

roofing with flat & pantiles &c.

6. Rs 935 for flooring 6" concrete & 9" square large flat tiles; this was due to 87,000 broken bricks value Rs 949 being transferred from the Corripudi Aqueduct where they were not required and being used for the concrete -
7. Rs 533 for raising the compound; this was liable to be submerged & no allowance was made for this in the original estimate -
8. Rs 1,641 on the Petty items was due to several items being found necessary which were not allowed in the original estimate such as a damp proof course of asphalt in the walls of basement level, plastering the walls of the kitchen, wooden seats for the latrines & telegraph wire fence.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. A. L.

Ex Engineer in ch. 1st Circle.

A. 2099 N of 25-3-84
2237 C of 15-6-84

P. H. 1/6

12-20-7-88
17/7 m/107
17/7 m/107

12/7



No. 628 G.H.

FROM,

J. E. Paul Esq
Executive
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER in charge

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWARAM, 5th June 1888

SIR,

I have the honor to inform you that an excess outlay Rs. 5,213 was incurred over the estimate Rs. 34,135 sanctioned in G.O. No. 2099 W dated 25th August 1884 "for constructing Quarters for signallers of the Telegraph Department" at Cocanada and to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the same.

2. The Chief items on which there was excess and the cause of the excess is shown below:-

1. Rs. 603 due to insufficiency of rate for concrete.
2. Rs. 224 due to insufficiency of rate for archwork brick in mortar.
3. Rs. 1444 due to the same reason for Portland cement plaster.
4. Rs. 622 due to incorrect estimating for the woodwork required.
5. Rs. 328 due to insufficiency of rate for



No. 2237 C d / 15 June 1888

To

C

For favour of
remarks.

W.M.
14.6.88
D.C.

To W.

No. 1872 d/12-7-88

The excess can be admitted if sanctioned by Government; but the following points are brought to notice:-

Para 2 of the Supg. Engineers letter

Item No. 6. This would seem to require explanation as to why the material was charged at more than its value to the work.

Item No. 8. It is necessary to point out that some of these are not properly speaking excesses incurred in executing sanctioned work, but expenditure incurred without any sanction at all.

FROM,

J. E. Paul Esq
Executive
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

In Charge FIRST CIRCLE

TO,

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 5th June 1888
DESPTCHED 6th June 1888
RECEIVED 11th June 1888
13:7:88

No. 628 G.H.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

Signallers Quarters
at Cocanada.

Requests to obtain
the sanction of
Government for the
excess outlay incurred
on-

Done 12.10.1888

B

17/10/88

The excess outlay
of £ 5213 is pane-
tioned.

2. With reference
to the Examiner's remarks
it is observed that
it does not appear that
the broken brick in
question was charged
to the work at more
than its value; and
that the items men-
tioned in clause 8
were within the Supply
Engineer's discretion.

Wm
14.7.88

Wm
Done
16/7/88

SEI (encl) ✓
E. J. W. A. cts