

No 2430 of 20th Jan'y/88

PHH
197

The 8 days absence
in question may be
regarded as casual
leave under the cir-
cumstances explained.

17.1.88

MLH

21/1/88

SEH Carter
E. W. A. S.

Desd 21-1-88

18.1.88
R 20.1.88

21/1/88

From,

The Supt Engineer
2d. Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. H. D.

Date 13th January 1888.

Encls. Nil

No 2430 of 20th January/88

No 1716 H.S.

Vijayaragavadoss haider
Supervisor Rishia moolam
D.O. - Requesting to obtain
the sanction of Govt for
the entire day's leave from
to - being considered
as casual.

With order

Superintending Engineer's Office
1. 2. 3. Nov/87

No 1716 T.S.

From

J. Naman Esq.
Superintending Engineer
II circle

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that casual leave was granted to Supervisor Tipia-Raghavadas Saichu from 28th June to 5th July inclusive, or for 8 days. The matter having come to the notice of the Examiner of P.W. Accounts, he points out that under para 563 of the P.W. Code only 7 days Continuous leave could be granted & directs that ^{8 days} casual leave be either converted into privilege leave, or that the order of Government be obtained. I think it hard that the Supervisor should be punished for an oversight, and the Examiner would I think have exercised better judgment and saved trouble if he confined himself to pointing out the very slight irregularity.

2. I have the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of Government for the extra days leave being considered as

How many
extra days

Casual.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt servant

J. Hammond

Superintending Engineer

W. Circle

Composed