260d-4-6-88

P. W. C No. 7991

Recd. 9. VIII. 88
Recd 14. 8. 88

B

No. 2508 W d/16 August 1888

Communicated to the Supdg. Engineer V Circle with reference to his letter No. 850 d/ 29 May 1888.

2. The requisite steps for recovery of the rent due should be taken in accordance with para 1190 clause VI of the P. W. Code

Communicated to the Supdg. Engineer V Circle with reference to his letter No. 850 d/ 29 May 1888.

2. The requisite steps for recovery of the rent due should be taken in accordance with para 1190 clause VI of the P. W. Code.

10/8/88

S. E. V Circle
S. E. V Circle

Despd. 17/8

17. VIII
11/8

22/8

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 5044

From Supdg. Engr. V. Circle.

ENCLOS.— 1

No. 850

Dated 29th } May 1888.
Received 30th }

SUBJECT.

Surgeon A. H. Jacob. Forwarding correspondence regarding house-rent due by = and suggesting that the Military Dept be asked to pass an order preventing officers being granted last pay certificate prior to it being ascertained whether any rent is due by them —

DISPOSAL.

No. 260 D Dated 4th June 1888.

Transferred to the Military Department for consideration

(Sigd) J. O. H.

W.

O.S. 916 Desp. d. 5 XI 88.

2nd B. D.

1888

pers removed from
s Government for

8159 } 24

8697 } 31/8/88

8610 } 3/9

8826 — 4 — 9

9039 — 10 — 9

9177 } 12/9

9420 } 19-9

9480 21-9

9506 } 21/9/88

9513

9532

9481

9551

P. W. C No. 7991

Recd 9 VIII 88
Recd 14. 8. 88

B

No. 2508 W d/ 16th August 1888

Communicated to the Supdg. Engineer V Circle with reference to his letter No. 850 d/ 29 May 1888.

2. The requisite steps for recovery of the rent due should be taken in accordance with para 1190 clause VI of the P. W. Code.

[illegible]
10/8/88

S. E. V Circle

Despd
17/8

2nd D.

Mis W. or August 1888

P. W. D.

No.

MEMORANDUM of Papers removed from the Records of the Madras Government for reference.

~~2573~~ W [illegible] 8159 } 24/[illegible]
2574

2623 W [illegible] copy [illegible] 7432 } 27/[illegible]

2542 W [illegible] 8697 } 31/[illegible]

~~2517 W for [illegible] 8700 — 1 - 9 / 88~~

~~2603 W [illegible] 8610 } 3/9~~

2680 W for [illegible] 8826 — 4 - 9 / [illegible]

~~2573 W for [illegible] 9039 — 10 - 9 / [illegible]~~

~~2705 W [illegible] 133~~

[illegible] 133 [illegible] 8/9 [illegible]

~~2707 W [illegible] 9177 } 12/9~~

2590 W [illegible] 9420 }
19 - 9 - 88

2651 W [illegible] 9480
21 - 9

2576 W [illegible] 9506 } 24/9/88

2666 W [illegible] 9513 } [illegible]

2651 W [illegible] 9532 } [illegible]

~~[illegible]~~

~~[illegible] W [illegible] 9249~~

~~[illegible] W [illegible] 9481 9[illegible]~~

2515 W [illegible] 9551 24 9

No. of G. O.	For what purpose.	When taken.	Name of the party by whom taken.
2623 W	one copy for No. 9595 }	25/9/88	
~~2707 W~~	~~For [illegible] office Note No. 2337 }~~	~~28/9/88~~	
~~2711 W~~	~~4 files For No. 9827 —~~	~~} 1/10/88~~ ~~2-10-88~~	
2643 W	For ch — 98		
2644 W	For ch — 98	2-10-88	
2587 W	4 files For ch 98	2-10-88	
2638 W	For ch — 98 }	2-10-88	
2608 W	For Cn — 98 }	3-10-88	
2692 W	For B }	3-10-88	
2636 W	4 files for No. 9 36 }	5/10/88	
~~2677 W~~	~~4 files for Dy [illegible] Saw }~~	~~5/10/88~~	
2625 W	one copy for No. [illegible]	11/10/88	
2621 W	4 files for No. 10267 }	11/10/88	
~~2594 W~~	~~4 files for No. 10 31 }~~	~~12/10/88~~	
2582 W	one copy & files for No. 10501 }	17/10/88	
2579 W	4 files For No. 10542 }	18-10-88	
~~2694 W~~	~~files for Dy [illegible] Saw }~~	~~23/10/88~~	
2681 W	For No. 10974 —	30-10-88	
2576 W	For No. 7899	3-11-88	
~~2705 W~~	~~files Vide No. 3082 of 2/11/88~~ ~~in requisition~~	~~Col. Dept. No. 8860/88~~ 2/11/88 - 3/11/88 —	
~~2575 W~~	~~4 files for No.~~ 11352 }	7/11/88	
2623 W	one copy for No. 11709 }	15/11/88	
2427 2553 } W	4 files for No. 11358 }	19/11/88	

PW / No. 8024

2nd

Mis W. D Sheet for August 1889

P. W. D.

No.

MEMORANDUM of Papers removed from the Records of the Madras Government for reference.

~~2638 W original file for No. 1159~~

2571 W 4 files for No. 1411 } 12/2/90

2581 W 2 files for No. 1585 } 20/2

~~2691 W 4 files for Saw thro B } 10/9/90~~

2703 W original & files for No. 9033 } 25/9/90

~~2656 W 4 files for No. 10715 } 18-11-90~~

~~2655 W 4 files vide No. 681 D. 13.8.90 or No. 695 D. } 26-8-90~~

2640 = original for No. 13009 d/ 12 · XII · 91

2699 W original for No. 8707 } 30-9-96

No. of G. O.	For what purpose.	When taken.	Name of the party by whom taken.

P.W. (No. 8024

No. 272 A.G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General.

Simla, July 24th, 1888.

Accounts of the Army of India on Field service.

Adjustment of charges incurred by the Public Works and Telegraph Departments.

Read—

Government of India, Military Department letter No. 256 F., dated 5th March, 1888.

RESOLUTION.—The subject of the prompt adjustment of expenditure incurred in connection with military operations in the Field has been under recent consideration, and the necessity has become apparent of issuing orders on the subject for the future guidance of Executive and Accounts Officers of the Public Works and Telegraph Departments, with such further instructions as the case requires.

2. The main object to be kept in view by these officers is that the Military Department, being directly responsible to Government for all War expenditure, should have, through the proper channel, the earliest possible information of—

(i) The probable cost of all works or services which, from time to time, the Military authorities require to be performed by the Public Works and Telegraph Departments in connection with operations in the Field.

(ii) Timely advice of the approximate outlay of each month on such services.

(iii) The outlay actually incurred monthly, and the amount of liabilities remaining to be discharged.

3. In view to meeting these requirements, it will be necessary in the first place to see that, for all works the execution of which is entrusted to either the Public Works or Telegraph Departments, and for all services required of these Departments, which are likely to cost more than Rs. 500 in each case, estimates are framed and submitted for sanction, and for communication to the Military Department through the Secretary of the Public Works Department of the Government of India. Local Governments and Administrations will be held responsible that this is promptly done.

4. No details by sub-heads or items will be required in the case of estimates for works or services costing under Rs. 2,500; and the expenditure on works costing under Rs. 500 may be incurred upon the requisition of the Commanding Royal Engineer of the force in the Field, and will be regularised when completion reports are submitted and passed by that officer.

5. Executive Officers will be required to ensure not only the rapid execution of works, but also the prompt settlement of claims for work done.

6. Nothing in this order may be taken as tending to permit a hasty and imperfect inspection of works and disposal of claims. On the contrary, as the circumstances attendant on the execution of works of this nature, render it necessary to relax in a great degree the ordinary rules and procedure in connection with the audit of expenditure, Executive Officers are hereby directed to exercise every possible precaution to protect the State from being supplied with inferior work or materials, and to dispose of claims in such a manner as will render it impossible for such claims to be preferred a second time with success.

7. All disbursing officers must recognize fully the importance of punctually submitting clear accounts of their expenditure to the Examiner with whom they are in account. Nothing is more calculated to defeat the object aimed at by these orders than the framing of imperfect accounts, or delay in the submission of accounts.

8. In cases where the Local Government or Administration may consider it desirable to relieve Executive Officers of the duty of compiling accounts, they will be required to submit periodically to the Examiner, duly certified copies of their cash books, supported, as far as possible, by vouchers. Where this course is adopted, the cash book entries must be so clearly and fully made, as to enable the Examiner to classify the expenditure therefrom without any further reference to the disbursing officer. In this view, particular care must be taken to specify clearly and fully, the individual work and the particular service, on account of which each payment is made; and the sources from which money is received.

9. Where works are executed by officers of the Royal Engineers, or by other Military Officers, under the orders of the General Commanding in the Field, the procedure indicated above in regard to accounts will be followed. In such cases the accounts must be passed before submission to the Examiner, by the Commanding Royal Engineer.

10. It will be the duty of Examiners of Accounts, while relaxing the audit rules and procedure to suit the circumstances under which this expenditure is incurred, to see that all outlay is clearly entered in the accounts of disbursing officers, and supported as far as possible, by vouchers.

11. They will also be held responsible that expenditure incurred by officers in account with them on works connected with an Army in the Field, is transferred to the Military Accounts Department at the earliest possible date.

As a rule, expenditure should be so transferred in the accounts of the month in which it was incurred, and the approximate cost of services rendered (*e.g.*, the transport of troops and goods by railway, or the transmission of bearing telegrams) must, where the amounts involved are of importance, and there is any delay in arriving at their actual value, be transferred in the same manner, leaving the difference, if any, between actual and approximate figures, to be adjusted as soon as possible after the former are known.

12. If it should happen that expenditure on a work or project is partly debitable to the Military Department as War expenditure, and partly to the Public Works Department, the entire expenditure will be debited to the Military Department, in the first instance, monthly as incurred, and an adjustment made between the two Departments when the work is completed.

13. In cases where the transactions of the Public Works or Telegraph Departments with the Military Department are heavy, it may be necessary to locate a branch Public Works audit office in charge of a junior officer or senior accountant at the base of operations, to work in conjunction with the Military Controller on the spot. In this branch office detailed accounts will be compiled from the cash books of disbursing officers, and a monthly clearance obtained of all War expenditure audited and passed, by transfer to the Military Controller in the usual manner.

14. Examiners will be required to send by telegraph, to the Controller of Military Accounts concerned, regularly on or before the 25th of each month, an approximate statement of the sum likely to be debited to the Military Department in the Central Adjusting Account of the previous month.

Plc / No 8024

15. Examiners will at the same time, advise the Controller of Military Accounts of any considerable liabilities remaining undischarged.

ORDER.—Ordered, that a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to all Local Governments and Administrations and officers noted in the margin for information and guidance.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners, Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Resident at Mysore (through Foreign Department).
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana, and Biluchistan.
The Superintendent of Port Blair and Chief Commissioner, Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
The Director General of Telegraphs.
The Inspector General of Military Works.
The Superintendent of Works, Simla Imperial Circle.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Director, Persian Telegraph.
The Director, Persian Gulf Telegraph.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India, for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Central Division.
The Comptroller General.
The Accountant General, Bengal.

Ordered also that a copy be forwarded to the Finance and Military Departments for information.

R W Pemberton
Colonel, R.E.,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

80 S.C.

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 141 A. G.—27-7-88.—1,600.

(7)

P.W. Cho 7797

No 2509 W d/16 August 1888

Communicated to officers of the P.W. Dept for information & guidance.

80.S.C.

Recd 7-8-88.

9/8/88

No 2509 W d/16 August 1888

1138W

Ch. E for I ✓
Consg Engr for Rys ✓
Supg Engrs ✓
C.A. to Govt ✓
Exr P.W. accts
Exr Ry accts

copies
desp 17/8

8-8-88

P.W. No 802

P.W. Department Proceedings of the Madras Govt Read the following

1st August 1888, Nos. 365, 366, Marine.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From E. J. SINKINSON, Esq., Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 19th July 1888, No. 3931.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 205, dated the 21st May 1888, and to express the satisfaction with which the Government of India learns from the proceedings transmitted with it that His Excellency the Governor in Council has adopted and carried into effect the suggestion that the management of the port of Madras should be vested in the Harbour Trust, the Port Funds being collected and dealt with by that body as Conservators of the port under the Indian Ports Act. His Excellency in Council has no doubt that, administratively and financially, the transfer of the control of the port from the Port Officer to the Trust will be successful.

2. In connection with this transfer, the Government of Madras proposes (1) that the Assistant Port Officer should be detached from the General Administrative Branch of the Port Officer's department, and become the Executive Officer of the port of Madras under the Harbour Trust Board with the title of "Deputy Conservator;" (2), that, as the Port Officer of Madras will now not be directly concerned with the management of the port, his present designation should be changed, and that of "Presidency Port Officer" or "Superintendent of Marine" substituted for it; (3) that the appointment of Examiner of Port Fund Accounts may be abolished; (4) that the pay of the Manager of the Port Officer's office be reduced from Rs. 350 to 150 a month; and (5) that the Agent for Government Consignments should be appointed Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer with an allowance of Rs. 250 a month from Port Funds in addition to his pay of Rs. 350 a month.

3. I am to state that the Governor-General in Council approves the first four proposals, and they may be carried into effect at once. The designation of Presidency Port Officer is more suitable than that of Superintendent of Marine, and should be adopted.

4. As regards the 5th proposal, I am to state that the Governor-General in Council considers that the allowance proposed to the Agent for Government Consignments for the light duties which he will have to discharge as Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer and in occasional supervision of the office during the Port Officer's absence, seems to be disproportionately high. It is understood that this officer's duties as Agent for Government Consignments are not heavy, and it occurs to the Government of India that, for the additional duties to be assigned to him, an allowance of Rs. 150 would be adequate remuneration. Unless the Government of Madras sees sufficient reason for thinking that a larger sum is required, the Governor-General in Council will recommend the sum now suggested to the Secretary of State for India.

Ordered that copy, with copy of the letter (and of its enclosures) to which this is a reply, be forwarded to the Military (Marine) Department and to the Accountant-General, Madras.

From Captain H. A. STREET, Her Majesty's Indian Marine, Port Officer, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th July 1888, No. G. 354.

Adverting to G.O., Marine, dated 27th April 1888, No. 163, I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that, in accordance with the instructions contained in paragraph 2 thereof, Mr. W. H. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, will retire from the service on the afternoon of the 27th July 1888. I beg, therefore, to enquire whether the proposal to constitute the Agent for Government Consignments Personal Assistant to the Port Officer, Madras, and to give him an additional allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem, making his pay in all Rs. 600—which proposal has been approved by the Local Government—may be brought into effect from the 28th July 1888, in anticipation of the sanction of the India Government.

Order—dated 1st August 1888, No. 365, Marine.

The letter from the Government of India read above will be communicated to the Port Officer, Madras, for information and guidance. Mr. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, has already retired, and the reduction in the office manager's pay should be carried out at once.

2. In accordance with the instructions of the Government of India, the Port Officer, Madras, will in future be designated Presidency Port Officer.

3. The Governor in Council accepts provisionally the suggestion of the Government of India that the consolidated pay of the Agent for Government Consignments and Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer should not exceed Rs. 500. As proposed by Captain Street, this arrangement may be brought into force with effect from the 28th July 1888. It appears to Government, however, that, considering the relative duties of the two appointments, seven-tenths of the consolidated pay will be too high a proportion to assign to the Agent for Government Consignments, and that it would be fairer to divide the consolidated pay equally between Port Funds (for the Personal Assistant) and Provincial (for the Agent for Government Consignments). Captain Street is requested to report on this point.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
,, the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
,, the Accountant-General.

Copy to the Public Works Department.

Endorsement—dated 1st August 1888, No. 366, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt.

No 8024

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

MARINE.

Orders thereon. No. 2510 W/ 16 August 1888

Recorded

50/J. O. H.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

**G.O., &c., 1st August 1888,
Nos. 365, 366.**

No. 2510 W/ of 16 August 1888.

AUGUST.

Ch: E for I
C: E for Ryo
S. E V Circle
Ex: P.W.A
} for information

Port Officer, Madras.

Passing orders on letter from the Government of India sanctioning, with certain modification, proposals for re-organisation of the —.

P.W. (No. 8024

5

1st August 1888, Nos. 365, 366, Marine.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From E. J. SINKINSON, Esq., Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 19th July 1888, No. 3931.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 205, dated the 21st May 1888, and to express the satisfaction with which the Government of India learns from the proceedings transmitted with it that His Excellency the Governor in Council has adopted and carried into effect the suggestion that the management of the port of Madras should be vested in the Harbour Trust, the Port Funds being collected and dealt with by that body as Conservators of the port under the Indian Ports Act. His Excellency in Council has no doubt that administratively and financially, the transfer of the control of the port from the Port Officer to the Trust will be successful.

2. In connection with this transfer, the Government of Madras proposes (1) that the Assistant Port Officer should be detached from the General Administrative Branch of the Port Officer's department, and become the Executive Officer of the port of Madras under the Harbour Trust Board with the title of "Deputy Conservator;" (2), that, as the Port Officer of Madras will now not be directly concerned with the management of the port, his present designation should be changed, and that of "Presidency Port Officer" or "Superintendent of Marine" substituted for it; (3) that the appointment of Examiner of Port Fund Accounts may be abolished; (4) that the pay of the Manager of the Port Officer's office be reduced from Rs. 350 to 150 a month; and (5) that the Agent for Government Consignments should be appointed Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer with an allowance of Rs. 250 a month from Port Funds in addition to his pay of Rs. 350 a month.

3. I am to state that the Governor-General in Council approves the first four proposals, and they may be carried into effect at once. The designation of Presidency Port Officer is more suitable than that of Superintendent of Marine, and should be adopted.

4. As regards the 5th proposal, I am to state that the Governor-General in Council considers that the allowance proposed to the Agent for Government Consignments for the light duties which he will have to discharge as Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer and in occasional supervision of the office during the Port Officer's absence, seems to be disproportionately high. It is understood that this officer's duties as Agent for Government Consignments are not heavy, and it occurs to the Government of India that, for the additional duties to be assigned to him, an allowance of Rs. 150 would be adequate remuneration. Unless the Government of Madras sees sufficient reason for thinking that a larger sum is required, the Governor-General in Council will recommend the sum now suggested to the Secretary of State for India.

Ordered that copy, with copy of the letter (and of its enclosures) to which this is a reply, be forwarded to the Military (Marine) Department and to the Accountant-General, Madras.

From Captain H. A. STREET, Her Majesty's Indian Marine, Port Officer, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th July 1888, No. G. 354.

Adverting to G.O., Marine, dated 27th April 1888, No. 163, I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that, in accordance with the instructions contained in paragraph 2 thereof, Mr. W. H. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, will retire from the service on the afternoon of the 27th July 1888. I beg, therefore, to enquire whether the proposal to constitute the Agent for Government Consignments Personal Assistant to the Port Officer, Madras, and to give him an additional allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem, making his pay in all Rs. 600—which proposal has been approved by the Local Government—may be brought into effect from the 28th July 1888, in anticipation of the sanction of the India Government.

Order—dated 1st August 1888, No. 365, Marine.

The letter from the Government of India read above will be communicated to the Port Officer, Madras, for information and guidance. Mr. Bartlett, Examiner of Port Fund Accounts, has already retired, and the reduction in the office manager's pay should be carried out at once.

2. In accordance with the instructions of the Government of India, the Port Officer, Madras, will in future be designated Presidency Port Officer.

3. The Governor in Council accepts provisionally the suggestion of the Government of India that the consolidated pay of the Agent for Government Consignments and Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer should not exceed Rs. 500. As proposed by Captain Street, this arrangement may be brought into force with effect from the 28th July 1888. It appears to Government, however, that, considering the relative duties of the two appointments, seven-tenths of the consolidated pay will be too high a proportion to assign to the Agent for Government Consignments, and that it would be fairer to divide the consolidated pay equally between Port Funds (for the Personal Assistant) and Provincial (for the Agent for Government Consignments). Captain Street is requested to report on this point.

(True Extract.)

R Davidson
for Under Secy P.W.D
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer,
,, the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board:
,, the Accountant-General.
Copy to the Public Works Department.

Endorsement—dated 1st August 1888, No. 366, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt.

R. 2510 W d/16 August 1888

Recorded

Jill
10/8/88

Ch: E for I
C. E. for Rys
S. E. V Circle
Ex: P. W. A.
} for information

reply to d/- 17/8

---

(6) P. W. Acc. 7752

Gobt. of {1888} Madras.

MARINE.

13 S. C.

Issued

Recd. 7. 8. 88. *Encl.*

**G.O., &c., 1st August 1888,
Nos. 365, 366.**

No. 2510 W d/16 August 1888

AUGUST.

Port Officer, Madras.

Passing orders on letter from the Government of India sanctioning, with certain modification, proposals for re-organisation of the —.

2095 W d/11-7-88 & files

P.W.C. No. 8024

Military Works also.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

N. B. Buckley.

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Documents accompanying

Nil

Recd. 9 viii 88

R/15-8-88

No. 2511 W d/16 August 1888

810 W 12/3/88 put up with
No 2840 of 93

Communicated to
the Examiner Public Works
Accounts with reference to
E. O. 2097 W d/11 July 1888.

Jill
10/8/88

The Ex P.W.A.
17/8

17/8

O.S. 17 viii
11/8
13/8

22/8
22/8

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 398 B.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

CIVIL WORKS.
Buildings

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras
Public Works Department

Simla, the 1st August 1888.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 2098 W. dated 11th July 1888, and in reply to say that the authority given to Local Governments etc, under Public Works Department endorsement No. 92 B dated 22nd February 1888 to enlarge the powers of Superintending Engineers so as to enable them to sanction Estimates to the extent of Rs 10,000/- extends to

Military

17/8

Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

No. 1351 W dated 8th May 1888.

In accordance with the authorisation of the Government of India, communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22nd February 1888, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to invest Colonel H.M. Vibart R. E. Superintending Engineer with the following powers of sanction :-

Under Public Works Code para 267

Original Works

(a) Buildings & Roads.	
44. Military Works, and	
45 Civil Works	Rs 2500
(b) Irrigation.	
42. Minor Works (Working Expenses)	
43. Minor Works & Navigation	Rs 2500
(c) Subsidiary works for projects for which Capital & Revenue Accounts are kept	Rs 2500

2. The conditions under which these enlarged powers of sanction will be exercised will be the same as those hitherto in force, as laid down in para 267 of the Code.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. O. Hasted Colonel R.E.

Secretary to Government P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineer V Circle.
Examiner P. W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Examiner P.W. Accounts.

Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

No. I350 W. dated 8th May I888.

In accordance with the authorisation of the Government of India, communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22nd February I888, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to invest Mr J. W. Rundall, Superintending Engineer, with the following powers of sanction :-

Under Public Works Code para 267.

Original Works.

(a) Buildings & Roads.

44. Military Works, and

45. Civil Works Rs I0000.

(2) Irrigation.

42. Minor Works (Working Expenses).

43. Minor Works & Navigation Rs I0000.

(c) Subsidiary works for projects for which Capital & Revenue Accounts are kept Rs I0000.

2. The conditions under which these enlarged powers of sanction will be exercised will be the same as those hitherto in force, as laid down in para 267 of the Code.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. O. Hasted, Colonel R. E.

Secretary to Government P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineer VI Circle.
Examiner P. W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The Suptg. Engineer I Circle,
Examiner P.W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

A file of [illegible]

Public Works Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

No. I349 W dated 8th May I888.

In accordance with the authorisation of the Government of India, communicated with endorsement No 92 B dated 22 nd February I888, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to invest Mr G. T. Walch, Superintending Engineer, with the following powers of sanction :-

Under Public Works Code para 287

Original Works

(a) Buildings & Roads.	
44 . Military Works, and	
45 . Civil Works	Rs I0000
(2) Irrigation	
42 . Minor Works (Working Expenses)	
43 . Minior Works & Navigation	Rs I0000
(c) Subsidiary works for projects for which Capital & Revenue Accounts are Kept	Rs I0000 .

2. The conditions under which these enlarged powers of sanction will be excercised will be the same as those hitherto in force, as laid down in para 287 of the code .

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. O. Hasted, Colonel R. E.

Secretary to Government P. W. D.

To

The Suptg. Engineer I Circle.
Examiner P.W. Accounts.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Nos. 1329-50-51 d/ 8/5/88

For orders.

the

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1888. { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 28 March 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1283

To C.E.

To W.

With G.O. No 810 W dated 12-3-88.

G. O. No. 810 W. dated 12th. March 1888.

For favour of

Please say whether Government wish the order of the Government of India, permitting Local Governments to enhance the powers of Supg. Engineers under certain conditions, in respect to sanctioning estimates for individual civil works or parts of irrigation projects up to a maximum of Rs. 10,000 to be codified. It will be optional with Government to act upon them or not; but it seems desirable that the limits within which the Local Government may delegate power should be accurately stated in the Code.

28/3

C.

28/3

28/3

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

No. 1444 C d/ 5th April 1888

To

E. I.

R46.

With G.O. No 810 W dated 12-3-88.

For favour of remarks.

4.4.88

D.W.

Reg 29-3-88

For orders.

y the Chief Engineer for Irrign

No 37 E.W.

With reference to G.O. No 810 W. dated 12th March 1888 and Examiner's Note No 1283 dated 28th March 1888 I have to point out that the Government of India give authority to the Local Government to confer on selected Superintending Engrs. authority to sanction estimates for individual Civil Works or parts of Irrigation project (this last, it is supposed, does not apply to Capital Expenditure, 48. Irrigation Works for which they have now no powers see Code paras 267-73) up to Rs 10,000. I consider that the amount in the Madras Code Rs 2500 may with advantage be increased to Rs 10,000 but I would give this power to all Superintending Engineers all of whom are supposed to be selected officers and I consider it should not be given to only some

some of the Superinten

2. The Chief Engineers h
course, always the right
on the Superintending Engin
forward for inspection any plan or estimate they may sanction and this should be done in cases in which for special reasons the Chief Engineers may think it advisable and should at any time the Chief Engineer find a very faulty design or estimate had been sanctioned it would be his duty to bring the case to the notice of Government.

3. I consider the Code should be altered accordingly, the amounts Rs 2500 in para 267 being raised to Rs 10,000.

Ootacamund
9th April 1888

Col. R.E.
Chief Engineer for Irrign

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

For orders

No. 444 C d/ 5-4-88.
" 810 W d/ 12-3-88.

I. Walch } 10,000 Rs
VI Rundall }

III Smalley – Std
V [illegible] – 2500 Rs
IV [illegible]
II [illegible]

R/ 16-4-88

E. 1 with orig.
E.W. 810 / 12-3-88

No. 1348 W d/ 8th May 1888

0/19/4 – Of S 94/IV 12. 3-5-88 –

94

For Orders.

I am of opinion that as it is now understood that only officers possessed of proper qualifications will be selected for the post of Supdt. Engineer, authority may be given to all Supdt. Engineers to sanction estimates for individual Civil Works or parts of Irrigation projects up to 10,000 Rs as suggested by the G. of I. and propose to alter the Code accordingly.

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation would apparently give the full power to all S. Es, as regards Irrigation works, at once. But as the limit in the case of Civil works has not yet been raised above Rs 500 (although the Code allows a limit of Rs 2500) it may be well to fix the limit for Civil works at Rs 5000 for the present.

J.C.
23/4/88

19.4.88

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

No. 1283 d/ March 28, 1888
No. 37 E.W. d/ April 9 "

R ORDERS.

I am of opinion that
it is now understood that
ly officers possessed of
roper qualifications will be
lected for the post of Supdg
gineer, authority may be given
o all Superintending Engineers
sanction estimates for individua
ivil works or parts of Irrigation
rojects up to 10,000 Rs as
uggested by the Govt. of
ndia – and propose to alter
e Code accordingly

2. The Chief Engineer for

No. 1444 C d/5-4-88.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts No. 1283 d/ March 28, 1888

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrign. No. 37 E.W. d/ April 9 "

FOR ORDERS.

I am of opinion that as it is now understood that only officers possessed of proper qualifications will be selected for the post of Supdg Engineer, authority may be given to all Superintending Engineers to sanction estimates for individua civil works or parts of Irrigation projects up to 10,000 Rs as suggested by the Govt of India - and propose to alter the Code accordingly.

2. The Chief Engineer for

As I understand the matter the power to extend the sanctioning authy of Supdg Engineers has been raised to 10000 & the provisions of § 267 (a), (b)(1) & (2) & (c) must be modified accly. That is all that has to be codified, unless we are prepared to lay down at once a limit applicable to all Supdg Engrs alike. The only objections I see to ~~granting general powers~~ fixing a general limit of 5000 Rs are (1) that India intends it to be a personal matter, (2) that some of our Supdg Engineers are merely on trial as it were. We might give Walsh & Rundall power up to 10000, but Hannan, Smalley & probably Vibart I'd hardly have more extensive powers than 2500. We had better talk it over on Friday

PPH
28 Apr.

94

S. Engrs' powers of sanction

1st

For Council 27-4-88

Irrigation would apparently give the full power to all Supdg Engineers, as regards Irrigation works at once—but as the limit in the case of civil works has not yet been raised above Rs 500 (although the Code allows a limit of Rs 2500) it may be well to fix the limit for civil works at Rs 5000 for the present.

Jolu
24/4/88

O.P.S. 94/IV
Aw. 24/4
R. 8.5.88

No. 1348 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 8th May 1888

READ again the following:—

G.O. dated March 12, 1888, No. 810W.

Read also:—

Office note from the Examiner P.W. Accts (P.E. No. 1283 dated Mar 28, 1888)
Note by the Chief Engr. for Irrigation (" " 37 Ew. dated Apl 9, ")

The orders of the Government of India recorded with G.O. No. 810W dated 12th March 1888 should be codified.—

PPH
30 Apr

Jolu
30/4/88

Desw
8/5

The Examiner P.W. Accts

R.S.

BOW-12-3-88

B W/875

No 1348 dated 8th May 1888

Vide 1349-50-& 51 W 8-5-88

LS. 9/4

Hw. 30/4

m 1/5

8-5-

12/5

19/5

1888

Examiner, P.W. Accounts

Madras, 29th May 1888

Office Note No 1556

To

W

G. Os. Nos. 1349W to 1351W d/
8th May 1888.

Adverting to the G. Os quoted above, I beg to suggest that the substance of the orders of the Govt. of India communicated with endorsement No 92B dated 22nd Feby. 1888 be embodied in the Madras P.W.D. Code under paragraph 267, and that the details of the special powers from time to time accorded to individual Supg: Engineers be stated in an Appendix. If you see no objection pled obtain the sanction of the Govt. to this arrangement.

Cw 5046

Dw

For orders.

A doubtful point appears to be whether it would be advisable to publish to the world that a junior Supdg. Engr. has been vested with larger powers than a senior.

Cr
7-6-88

The only alterations made in the powers vested in S.Es, being certain money limits, I see no reason why mistakes should occur. The Ext can keep a register of the [illegible] Sanctd. powers vested in each S.E.

See underlined portion of the note. There is no necessity whatever for the appendix.

9/6/88.

1888

Examiner, P.W. Accounts

Madras, 29th May 1888

Office Note No. 1556

To

W

G.Os. Nos. 1349W to 1351W d/ 8th May 1888.

Adverting to the G.Os quoted above, I beg to suggest that the substance of the orders of the Govt. of India communicated with endorsement No. 92B dated 22nd Feby. 1888 be embodied in the Madras P.W.D. Code under paragraph 267, and that the details of the special powers from time to time accorded to individual Supg: Engineers be stated in an Appendix. If you see no objection pleas obtain the sanction of the Govt. to this arrangement.

2. If the powers are not all clearly defined in the Code, mistakes are likely to occur.

(Sd) C.H.P.C.

C

No. 1348 W d/ 8-5-88
" 1349 W " 8-5-88
" 1350 W " 8-5-88
" 1351 W " 8-5-88

18/6

P.W.C. No 5046

R/ 30-5-88

No. 2228 C d/ 14th June 188

To.
C

G.O. 1348 W d/ 8th May 1888 directs that the orders of the Govt. of India recorded with G.O. 810 W d/ 12th March 1888 should be codified. Nothing further is needed.

It is not considered necessary that the details of the special powers accorded to individual Supdg. Engineers from time to time should be incorporated in the Code as an Appendix or otherwise.

(Sd) J.O.H.

11/6/88
W.

13 B
1576

DC
157

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1888. P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 27 June 1888

OFFICE NOTE No. 1771

To
W.

With reference to G. Os. Nos. 1349 W. and 1350 W. dated 8th. May 1888 conferring certain enhanced powers on Messrs. G. T. Walch and J. W. Rundall, Supg. Engineers, I beg to bring to notice that the Government of India Resolution No. 92 B. dated 22nd. February 1888, communicated with G. O. No. 810 W. dated 12th. March 1888 authorises Government to confer increased powers to selected Supg. Engineers up to a maximum of Rs. 10,000 only, in respect to individual estimate for Civil works or parts of Irrigation projects, but not in the case of Military works.

2. The grant of increased powers to Messrs. Walch and Rundall up to Rs. 10,000 in respect to 44 Military works in the orders quoted above

2. If the powers are not all clearly defined in the Code, mistakes are likely to occur.

(Sd) C.H.P.C.

C

No. 1348 W d/ 8-5-88
" 1349 W . 8-5-88
" 1350 W " 8-5-88
" 1351 W " 8-5-88

Sg 18/6

P.W.C. No. 5046

R/ 30-5-88

No. 2228 C d/ 14th June 188

To.
C

G.O. 1348 W d/ 8th May 1888 directs that the orders of the Govt. of India recorded with G.O. 810 W d/ 12th March 1888 should be codified. Nothing further is needed.

It is not considered necessary that the details of the special powers accorded to individual Supdg. Engineers from time to time should be incorporated in the Code as an Appendix or otherwise.

(Sd) J.O.H.
11/6/88
W.

B.M. 1576

Dc 1577

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1888. { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 27 June 1888.

Office Note No. 1771

To
W.

Keep-with Genl. No.
dated 188 .

With reference to G. Os. Nos. 1349 W. and 1350 W. dated 8th May 1888 conferring certain enhanced powers on Messrs. G. T. Walch and J. W. Rundall, Supg. Engineers, I beg to bring to notice that the Government of India Resolution No. 92 B. dated 22nd. February 1888, communicated with G. O. No. 810 W. dated 12th. March 1888 authorises Government to confer increased powers to selected Supg. Engineers up to a maximum of Rs. 10,000 only, in respect to individual estimate for Civil works or parts of Irrigation projects, but not in the case of Military works.

2. The grant of increased powers to Messrs. Walch and Rundall up to Rs. 10,000 in respect to 44 Military works in the orders quoted above

1,000—7-2-88.

above, is therefore not in accordance with the Resolution of the Government of India.

C.

Ad 27/6

Sd. 27/6

P. W. Cuo 6275

(5)

Recd 28 : 6 : 88.

Nos 2097 W d/11th July
2098 1888

B. No 1357 W. of 8–5 : 88
" 1358 W " 8–5 : 88
" 1349 W " 8–5 : 88
No 2228 C " 14 . 6 . 88
B. No 1348 W " 8 : 5 : 88
" 810 W " 12–3 . 88
2097–8 W. 11 . 7 . 88

Pl. 19/VII/88 Am 6.7.88

Sw: 9 : 7 : 88.

3 . 7 . 88 R. 11 : 7 : 88

No 2097 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 11th July 1888

READ the following

Office Note from the Examr P. W. Accts. A. E. No 1771 d/ 27th June 1888

The question will be referred for the orders of the Government of India.

Ord 7 – A. E. No 2098 W d/11th July 1888

To The Examiner P. W. Accts.

To The Secretary to the Govt of India. P. W. Dept.

Sir,

Adverting to the Proceedings of the Government of India No 92 B. dated 22nd February 1888, in which local Governments are authorized to enlarge the powers of Superintending Engineers, so as to enable them to sanction estimat estimates to the extent (Rs. 10,000) to which they may finally approve designs and details, I am directed to request that this Government may be informed whether this authorisation extends to Military Works, which are not specially mentioned in the Proceedings in question. If not, I am to inquire the limit of the powers of Superintending Engineers in regard to sanction of estimates for these works. I am to add that hitherto there has been no distinction in this Presidency between Civil and Military Works in respect to powers of sanction.

D
12/7

J.M.
6/7/88

W.M. 6.7.88

O.S.S. 19/VII/88

J.C. 13/7

10.7

B W/12/7

Nos. 2097/2098 dd 11th July 188

No. 1842.

N.B.—*Please quote the number and date of this letter in future communication on this subject.*

P. W. D.

NILGIRI DIVISION OFFICE,

STATION Ootacamund 3d August 1888.

Enclosures. 1

Estimate in duplicate

From

W. B. de Winton Esqr.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, NILGIRI DIVISION,

OOTACAMUND,

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

FOURTH CIRCLE,

COIMBATORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith an estimate in duplicate amounting to Rs 300 for the purchase of four pairs of bullocks for use on works in the Nilgiri Division.

2. You are aware that of late I have lost 7 pairs of bullocks from rinderpest and to replace the above ~~the~~ ~~above~~ the four pairs now estimated are absolutely necessary & I request that early Sanction of Govt. may be accorded for the purchase of the same, which I have done in anticipation

estimate
to whic
approve
I am
this Go
whether
to Mil
not sp
Proceedin
I am
the pow
Engineers
of estima
I am
then h
in this
and M
to pow
D
12

anticipation of Sanction because I could not carry on the work of the Dn without them & had a favorable opportunity for purchasing some cheap.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt Servt

Exe: Engineer Nilgiri Dn

No. 334
R.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department with a request that he will be good enough to obtain sanction of Government to the Estimate.

Enclosure
one estimate in duplicate.

Colonel R.E.
Supg Engineer 4th Circle

Coimbatore
7th Augt: 188

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 520
6 AUG. 88
P.W.D.
FOURTH CIRCLE

From

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
NILGIRI DIVISION,

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
4TH CIRCLE.

Dated 3rd
Despatched 3rd
Received
August 1888.

No. 1842

Enclosures. 1

Subject.

Bullocks - purchase of 4 pairs of do. for use in the Divn. Submits for sanction an Esti for the -