

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.

PARAS. 1966 to 1969.

S. No 1920 W. 27.6.88
" 1269 W. " 1.5.88
" 1537 C. " 11.4.88
S. No 1484 W. 19.5.88
" 961 W. 23.3.88

P.W. Code 6555

Original (7)

P. W. D. FORM
No. M 112.

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

CIRCLE High

FUND Provincial

Recd. 7.88. in duplicate

Provincial Statement of Objectionable
Items in the Accounts of Executive
Engineers for the month of March
1888.

No. _____

MADRAS,

Dated 14th July 1888.

FORWARDED for orders to the Secretary to
Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

Recd by 15.11.7.88

By 7.2.12 W. 19.7.88

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

B. 20/7

9² 23/7

18.7.88
16.7
13.7.88

With Orders

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Want of Estimate								
1	Madras Govern- ment House. Constructing floor Racket a Racket Court 1887			2434	2434				242
2	Madras, Coorom river, putting 4 earthen bands across the 1888			1331	1331				1331
	Tools & Plant								
	A. New Supplies								
3	Making one trans- port cart & derrick do			235					235
4	Two cast iron moulding boxes and 2 cast iron pieces do			207					207

Executive Engineers for the month of *March* 188*8*
orders of Government.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
	<i>No. 2212 W of 19th July 1888</i>
(1) The Executive Engi- neer still states that an estimate has been submitted to the Superintending Engineer 5 th Circle	<i>The subject is under reference to the Govt of India</i>
(2) The Executive Engi- neer states that an estimate has been submitted to the Superintending Engineer 5 th Circle Sanction to esti- mate still awaited.	<i>An estimate of Rs 1454 was sanctioned in G.O. no 1269 W dtd 1st May 1888</i>
(3) The General Superintendent states that these items have been included in one estimate for necessary sanction.	<i>Noted</i>

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Want of Estimate (Remitted)								
	Tools and Plant (Remitted)								
5	Repairs								
5	Repairs & Carriage	March 1868		816					812
							</		

Executive Engineers for the month of *March*
orders of Government.

1888

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

137 The General Superintendent states that Estimate has been submitted for sanction of the Superintending Engineer.

Noted
Noted

6) The want of Estimate and appropriation of funds for this item is brought to notice of the Government.

An estimate for this item should be submitted without delay
See submitted without delay

(748) The Executive Engineer states that the works are completed, and that the excesses will be noticed in the Completion Report.

Noted
Noted

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Executive Engineers for the month of
orders of Government.

March 1888

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
Presidency Division (Madras)									
9	Process over Estimate (Madras) Madras Lunatic Asylum. Providing additional accommodation	Aug.	1887	9630	10031	401			
North Arcot Division									
	Constructing a Sub Magistrate's Office and Sub Jail with cellars and houses at Orkonam	1887	14245	14733	488				2

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

(9) The Executive Engineer stated in the Objectionable Items Statement for January 1888 that a Revised Estimate had been submitted for sanction. In question to Revised Estimate still awaited.

Revised Estimate is awaited
Revised estimate is awaited

(10) The Executive Engineer states that the work has been completed and handed over to the Revenue Department; and that the Completion Report will explain the excess.

Noted
Noted

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Executive Engineers for the month of *March*
orders of Government.

1888

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
			Presidency Division						
11	Grant of Appropriation Madras Govern- ment House. Constructing a ~~other~~ ~~Racket~~ Court — 1887		-	-	-	-	2434	2434	242

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

(11) Sanction of the Government of India to the outlay in excess of Rs 15000, incurred during the year on new works at Government House, Madras, of which this is one. Vide G.O. No 1126 W dated 14 April 1888 is awaited. The official year having expired and it being too late now to provide funds, the sanction of Government to the outlay without appropriation is awaited.

The subject is under reference to the Govt of India

(12) The Executive Engineer states that funds have been applied for. As it is too late now to provide funds,

The circumstances in which the outlay was incurred without appropriation should be explained.

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	

Executive Engineers for the month of
orders of Government.

March 1888

[P. W. D. Form No. M 112—Inner Sheet.]

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
<i>funds. sanction of Government to the outlay without appro- priation is wanted.</i>	
<i>(13) The want of esti- mate and appropria- tion of funds for this item is brought to notice of the Govern- ment.</i>	<i>The circumstances in which the circumstances in which outlay was incurred without appropriation should be explained.</i>
<i>(14) Vide remarks against Item CV II.</i>	<i>Vide orders against item II. Vide orders against item II.</i>

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Executive Engineers for the month of

March 1888

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Excess over Appropriation (Contd)</i>								
* 15	<i>Madras Constructing City Reserve Police Lines</i>	<i>1887</i>					<i>12000 21 149 5 499 400</i>		
* 16	<i>Repairs Civil March Buildings</i>	<i>1888</i>					<i>59 530 69 23 9 763 21 421</i>		

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

(15). The Executive Engineer states that an additional grant has been applied for as it is too late now to provide funds, the sanction of Government to the excess over appropriation is awaited.

(16). No explanation has been afforded by the Executive Engineer for this excess. As it is too late now to provide funds, the sanction of Government to the excess over appropriation is awaited.

The circumstances in which outlay was incurred in excess of appropriation should be explained

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<i>Miscellaneous Irregularities works for which Revised Estimates are required.</i>								
19	Madras Annals, Bangalore, providing additional accommodation —	1887	9,630	10,031	401	—	—	—	—
20	Plastering with Cement the outside of Government Secretariat Office, Fort St. George —	1888	11,070	7,362	—	—	—	—	1623
21	Putting new roof on clock prison cells, Penitentiary, Madras —	1888	8,325	9,177	—	—	—	—	2692

[P. W. D. Form No. M 112—Inner Sheet.]

Executive Engineers for the month of
orders of Government.

March

1888

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
(19) Revised Estimate still awaited.	Revised Estimate is awaited Revised estimate is awaited
(20) The Executive Engineer stated in the Objectionable Items Statement for February 1888 that the Estimate was under revision. (Revised Estimate since sanctioned)	Noted Noted.
(21) The Superintending Engineer & Secy has ordered the Executive Engineer to send in a Revised Estimate to cover all excesses.	Revised Estimate is awaited Revised estimate is awaited Sanction

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	Miscellaneous Irregularities (Contd)								
	Non submission of Completion Reports.								
22	Constructing quarters for 2 Hospital Assistants, Madras Sanitary. ————	1888	2774	5785	—	—	—	—	—
	Purchase of European articles in the local market is without previous								
23	Competent sanction Copper band for lightning Conductors for the work in Constructing Public Buildings for a Regiment of Native Infantry at Bangalore 1888			1239	—	—	—	—	—

Executive Engineers for the month of *March*
orders of Government.

1888

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
Sanction to Revised Estimate awaited.	
(22) The Completion Report for this work is still awaited. The Executive Engineer states that explanation from Sub-Divisional Officer for cause of differences is awaited. (Since received).	Noted
(23) The sanction of Government to the local purchase is necessary.	Early steps should be taken for the removal of objection to this item

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Whigelpul Division</u>								
	<u>Miscellaneous</u>								
5	<u>Irregularities (limited)</u>								
24	Pay of masonry								
	Amul aswama								
	charged to two								
	different works, viz								
	Improving the								
	North Baracks at								
	Mount. Rs 15.13-4								
	Constructing a								
	visiting in the English								
	^{Camery} Camery at Mount. Oct:								
	Rs 9.2-8	1887							

Executive Engineers for the month of
orders of Government.

March

[P. W. D. Form No. M 112—Inner Sheet.]

1888

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

(24) Result of orders
passed by Government
in G. O. No. 766 W. dated
7 March 88 intimating
that the general
question is under
consideration, is
awaited.

*The question is under
consideration*

(25) The General Superin-
tending states that
sanction of Govern-
ment has been applied
for through the
Superintending Engrs.

*The subject is under reference
The subject is under reference*

Provincial statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of
requiring the

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.			COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION OF THE YEAR.			Expenditure during the Month.
			Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	
	<u>Miscellaneous</u>								
	<u>Irregularities (Contd.)</u>								
	<u>Work for outsiders without their cost being recovered in advance.</u>								
1.	A. R. R. Singh, Son- sulting Engineer, Madras	1887	6-11-4						
2.	Valayutha Chetty, Madras	1888	11-11-4						
3.	Mr. S. Francis, do	do	8-8-3						
4.	W. C. Wright, Engineer, do	do	12-15-3						
5.	Political agent of Pudukkottai, do	do	102-9-3						
6.	Chairman, Municipal Council, Madras, do	do	3-12-						
7.	Messiasamy Achary, do	do	16-8-8						
8.	Messrs. Parry & Co., do	do	60-12-4						
9.	Mahomed Sydnal, Madras	1887	10-8						

Executive Engineers for the month of
orders of Government.

March

1888

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer,
with final Remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Government.

(26) The General Superintending states that items of Rs. 469 were recovered in April 1888, and that as regards other items steps have been taken to effect recovery. The General Superintending has been repeatedly informed that he should not do private work without recovering the amount of estimates in advance, as per G.O. No. 858 W dated 30 March 1886 and Code para 1904; but no attention appears to have been paid to instructions.

The special attention of the S.E. is drawn to the remarks of the Examiner in view to no private work being undertaken in future prior to receipt of its estimated cost in advance.

Cur
12-7-88

Cur
14/7/88

Cur
12-7-88

Cur
12/7

Cur
12/7

Major R. B.
Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

To the Supt. Engrs. Circle
The Supt. Engrs. Circle
Thru the Examiner, P.W.A.
Thru the Examiner of P.W.A.

49
47-3/4