

L.C. 989W. 27. 3. 88
 B. 2376W. 6. 8. 88
 " 4699C. 16. 12. 87
 " 2786C. 13. 8. 86
 G.C. 2952W. 7. 11. 87
 " 4414C. 2. 12. 87
 " 4104C. 16. 11. 87
 " 2292. 15. 6. 87
 " 2582. 24. 6. 87
 G.C. 2492W. 22. 10. 85
 " 960W. 30. 3. 87
 " 3908C. 7. 11. 87
 B. 2897W. 13. 10. 83
 " 2579W. 24. 10. 85
 " 2270W. 30. 9. 85
 G.C. 857W. 28. 3. 84
 B. 112W. 14. 1. 84
 G.C. 98W. 14. 1. 84
 " 2476W. 4. 9. 83
 " 204W. 1. 8. 83
 " 1749W. 22. 6. 83
 " 1428W. 4. 6. 86
 " 5736. 28. 8. 84

P.W.C. No 9192

(9)

Vide No 9312/88

Rf: 12. 9. 88.

1 Encl. (in 26. 9. 88)

(P.W.C. No 9192)

No 3108 Wd/4 " October 1988
P.W.C. 29. 10. 1988

The suggestion in para 3 of the Gammer's office note is approved, and may be embodied in the P.W. Code.

MWB
25. 9. 88

Jon
27/9/88

E. W. A. 5/10

4/10

9/10

P. 3. 10. 88

G. 25. 9. 88. 159. 9. 20/9. 110.

Standing Order

P. W. D. Code, Appendixes Edition of 1886.

Appendix N.

Under Sec 18, Rule 1 of the Civil
Travelling allowance Code, the Examiner is
authorized to pass during a halt at head
quarters the actual expenses (not exceeding
the daily allowance) of keeping up camp
equipage (when it is necessary to do so),
provided such actual expense may not be
drawn for more than the first ten
days.

(Govt. of India letter A. 5256 dt 13.10.87
& W. O. No. 4699 C dt 16.12.87.)

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

188 8.

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, / 2 September 188 8.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2228.

To

W.

In the accompanying standing order approved by Government and based on Government of India letter No. 5456 dated 13th. October 1887 Government have decided that the ten days referred to in Civil Travelling Allowance Code section 18 rule 1 should be considered the first ten days of any halt. It frequently happens that an officer takes a few days' casual leave after the first few days of halt, during which he does not incur actual expenses, and on the principle that periods of casual leave are technically periods of duty, it seems to follow that in such a case an officer must be held to have been technically at head quarters during such periods, and that such periods must therefore be counted as part of the ten days. There is however no

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

Pw acc 9172
Encl. 1

4. Will
Encl. 1
must read this office

rule on the subject.

2. If it is ruled simply that, for the purpose of regulating travelling allowance, periods of casual leave are to be treated as halts at head quarters, a claim will probably be made for travelling allowance to or from head quarters in any case in which an officer is not at head quarters at the end of his casual leave; but such claims would not I think be properly admissible.

3. I would therefore suggest that if Government concur in the above views, it should be ruled that periods of casual leave shall be ^{*}treated as halts at head quarters with a proviso that if the casual leave begins and ends at different places, the officer concerned will not be entitled to any travelling allowance for any direct journey from one place to the other, unless a period of actual duty intervenes between the expiry of casual leave and the commencement of the journey.

4. This is the principle on which the audit of the travelling allowance claims in such cases is now regulated, but in the absence of

*
Vide G. O. No. 989 W. dated
27th. March 1888.

*How can it be the same
when only the period of
leave is under consn?*

Why not?

any positive rule to appeal to, it takes a long time and a good deal of explanation to get the justice of any disallowance admitted.

C.

Alb 10/9

Mr. 10/9