G.C. 2140 W. 14.7.88
" 2139 W. 14.7.88
" 2370 W. 25.9.84

X

P.W.C. No. 9043

(2)

From

The Supg. Engineer,
Fourth circle, D.P.W.

B

~~Hon~~
No. 2923 Wd/18 Sept 1888

~~PPH~~
13 sep

Recorded.

Mon
11.9.88

Recd 10.9.88

Dated 8th September/88

No. 2923 Wd/18 Sept 1888

No: 374
Gw

Encls: 1

Latrine for Boys of Lawrence Asylum Ootacamund:—

Forwarding for information copy of letter No: 2138 of 31-8-88 from the Executive Engineer, Nilgiri Division, requesting permission to submit estimate for — in November.

With order

Note — This report has been sent "for information" — the C. in C. has addressed the Asylum committee, & they no doubt will come up separately about funds.

SE IV Circle

D
W/9

Ad 10.9.88
Ad 12.9
13/9
Id 25/9

No. 2983 A

Quarter Master General's Office,
Ootacamund 17th August 1888.

From

Brigadier General M. Protheroe C.B., C.S.I.,
Quarter Master General.

To

The Chairman,
Ootacamund, Lawrence Asylum.

Sir,

Referring to O.G. No. 5461 of 10th August 1888, sanctioning estimates for the works marginally noted and directing that their Cost be charged to the Imperial grant for Military works, I am desired to express the Commander-in-Chief's regret that he sees no prospects whatever of being able to recommend to Government an allotment of funds for their execution as Imperial Military works, as demands for funds for Barracks and other legitimate Military works are far greater than the grants placed

Lawrence Asylum	Rs
Constructing Latrine for bys	3,000.
— " — an open drain	500
Total Rs.	3,500.

E. 2
P.M. 9055/88

Rev. 5936. 1888.

Extract from Estimate of Charges in the Estate of Wilson in the District of Jamaica for Fasli 1298.

Items of Charges.	Actuals of Fasli 129 .	Sanctioned Estimate of Fasli 129 .	Regular Estimate of Fasli 129 .			Estimate for Fasli 129 .			Authority under which the Charge is incurred.	Amount sanctioned by the Court.	Remarks by the Court.
			Actuals up to the Month of March	Estimated Charges for the remaining months of the year.	Total.	On account of Fasli 1298.	On account of Arrears.	Total Charges to be incurred in Fasli 1298.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	
I. Establishment and Contingencies ..											
(a.) Collector's Establishment ..											
(b.) Manager's do. ..											
(c.) Taluk											
(d.) Temporary											
(e.) Forest											
(f.) Travelling Allowance ..											
(g.) Saderward and Contingencies ..											
Total ..											
II. Maramut—											
(a.) Establishment and Contingencies.	"	1150	"	"	"	4531	"	4531			
(b.) Irrigation Works P.. W.D. ..	2342	3000	3889	3739	7628	11000	"	11000			
(c.) Roads and Communications P.W.D.	"	500	"	"	"	4700	"	4700			
(d.) Buildings .. .. do.	"	2000	"	"	"	4000	"	4000			
Total ..											
III. Peishcush											
IV. Assessment and Quit-rent											
V. Land Cess											
VI. Government Commission											
VII. Maintenance—											
(a.) Allowance to the Minor ..											
(b.) Education of the Minor ..											
VIII. Allowance to other members of the Family											
IX. Payment of Debts											
1. Private											
2. Government Loans											
X. Miscellaneous—											
(a.) Allowance to Village Officials ..											
(b.) Allowance to Pagodas ..											
(c.) Law Charges											
(d.) Annual Ceremonies and Festivals.											
(e.)											
(f.)											
(g.)											
(h.)											
(i.) Sundries											
Total ..											
Grand Total ..											

Dated Chatrapur 27 July 1888

(Sd) J. S. Horsfall
Collector.

Dated 188 .

Secretary to the Court of Wards.

From

THE COLLECTOR OF

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE COURT OF WARDS.

Dated
Received } 188 .

Budget Estimate of Charges in the Estate

of for

Fasli 129 .

COURT OF WARDS OFFICE * MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

Dated 24 August 1888.

No. 1904.

H. S. Thomas Esquire F.L.S. F.Z.S.

READ Budget estimate of Receipts and charges for Berlam and Devadi estates received from the Collector of Ganjam dated 27 July 1888, Nos 3508, 3509, 3510 and 3511.

Resolution

The estimates are sanctioned.

2. This budget was due on the 10 May last but was not submitted till 2½ months after that date. The budgets for the marginally noted estates of Ganjam District have not yet been received. Their submission should be expedited.

3. The delays seem to indicate the necessity for better office arrangement.

x.
1. Dharakot
2. Bodogoda.
3. Kurla.
4. Aska.
5. Khallikot and Attagada.

/ True Extract /

A. Secretary.

To. The Coll[r] of Ganjam
Copy to the P. W. D thro: Govt R.D.
with an extract.

CJ.

E. 1.

Pm. 9055/85

SURGEON ENGINEER'S OFFICE
NO. 7/541 W.C.
16 JUL 88
P.W.D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

No. 448

West Coast Division Office,
Calicut 12 July 1888.

From

Col. A. T. Fraser R.E.,
Executive Engineer,
West Coast Division.

To

The Superintending Engineer,
4th Circle.

Sir,

With reference to the Examiner's remarks on your No 395 W.C. dated 10th July 1888, I have the honor to state that the matter arises from Mr Ryan Contractor for the Sholadi Bridge never signing the Contract Certificate and list of materials at site which was sent him by my Predecessor in November last.

2. Consequently all Mr Wilson could do was to measure what he found in December and draw up the final Contract Certificate which has also not been signed by the Contractor.

3. This latter which was used in settlement of the accounts, omitted to show Rs 517-3- " worth of shells charged off to the head of masonry apparently for want of the previous certificate.

4. Subsequent reference was made simultaneously

Simultaneously to Mr Allen, Assistant Engineer and Mr Ryan one saying that no other lime was used than from the shells, the other that the shells would not burn and he had to bring more lime to site - Copies of their letters are attached.

5. There being no question that lime was used and no other way of dealing with Mr. Ryan, he was requested by the Executive Engineer, Madura, to state the sum he claimed which proving to be Rs 1500 and reasonable, the Superintending Engineer's authority was obtained and Mr Ryan gave a receipt in full of all demands.

+ No. 255/WC of 12th May 1888.

6. There does not seem any thing in this requiring the orders of Government as there has been no loss of materials, it is merely that in consequence of the peculiar mode of lump sum settlement the Superintending Engineer approved the rate for masonry Rs 50 per 100 cft in the final Contract Certificate has to be increased to Rs 56.7.5 per-do- by the cost of the shells.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant

A Fraser Col RE
Executive Engineer.

No. 303/EW

Submitted to the Chief Engineer S.W.D.

Enclo: 1 with reference to para 3 of G.O. No 2040W of 6th July/88.

2. There is now no necessity to apply for the sanction of Government, since the value of the lime is included & settled with the accounts of Mr. Ryan.

Rue Drake-Buckman
Major RE
Supg. Engineer, 4th circle.

Coimbatore
16th July 1888

EXAMINED
No. 1367
25 JUL 1888
ENCLOSURES
P. W. ACCOUNTS.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
NO. 5417
16 JUL. 88
P. W. D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

From

The Executive Engineer
West Coast Dn.

Dated 12 July 1888

No. 448

Encl. 1.

Sholadi Bridge
Vayitri Gudalur Road.

Represents that
Sanction of Government
to write off the lime used at site
of the — is not necessary.

P.W. CUO 7117

EXAMINER
No. 1367
25 JUL 1888
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS

No. 2778 Cd/ 23rd July 1888

To
C

For favour of remarks.

[signature]
21.7.88.

For D.W.

From

The Supg. Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

To W. No. 2194 d 4-9-88

Enc: 1

Dated 16th July 1888

Recd 20-7-88

No: 303
GW
Enclo: 1

Sholadi Bridge:-

Submitting Letter No. 448 of 12th July /88 from the Executive Engineer West Coast Dn. representing that the sanction of Government to write off the lime at site of of the — is not necessary.

After careful examination of all the circumstances of the case, I am quite unable to see that the lump sum settlement with the contractor has anything whatever to do with the disposal of the question regarding the loss of the lime. If the Supg. Engineer's contention is admitted, it comes to this, that the Supg. Engineer or the Executive Engineer can dispose of any loss whatever by charging it off to a work. Lime to the value of Rs. 480 odd has disappeared, and no receipt for the same being forthcoming, there appears to be a presumption of carelessness on somebody's part. The contractor repudiates liability for the lime, which therefore is not taken into account in his claim settled for Rs. 1,500.

[signature]
C.
[signature]
[signature]

To

P.W.D.

EXAMINER No. 660 10 JUL 1888 ENCLOSURES P.W. ACCOUNTS

From,

The Supt. of Works,
Periar Project.

CHIEF ENGINEER No. 110 MD 30 JUL 88 FOR IRRIGATION

To

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation P.W.D.
Thro' The Examr. P.W. Accts.
Madras

No. 230 P.R

Encls.

Station – Periar

Dated – 4th July 1888.

Despd. – 5th

Requesting decision as to his sanctioning horse allowance to Sergt. J. Cherry, Supervisor, for the period the Establishment was in the plains at Madura.

Sgt. I. an allowance of Rs. 20 would attend meet the case [illegible]

Note

Forwarded to the Chf. Eng. P.W.D. for disposal

2. The Examiner (who is I suppose the interpreter of the T.A. Rules and whose reading has to be accepted) considers that it is for Govt. to say whether the suspension of the conditions shd affect the ~~[illegible]~~ continuance of the allowance. As this is the case I recommend the Govt. shd be asked to allow Mr. Cherry horse allowance during the time he was at a near Madura

3. With regard to the amount of the allowance to be drawn I think it might be left to the Supt. Eng. to decide what amount shd be passed as a fair charge for the upkeep of Mr. Cherry Horse or pony. The Examiner says proving I believe Mr. Cherry's kept a horse and if he prefers a horse he

is certainly entitled
to keep one

[illegible]
2/8/88

P.W. No. 8121

No. 506 Dated 13th August 1888.

Memo

With reference to his letter No. 230 P.R. dd. 4th July 1888 to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, the Supty Engr Periyar Project is requested to report the actual cost to Sergeant Cherry of the Keep of his horse at ~~Madura~~ Madura

[illegible]
10/8/88

[illegible]

To
The Supty Engineer Periyar Project.

The S.E. Periyar Project.

[illegible]

OCS 29:8.
Despd: 14 VIII/88.

No

Submitted to the Chief Engineer Public Works Department with

Gram - - - Rs: 4 — " — "
Grass - - - " 4 — " — "
Syce - - - " 7 — " — "
Shoing - - - " 1 — " — "
Total Cost per mensem Rs 16 — " — "

8.700 P.R
1-9-88

No. 9406 P.R.

From. H. S. Taylor Esquire
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PERIAR DIVISION.

To,
The Superintending Engineer
Perijar Project Works.

Station Thekady
Dated 31 } August 1888
Despatched 31
Enclosure One

PN 8922/84

Sir,

In reply to your Endorsement No. 541 P.R., of 22-8-88, herewith returned, I have the honor to report that the actual cost, to Sergeant J. Cherry, of the keep of his horse at Madura is Rs: 16 — " — " (Sixteen) as detailed in the margin.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedt: Servt.,
H. S. Taylor