

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 7.7.88

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 6/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W

துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2393 W	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	7-8-1888	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

1001.

2393
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

Office Note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 21st July 1888, No. 1939.

Adverting to G.O. No. 1638 W., dated 7th July 1888, sanctioning the outlay of Rs. 2,178, incurred in excess of the estimate of Rs. 6,445, for improving the water-supply of Fort St. George, I beg to point out that the completion report for the work was not sent to me for verification before being sent to the Superintending Engineer.

2. The accounts of the work to end of May 1888 as rendered to me show only Rs. 6,446-2-2 as paid and an additional Rs. 741 due to a contractor since October 1887 for work done, or Rs. 7,187-2-2 as the total charges against the work; whereas the completion report, which was drawn up on the 1st February 1888, shows Rs. 8,622-8-0 as the total cost of work completed.

3. As the completion report cannot at present be verified, it should, I think, be returned to the Executive Engineer (not the Superintending Engineer) for adjustment of discrepancies, and be forwarded by the Superintending Engineer to Government for sanction of excesses when finally verified.

4. I would suggest that completion reports, received by Superintending Engineers, which have not been verified by me as required by Public Works Code, para. 1303, should be returned by them to the Executive Engineers concerned for disposal in accordance with rules, and similarly in the case of unverified completion reports forwarded by Superintending Engineers to you.

5. The completion report is retained pending further orders with reference to para. 3 above.

ORDER—dated 7th August 1888, No. 2393 W., Public Works.

The Examiner of Public Works Accounts will return the completion report under notice to the Executive Engineer, Presidency Division, in view to the adjustment of the discrepancies referred to therein, and for disposal in accordance with the rules.

2. Para. 4 of the Office Note above recorded will be communicated to all Superintending Engineers for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
Exd. F. R. Bantleman.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of 1888 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 7th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th Aug. 1888, No. 2393 W.

AUGUST.

Fort St. George

The Examiner, Public Works Accounts,
requested to return the completion report
for the work of improving the water-supply
of —, Madras, to the Executive Engineer,
Presidency Division.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1888.

[C. No. 8.]
292/7
P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 21 July 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1939

To

W.

Adverting to G.O. No. 1688 W.

dated 7th. July 1888 sanctioning
the outlay of Rs. 2,178 incurred in
excess of the estimate of Rs. 6,445
for improving the water supply Fort
Saint George, I beg to point out that
the completion report for the work
was not sent to me for verification
before being sent to the Supg. Engineer.

2. The accounts of the work
to end of May 1888 as rendered to me
show only Rs. 6,446-2-2 as paid and
an additional Rs. 741 due to a
contractor since October 1887 for
work done, or Rs. 7,187-2-2 as the
total charges against the work,
whereas the completion report which
was drawn up on the 1st. February
1888 shows Rs. 8,833-8-0 as the total
cost of work completed.

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

1,000-7-2-88.

P.W.C. No 7247

3. As the completion report cannot at present be verified it should, I think, be returned to the Executive Engineer (not the Supg. Engineer) for adjustment of discrepancies, and be forwarded by the Supg. Engineer to Government for sanction of excesses when finally verified.

4. I would suggest that completion reports received by Supg. Engineers which have not been verified by me as required by P.W. Code para 1303, should be returned by them to the Executive Engineers concerned for disposal in accordance with rules, and similarly in the case of unverified completion reports forwarded by Supg. Engineers to you.

5. The completion report is ^{ained} pending further orders with reference to para 3 above.

h
20
C.

May

17/7

2494-7-7-88
1688-7-6-88
1467-6-6-88
9C-5-1-88
1254W-10-10-88

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

29/7

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

Office note from the Examiner of P.W. Accts. H. E. No: 1939 of 21st July 1888.

The Examiner of P.W. Accounts will return the completion report under notice to the Executive Engineer Presidency Division ^{in view to the} for adjustment of ^{the} discrepancies referred to therein, and for disposal in accordance with the rules.

2. Para 4 of the office note above recorded will be communicated to all Suptg. Engrs. for information and guidance.

July
5/9/88

Suptg. Engrs.
The Cons. Architect to Govt.
Examiner of P.W. Accts.

Cu

17/7

Govt. of (1888) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 7th Aug 1888, No. 2373 W

For Laid & for

The Examines Public Works
Accounts, requested to return
the completion report, for the
work of improving the water
supply of — Madras, to the
Executive Engineer Presidency
Division.

170
12