P.W.C. No 84

No 2912 W d/17 Sept/88

B

Communicated to officers of the P.W. Deptt.

Mary

30.5.55

Joh

5/9/88

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

(6)

12 S.C.

Issued

Recd. 27-VIII-88 Encl.

G.O., 15th August 1888, No. 1727.

No 2912 W d/17 September 1888

AUGUST.

Ch E for I

C. E for Rys

Supty Engrs

C. A to Govt

Exr P.W. A

Exr Ry accts

D 17/9

Wahhabi.

Directing discontinuance in future of the use of the term — in official correspondence and the substitution of the designation Ahl-i-Hadis for this sect of Muhammadans.

Superintendent of Works Office,
Periar Project, 4th July 1888.
Camp Periyar
D/5.

No 230 P.R

From,

H. S. Taylor Esquire,
Supt. of Works,
Periar Project.

To

The Chief Engineer, P.W. Dept., for Irrigation
Thro' The Examiner, P.W. Accounts,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to decide whether I may sanction Horse Allowance to Sergeant J. Cherry, Supervisor, for the period the Establishment was in the plains at Madura.

2. The Examiner, P.W. Accounts, having questioned the grant of Horse allowance to the Subordinate, in auditing the Travelling allowance Bill, of the Division, for the month of March 1888, with reference to Section 24, Rule 5

5 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code (new edition), I considered the allowance inad-missible, the subordinate not having a large amount of travelling at or near his head quarters, Madura.

3. However the Supervisor was obliged to maintain his horse all the time in readiness for his return to the Hills again, and he represents that, under P.W. Code, para 517, horse allowance is admissible to Subor-dinates although under suspension, how much more therefore should he be entitled to the same while at Head Quarters and in effective Service.

4. There is nothing in the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, (new edition), corresponding to Code para 517, and even if there were, I do not think it could be made applicable in this case. But the case is pecu-liar and I have therefore to refer it to you to decide.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant,

[signature]
Superintendent of Works,
Periar Project.

No. 64 I. dated 27 July 1888.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for disposal with the following remarks

2. The undersigned is of opinion that the expression "is not forfeited during absence from Head Quarters" used in Section 24 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code taken together with the rule (Code para 517) that the allowance is not forfeited during suspension must, in reason, be held to mean that the allowance is not forfeited during any temporary suspension of the conditions under which the allowance is grantable; but in cases like the present one, it would be for Government to say whether suspension of the conditions for a period of 3 months or more should affect the continuance of the allowance. In any case, it is thought that the allowance during such suspension of the prescribed conditions should not exceed what is actually necessary for upkeep of one pony, which is probably much less than Rs. 30/- per mensem.

3. In this connection attention is invited to the fact that the rule says that a conveyance or horse allowance not exceeding so much may be given whereas, the almost invariable practice is to give the maximum as a matter of course "It is a fundamental principle that the allowance is not to be a source of profit" (Civil Travelling Allowance Code Section 6) but there is reason for thinking that it is very frequently is made so.

[signature] Major R.E.
Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras.

[signature] 26/7

[signature] 26/7