

Gobt. of 1888 Madras.

PUBLIC.

Order thereon No. 2480 W of
14 August 1888
Communicated
to officers of the P.W.
Department in continuation
of G. O. No 334 W dated
27th January 1888.
sd/ J. G. H.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 18th July 1888, No. 720.

No. 2480 W of 14 August 1888

JULY.

Public Servants.

Communicating to heads of departments under the Chief Secretary Government of India Circular Memorandum intimating that the order of that Government requiring the charge against — dismissed for misconduct or any other cause to be reduced to writing applies also to men discharged for inefficiency.

1. The C.E. for I
" C.E. for S. E.
" S.E.
" C. at Goot
" Exr. P.W. a
" Exr. Ry accts.

[For General Distribution.]

Circular No. to Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

Government servants on the permanent pensionable establishment not to be dismissed without being first given an opportunity of making a defence.

To

THE GOVERNMENTS OF MADRAS, BOMBAY, BENGAL, THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH, AND THE PUNJAB.
THE CHIEF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CENTRAL PROVINCES, BURMA, ASSAM AND COORG.
THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.
THE AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA, RAJPUTANA AND BALUCHISTAN.
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF MILITARY WORKS.
THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF RAILWAYS.
THE CONSULTING ENGINEERS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR RAILWAYS, CALCUTTA, CENTRAL DIVISION AND LUCKNOW.
THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELEGRAPHS.

Simla, the 6th August, 1888.

SIR,

A case has recently occurred in which a senior pensionable subordinate on a state railway, having been found guilty of neglect of duty and of endeavouring to screen himself by a falsehood, was summarily dismissed by the Local Government concerned without being allowed an opportunity of making his defence, as should have been done under the orders relating to the dismissal of pensionable Government servants. The above event occurred in 1881 and the subordinate recently appealed to Her Majesty's Secretary of State who, in consequence of the procedure repeatedly laid down by the orders not having been carried out, ordered the whole case to be re-investigated in order to give the man the benefit of being able to record his defence in accordance with the rules.

2. It will be obvious from the above instance that neglect to follow the rules carefully at the time, may lead to considerable trouble afterwards. I am, therefore, to invite attention to the orders noted on the margin and, with special reference to paragraph 2 of Circular No. VI of 1881, to request that such instructions may be issued and will prevent the recurrence of similar cases.

Public Works Department Circular No. 65, dated 29th August 1879.
Public Works Department Circular No. XII, dated 18th October 1884.
Public Works Code (Fifth Edition), Vol. 1, Chapter IV, paragraphs 57 to 59.

Railway, dated 1st June 1888, to request that such instructions may be issued and will prevent the recurrence of similar cases.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

E. H. D. Walker

Under-Secretary.

Documents accompanying.
Nil.

Govt. C. Press, Simla.—No. P. W. D.—10-8-88.—1622.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.
Public Works Department.