No 20 D. d/ 7-4-88
" 383 D. " 7-7-88.
94 D " 25-4-88

No 2436 W d/ 11th August
1888

Communicated to
the Examiner Public
Works Accounts.

7/8/88

The Ex. P.W.A. ✓ 11/8

C.S.

O.S. 35/8/88 M. 7-8-88

Dd 17/8.

C. 3-8-88. R. 9-8-88

R.W. C.W. 7621

[6]

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

(1)

To

The D. P. W.

Recd 2-8-88

Proceedings, dated 16 July 1888.

No. 108

Subject.

No 2436 W d/ 11th August 1888

Regarding fees for searching Ecclesiastical registers and giving copies of entries on behalf of Govt. Officers for official purposes.

with order

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3129.

From The Examiner P. W. A.

Enclos.—

No. 1290.

Dated 28th March.

Received 4th April.

SUBJECT.

Completing register in reference to the burial of Mary Anne Cope.

Office Note from the Examiner, forwarding a claim in the Nellore Dn for — and stating that the rules in regard to payment by Govt. of burial fees are not clear, and suggesting that the orders of the Govt. of India be obtained.

DISPOSAL.

No. 20 D. Dated 7th April 1888.

Referred to the Ecclesiastical Department for orders, whether the Government are liable to pay a fee in such cases.

2. The copy of register regarding the burial of the wife of Sergeant Cope

---

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 17th July 1888, No. 712.

No 2437 W d/ 11th August 1888

JULY.

Correspondence.

Directing that the rules laid down in G.O., No. 2595 of 1886, regarding the form in which official papers should be submitted to Government, be generally observed.

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 6473
4008.

From The Examiner
P.W. Accounts.

ENCLOS.—

No. 1795
Dated 2nd July 1888
Received 3. 7. 88

SUBJECT.

Completing register in reference to the burial of Mary Anne Cope —

Stating that the fee for — was ~~not~~ neither paid to Govt nor to the Registrar of the Diocese — It has been retained by Revd: G. F. O Wintour Incumbent Christ Church Nellore — to whom it was paid —

DISPOSAL.

No. 383 D Dated 7th July 1888

Transferred to the Chief Secretary to Govt —

(Signed) J O Hasted Col R.E.
Secy to Govt P.W. D

P.S. 26/VII/88

despd: 7-7-88

Cope of the P. W. D. was required to complete a periodical return, furnished by this Department to the Adjutant General.

(By order)

(Sd) W. L. C.B.
D.W.

Despd: 7.4.88

To
The Eccles: Dept

O.L.S. 35/IV

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 4008

(Office Note)
From The Chief Secretary

ENCLOS.—

No.
Dated 24th April 1888.
Received

SUBJECT.

Fee for Completing register in reference to the burial of Mary Anne Cope.

Requesting to ~~to~~ know if the — was paid to Government or to the Registrar of the Diocese —

DISPOSAL.

No. 94 D Dated 25th April 1888.

To
C.

Please supply ~~supply~~ the required information

(Id) J.O.H.

Despd 25/IV/88

O.L.S. 118/IV

Govt. of 1888 Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued
Recd.
Encl.

G.O., 17th July 1888, No. 712.

No 2437 W d/11th August 1888

JULY.

Correspondence.

Directing that the rules laid down in G.O., No. 2595 of 1886, regarding the form in which official papers should be submitted to Government, be generally observed.

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 6473
~~4008~~.

From The Examiner
P.W. Accounts.

Enclos.—

No. 1795
Dated 2nd. July 1888
Received 3. 7. 88

Subject.

Completing register in reference to the burial of Mary Anne Cope —

Stating that the fee for — was ~~not~~ neither paid to Govt. nor to the Registrar of the Diocese — It has been retained by Revd: G. F. O Wintour Incumbent Christ Church Nellore — to whom it was paid —

Disposal.

No. 383 D Dated 7th July 1888

Transferred to the Chief Secretary to Govt.

(Signed) J O Hasted Col R.E.
Secy to Govt. P.W. D

P.S. 26/VIII/88

despd: 7-7-88

Q. 3. 8. 88 A. 4. 8. 88

No. 20 D d/ 7-4-88.

Orders thereon No: 2437 W d/ 11th. August/88

Communicated to officers of the Pr. Dept. with reference to G.O. No: 3369 W d/ 21st. December/86.

(Sd) J.O.H.

C. E. for Irrigation
Cons: Engr. for Rys
Supdg: Engineer
C. A. to Govt.
Examr. Pr. Accts
Examr Ry Accts.

Govt. of (1888) Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued
Recd. Encl.

G.O., 17th July 1888, No. 712.

No. 2437 W d/ 11th August 1888

JULY.

Correspondence.

Directing that the rules laid down in G.O., No. 2595 of 1886, regarding the form in which official papers should be submitted to Government, be generally observed.

17th July 1888, No. 712, Public.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From Major C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 26th June 1888, No. 1600-W.

With reference to G.O. (Public), No. 2595, dated 13th December 1886 (P.W.D. G.O., No. 3369 W., dated 21st December 1886), I have the honor to inquire whether Rules 1, 2 and 3, relating to the size and folding of papers, are intended to be of general application, or apply only to communications made directly to Government.

2 In G.O. (Financial), No. 491, 7th June 1886 (P.W.D. G.O., No. 1660 W., dated 30th June 1886), Government prescribed rules for the *width* of all papers used for official correspondence; but said nothing about the length. In the G.O. first above quoted the length also is prescribed, but it is not clear that the rule applies to all official correspondence; at the same time, it is difficult to say what official correspondence may, or may not, have to be submitted to Government at some time or other, and it seems a reasonable inference from Rule II (of the first quoted G.O.) that Government desire that all official papers shall be of the sizes mentioned.

3. My reason for asking the question is that I constantly receive from officers of other departments communications on papers of all sizes, which is inconvenient for the reason given in paragraph 2 of G.O. (Financial), No. 491, dated 7th June 1886, namely, that "without uniformity of size papers cannot be preserved from damage," and before asking the officers in question to conform to the rules in their correspondence with this office, I should like to be sure of the intention of the rules.

No. 2499-C.

Transferred to the Public Department for consideration.

(By order.)

3rd *July* 1888. (Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R.E.,
Under Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

Order—dated 17th July 1888, No. 712, Public.

The rules laid down in G.O., dated 13th December 1886, No. 2595, Public, were intended primarily to apply to papers submitted to Government. But, for the reasons stated in paragraph 2 of the Examiner's letter, it is desirable that they should be generally observed.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
" the other Departments of the Secretariat.
" all Heads of Departments,
including Collectors and Judges.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th July 1888, No. 712.

JULY.

Correspondence.

Directing that the rules laid down in G.O., No. 2595 of 1886, regarding the form in which official papers should be submitted to Government, be generally observed.

17TH JULY 1888, No. 712, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Major C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 26th June 1888, No. 1600-W.

With reference to G.O. (Public), No. 2595, dated 13th December 1886 (P.W.D. G.O., No. 3369 W., dated 21st December 1886), I have the honor to inquire whether Rules 1, 2 and 3, relating to the size and folding of papers, are intended to be of general application, or apply only to communications made directly to Government.

2. In G.O. (Financial), No. 491, 7th June 1886 (P.W.D. G.O., No. 1660 W., dated 30th June 1886), Government prescribed rules for the *width* of all papers used for official correspondence; but said nothing about the length. In the G.O. first above quoted the length also is prescribed, but it is not clear that the rule applies to all official correspondence; at the same time, it is difficult to say what official correspondence may, or may not, have to be submitted to Government at some time or other, and it seems a reasonable inference from Rule II (of the first quoted G.O.) that Government desire that all official papers shall be of the sizes mentioned.

3. My reason for asking the question is that I constantly receive from officers of other departments communications on papers of all sizes, which is inconvenient for the reason given in paragraph 2 of G.O. (Financial), No. 491, dated 7th June 1886, namely, that "without uniformity of size papers cannot be preserved from damage," and before asking the officers in question to conform to the rules in their correspondence with this office, I should like to be sure of the intention of the rules.

No. 2499-C.

Transferred to the Public Department for consideration.

(By order.)

(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, Captain, R.E.,
Under Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

3rd July 1888.

ORDER—dated 17th July 1888, No. 712, Public.

The rules laid down in G.O., dated 13th December 1886, No. 2595, Public, were intended primarily to apply to papers submitted to Government. But, for the reasons stated in paragraph 2 of the Examiner's letter, it is desirable that they should be generally observed.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
„ the other Departments of the Secretariat.
„ all Heads of Departments,
including Collectors and Judges.

Exd. A. Raitt.

EXAMINER
No. 1288
20 JUN 1888
ENCLOSURES
P.W. ACCOUNTS.

No. 2286 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Chepâk, 19th June 1888.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Papers forwarded from this office to you for remarks, are returned in most cases with their edges folded in. This makes it difficult to rearrange them for registry and perusal, & the folds cause the early destruction of the papers.

Letters from various offices are written on paper of different widths, but it seems better to accept them as they are, than to attempt to reduce them to the standard width by folding in the edges. This process causes numerous creases in each sheet, & renders the file most confusing to read.

As the Stationery office gradually obtains paper of the standard width, the records of each office will begin to be of a uniform dimension.

Indents on the Home Govt, in particular, should not be meddled with. Their form & size have been prescribed by the India Office, & they should be forwarded as clean as possible, folded once lengthwise. Several indents recently received through your office were folded out of all recognition by an attempt to reduce them to foolscap size. When spread out again they were creased in every direction & had to be sent Home in that condition.

18.6.88

To W. No 1792 d 2.7.88

Instructions have been given not to fold papers in the manner complained of, but it was done with the object of saving the edges of the papers from destruction.

2. I may point out that at present the Stationery office only supplies paper 8¼ inches wide, except in the case of hand-made which is 8¼ inches and sometimes more, and this is, for the present, the extreme size of the covers or jackets into which received papers are put. On the other hand letters coming as enclosures to your notes, letters are frequently on paper — purchased in the bazaar I fancy — considerably wider than even the prescribed width of 8½ inches : then bazaar papers are generally flimsy and as the

edges of the paper project a quarter of an inch or more beyond the jackets they get injured at once. It would be convenient if officers would have such papers cut down to the prescribed width (8½ inches) or rather, for the present, to the width (8¼ inches) of the paper supplied by the Stationery office. If done in bulk in a press by the office moochy it would be very little trouble. Hand-made foolscap, especially that with raw edges, might conveniently be treated in the same way. I understand from the Superintendent of Stationery that next year's supply of paper will be of the prescribed width.

Ent 2/7

C.

2/7

2/7

17/8

P.W. Cus 6469

(25)

Recd 3.7.88.

No 2438 W d/ 11th August 1888

B Recorded with reference to G.O. No 2437 W d/ 11th August 1888

Recorded, with reference to G.O. No 2437 W d/ 11th August 1888

Cus 7452

Await reply from the Public Dept to 2499 C

3.7.88

5.7.88

1665 W-21-6-87

No 2439 W d/ 11th August 1888

B

Ordered that the Twenty two copies of the reports be distributed as follows.

Ordered that the Twenty Two copies of the reports be distributed as follows: —

E.S. - - - - 2 copies
E.R 2
S.Es (2 each) 12
S.E. Periyar Project 2
C - - - - 2
Office use - - - 2
22

E.S. 2 copies
E.R 2
S.Es (2 each) 12
S.E. Periyar Project 2
C 2
Office use 2
22

10.8.88

Desptd 22/8/88

P 29/8

P.W. Cus 7832

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1888.

Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

MADRAS.

Encl 1

21 Books

Printed July th.

Recd 8.8.88

Proceedings, 20th July 1888, No. 333.

No 2439 W d/ 11th August 1888

Distributing bound copies of *Land Revenue Settlement Report* for fasli 1295.

With order

P. W. Cur. 6469

(25)

edges of the paper project a quarter of an inch or more beyond the jackets they get injured at once. It would be convenient if officers would have such papers cut down to the prescribed width (8½ inches) or rather, for the present, to the width (8¼ inches) of the paper supplied by the Stationery office. If done in bulk in a press by the office moochy it would be very little trouble. Hand-made foolscap, especially that with raw edges, might conveniently be treated in the same way. I understand from the Superintendent of Stationery that next year's supply of paper will be of the prescribed width.

2/7

C.

2/7

2/7.

Recd 3.7.88.

No. 2438 W d/11th August 1888

B Recorded with reference to G. O. No. 2437 W d/11th August 1888

Recorded, with reference to G. O. No. 2437 W d/11th August 1888

Cur 7452

Awaiting reply from the Pl. Rev. Dept Cur 2499C

3.7.88

5.7.88

9p 17/8.

1665 W-21-6-87

No. 2439 W d/11th August 1888

B

Ordered that the Twenty two copies of the reports be distributed as follows.

Ordered that the Twenty Two copies of the reports be distributed as follows: —

E. S. - - - -	2 copies
E. R.	2
S. Es (2 each)	12
S. E. Periyar Project	2
C. C. - - - - -	2
Office use - - -	2
	22

E. S.	2 copies
E. R.	2
S. Es (2 each)	12
S. E. Periyar Project	2
C. C.	2
Office use	2
	22

10.8.88

Desptd 12/8/88

11/8/88

Cu

9p 23/8

P. W. Cur. 7832

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1888.

Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

MADRAS.

16.5.c

21 Books

Printed July th.

Recd 8.8.88

Proceedings, 20th July 1888, No. 333.

No. 2439 W d/11th August 1888

Distributing bound copies of *Land Revenue Settlement Report* for fasli 1295.

With order

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).

W. Wilson, Esq., m.a., C.S.

Resolution—dated 20th July 1888, No. 333.

The following distribution will be made of the 250 copies of reports on the Settlement of Land Revenue for fasli 1295 (1885-86) :—

	Number of copies.		Number of copies.
Collector of Ganjam	3	Secretary to Government, Public Works Department	22
" of Vizagapatam	3	Superintendent, Government Press, for sale	10
" of Godávari	5	Superintendent, Government Central Museum	1
" of Kistna	5	Accountant-General	2
" of Nellore	4	Inam Commissioner	1
" of Cuddapah	4	Commissioner of Scinde	3
" of Anantapur	2	Superintendent of Stamps	1
" of Bellary	3	Chief Engineer for Irrigation	1
" of Kurnool	2	Collector of Sea Customs, Madras ...	1
" of Madras	1	The Commissioners of Land Revenue ...	9
" of Chingleput	3	The Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue	4
" of North Arcot	4	Four Deputy Commissioners of Revenue Settlement, each 1 copy	4
" of South Arcot	4	Two Assistant Commissioners of Revenue Settlement, each 1 copy	2
" of Tanjore	5	One Special Assistant Collector in charge No. VI, Settlement Party	1
" of Trichinopoly	3	Office Record	3
" of Madura	4		
" of Tinnevelly	4		
" of Coimbatore	4		
" of Nílgiris	2		
" of Salem	4		
" of South Canara	3		
" of Malabar	5		
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department	108	Total ...	250

(A true Extract.)

Secretary.

To all Collectors, with copies of report as per list.
To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with 108 copies of report.
" Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, with 22 copies of report.
" Superintendent, Government Press, with 10 copies of report.
" " Government Central Museum, with 1 copy of report.
" Accountant-General, with 2 copies of report.
" Inam Commissioner, with 1 copy of report.
" Commissioner of Scinde, with 3 copies of report.
" Superintendent of Stamps, with 1 copy of report.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation, with 1 copy of report.
" Commissioners of Land Revenue, with 9 copies of report.
" Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue, with 4 copies of report.
" 2 Assistant Commissioners of Revenue Settlement, with 2 copies of report.
" 4 Deputy Commissioners of Revenue Settlement, with 1 copy each of report.
" 1 Special Assistant Collector in charge No. VI, Settlement Party, with 1 copy of report.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

9-17/8.

---

to the standard width by folding in the edges - This process causes numerous creases in each sheet, & renders the file most confusing to read.

As the stationery office gradually obtains paper of the standard width, the records of each office will begin to be of uniform dimensions.

Indents on the Home Govt in particular, should not be meddled with. Their form & size have been prescribed by the India office, & they should be forwarded as clean as possible, folded once lengthwise - several indents recently received through your office were folded out of all recognition by an attempt to reduce them to foolscap size - When spread out again they were creased in every direction & had to be sent Home in that condition

(Sd) W. L. C. B.
18-6-88
Dw.

D 19/7

No. 2286 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepâk, 19th June 1888.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Papers forwarded from this office to you for remarks, are returned in most cases with their edges folded in - This makes it difficult to rearrange them for registry & perusal, and the folds cause the early destruction of the papers -

Letters from various offices are written on paper of different widths, but it seems better to accept them as they are, than to attempt to reduce them to

J 25/6.

J 17/8.

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT

Current No. 3907

From

The Examiner
P.W. Accts.

Enclos.— 1

No. 1405

Dated 26th April 1888

Received 27 — do ——

SUBJECT.

Submg: with remarks for the orders of Govt letter No 2783G.B. d/16-3-88 from S.E. I re-the adjustment of expenditure incurred on the maintenance of Anicuts & Head works in the Godavari Eastern Division

DISPOSAL.

No. 1752C Dated 1st May 1888

To.
E. I.
For favour of remarks.

(Sd) W.L.C.B.
30-4-88
D.W.

B 1/5

Anicuts

Do.

Ig 3/5

No. 1752 C d/ 1-5-88

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT

Current No. 6 3907 & 6846

From

The Chief Engineer
for Irrign

ENCLOS.—2

No. 140

Dated 9th July 1888

Received 13 – do —

SUBJECT.

Regarding the adjustment of expenditure incurred on the maintenance of Anicuts & H.D. works in the Godavari Eastern D. stating that the S.E. has been instructed to close all repair estimates of each working season by the 30th June of the year folg & Repair esti:e are allowed by para 966 of the P.W. Code to remain in force to this date.

DISPOSAL.

No. 2675 C Dated 14th July 1888

To
C

For note & favour of return.

The original enclosures may be retained in your office.

(Sd) W. L. C. B.
13-7-88

D 14/7

D 17/7 M

T 14/7

D W

J 17/8

EXAMINER

No. 857 G.D.

FROM
G. T. Walch Esqr
~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 25th June 1888

SIR,

With reference to para 3 of your No. 1/41 G.D. 5-5-87 I have the honor to say that though the most natural & best system would be to allow the estimates for repair of the Anicut and Head Works in any season to run till they are fairly worked out, which they cannot always be by 30th June, I consider the compromise suggested by you to be the best under the circumstances and will order the Executive Engineer to close all such estimates sanctioned before end of March in any season by end of following June, carrying on to a new estimate any liabilities due or work still to be done on the former one.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient St.

G. T. Walch

Supt. Engr. 1st Circle.

ENCLOSURE
16 JUL 88
1337
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

FROM G. T. Walch Esqr.

~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

CHIEF ENGINEER
No. 105 G.D.
2-JUL 88
FOR IRRIGATION.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

FOR IRRIGATION.

E. 1
CN ~~6846~~ 7906/88

DATED 25th }
DESPATCHED 27th } June 1888
RECEIVED }

No. 857 G.D.

ENCLOSURES. 2.

Informs that the proposal to keep separate Estimate for the maintenance of Anicut and Head works will be adopted

Register & return with papers

CB
2/7

No. 1337
16 JUL 1888
ENCLOSURES 2
P.W. ACCOUNTS

[C. No. 8.]
2/4179 G.D.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } **1888.** { P.W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 26 April 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1405

Enc: 1

To

W.

KEEP-WITH Genl. No.

dated 188 .

1,000—7-2-88.

In forwarding herewith in original for the orders of Government letter No. 2783 G. D. from the Supg. Engineer Ist. Circle of 16th. March 1888, I beg to state that the circumstances of the case are as follows:-

2. An estimate for the maintenance of anicuts and head works in the Godavari Eastern Division was sanctioned as Register No. 15 of 1887-88 for the season 1887 by the Supg. Engineer Ist. Circle under Ordinary Repairs.

3. The Executive Engineer having debited this estimate with outlay amounting to Rs. 1,638-3-10, incurred during the previous official year, was under Code para 966, requested by this office in the audit note

on the accounts for May 1887, to send in a completion report for the previous year's outlay, and to debit the current year's estimate only with the current year's charges.

4. In reply, the Executive Engineer submitted an extract from a letter from the Supg. Engineer 1st. Circle No. 1370 G. D. dated 15th. September 1887, in which it was stated that the outlay from January to December for each year was to be debited to one estimate.

5. This office then pointed out to the Supg. Engineer in the objectionable items statement, that the Supg. Engineer's authority was not sufficient for laying down new rules of procedure opposed to the Code, and suggested that if he considered any alteration advisable, he should submit proposals for the orders of Government, and that in the meanwhile the Code rules should be observed.

6. Apparently no similar difficulty is felt in the Kistna Delta, but in any case it would probably be better if the Supg. Engineer 1st. Circle were to bring to the notice of the Chief Engineer P. W. or the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, any inconvenient or impracticable rules instead of ignoring them.

7. I think it would have been better if the second para of the Supg. Engineer's letter had been omitted.

C.

26/4.

No. 1752 C d/ 1st May 1888

To.
E.

R 63

For favour of remarks.

30.4.88

To.
W.

No. 140 d/ 9th. 7. 88

The Superintending Engineer has been instructed to close all repair estimates of each working season by the 30th June of the year following. Repair estimates are

are allowed by para 966 of the P. W. Code to remain in force to this date. No further action is necessary.

5/7/88 D. E. J.

9/7/88

No. 2675 C d/ 14th July 1888

To C

For note & favour of return.

The original enclosure may be retained in your office.

13.7.88

To W No. 2039 d/ 9.8.88

Enc: 1

Noted and returned.

2. The original enclosure is retained.

14/8

C

8

~~685~~

M. C. ~~3907~~

7906

ENCLOSURE
16 JUL 88
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS

CHIEF ENGIN
No. 1053
2 JUL 88
FOR IRRIGA

Enc 1

Ry ~~27/4/88~~

Enc 1

Recd: ~~13. 7. 88~~

Ry 10. 8. 88

No. B 2440 W d/ 11th August 1888

Recorded

Recorded

10. 8. 88

11/8

18th July 1888, No. 557, Financial.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

Resolution of the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, Pay and Allowances—Travelling Allowance—(Rules and General Matters), dated Simla, the 2nd July 1888, No. 3549.

Read—

Note E—4 (*a*) by the Finance Committee on Travelling Allowances.
Letter from Government of Bombay, No. 1692, dated the 5th July 1887.
Letter from Government of the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 290—X-T. 14, dated the 20th January 1887.
Letter from Government of the Punjab, No. 961, dated the 29th April 1887.

Resolution—

Under the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, officers are not ordinarily entitled to any allowance for journeys not exceeding 5 miles from head-quarters or for halts of more than 10 days' duration. But there are several exceptions to these general rules, and the Finance Committee, in their Note on Travelling Allowances, recommended that these exceptions should be scrutinised and revised.

2. The two questions are quite distinct, and are taken up separately below.

A.—Exceptions to the rule forbidding travelling allowance for journeys of less than 5 *miles from head-quarters.*

3. All the exceptions have been carefully examined, and the necessity for their retention has been considered; but the only points on which the rules under this head appear to the Governor-General in Council to require revision are as follows:—

(i) In *Exception* 2 to Section 19, Distillery Darogahs in Bombay have been omitted, as the Government of Bombay states that no such officers now exist. Abkári Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors in the Bombay Presidency draw in some cases a horse allowance all the year round; to these the exception does not apply, and it will be modified accordingly.

(ii) Under *Exception* 5 to Section 19, Enrolled Officers of the Financial Department of the two lowest classes and Chief Superintendents are entitled to actual expenses for conveyance hire for visiting a currency reserve treasury. The Governor-General in Council observes that the officers to whom this exception applies draw salaries of such an amount as to render a concession of this kind unnecessary. Officers of the same class in other departments would not generally be allowed to make a charge of this sort, and His Excellency in Council has therefore decided that the exception shall be withdrawn.

B.—Exceptions to the rule barring daily allowance for halts beyond 10 *days at one place.*

4. The general rule on the subject of halts and the conditions on which an officer may be exempted from its operation are contained in section 20 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code, and are as follows:—

Section 20.—A daily allowance may not be drawn for more than 10 days of a halt at one place; but the Local Government may, on such conditions as it thinks fit, exempt any officer or class of officers from the operation of this section.