sphere of duty of an Executive Engineer, and that he was accordingly entitled to an addition to his pay for the performance of such duties, although, as a matter of fact, it is very important to have municipal work well done, and Government is strongly interested in securing the best and broadest utilisation of municipal funds.

4. As it appears desirable that all doubts on this point should be removed, the Governor General in Council is pleased to declare that all municipalities and funds administered under a Local Government, including Port Trust Funds and Wards' Estates, are entitled to the gratuitous advice and services of officers of the Public Works Department, and of all Government Medical, Sanitary and Educational officers, when these can be given without detriment to the public service.

5. It will rest with Local Governments in each case to decide whether this last condition is fulfilled, and to indicate in each instance to what officer application for advice or assistance should be made.

6. When a municipality or fund has its own Engineer or Manager, it would probably only desire to *consult* some superior officer of the Public Works or other Department. In other cases more direct supervision and assistance might be considered desirable, and should be freely given without charge, if the Local Government decide that such supervision and assistance can be given without detriment to the public service.

7. These orders are not intended to affect any Government officer who as a Director of a Railway Company, or as a member of a Town Council or Port Trust (Government Director of the Darjeeling Railway, Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Rangoon Port Trust), &c., is under any special or local law entitled to receive fees or other remuneration for attendance at meetings of Railway Directors or of Port Commissioners, &c., for the transaction of business.

8. The provisions of the rules of August 1878, under which Local Governments are authorised to sanction special additions to salaries which are not authorised by any general or special order of the Government of India, are hereby withdrawn.

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras
P.W. Department

312/9

A. 4498 W. - 25-11-78.

No 1869 w d/21st July/82

B.

Communicated to Officers of the P.W. Dept for information + guidance.

2. The Secy to the Code Committee will take steps for the issue of the necessary standing order

14.7.82

14.7.82

Sub: Engrs ✓
Ch. E. for I ✓
Consg: Archt to Govt ✓
Genl Supt P.W. & W ✓.
Exec: P.W. [illegible]

22/7

O.S. 33.7

22/7

P.W. 920. 1857

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

Circular No. X Public Works.

Dated Simla, June 26, 1882.

Received

No 1869 w d/21st July/82

Two copies only

Rules that all Municipalities and Funds administered under a Local Government, including Port Trust Funds and Wards' Estates, are entitled to the gratuitous advice and services of officers of the Public Works Department, and of all Government Medical, Sanitary and Educational Officers, when this can be given without detriment to the public service.

Govt. Central Branch Press, Simla.—No. 78 P. W. D.—1-7-82.—814.

W. H. Boler

Entd in Receipt Regr

312/8

Accompaniment to Government of India, Public Works Department, Circular No. 13 P.W. of 1885.

CHAPTER IX.

Works carried out for Municipalities, Port Trusts and other Local Bodies, and for private individuals.

---

80. The department may occasionally, at the discretion of the Local Government or Administration, be called upon to execute works for which the outlay is provided wholly or in part from—

(*a*) funds of a public nature, but not included in the financial estimates and accounts of the Empire;

(*b*) contributions from the public.

But in each case the definite sanction of the Local Government or Administration is necessary before the work is put in hand.

81. Where a work is to be carried out partly from funds provided in the estimates of the Department and partly from funds of the foregoing nature, the contribution will be considered as a lump sum in addition to the Government grant, and the work executed in strict accordance with the procedure laid down for public works.

82. The realization of contributions for churches is governed by distinct Rules, *vide* Rule XVI of Ecclesiastical rules circulated with Public Works Department Circular No.   of 1885. In all other cases the contribution should be realized and paid into the Government Treasury to the credit of the Public Works Department and the amount placed at the credit of "Deposits" in the accounts of that Department before Government is committed to the outlay. This rule can only be relaxed under a special order of a Local Government or Administration, and such relaxation can only be allowed when sufficient security is taken for the realization of the balance of the contribution by fixed and specified dates.

83. In a case where the Department undertakes to design and construct a work wholly from the sources alluded to in paragraph 80, such as a town hall or reservoir for a Municipality, or a school or

hospital for which funds have been raised by subscription, or where the Department is entrusted with the construction of a church for which the funds have been provided and are administered under the conditions stated in Rule XVI (*b*) of the Ecclesiastical Rules circulated with Public Works Department Circular No. of 1885, the following rules will be observed :—

I.—The design and estimate will, in the first instance, be drawn up in communication with the parties administering the funds, and must be submitted for the approval of such higher local departmental authority as the extent of the estimate would require in the case of an ordinary public work; but no reference to the Government of India is required, saving that when the estimate exceeds the limit up to which a Local Government can sanction expenditure from public funds, an abstract of the estimate must be sent to Government of India for information.

II.—Provision must be made to cover the cost of establishment and tools and plant at the established percentages laid down for the province concerned, no reduction being made except with the sanction previously obtained of the Government of India in case of Imperial establishment, or of the Local Government or Administration where the establishment is Provincial.

III.—Prior to the work being put in hand, a written approval to the estimate and design must be obtained from the authority administering the funds from which the amount deposited was obtained, and an acknowledgment procured to the effect that in undertaking the work the Department does not accept responsibility for unavoidable and reasonable excesses such as would be caused by a rise in the price of labor or materials, by authorized alterations in design, loss by fire or theft, or by other causes which could not be foreseen at the time the estimate was prepared. Any alteration in design must be similarly dealt with.

IV.—The necessary funds for the prosecution of the work must be realized and paid into the Government Treasury either in a lump sum or

in such instalments and by such dates as the Local Government or Administration shall decide in each case. All amounts so realized must be credited to "Deposits" in the accounts of the Department. No advance of Government money for such purpose will be permitted, and in a case where the money is paid by instalments, Government will not be responsible for any increase in cost, or damage to the uncompleted work, caused by a temporary stoppage of the work pending receipt of further instalments.

V.—It will be the duty of the officer in charge of the work to bring *at once* to the notice of his superiors and of the local body or individuals any anticipated excesses over estimate; as well as to provide the fullest information in connection with the progress of expenditure, so that no responsibility may attach to Government in the event of the work having to be stopped for want of funds.

VI.—The expenditure on the work will be accounted for under "Deposits" in strict accordance with Public Works procedure, and a copy of the work voucher will be sent by the Examiner to the Administrators of the funds immediately after the audit of the monthly Divisional Accounts.

VII.—Local Governments and Administrations undertaking such works should satisfy themselves that the extent to which the Government is responsible in regard to the execution of the work is thoroughly understood both by the parties for whom the work is to be constructed and by the Executive Officers to whom its construction is to be entrusted.

VIII.—Where the work is of magnitude, or there are any special circumstances which seem to render such a course desirable, an agreement should be drawn up under legal advice.

84. It must be distinctly understood that contributions on account of one work can under no circumstances be utilized in meeting outlay on account of another work, the contributions to which may be in arrears.

B. No 1057 W 28.4.84
G.C. No 4498 W 25.11.78
B. No 1869 W 21.7.82 } Ch° 10/41 12/12/85

No B 1970 W d/ 25th Augt 1885

Com^d in contn of G.O. No 1869 W of 21 July/82 to officers of the P. W. D. for information and guidance.

2. The Secy: to the Code Committee will be requested to submit a draft standing order making the necessary alterations in and additions to the Rules at present in force on the subject.

S. E. S. / CB 14.8.85

W. D. for 26/8/85

17.8.85

S. of W. J. M. S.

15.8.85

A. 19.8.85

(1)

Ret 7130

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT. 312/72

General.

To 50 S C

Circular No. 13 Public Works.

Dated Simla, July 29, 1885.

Received 1. P.B

No 1970 W d/ 25th Augt 1885

Revised rules relating to the execution of works by the Public Works Department for local bodies and for private individuals.

Govt. C. B. Press, Simla.—No. 214 P. W. D., A. G.—4-8-85.—1950.

8W/15

Circular No. 13 Public Works.

312/13

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General.

To

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, British Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Resident at Mysore (through Foreign Department).
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair, and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
The Inspector General of Military Works.
The Superintendent of Works, Simla Imperial Circle.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.

Simla, July 29, 1885.

Sir,

I am directed to forward, for general information and guidance, the accompanying revised rules relating to the execution of works by the Public Works Department for local bodies and for private individuals, and to state that these rules will be duly embodied in the revised edition of the Public Works Code.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

AG Begbie Major, R.E.,
Offg. Under Secretary.

Documents accompanying.
Rules referred to.

Vide Code para 20[illegible]
S.P.
13-8-85

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department

P.W.D.

312/14

From the Examiner, P.W.A. No. 887 dated 2nd March 1886:—

I beg to inform you that the sum of Rs 1925, referred to in G.O. No. 2345W dated 9th October 1885, and which has been paid into the Treasury to the credit of the P.W. Department, has been taken as an addition to the P.W. grant under Provl.— 1 Dof DbG E

C No. 1658

No. 968 - C.d/ 9th March

7.6/ The revised esti-mate for 1885-86 under Provincial Civil Buildings

Buildings, Roads and Services, having already been fixed, no modification can be made therein at present.

2. The amount may be treated as an addition in fixing the final grant, and the necessary explanation may be given in the Finance Accounts for 1885-86

|Dof Dub

DW

|Dof JSS

W

Desp. 9-3-86

No. 968 C of 7-

G.O. 2345 W dated 9-10-85
1976 W dated 25-8-85
1057 W dated 28-4-82
1884 W dated 24-7-82

JL 11/3

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1887 $\frac{312}{15}$ { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 19th APRIL 1887.

OFFICE NOTE No. 46

To

W. S

Keep with Genl. No. dated 188

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER 6TH CIRCLE IN HIS RETURN OF SANCTIONS FOR FEBRUARY 1887 TINNEVELLY DIVISION HAD SANCTIONED AN ESTIMATE FOR RS.770/ FOR CLOSING BREACHES AND RECONSTRUCTING A SLUICE IN PUMBLY CHANNEL, FOR WHICH WORK RS.394/ IS TO BE CONTRIBUTED BY THE TRAVANCORE GOVERNMENT.

2. IN REPLY TO MY ENQUIRY WHETHER, WITH REFERENCE TO CODE PARA 1339, THE DEFINITE SANCTION OF GOVERNMENT HAD BEEN OBTAINED TO THE ABOVE, THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER SAYS IN HIS NO.29 T.J OF 6TH APRIL 1887 AS FOLLOWS:-

'I DO NOT CONSIDER ANY REFERENCE TO GOVERNMENT NECESSARY. PARA 1338 SHOULD BE 1339, A CLERICAL ERROR } OF THE CODE HAS NEVER YET BEEN APPLIED, NOR IS THERE ANY REASON TO SUPPOSE IT WAS INTENDED TO APPLY TO WORKS OF THIS KIND'.

3. THE CODE RULE (1339) IS VERY PLAIN AND THE CASE EVIDENTLY COMES WITHIN THE RULE, TO WHICH NO EXCEPTION IS MADE. IN CASES OF EMERGENCY – AND POSSIBLY THIS MAY HAVE BEEN SUCH A CASE, THOUGH THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER DOES NOT SAY SO – SANCTION COULD I APPREHEND BE OBTAINED BY WIRE, AND FAILING THIS THE OFFICER CONCERNED COULD REPORT HIS ACTION FOR POST-SANCTION.

4. I SHOULD BE GLAD TO BE INFORMED WHETHER GOVERNMENT WISH THE CODE RULE (PARA 1339) TO BE OBSERVED, OR WHETHER THEY WISH IT TO BE MODIFIED, AND IF SO IN WHAT SENSE.

19/4

C.

W

19/4

There appears to be no reason for modifying the rule – Sanction can always be obtained by wire & be given afterwards.

19/4

20.4.87

P.W.C. No. 3019

(7)

R 20.4.87

1134 W

No. 1134 W

Genl Acct

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

A/

312/4

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 25th April 1887.

READ the following

Quote

Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Accts N. E. No. 46 dated 19th April 1887

It having come to the notice of Government that an estimate amounting to Rs 770, of which Rs 394 was to be a contribution, for closing breaches and reconstructing a sluice in a channel, was sanctioned by a Superintending Engineer without reference to Government the attention of all Superintending Engineers is directed to para 1339 of the Public Works Code, the orders contained in which should be strictly followed in future.

2. In cases of emergency

emergency the necessary sanction of Government can be applied for by wire, and failing this, the Superintending Engineer should without delay report the action taken by him for the orders of Government.

WCR
21. 4. 87

JB
XXI. 4. 87

JB
23/4/87

B
4/5

To

Supdg. Engineers
Consg. Archt. to Govt
Examiner P. W. Accounts
Chief Engineer for information

D
5th May/87

DW
W

O.S. 93/iv

M 23.4.87 R 25 4/.87

O 21.4.87

3019

Govt. of (1887) Madras
PUBLIC WORKS

G.O. 26th April 1887 No. 1134 W.

Contribution works

The attention of
Supg Engineers directed
to para 1339 of the
P.W. code which
states that the sanction
of Govt in each
~~necessary~~ case is
necessary before
works are put in hand.

160/12

Jan 64

---

188 /

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3785 38/18

From The Supdg. Engr: VI Circle

ENCLOS.—

No. 299 S.J.

Dated 14th May 1887

Received 18th — " —

SUBJECT.

Suggesting the modification of para 1339 of the P.W. Code in regard to contribution of works.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1711 Dated 17th May

To C/

For favor of remarks.

(Sd) W. L. C. B.
18.5.87

Despd L.H.
20/5/87

1134 W. 25.4.87.
968 C. 9.3.86.
2345 W. 9.10.85
1776 W. 25.8.85
1057 W. 20.4.84
1869 W. 21.7.82

Jan 614

No. 1711 C of 19th May 1887

To C/

For favor of remarks.

WW
18.5.87

D.W.

To W.

N 188
1-6-87

Code para 1338 does not apply to Contributions from Local Funds. The accounts of Local Funds are included in the Estimates and accounts of the Empire.

2. Since the introduction of the rule in question there have been 17 cases to which the rule is applicable, and 11 of them have been in the 6th. Circle.

3. The rule was introduced as a Standing Order to the old Code in January 1886, but this Office does not seem to have quite realized the existance of the rule until the new Code was issued in December 1886. Since the issue of the new Code this Office has always kept in view the requirements of the rule, but there have

---

4206

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

CHIEF ENGINEER
10643
21 MAY 1887
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle. 312/19

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 299 T.S.

Dated 14th } May 1887
Received — }
3.6.87

Enclosure —

Suggests for reasons stated a modification of para 1339 of the P. W. Code in regard to contribution works.

(3)

G. O. No 1134 W 25.4.87
No 1711 C. 19-5.87
No 968 C. 9-3.86
G.O 2345 W
B. No 1976 W 9.10.85
" 1057 W 25.8.83
" 1869 W 28.4.84
21.7.82

been only 3 cases since then, and only in 2 of them was it necessary for this Office to call attention to the rule.

3. The rule is based on Govt. of India Circular No. 13 P.W. of 20th July 1885, and any modification of the rule will require sanction of the Govt. of India; but seeing how few the cases are, it is perhaps hardly worthwhile to propose any change.

B No 1578 W/11th [illegible]

The Supdg. Engr. VI Circle [illegible] that the provisions of Code para 1339 do not apply to contributions from Local Funds. The [illegible] that [illegible] the Code [illegible] there having been only [illegible] cases to which it [illegible] applicable and in these circumstances [illegible] considered necessary to make any alteration in the rule [illegible]

3.6.87

D 11/6

The S. E. VI Circle
(Copy 4206 [illegible] 2)

3.6.87

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

Periyacolam 14 May 1887.

No. 299. T. J D/V/7:

312/70

From,
Lt. Col. J. Pennycuick R.E.
~~The~~ Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Sir,

ENCLOSURE.

With reference to G. O. No 1134 W of 25 April 1887, I have the honor to suggest a modification of para 1339 of the Code so as to avoid the constant reference to Government that will be necessary if it is to be applied literally to all works for which contributions are received from ryots or from Local Funds.

2. The question probably affects this Circle more than any other because such cases are I believe more frequent in Tinnevelly and Tanjore than in most other Districts, voluntary offers from the ryots to contribute towards the cost of minor new works, such as

Irrigation sluices and head sluices to river channels being particularly common in the former district while contributions from Local Funds towards the upkeep of river banks are very numerous in the latter.

3. From the wording of the G.O under reply it would seem that Government are under the impression that the case alluded to was an isolated one; so far from this being so, there have been no less than ninety one cases of the kind in this Circle alone during the last three years, in no one of which was any objection raised or any suggestion made that para 1389 of the Code should be applied.

4. The total cost of the ninety one works in question (including contributions) was Rs 52,680 or an average of less than Rs 600, and I have no hesitation in saying that there was not one of the whole number on which Government would have desired to express an opinion or concurrence which a reference would have served any useful purpose.

312/21

5. It must be borne in mind that in the case of contributions from ryots the offer to contribute is in most cases made through the Revenue Department, by whom the contributions are invariably collected, while in the case of Local Fund works the consent of the Local Fund Board and its President is a necessary condition; and it seems anomalous that a Superintending Engineer should have power to sanction the expenditure from public funds of the whole cost of a work while he cannot sanction the expenditure of one half or two thirds of that cost.

6. The objection to making a reference to Government in every case is not so much on account of the delay which it might cause as on account of the increased correspondence. The correspondence and other clerical work of all offices in this Department is so overwhelming that any increase to it is to be avoided if possible.

7. I would suggest an addition

to the paragraph in question to the following effect:—

"This paragraph does not apply to contributions for Irrigation Repairs or minor new works where the total amount of the estimate for each work is within the ordinary powers of sanction of the Superintending Engineer, nor to contributions from Local Funds for the upkeep of roads passing over river or tank banks where such contributions are duly sanctioned by the District Board and funds provided in the regular way."—

106
21 M
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

No 1
PW 4206/87

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

Lt Col R.E.
Supg Engineer, I circle

Superintending Engineer's Office
No 1557 KS
2nd Circle, Coconada
9th December 1887.

From
J. W. Rundall Esq M.I.C.E.
Superintending Engineer
II circle

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras.

Thro' the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Encl 5
1 Detailed Estimate
1 Abstract Estimate
1 Specification
1 Report
1 Plan of Culvert
5

Sir,

1. At the instance of the Examiner P.W. Accounts, I have the honor to forward herewith for your approval and sanction of Government an estimate amounting to Rs 900 for constructing a culvert in the 1st mile of the Good water channel at Nizampatam.

2. This is a work contributed entirely by the Local Fund Board. The Examiner in his remarks in the D.O.I.S states that as the estimated amount of this work exceeds Rs 500, the sanction of Government is necessary. But I understand from para 1339 of the P.W. Code & G.O. No 1134 W of the 25th April 1887, that the sanction of Government is necessary for all contribution works whatever may be the amount of the estimate.

Para 1339 of the Code does not apply to works contributed from Incorporated Local Funds, which are included in the General estimates of the [illegible]
Cy

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant
J. W. Rundall
Superintending Engineer

Compared

No. 2 E.I. Enc. 5

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P. W. D. for disposal.

Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Chepauk
5th January 1888

No. 1869 W d/21. 7. 82
" 1057 W d/28 4. 84
" 1976 W d/25. 8. 85
" 2345 W d/9. 10 85
" 968 C d/9. 3. 86
" 834 W d/25. 4. 87
" 1578 W d/11. 8. 87

118

From
The Supt. Engineer
2nd Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P W D
Thro' the Chief Engineer
For Irrigation

Dated 9th December 87
Recd 14 Do.

No. 1552 K.I.

Enclo. 5

Nizampatam good water channel at — Forwards for approval and sanction of Govt. an estimate amounting to Rs 900 for constructing a culvert in the 1st mile of the —

Public Works Department.

Current No. 98

Letter No. 2 E.I.

Dated the 5th January/88

From the Chief Engr. for Irrigation

Sanction
13.1.88

NOTE OF REMARKS.

1. The accompanying plan and estimate provide for constructing a culvert in the 1st mile of the Good water channel at Nizampatam.

The amount of the estimate is Rupees 900.

2. The second culvert across Good water channel was broken and in consequence the drainage of 80 acres of land under it was obstructed from being passed through the culvert. The present estimate provides for constructing a new culvert in place of the broken

broken one, for the purposes of passing the drainage water and of carrying the Good water channel.

3. The culvert to consist of 2 vents of 3 ft span each. Foundation under culvert is to be of concrete platform to a thickness of 2¾ ft. Pier, sidewalls and backing &c of the Culvert are to be of roughstone in chunam. Arch work is to be of concrete, plastered intrados and extrados with Portland Cement. Faces of sidewalls and pier, cutwaters, front and rear faces of the culvert, voussoirs & coping of facewalls are to be pointed with Portland Cement.

4. The rates cannot be checked for want of a proper schedule.

5. Report and Specification have been submitted

6. The estimate has been counter-signed by the President Dist. Bo^d and not approved in the Local and Municipal Deptt.

Prior approval in L[illegible] Deptt is not necessary [illegible] initials

B/

G.H.
12-1-88

B & R
Works

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 312 W.

32/25

A/3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 26th January/88

READ the following

From the Supdg Engineer II Circle. H. E. No. 1552 K. L. d/ 9th December 1887.
Endorsement by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation. H. E. No. 2 E. W. d/ 5th January 1888.

3

The estimate amounting to Rs. 900, for constructing a culvert in the first mile of the Good water channel at Nizampatam, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as Local No. 40 W of 1887-88 and classified as Communications, Original Works.

3. With reference to para 2 of the Supdg. Engineer's letter above recorded, it is observed that para 1339 of the P. W. Code does not apply to works executed from Incorporated Local Funds, which are included in the general estimates of the empire.

T.P.H.
25 Jan

Recorded
11/2

To The Supdg. Engr. II Circle with 4 encls.
" Examiner of P. W. Accts with the estimate for note & return to S. E. II
" Local and Municipal Deptt
" Chief Engr. for Irrigation

(To The Chief Secy for favor of counter-initials)

20. 1. 88

20/1/88

20/1

20/1

98

Govt. of (1888) Madr

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated urgent 8/2/88 [illegible]

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 26 Jan 1888 No. 312 W

Culvert

Est for constructing a — in the first mile of the Good water channel at Negapatam, sanctioned

90