

during the execution of the work.

hmb
C.

Me

19/7

to take the opportunity of clearing up the question of the powers of Supg. Engineer to sanction excesses other than those caused by alterations of constructive details, for instance an excess caused by enhanced rates. The intention probably is to give Supg. Engineers this power within the 5 per cent limit, or within the limit within which, under Code para 352 (Government of India Code chapter III, para 124.) the Examiner can pass excesses, but the rule as worded certainly does not do so. The practice is to accept the Supg. Engineer's sanction as regards all excesses, within the 5 per cent limit, except such as are caused by additions and modifications which cannot be properly considered alterations of constructive details, e.g. the addition of extra rooms to a building, or the substitution of Minton's tiles for ordinary tiles in a verandah floor. I understand alterations of constructive detail to mean such modifications as are dictated, or suggested, on engineering grounds by circumstances coming to light

Government of India providing for the grant of enhanced powers to Supg. Engineers do not seem to have any bearing on the question of the meaning of Code para 267(d).

2. Under these orders certain selected Supg. Engineers can now sanction estimates for works of certain classes up to a limit of Rs. 10,000, and within that limit they can of course sanction any alterations whatever in connection with any work which they have themselves sanctioned, or which they could in the first instance have sanctioned, if they had possessed the enhanced powers earlier; but their powers of interference in case of a work estimated to cost more than Rs. 10,000 are still limited to sanctioning, under Code para 267(d) alterations in constructive details of works in course of execution, see also Government of India Code chapter III, para 67(f).

3. The change proposed by You will therefore require the approval of the Government of India and, if this is sought, it would be as well

No. 2536C 2/5 July 1888

To

C

The Govt. of India having recently authorised Local Govts to confer very extended powers of sanction on Supg. Engrs, it is thought that there can be no objection to allow such officers to sanction excesses within 5 per cent on estimates whether new work is included or not. Code para 267(d) would thus require no alteration, but para 2 of G.O. N^o 91 Wd of 11th January 1888 would require to be cancelled.

Please say whether you see any objection to orders being issued in the above sense.



W.M.
4.7.88

D.W.

No. 1921 of 19.7.88 Estimate.

To W.

The orders of the



From

The Suptg. Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Deptt.

Thro

The Examiner,
P. W. Accounts.
Madras.

Dated 11th June 1888.
Recd. 26.6.88.

No. 744 Ad.
Enclos: 3.

Bezada - Residence & Office for the Suptg. Engineer 2nd Circle at Completion Report for constructing — Forwards for Govt. sanction — to enable the Examiner to audit the excess over the

Enc: 3

To

13/3 - 2,756-14-4
 Gate — Rs 67.4.7
 Glazed windows — Rs 94-0-0
 Gate Culverts — Rs 94.7.5
 Latrine — Rs 8.10.0
Rs. 3,021-4-4

on Savings on
 or items the net
 less did not
 need 5 per Cent

on the estimate amount, which under para
 267 (d) of the P. W. Code is admissible.
 The Examiner however now states that as
 ordered in G.O. No 912 of the 11th January 88,
 in a similar case, the sanction of Govern-
 ment is necessary to disburse on
 items not provided for in the original
 estimate. I have therefore the honor to
 request you will be good enough to
 obtain the sanction of Government to
 the Completion Report herewith sent, to
 enable the Examiner to audit the excess
 over the estimate &c.

I have the honor to be
 Sir

Your Most Obedt Servant

H. A. M. A.
 Superintendent Engineer
 II Circle

Confidential

N^o 877 Dated 25th June 1888.

Forwarded to the Chief
 Engineer Public Works Department, with
 the remark, that the action in this case
 appears indicates considerable
 misapprehension of the meaning of
 P. W. Code para 267 (d), and that
 the Code might perhaps be made
 clearer.

[Signature] Major R. E.
 Examiner of P. W. Accounts
 Madras.
 Encs: 3. 25/6.

No. 2536C 4/5 July 1888

To
C

The Govt. of
India having
recently authorised
Local Govts to confer
very extended powers
of sanction on Suptg.
Engrs, it is thought
that there can be
no objection to allow
such officers to sanc-
-tion excesses within
5 percent on estimates
whether new work is
included or not. Code
para 267(d) would
thus require no
alteration, but para
2 of G.O. N^o 91 Wd of 11th
January 1888 would
require to be cancelled.

Please say whether
you see any objection
to orders being issued
in the above sense.

W.W.
4.7.88
D.W.



N^o 1921 of 19.7.88 Estimate.

To W.

The orders of the

Enc: 3

To



From

The Suptg. Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Deptt.

Thro

The Examiner,
P. W. Accounts.
Madras.

Dated 11th June 1888.

Re D. 26-6-88

N^o 744.Hd.
Enclos: 3.

Bezwada - Residence & Office
for the Suptg. Engineer 2nd Circle
at Completion Report for
Constructing — Forwards
for Govt. Sanction — to
enable the Examiner to
audit the excess over the

Cur. 27 No 155 H 25/6



P. W. Acc 2
6186-7/88
7/38

Enclos. to S. E. 144/11-6-88

Bill of materials for Improvements to Super Engineer's
Office at Bergröden

Quantities = City.	Particulars	Amount
"	Earth work	21 7 "
"	Concrete	85 13 6
"	Plastering	27 10 3
32.00	Units of white iron work	376 2 "
6.50	Sqrs lead & bow payments	120 3 8
0.84	Units of brick & chimney	19 13 4
96.00	Sq ft of doors panel & venetian with frames & ca complete	95 1 8
0.84	Units of slab arch work	25 2 9
181.00	Sq ft of lead work, wrought & put up	534 4 2
24.50	Sqrs of flooring with flat & panels & ca complete	246 9 8
47.00	Sq ft lead & bow work	18 13 3
27.23	Sqrs of plastering with 1 coats	48 10 "
42.00	Sq ft of doors panel with frames & ca complete	40 9 7
12.00	Sq ft of windows venetian with frames & ca complete	15 5 2
43.84	Sqrs of white washings	15 "
19.00	Sqrs of varnishing all exposed parts of wood work	36 15 9
3.75	Sqrs of varnishing doors & windows	7 8 "
9.50	Sqrs of painting	8 14 6
	Total	1744 0 3

V.R.

15-5-88. J. H. Munn

15-5-88

The orders of the



PH 512
6486-780
7/38

11-6-88
Replies to Examiner's Notes on the Completion
Report for the work "Constructing Residence
and office of the Superintending Engineer 2nd
Circle, Bezwacla-

1. The Executive Engineer states that the
work was actually finished and paid for
in May 1887 and was finally inspected by
the Executive Engineer on the 23rd June 1887.
Some materials at site having been transferred
to other works in October 1887, this month
was entered against date of Completion.
2. It was too late to submit a supple-
-mental estimate.
3. The entries against "wire netting"
represent the total cost incurred on the
several subheads costing less than
Rs 200 each.
4. A statement showing the details of
the item "Improvements to Office" is herewith
sent.
5. Sanction of Government has been applied
for to the total excess over the estimate.

Supl. Engineer's Office
11th June 1888

M. M. M. M.
Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

No. 24657

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V Circle, Madras,

Bangalore
8 September 1888.

From

Colonel H. M. Vibart R.E.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P.W. Department

SIR,

Enclosures.

In an indent submitted
by Mr. Peters of Tools Plant
and Machinery, required for
the Bridge Works at Budda-
lore, two Steam Engines of
6-H. P. Gwynnes, two Centri-
fugal pumps, 6 inches and
six contractors pumps, double
5 or 6 inch barrels are applied
for. These are ^{not} available for
issue from the P.W. Stores,
and I have the honor to
request that the General

Supdt.

Sup^{ts} may be authorised,
under Code para 1669, to
purchase the pumps and
engines for issue to the
Bridge Works.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obed^t Servant.

And for Rent of 24 R^s

Superintendent Eugene
5 Circle

P. W. C. No 7140

From
The Supt Engineer
5th Circle (5)

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

No. 2926 Wd/18 Sept 1888

Sanctioned

WVW
12.9.88

Ju
13/9/88

Dated 12th September 1888.
Recd 12

Encls

No. 2926 Wd/18 Sept 1888

No. 2466.

I enclose —
Requesting sanction
to purchase — for
Bridge works at
Guddalur.

With order

Sd. V. C. A.
R. & P. W. A. 18/9

18/9

5/9

17.9.88

24/9