P.W.C. No. 6993

Ex. 5.

Hdrs B

Read 17-7-88

No 2200 dt 17 July 1888

The Government decline to entertain the request of the petitioner for reinstatement to office as he appeal who has been convicted of a criminal offence.

Lr 11/7/88.

To the Petitioner Passed 19/7/88

O/S 47/7 Hdrs 12/7 Lr 13/7 Lr 20/7 Copy 20/7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General Account.

Read—the following paper :—

Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 5th October 1887, No. 617 :—

I submit notes of a recent inspection of the Kurnool division by Mr. Rainier.

2. The matter referred to in paragraph 5 may, I think, be passed over, pending the settlement of the general question of payments.

3. With regard to paragraph 7, it is very desirable to ascertain generally the causes of the non-submission of vouchers at the proper time. I propose to take this up separately.

4. Matters such as are referred to in paragraph 8 of the notes should be dealt with in a manual for accountants. I have referred to this in my paragraph 7 of office note, No. 607, dated 4th October 1887, in connection with the Bellary division.

5. With regard to paragraph 9 (F and G), I think it would be well to make the dates of recoupment of imprests a matter of monthly report, to be provided for by addition to some one of the existing monthly returns. It would give very little trouble, and would enable me to maintain a more useful watch over the matter.

6. The case referred to in paragraph 12 (*a*) appears to be similar to the case of the Nilgiri division piece-work agreements, for what is apparently contract work, referred to in my office note, No. 478, dated 23rd August 1887. Pending orders of Government on that case, I abstain from remarking further on the present case beyond mentioning that the practice appears to be not uncommon.

7. The delays in measuring work mentioned in paragraph 12 (*b*) are very great indeed, and seem to require further explanation.

8. The discrepancies in the matter of materials at site of work, paragraphs 12 (*d*) to (*g*), especially the last, ought to be adjusted at once and the fact reported.

9. Attention is invited to paragraph 12 (*i*). The practice of deferring payment of liabilities for want of sanction to an estimate is exceedingly irregular. The failure to obtain sanction to the annual estimate in question before the middle of August seems to require explanation.

10. With regard to paragraph 13 (*a*) and (*b*), I think (as stated in office note, No. 608, dated 4th October 1887, in connection with the Nellore division) that the rules as to ten-day reports require careful revision. This will be dealt with separately.

Exhibit 5
6998/88

6

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Saturday, the nineteenth day of February,

One Thousand Eight Hundred and Eighty-seven.

PRESENT:—

The Honorable Sir Arthur J. H. Collins, Knight, Q. C. *Chief Justice.*

The Honorable Mr. Justice Parker.

Criminal Revision Case No. 612 of 1886.

(Criminal Revision Petition No. 227 of 1886.)

S. Maderumperumal Pillai ... ... *Petitioner.*

Petition, under Sections 435 and 439 of the Criminal Procedure Code, praying the High Court to revise the Proceedings of the Ag. Joint Magistrate of Tinnevelly, dated 16th August 1886, confirming on appeal the finding and sentence of the Taluq Magistrate of Tenkarai in Calendar Case 2 of 1886.

This Petition coming on for hearing on Friday the 18th Instant: upon perusing the Petition and the records submitted in accordance with the order of this Court, dated 2nd November 1886, and upon hearing the arguments of Messrs. E. Norton, W. Grant and M. O. Parthasaradhy Iyengar, Counsel for the Petitioner and of the Acting Government Pleader and Public Prosecutor in support of the conviction and having stood over for consideration till this day, the Court made the following

Order :— There was evidence that Petitioner was present when the big tree was cut, and it appears from Mr. Baker's testimony that when first questioned he justified the contractor and maintained that it had been necessary to cut down the tree.

We cannot say that there was no evidence on which the finding could be supported, and it was for the Magistrate who tried the case to appreciate that evidence.

The trial of the appellant was commenced *de novo*, after he had been accused and we cannot say that he has been prejudiced by the procedure.

On the facts found the Petitioner might at any rate be convicted of abetment of the offence of theft.

We cannot interfere on revision, and the Petition must be dismissed.

By the Court

(Signed) W. O. HORNE,

Ag. Deputy Registrar,

Appellate side.

(True copy).

CRIMINAL APPEAL ON THE FILE OF THE JOINT MAGISTRATE OF TINNEVELLY.

1.	From what Court the appeal is preferred.	Taluq Magistrate of Tenkarai.
2.	Number of the Case in the Court.	2 of 1886.
3.	Number of the appeal.	96 of 1886.
4.	Name and description of the appellant.	S. Mylerumperumal Pillai, son of Surianarayana Pillai, Vellalah, age 52, Channel Superintendent, Srivaiguntam.
5.	The sentence and law under which it was imposed in the lower Court.	Fine of Rs. 100, in default 30 days' simple imprisonment under Section 379, Indian Penal Code.
6.	Whether confirmed, modified or reversed.	Confirmed.

Date of					
Presentation.	Filing.	Notice issued by Court to appear.	On what Appellant ordered to appear.	Hearing and order.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	
11—6—86.	11—6—86.	11—6—86.	21—6—86.	28-6-86.	16-8-86.

This appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition of appeal and the record of the Lower Court, and after hearing the arguments of appellant's Vakil the Court delivered the following

JUDGMENT:—

A contractor named Hariharaputtra Pillai, 1st Defendant in the original case, got written permission from the Assistant Engineer at Srivaiguntam, to cut wood in the Tambrapurni Padugai to the extent of five cart loads for use in his work, under the supervision of appellant, who was Channel Superintendent. On the strength of this order he cut one large and two small Maruda trees whose wood would fill about five and twenty carts. About ten cart loads were found in his

possession and a similar quantity was fished out of neighbouring tanks into which it had been thrown for concealment. A portion of the trunk of the large tree was still lying in the Padugai, when the Assistant Engineer discovered what had happened, when he questioned the contractor on the spot the latter audaciously persisted in saying that it was necessary to cut the three trees in order to get five cart loads and that he had taken no more. Appellant supports this contention, saying that the big tree was cut down in order to get some branches from it to make up the five cart loads. Afterwards when he found that the Engineer intended to treat the matter seriously he denied that he had allowed the contractor to cut the big tree. It is not likely that the contractor would have ventured to do so close to Srivaiguntam unless sure of appellant's connivance and such connivance must be inferred from his failure to mention in his diary or in any report that any unnecessary cutting had taken place. He was constantly in the neighbourhood if not on the spot. The evidence of the witnesses who say that they saw him present when the trees were cut or when the wood was hidden in a tank may be false as appellant some times captiously contends. But the case is strong enough without them. I therefore adjudge and order that the finding and sentence of the Lower Court be confirmed and this appeal dismissed.

(Signed). W. M. THORBURN,
Ag. Joint Magistrate.

(True copy)

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's		THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY 5 YEAR'S.						Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the office.
			Name.	Residence	Date of birth.	Age.			Height.		Personal marks for Identification.			
						Years.	Months.	Days.	Feet.	Inches.				
S. Mylarumperumal Pillai.	Hindu.	Streevigundam.	Surianarayana Pillai.	Streevigundam.	4th May 1834.	39	3	„	5	3	Pitted with small pox on the face and a black mark on the right side of the neck.			

Sub Overseer 3rd grade.			15		1st May 1857.
Maistry paid from estimate			20		August 1857.
Sub Overseer 2nd grade.			15		18th February 1858.
Maistry paid from estimates			20		March 1859.
Sub Overseer 2nd class 3rd grade. ...			25		15th March 1861.
Taluq Maistry.			20		1st April 1863.
Maistry piad from estimates			20		14th October 1863.
Ag. Asst. Accountant of the 3rd Range Office. ...			25		1st August 1865.
Ag. Taluq Overseer Tenkasi. ...			60		1st November 1865.

ORDER RECORDED *31st July* 1857.

Do. do. *17th February* 1858.

February 1859.

This is to certify that bearer Mylarumperumal Pillai acted under me as a Sub Overseer from the 18th February to 1st July 1858. During this time he carried out repairs to Irrigation works to the value of about 1200 Rupees. He is an active, Zealous and promising young man.

PALAM COTTAH, (Signed) G. M. PAYNE, LIEFT.,

6th July 1858. *Ex. Engineer Tinnevelly District*

Certified that the bearer. Mylarumperumal Pillai was employed as a Temporary Sub Overseer in the Nangunary Taluq from February 1858 to February 1859. During the time I have had the charge of the Taluq I have invariably found him attentive to his duties and I think him deserving of permanent footing in the Department.

SHERMADEVI MALACALLUR, (Signed) D. F. MASON,

7th February 1859. *Assistant Supervisor D. P. W.*

TAMIL ORDERS RECORDED, *14th March* 1861.

31st March 1863

This is to certify that the bearer Mylarumperumal Pillai acted under me as a Sub Overseer and from the short time he has been employed under me, has shewn himself to be active and Zealous in his duties. He understands tolerably well Estimating for Irrigation works.

AUTHICHANALLUR, (Signed) T. H. BUSH,

15th March 1861. *2nd Assistant District Engineer.*

Sub-Overseer Mylarumperumal Pillai served with me in the 2nd Range from March until November 1861, during which period, I found him to be willing, obedient and trustworthy man. I consider him to possess a fair amount of professional knowledge and that he will become very effective subordinate of the Department.

24th November 1861. (Signed) T. SMITHAR,

Overseer in charge of 2nd Range.

The bearer Mylarumperumal Pillai was employed in the 3rd Range of the Tinnevelly District 1st as a Sub Overseer and then as a Taluq Maistry from 1st May 1857 to 30th September 63 and is now thrown out of employ consequent in the reduction of the D. P. W. He is a steady deligent man, is a good accountant and understands ordinary Plan drawing and Estimate making He has worked very hard and I have been much pleased since I have had the charge of the Range with his Zeal and attention to his duties and the satisfactory manner in which he carried out the works placed in his charge, I am sorry he has not been provided for under the new arrangements as he possesses a well to do his best and promises to become an efficient member of the Department and trust his endeavours to find an employment elsewhere will prove successful.

STRIVILLIPUTTUR *1st October* 1863. (Signed) D. Mc NAIR,

Supervisor D. P. W.

TAMIL ORDERS RECORDED, *13th October* 1863.

It is hereby certified that Mylarumperumal Piliai was the Maistry in charge of the Taluq up to the time I was posted to it in September 1863, and examining his works I found them generally turned out in a satisfactory manner concerning his qualifications. I have little idea being but for a short time acquainted with him I must notwithstanding offer in that he is man of ordinary abilities and considerable experience During the time he served under me I found him deligent willing and active and he is dispensed with by order of Government. I shall be very happy to see him re-employed.

SUNKERANAR COVIL, (Signed) J. FONSECA,

13th October 1863. *Taluq Overseer.*

TAMIL ORDERS RECORDED, *31st July* 1865

31st October 1865.

Mylarumperumal Pillai has been Acting Overseer of Tenkasi Taluq since November 1865. He is a very hard working man and has executed a great deal of work since he took charge af the Taluq. His accounts measurements are always neatly and correctly kept I hope he will be confirmed in the post of Overseer.

COURTALLUM, (Signed) C. CUNNINGHAM, LIEUTENANT.

14th July 1866.

This is to certify that Mylarumperumal Pillai was acting as an Overseer in this Range from the 2nd November 1865 to 23rd October 1866, that in consequence of the transfer of a confirmed Overseer to this Range he is thrown out of employ. He had the execution of agricultural works Tank, Channel and masonry works appertaining to them also the making and keeping in repair of Roads in all of which he shewed his ability to carry them

On Leave. ...			November 1866.
Taiuq Maistry. ...		20	1st January 1865.
Ag. Taluq Overseer of Streevilliputtur. ...		60	8th February 1865.
Ag. Taluq Overseer of Streevilliputtur. ...			8th February 18 67.

out and rendered correct measurements During the time he was under me from 4th July 1866 to above date he did his work satisfactorily. I should have liked to have retained his services, but he prefers going into the 3rd Range as he has had an appointment offered him there. I shall be glad to hear of his reappointment.

23rd January 1867.

(Signed) J. M. HAWKSWORTH,
Assistant Engineer in charge of
2nd Range Tinnevely District.

7th February 1867.

TAMIL ORDERS RECORDED,

Letter No. 288 dated 12th February 1867 from Mr. D. McNair 3rd Range officer to the Superintending Engineer 8th Division.

1. I beg to forward herewith for your favourable consideration, an application from Mylarumperumal Pillai late Acting Overseer of Tenkasi Taluq soliciting the appointment of Overseer of the Strivilliputtur Taluq which has just become vacant by the death of Kylasum Pillai.

2. The 8 Original Testimonials which accompany the application speak in very high terms of the character, conduct, and attainments of Mylarumperumal Pillai and of his fitness for the post, he now solicits. I shall therfore only add that I should like to have him under me and beg you will be pleased to confer the appointment on him.

(Signed) D. McNAIR,
Sub-Engineer in charge of 3rd Range Tinnevelly.

Extract from the administration for 1867-68 Para 28. The allotment to the Range from the Imperial and Local Funds for the current year have been fully expended this is mainly due to the exertions of Acting Overseer Mylarumperumal Pillai who is an active and Zealous Public servant I trust the Superintending Engineer will be pleased to take into favourable consideration the good conduct and past services of this Overseer and confirm in his post.

(Signed) D. McNAIR,
Sub-Engineer in charge of 3rd Range Tinnevelly.

No. 286.

3RD RANGE OFFICE TINNEVELLY KOLLENGONDAN.
22nd February 1870.

From
THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF
3rd Range Tinnevelly

To
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
8th Division.

Sir,

With reference to the Controller's circular No. XXVII dated 14th December 1869, I beg to request you will be pleased to inform me whether all the subordinates in the margin whose salaries are drawn by mere eligible for employment in the rates of salary they now receive in this department under the Uncovenanted Service Rules, or whether any of them have been exempted. by a Special Order of Government from the operation of those rules.

Mr. Supervisor Seton	Rs.	200	0 0
Overseer Ramasawmi Assary	"	60	0 0
Acting Overseer Mylarumperumal Pillay	"	60	0 0
Head Accountant Saroovaralam Sheriff	"	50	0 0
Draughts man Balakistna Pillai... ...	"	40	0 0
L F. Overseer Streenevasa Iyengar...	"	60	0 0
L. F. Maistry Saminatha Pillai... ...	"	20	0 0

2. The Head Accountant and the Draughts man Overseer Mylarumperumal Pillai and Saminatha Pillai of my office are the only persons who were appointed previous to 1st January 1859; all the others having been entertained subsequent to that date.

3. Mr. Seton I believe was a student in the Civil Engineering College and has obtained a certificate as Overseer in the D; P. W. G. O. dated 3rd May 1866, No. 131.

4. Ramasawmi Assary entered the Public service on 7th August 1861 as Sub-Overseer and held the appointment till the reduction of the Establishment in March 1863. Afterwards he was employed as a Taluq Maistry for 9 months, as Ag. Overseer for 6 months and was without employ for 9 months and got his present appointment on 1st May 1865 according to G. O. 23rd October 1866, No. 309, he does not appear to me to be eligible for employment as overseer, but he says that he passed the Test for the Grade of Sub-Overseer in 1862, and that the certificate then obtained from the Principal of the Civil Engineering College was forwarded to your office in 1864 and it has not been returned to him.

5. Mylarumperumal Pillai was entertained as a Sub-Overseer in this Department on its first organization in May 1857 and held the appointment 3 months when he was thrown out consequent on the reduction of the Establishment in August 1857. Afterwaads he served as Ag. Sub-Overseer for one year in 1858-59. On the 15th March 1861, he was again appointed as Sub-Overseer and served two years when he was again thrown out owing to further reduction of Establishment in March 1863. Subsequently he was employed as Taluq Maistry for 2½ years and as Ag. Overseer of Tenkasi Taluq throughout 1866, he holds his present

Nangunary Taluq Overseer		60	1st May 1870.	
L. F. Maistry Tenkarai Taluq. ..		20	2nd May 1872.	
Ag. Overseer ef do. ...		30	22nd November 1872.	
L. F. Maistry. ...		20	16th January 1873.	
Ag. Taluq Overseer of Streevilliputtur. ...		30	23rd January 1873.	
L. F. Maistry Tenkaaia Taluq. ...		20	1st May 1873.	
Ag. T. Overseer of Ambasamudram. ...		60	11th October 1873.	
Ag. Lacal Fund Overseer or Sub Overseer 1st Grade. ...		60	13th May 1874.	
Sub Overseer 3rd grade Thenkary Taluq. ...		20	17th November 1874.	
Ag. Delta Superintendent Southmain Channel S, A. P Tenkary Taluq....		30	15th April 1876 to 31st July 1876.	
Tenkarai Taluq Sub-overseer. ...		20	1st August 1876,	
Do. Ottapidalam Taluq-charge of the Cotton Road No. 24. ...		20	11th August 1876.	
Do. Do, Do.		20	6th November to 9th December 1876.	Privilege leave for 5 weeks.
Tenkaria Taluq Sub-Overseer. ...		20	10th December 1876.	
Srivilliputtur Taluq Sub Overseer. ...		20	1st January 1877.	Transferred for famine relief work.
Tenkari Taluq delta Superintendent Northmain Channel S. A. P. ...		30	1st March 1877.	
Transferred from N. M. Channel to Kilakal. ...		30	3rd April 1884.	
Transferred from Kilakal to Melakal. ...		35	7th June 1884.	

Ag. appointment to February 1867, as he was entertained on the permanent Establishment prior to 1st January 1859, I believe he is eligible for the salary he receives, but he has been holding Ag. appointment since 1863, and even now holds one I beg to be informod if he may be said to be eligible for the post he holds under G. O. dated 26th April 1861 or whether he has been exempted by Special Order from the operations of the Rules,

Transferred to 1st Range Tinnevelly.

(Signed) D. McNAIR.
Sub-Engineer.

Mylarumperumal Pillai has been employed in this Range 1st as Sub-Overseer then an Acting Overseer from March 1861 up to the present date and has during that period discharged his duties and rendered his accounts in a satisfactory manner. He is a steady hard working man and does his best to please his employers He has often been recommended to be confirmed in his present acting post, but without success I trust his long cherished desire will soon be granted. Being transferred to the 1st Range Tinnevelly he leaves tomorrow to take charge of the Nangunary Taluq.

STHEEVILLIPUTUR,
25th April 1870.

(Signed) D. McNAIR,
Sub Engineer in charge of 3rd Range Tinnevelly.
(True copy)
(Signed) D. McNAIR,
Sub-Engineer in charge of 3rd Range Tinnevelly.

Overseer Mylarumperumal Pillai has been employed under me from the 10th June 1880 to 3rd December 1870 during this period he has superintended the execution of Irrigation repairs in this (Nangunary) Taluq to the amount of Rs. 15000 I have found him active and hard working in his duties and have detected him in no dishonesty.

NANGUNARY,
3rd December 1870.

(Signed) J. D. GRANT,
Assistant Engineer.

May 1872.

This is to certify that S. Mylarumperumal Pillai acting Taluq Overseer had charge of the Streevilliputtur Taluq for the space of three months and gave satisfaction in the performance of his duties. He is a hard working man and tries his best knowledge of the English is very fair.

1st May 1873.
STREEVILLIPUTUR.

(Signed) H. C. BERLIE,
Streevilliputur Sub Division Officer
Northern Range Tinnevelly.

S. Mylarumperumal Pillai has served under me as a *Delta* Superintendent of the north section Srivaikuntam annicut system for the last 3½ years. During this time I have found him hardworking and able to carry out any works and anxious to please. I have been well satisfied with the manner in which he has done his duty. Being rather a timid man he gets flurried when he receives orders from the Sub-Divicion or Division Officers, but I have no doubt of his efficiency for his post.

SRIVILLIPUTTUR,
2 - 12 - 1881.

(Signed) E. TAYLOR.
Supervisor D. P. W.

Mylarumperumal Pillai was the Superintendent of the North Main channel of the S. A. P. during the period of about seven months I was in charge of Tenkarai Sub-Division. He is an old and hard working servant in the Department, has good experience in the construction of various kinds of irrigation works, and with a little more knowledge of English, surveying and levelling operations, I doubt not, will make a good overseer.

(Signed) GOPAL KRISHNA IYER,
10—3—1883. *Assistant Engineer.*

S. Mylarumperumal Pillai a man possessing over 20 years service in the Department worked under my orders for 10 months last year I held charge of the Sub-Division, first as the Superintendent, North Delta, secondly Kilakal and lastly Malekal. Though he had to charge three channels in so short a time, he was never found to waver in grasping the intricate nature and insandants of the several works in each channel as soon as he assumed charge of each. He gave me infinite satisfaction in Superintending works under execution which did not pertain to the channel he may have been in charge of at the time. He will grumble whem given heavy work and once whatever work is thrust to him he will have no sleep till he does it. He possesses a scanty knowledge of English and can not level and survey nevertheless he is next to overseer in the point of assisting the Sub-Division Officer in executing works and attending to general matters. He has my best thanks for the assistance rendered to me.

TENKARAI SUB-DN. OFFICE
PUDOKUDI
26th January 1885.

(Signed) V. NITHIANANDHA MUDALIAR,
Supervisor Tenkarai Sub-Division
Department Public Works.

No 251 Jh. Office of the Asst Engr
for Circle
Trichinopoly 14th Sept 86

Memorandum

His No 1144 dated 9th Instant

The Executive Engineer
Tinnevelly Division is
informed that as
Channel Superintendent
S. Mylarumperumal
Pillai has been found
guilty of theft under
section 379 of the Indian
Penal Code by the
Taluq Magistrate and
as the conviction has
been upheld on Appeal
the services of S. Mylarum
perumal Pillai are
accordingly dispensed
with from the date of
the Taluq Magistrate's

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

To

The Honorable J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R. E.,
Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government
P. W. DEPARTMENT.

The humble Memorial of S. MYLARUMPERUMAL PILLAI, *Channel Superintendent attached to the Tenkarai Sub-division, Tinnevelly District.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That your honor's memorialist most humbly and respectfully begs leave to submit this memorial with strong hopes of finding redress from your honor's gracious hands.

2. The circumstances leading to the memorialist's case are few and simple and though inferences unfavourable to Memorialist have been drawn therefrom memorialist is confident that he will be able to show that they were not only utterly unfounded but also that they are capable of being easily and satisfactorily explained in his favour.

3. These circumstances briefly stated are as follow :—

(1) That the unfortunate memorialist was the channel Superintendent in charge of MALAKAL Channel of the Marudur Anicut.

(2) That about the 17th January 1886 he obtained a written permission from the assistant Engineer in charge of the sub-division to mark out trees grown in the Tambrapurni Padugai to the extent of 5 cart loads for being cut and used in making foot-paths required for Government works by the contractor concerned.

(3) That in obedience to the order the memorialist marked out two marutha leafless trees sufficient to contain 5 bandy loads and ordered the said contractor to cut and remove those two trees. These trees were from the Padugai near the KELAKAL and they were selected because they were situated near the work spot. Here special attention is humbly invited to the fact that, the KELAKAL from which the trees were cut was in charge and under the supervision of a different superintendent one Venkatram Iyer the memorialist having been in charge of MELAKAL.

4. As the memorialist's portion of work was on the Melakal Channel he was not able to inspect the cutting till the 30th January 1886. A reference to the Memorialist's diary for the period (a printed copy herein annexed) will prove this statement.

5. But afterwards in the absence and without the knowledge of the memorialist certain other trees also in the same Padugai were wrongfully cut and removed by the contractor, for which the contractor was charged with theft before the Taluq Magistrate.

Enc2
6993/88

decision Vide para 83b
of the P. W. Code.
Sd/ J. W. Martin Ex Engr
In Charge of the 5th Circle

To The Executive Engineer
Imphal Div.

No 1531
20.9.86
/True Copy/ Forwarded
to the Sub Div officer
Kakching for Communication
to S. Inylatumpetumal
Sd/ Illegible
Ex Engr
Extract from Diary for
Sept 24/ True
No 815
Forwarded.

Illegible
Assistant Engineer
23.9.86
Sept 24th

To
S. Inylatumpetumal Pulami
Late Ch C Rupte

Received
27th September 1886
Sd/

Enc1
6993/88

6. About two months after the commencement of the above prosecution the unfortunate memorialist was accused of having connived at the offence, although from the official diary it was clear that the memorialist was not on the spot at the time the trees were said to have been cut and although the Padugai from which the trees were removed belonged to Kelakal Superintendent whose duty it was being in charge, to forthwith bring to the notice of the assistant Engineer.

4. In spite the most unfortunate memorialist was found fault with of having connived at the offence and punished with a fine of 100 Rupees for abetment; and the two higher courts confirmed the decree of the lower courts on mere inferences as will be seen from the copy of decision herein annexed.

5. The services of the memorialist were therefore dispensed with by order No 251 T N Dated 14th September 86 from the superintending Engineer V1 circle.

6. A reference to the memorialist's service Register (copy herein annexed) will show that the poor memorialist had served the Government in various capacities in all of which he maintained a good character and unimpeachable honesty and earned a regular and pensionable service of 29 years entitling him for half pension.

7. That it is evident from the certificates of character (copy attached) earned by the memorialist from the several officers under whom he had the good fortune to serve that the memorialist rendered very arduous duties during his prime age now that he is old and physically unable to earn his livelihood in any other line.

8. In these circumstances and in consideration of his long service of 29 years your memorialist most respectfully requests that you will be graciously pleased to reinstate him in service so that he may at least in his dotage enjoy the pension which he so long as 29 years struggled very hard to earn.

9. In conclusion your helpless memorialist craves to bring to your kind consideration that his having been left without employment for the past 2 years and a half is in itself a heavy punishment having reduced him very bitterly in circumstance and unless with your wonted generous disposition, favour him with reinstatement now and then with pension to which he is entitled, he and his poor large family should only succumb to misery and hardships.

10. Your memorialist requests therefore that he may be reinstated in service for reasons explained above.

For this act of charity your poverty-striken memorialist will ever be thankful.

I beg to remain,
Honored Sir,
Your most obedient and humble servant,
S. Mylarunperumal Pillai

7th July 1886.

Diary of S. Mylarumperumal Pillai Superintendent of Malakal Channel Marudur Anicut from 21st to 31st January 1886.

Date.	Name, of works inspected and camp.	Object of journey and remarks.	Remarks.
21st	Thenkary Tank Vellamadam Srivaikuntham.	Inspected the Thenkary and Vellur Tanks, crops are very well gave instructions to Neeranicans to regulate the water punctually without wastage.	
22nd	Left Flood Bank Srivaikuntham	Inspected the Left Flood Bank above S. A. made Tar Marks, &c., the level to be raised on the trees, &c., and put up earth and rope section for guidence of the work people. and also gave instructions to the contractor; marked and showed to the contractor two young Marutha Trees about 5 Bandy loads as he is requested for the constructions of wooden Bridges, a cross the supplying channel of Periakulam Tank, the same day it was cut and carried away.	
23rd	Left Flood Bank Srivaikuntham	6 bandies and about 100 Coolies working.	
24th	Srivaikutham ...	Sunday.	
25th	Srivaikuntham Periakulam Tank Srivaikuntham	Inspected the Srivaikuntham Periakulam Tank and made Tar mark the F. T. L and Revetment stones and also made arrangement with contractors; to cart the Rough stones, but the contractor; says that be come Kylaspary Tank and rear of Periakulum tank more water, he is waiting for the decrease of water. About 350 cubic yards of Rough stones 100 cubic yards of Gravel collected in the quary.	
26th	Do. do. do. Srivaikuntham		
27th	Vellur east surplus weir bank, &c.,	Inspected the Vellur surpluss weir and sent for Odyakulam and Vellur curnams; measured the land taken up for bank and marked with curnums and prepared a sketch and reported with statement of the curnams	
28th	Vellur Srivaikuntham		
29th	Srivaikuntham ...	Attended the Sub-Division Office and calculated the measurements, &c,	
30th	Left Flood Bank Srivaikuntham ...	Left Flood Bank, &c., Accompanied the Sub-Division officer tour of Inspection.	
31st	Srivaikuntham ...	Engaged in office work and prepared monthly returns.	

11. With regard to the irregular advances mentioned in paragraph 13 (*c*), I fail to see that the Executive Engineer is powerless in the matter. He is evidently aware that the advances are made and should be able to stop them if he wishes to do so. Fuller explanation seems desirable.

12. The practice of paying on the overseer's certificate [paragraph 13 (*d*)] is not allowed by the Code; but it is not at all uncommon, and perhaps it will be better not to disturb existing arrangements pending settlement of the general question.

13. With reference to paragraph 13 (*e*), I have suggested elsewhere (paragraph 8 of office note, No. 607, dated 4th October 1887, Bellary division) that Superintending Engineers should report as to Code paragraph 1367.

14. With regard to paragraph 13 (*f*), the Executive Engineer should, I think, explain how he has regularly certified to the performance of a duty which appears to have been habitually neglected.

15. As regards cancelling measurements [paragraph 13 (*g*)], please see paragraph 9 of office note, No. 607, dated 4th October 1887, on inspection of Bellary division.

16. The matters referred to in paragraphs 13 (*h*) and 13 (*i*) will be separately dealt with.

17. Attention is invited to paragraph 13 (*j*).

18. Old balance due to piece-workers (paragraph 14) should be settled as soon as possible and the fact reported.

19. With reference to paragraph 16, I would suggest that the Superintending Engineer be directed to arrange within some specified time for a proper stock-taking of the old Canal Company's stores, and for bringing them properly on to the returns and to report that he has done so.

20. It seems desirable that, as suggested in paragraph 17 of the notes, famine tools, which are stacked away out of use, should be periodically inspected and reported upon.

21. With reference to paragraph 19, the Executive Engineer should, I think, be required to report that the wanting service books have been supplied and the incomplete ones completed in every respect, mentioning them in detail.

22. I have suggested elsewhere that forms should be prescribed for the record and disposal of travelling allowance claims of lower subordinates; but with regard to the sum of Rs. 7-8-0 improperly paid to a clerk (see paragraph 20 of the notes), I solicit orders of Government as to whether the amount should now be recovered.

23. With reference to paragraph 21 of notes, the Executive Engineer should report the completion of the register of buildings, and the sanction of Government is necessary to the rents of certain buildings mentioned.

ENCLOSURE.

Notes of Inspection by H. RAINIER, Esq., of the office of the Executive Engineer, Kurnool division, from 18th to 19th August 1887.

Mr. G. P. Carless, Executive Engineer, 4th grade, took charge of this division from Mr. C. J. Peters, Executive Engineer, 1st grade, on the 2nd May 1887, Mr. Peters having received charge from Mr. A. H. Garrett, Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, on the 7th October 1886. Mr. Carless was present at the inspection, as also was the accountant K. S. Kodanda Rama Aiyar.

2. The last examination of this office took place in September 1886 (see notes printed with G.O., No. 2392 W., dated 21st September 1886).

3. The division comprises the Kurnool-Cuddapah canal which is classed as a Productive Public Work as well as the old Kurnool Provincial division of eight taluks. The expenditure

on tanks restoration by the officer in charge of No. III party is also accounted to and by the Executive Engineer of this division, who provides the needful funds as described in paragraph 6 of G.O., No. 311 I., of 11th May 1887. The canal sub-divisions are three in number, namely:—

(1) *The upper extending from Sunkesala at the head of the canal to the 65th mile.*—Sub-Conductor H. Franklin, Sub-Engineer, is in charge of this sub-division and has a drawing account.
(2) *The Central Sub-division from 66th mile to 123rd mile.*—Under Mr. Gopala Krishna Aiyar, Executive Engineer, 4th grade, who is a drawing officer.
(3) *The Lower Sub-division from 124th to 190th mile.*—Under Mr. S. W. Ottman, Honorary Assistant Engineer, who is a drawing officer. There is said to be no sanction for any of the above drawing accounts.

4. The remainder of the Kurnool division, except three taluks, which are under the upper sub-divisional officer, forms the Cumbum sub-division, which is under Conductor J. Nicholson, Sub-Engineer, who has a drawing account. The officer in charge of No. III party, tank restoration scheme, is supplied with funds in the shape of an imprest, and his expenditure is accounted for like that of other imprest holders, that other than establishment being classed under minor works and navigation.

5. The sub-divisional officers all make unlimited payments including those to contractors and pieceworkers which is contrary to the rules as at present existing (see Code paragraphs 1371, 1757, 1818 and 1830). No special sanction from the Superintending Engineer under Code paragraph 1371 has been obtained. Sub-divisional cash extracts are rendered three times a month to the Executive Engineer.

6. The treasury pass books were examined with the Executive Engineer's and sub-divisional officers' cash books. The balance of the Executive Engineer's drawing account on 1st August 1887 was proved correct.

7. The Executive Engineer's cheque books were examined and found in order. The Cumbum sub-divisional officer has usually held at the close of the month more than the average outlay of a week (*vide* Code paragraph 1580). The sub-divisional cash extracts are said to come up to Executive Engineer's office with fair punctuality; but they are not always accompanied by vouchers which occasionally follow as much as a fortnight afterwards.

8. The divisional cash book for July and sub-divisional cash extracts for the same month were audited as far as vouchers to hand would allow and found correct. The accountant's examination of these accounts may, for all that is known, be sufficiently frequent and complete, but he should initial *in ink* and with the date every voucher as he examines it. He should also sign the certificate of having completed the posting of cash abstract book as prescribed in form No. M 3; and the divisional cash book besides being balanced at the end of each month when it is possible the Executive Engineer may be away, might with advantage be balanced whenever the Executive Engineer is at head-quarters.

9. The imprest holders are nine in number, thus:—

(*a*) *Recouped by the Executive Engineer*—

	RS.
(1) Mr. S. Subroyachariar, Executive Engineer, Tank Restoration Scheme	50
(2) R. Chakrapany Row, Temporary overseer do. do.	50
(3) Moothirallappa Pillay, Overseer do. do.	50
(*b*) *Recouped by Upper Sub-divisional officer*—	
(1) Mr. T. A. Monisse, Overseer	50
(2) Sergeant L. Donaghue, Supervisor	50
(*c*) *Recouped by Central Sub-divisional officer*—	
(1) E. Krishna Aiyar, Overseer	25
(*d*) *Recouped by Lower Sub-divisional officer*—	
(1) S. Panchapagesa Aiyar, Overseer	50
(*e*) *Recouped by Cumbum Sub-divisional officer*—	
(1) M. Moothuvira Pillay, Overseer	50
(2) Mr. J. Lawrence, Overseer	25

(*f*) (1) was opened in April 1887, recouped on the 7th May and has not been recouped since.
(*g*) (2) was started on 5th May 1887 and has not since been recouped.

In neither case has the imprest holder been called upon for account; but this will now be done. The imprest accounts recouped in June were audited and found in order.

10. Most of the work done in this division is on the piecework system though the forms used in such cases are generally those applicable to contracts. There are only one or two works done departmentally.

11. Regarding each work the last three measurements were noted as well as the dates of payments. Regarding those works in progress in June and July 1887, the entire accounting

was checked—the work abstracts being audited by initial and stock accounts and the materials at site transactions checked. The expenditure unvouched for to the Examiner's office was audited for those months. Work vouchers for March and April were checked and compared with the register of works and work abstracts. Four measurements books were compared with extracts therefrom and bills based upon them.

12. The following notes are offered:—

(*a*) *Taluk Cutcherry at Nandyál, Rs.* 34,676.—For 977 cubic feet of teakwood Rs. 3,419-8-0 was paid by Cumbum sub-divisional officer in February 1885. The measurement of this wood was, so long afterwards as the 12th May 1887, found on re-measurement to be only 866 cubic feet though in the interval the quantity had every month been certified by the sub-division officer as being 977 cubic feet. The difference has been since recovered from the contractor who supplied the materials which have been lying so long at site of the work for reasons which could not be explained but which will now be inquired into; work measured on 27th March 1887 was paid for on 13th July 1887, the previous payment having been made on 2nd June 1886 or more than a year before (see Code paragraph 1864). The portion of this work which is done by piecework, *i.e.*, at so much per unit without reference to quantity or time, is nevertheless billed for in form No. M. 14 and there is a ledger account (see Code paragraph 1869). The work abstract of this work for July was not completed on 17th August 1887.

(*b*) *Extending existing escape of Kondacheruvu tank, Rs.* 144.—This work having been measured in January 1886 has not since been measured though the work is stated to be still incomplete. This will now be taken up with the sub-divisional officer.

(*c*) *Raising and strengthening the surplus channel of Kurumacheruvoo tank, Rs.* 497.—Similarly this work, which was measured on the 29th March 1886, has not been measured since.

(*d*) *Annual repairs to Kurnool-Cuddapah canal, first section.*—In the work abstract for June 1887 there appears to be 11 bags of cement at site of works; but according to the monthly statement of materials, transactions rendered to Executive Engineer by each sub-division there was only one bag, the difference, 10 bags, being at second section.

(*e*) *Annual repairs, second section.*—The sub-division officer acknowledges materials at site of this section at the end of June worth Rs. 497-10-6; but the work abstract shows only Rs. 419-15-2, the difference being the 10 bags referred to above.

(*f*) *Annual repairs, third section.*—Materials receipts during the month of June 1887, Rs. 521-6-10 and issues, Rs. 110, were not supported by ten-day reports or lists.

(*g*) *Annual repairs to Kurnool-Cuddapah canal, fourth section.*—The following materials though missing since 1884 have regularly formed a part of the materials at site balance of this section:—

	Rs.	A.	P.
Nails	31	3	2
Tar barrels	123	0	0

Sanction of the Superintending Engineer to write off these items was, however, applied for on the 17th May 1887, but has not yet been received and will now again be applied for.

(*h*) *Annual repairs, sixth section.*—The details of arrears in part III of the work abstract for July, Rs. 13-0-10, were not available, though hunted for through a year's back accounts. The amount may apparently be credited to the work.

(*i*) *Annual repairs to boats.*—The repairs are said to have been completed over a month ago, but no estimate for the same is yet prepared. The expenditure up to the end of July 1887 is Rs. 287, but the work done is stated to be very much more than what is paid for. Liabilities not having been reported either in measurement lists or ten-day reports, the exact amount of arrears could not be seen. It is said that the payments due for these repairs have not been and will not be made until sanction is received to an estimate now under preparation. But though the absence of a sanctioned estimate may be good excuse for not beginning work (Code paragraph 296), work once done should be promptly paid for. No contractor or laborer should be required to give long credit to Government (Code paragraph 297).

The preparation before the commencement of each official year of a comprehensive estimate of all boat repairs which are likely during the ensuing year should not be difficult, and periodical report (Code paragraphs 1367 and 1864) should be made to the Executive Engineer of the progress of work, so that the arrears, *i.e.*, the difference between work done and payments made, will always be known in the divisional office.

(*j*) *Repairing a foot bridge on the 3rd mile of the Peddacumbum channel, Cumbum Sub-division.*—The contractor's bills are in duplicate, both versions being signed by the contractor; in such cases only one signature should be obtained, the other or duplicate copy being a mere copy of the bill, signature and all.

(*k*) *Lowering the crest of Sunkesala anicut by 3 feet, Rs* 18,136.—Cement bought of Messrs. Arbuthnot and Company in April 1887 and paid for in May 1887 was not, but should have been, shown in part III of April's work abstract.

(*l*) *Cutting away the left bank of the canal and building outlet 600 feet long.*—This work was last measured on the 10th and 17th March 1887, payment for the work so measured having been

made on 25th June 1887—it had previously been measured in November and December 1886—and further measurements will now be called for.

13. (*a*) *General Remarks.*—Ten-day reports are not submitted except where daily labor is employed. The use of the ten-day report is sufficiently described at the back of form No. 5. The return is most indispensable if or whenever either labor or materials are to be accounted for on the principle ordered in Code paragraphs 1759 and 1864, but it must, however, be punctually submitted immediately at the close of the period to which it relates, if it is to be of the slightest use to record liabilities in advance of payments.

(*b*) No work abstracts for July were found completed and so of course the register of works was not posted for that month; the explanation received was that the returns from the sub-divisions of materials transactions instead of being a ten-day one is a monthly one, and is usually received about the second week in the month ensuing that to which it relates.

(*c*) Advances to contractors of materials except for cash payment unless covered by the value of work done are prohibited by G.O., No. 2201 W., dated 3rd September 1886, but are very common in this division. Such advances when made are but seldom reported, and much confusion arises. The contractors being paid by sub-divisional officers, the Executive Engineer's office is almost powerless in the detection of such advances unless reported.

(*d*) Bills paid by sub-divisional officers come up to the Executive Engineer's office generally with no sign of any check measurement by the paying officers, but with the mere remark "paid on the overseer's certificate." This does not seem to have been ever noticed by the Executive Engineer's office under Code paragraph 1764.

Bills sent up by the sub-divisional officer in charge of central sub-division show no remarks or no signature or initials under "remarks by Executive Engineer." The examination of such bills as are paid in the sub-divisions by the Executive Engineer is of the utmost importance (Code paragraphs 310, 1764 and 1834).

(*e*) Code paragraph 1367 has not been observed. The Executive Engineer considers it impracticable in the circumstances of this division, but the grounds for such an opinion were not understood.

The rule, until proved otherwise, is for account purposes one of the most useful in the Code.

(*f*) The register of works is not initialled as ordered in G.O., No. 2650 W., of 7th November 1885 and Code paragraph 311 VI. The certificate (in form 64 A.) prescribed in Code paragraph 311 V has been regularly sent up.

(*g*) Measurements are not cancelled as required by standing order No. 158 modifying directions at back of form No. M. 19. The remarks in paragraph 6 XVIII (D) of notes on Bellary office apply to this division.

Some measurement books have been cancelled after receipt in the divisional office, but this is useless—what is wanted is that the record of a measurement in the measurement book should be cancelled immediately the extract is made to support the bill (Code paragraph 1818), that is to say immediately the measurement book is used respecting such measurement.

Some of the measurement books examined looked uncommonly like "fair copies" and as though bits of paper had contained the original measurement. The measurement book is such an important record (Code paragraphs 1563 and 1756) that especially when sub-divisional officers make payments to contractors, &c., and act quite independently of the Executive Engineer as in this division, they cannot be too scrupulously kept. The comparison of measurement books with measurement sheets resulted satisfactorily.

(*h*) It is very desirable that every account paper should bear distinctly upon its face the exact date of its arrival in the Executive Engineer's office—the result of a rule to this effect if strictly carried out might be much more advantageous than would seem at first sight possible. It is not only that at inspections punctuality would be easily checked, but the Executive Engineer and his accountant would always have delays more prominently before them and this itself would conduce to punctuality. It may even be recommended under Code paragraph 1563 as likely to assist any investigation or legal proceedings. A rubber stamp might be obtained for the above purpose.

(*i*) In Code paragraph 1350 it is written that no person under the rank of an Executive Engineer or officiating as such can accept any tender or make any contract, and in Code paragraph 320 (D), Executive Engineer's powers of accepting tenders are limited to works costing not more than Rs. 2,000; but it is not clear in the Code that sub-divisional officers cannot be deputed by the Executive Engineer to arrange for piecework, and, if considered necessary, the rule might be made plainer on this point. On the 8th May 1886 an agreement was entered into by the Assistant Engineer in charge of central sub-division with pieceworker C. Cunniah Naidoo for constructing a new sluice to Nandyál large tank, estimated to cost Rs. 650. The same officer on the 11th November 1886 made an agreement for piecework in the Cumbum sub-division and there are other similar instances.

The Executive Engineer considers sub-divisional officers best fitted to arrange piecework agreements. The larger number of piecework agreements are, it is said, filed in sub-divisional offices, and will not be sent to the Executive Engineer until the works are finished.

(*j*) Certain piecework agreements have, after signature by the contracting parties, undergone alterations but without such alteration being signed or even initialled by either party.

14. The following balances are due to pieceworkers:—

Name of pieceworker, &c.	Amount.	Date of last payment.	Reason of delay in payment.
	RS. A. P.		
Nabee Sahib (culverts to bridges).	26 12 2	Dec. 1885.	Found six months ago to be not due to contractor and two months ago a bill was sent to upper sub-division officer asking the man to surrender the amount.
C. Coopooswamy Pillay (Kondacheru tank).	4 9 7	Feb. 1886.	Unknown.
S. Chinniah (Nellavagu breach).	18 7 9	May „	Waiting final inspection.
A. Seshachellam (Erragundapoliem weir.)	17 4 10	Do.	Do.
S. Ranga Sayi do.	146 11 6	Do.	Do.
Nabee Sahib (Applareddy tank).	50 5 7	June 1886.	Do.
Gulam Hussain (constructing emptying sluice).	11 0 8	July „	Do.
K. Veera Reddi (Paddithappa tank).	19 2 0	Aug. „	Do.
P. Krishna Row (Kurumacheroo tank).	23 2 5	Nov. „	Do.
Nabee Sahib (iron roof to Telegraph offices, Nandyál).	11 14 0	Jan. 1887.	Do.

There are also three items aggregating eleven pies due to pieceworkers, but not claimed, for work done in November 1886, in connection with the annual repairs to the canal and to boats for 1886-87, accounts of which are now closed and completion report sent. This small amount will now be adjusted in the accounts of 1887-88.

15. The stock balance in this division has of late years undergone gradual reduction :—

	RS.
In September 1884 it was	5,301
„ March 1885	3,942
„ September 1885	2,819
„ March 1886	2,009
„ September 1886	1,713
„ March 1887	1,711
„ July 1887	1,549

The reserve limit sanctioned by Government being all along Rs. 7,000. The present balance comprises—

	RS.
Small stores	354
Timber	876
Metals	122
Miscellaneous	197
Total ...	1,549

The accounts were thoroughly checked and found in order. There is said to have been a thorough count and overhaul of the stores when the present Executive Engineer assumed charge; and the articles which are surplus or unserviceable are about to be sold under the Superintending Engineer's authority.

16. With reference to paragraph 10 of the last report, the balance of the Canal Company's old stores is not yet plainly set down in any list which can be relied upon, nor has there ever been such a list comprising every article in the stock. The first list made after an imperfect count in 1882 was incomplete, and the lists made in the following years were also incomplete.

Subsequent issues have not been accounted for numerically, but only as regards their assumed value, until at the last inspection the need of accounting for every article was accepted and sales and issues this year have been sufficiently recorded.

But the count recommended at the last inspection did not take place till July 1887 and was then conducted and recorded in such a manner that no advantage is gained by it, and another and thorough stock-taking is necessary. The record of July 1887 stock-taking is in the shape of a

lot of more or less unintelligible pencil marks against the balance in the half-yearly return ending 31st March 1887. Many articles of local stock were, the storekeeper said, not counted, and there are said to be articles of the company's stock in various places besides Kurnool which were not seen by the stock-taker.

17. The total numerical balance of mamooties (tools and plant) at the end of March 1887 is stated in the sub-divisional returns for half-year ending on above date to be —

Famine tools	71,317
Provincial	1,653
Total ...	72,970

Whereas the divisional return for half-year ending on the above date shows only 71,489. The difference could not be explained, but will now be inquired into.

Of iron jumpers, the number which was actually counted as on above date and which should have been brought forward into the next return was 32, while the number actually brought forward, *i.e.*, the book number, was 26. This will be corrected.

The total number of pick-axes as per divisional returns for above period aggregate to 71,591, whereas the divisional return shows only 70,860. This was not explained, but will now be investigated.

The famine tools are, it is stated, separately stacked, but they are mixed with provincial tools in the return, which is not a desirable arrangement. The famine tools in the Cumbum subdivision have not been counted for at least 2 years. They are very numerous and are well worth caring for. Orders of Government are requested whether all famine tools should not be counted and reported upon at least once a year, as these, unlike Public Works Department tools, are not in use and unless periodically examined are apt to be overlooked.

The tools and plant of the canal are quite separately accounted for and it is said that they are separately stored. Nothing could be more painstaking and neat than the ink-work of Mr. Dawson, the storekeeper, so far as it goes. The Executive Engineer's attention is now promised to the state of the Canal Company's old stock.

18. The central sub-division officer in July 1887 gave his telegraph peons cumblies (4) and charged the cost, Rs. 7, to general establishment, contingencies. The Executive Engineer disallowing this charge removed it to miscellaneous advances where it rests; pending recovery from sub-divisional officer, subject to the above small observation, the suspense accounts are in order.

19. Service books have not been, but should be, opened for the following individuals :—

Chithambara Moodelly, Signaller, who was appointed 15th March 1886;
Ramaswamy Iyengar, Irrigation Superintendent, who was appointed 11th May 1887;
Katheravelu Moodelly, Lock „ „ 31st August 1886;
David Pillay, „ „ „ 17th Nov. 1885;

also four irrigation lascars, three lock lascars, seven telegraph lascars and three lock superintendents.

The following service books are incomplete :—

Nagojee Rao, Lock Superintendent, wanting date of promotion.
Applasawmy Naidu, Lock Superintendent „ „
Matchanna, „ „ „ „
Meeraw Saib, „ „ „ „
Pareasawmy Moodelliar, Clerk, date of last increment.
P. R. Sooriah Naidu, Clerk, „ „

Eleven service books require re-attestation. Eight service books are said to be at the Examiner's office and therefore could not be inspected Service books of Messrs. Grey and Seth, hospital assistants, are said to be with the Surgeon-General, but the service book of an officer should be kept in the office in which he is serving (rule 6, section 129 of the Civil Pension Code).

20. Claims for travelling allowance of office and petty establishments (there are no lower subordinates in this division) are sent up to, or made up in, the Executive Engineer's office at the end of every month—such claims are in the form of a memorandum showing in horizontal columns—

I. Dates.
II. Journey { (*a*) from / (*b*) to
III. Distance travelled.
IV. Bills for claims { (*a*) Number of days for which batta is claimed. / (*b*) Number of miles for which mileage is claimed. / (*c*) Rate. / (*d*) Amount.

with the certificate prescribed in G.O., No. 2492 W., dated the 22nd October 1885, for filling in when mileage is allowed. The hours are not stated. Previously to July such memorandums have shown more than one claim each, but in July and since then memorandums are rendered one for each individual.

The claims for March to July were audited and generally found in order, but for April 1887 Rs. 7-8-0 were paid to clerk P. Mungapathi Naidu for halting at Sunkesala during the entire month—his head-quarters being Jupad. In the absence of the orders of Government to the contrary, halting allowance should not have been paid for more than ten days.

21. The sub-divisional officer's bungalow at Cumbum is not on the register of buildings though long ago completed. Paragraph 15 of last report has been attended to and buildings are now valued.

The Executive Engineer's residence at Kurnool valued at Rs. 8,500 has hitherto been paying Rs. 50 per month rent; but as the result of recent correspondence Rs. 25 has been fixed by the Superintending Engineer as sufficient on the condition that half of the building is at all times available for occupation by Public Works Department officers on tour. This seems to require the sanction of Government. The Krishnapuram Canal Company's buildings, which cost Rs. 11,754, were up to December 1886 occupied by the Bellary-Kistna State Railway Department, on a total rent of Rs. 30 per month under G.O., No. 840 R., dated the 14th August 1884, but this year the buildings have been let partly to Mr. Castellas for Rs. 3 and partly to Moothoosawmy Moodelliar for Rs. 1-8-0 per month, altogether Rs. 4-8-0 per month, under Superintending Engineer's sanction, dated 7th June 1887.

22. The budget registers and register of liabilities are in order.

23. There is a good account kept of service labels and a register of currency notes.

24. The accountant is sufficiently assisted. There has been some sickness in the office, and it might be as well to stop the present very oppressive and unwholesome stench thereby turning everything out and airing the place and its contents as much as possible, whenever there is any lengthy sunhsine.

KURNOOL,
19th August 1887.

(Signed) H. RAINIER,
Inspecting Officer.

ORDER—dated 9th November 1887, No. 3001 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer, Kurnool division.

2. The Superintending Engineer will report on the matters referred to in paragraphs 5, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of the Examiner's covering note.

3. The Executive Engineer will be called upon for explanation in respect to the points mentioned in paragraphs 7, 9, 11, and 14, and to report the adjustment of the old balance due to piece-workers (paragraph 18) and the completion of service books (paragraph 21).

4. The special attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to the matter reported in paragraph 13 (*j*) of the inspection notes.

5. The case of the Nílgiri division piece-work agreements, referred to in paragraph 6 of the Examiner's note, is under reference to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, III Circle.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 2317

From

The Supt.g. Engineer
III circle

ENCLOS.-

No. 2520
Dated 6th March 1888
Received 9 — do —

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction to the Executive Engineer Kurnool Dn paying Rs 25 for the house occupied by him at Kurnool on the understanding that the house is always held available for officers of the P.W.D. on Inspection duty.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1023C Dated 9th March 18

To

C

For favour of remark
(Sd) W.L.C.B.
9-3-88
D.W.

Des'd 10-3-88.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 9th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 9th Nov. 1887, No. 3001 W.

NOVEMBER.

Inspection

Orders on the notes of — of the Kurnool division office, submitted by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

No. 1023 C d/9-3-88

1888.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 2317 & 2913

From

The Examiner
P. W. Accts

ENCLOS.—

No. 1266
Dated 24th March 1888
Received 26 —do —

SUBJECT.

stating that the proposal that the Exec. Engr Kurnool Dn paying only Rs 25 for the house occupied by him at Kurnool, on the understanding that half the house is always held available for officers of the P.W.D. on Inspection duty, appears to be inadmissible.

DISPOSAL.

No. 1374 C Dated 28th March 1888

To

C

Can you trace from the records of your office, the circumstances in which the building was constructed, or

of the Madras P.W.D?

If it was not built expressly for the Exec. Engineer, & if it is found to be a larger house than he needs, it would seem hard to force him to occupy the whole of it.

(Sd) W.L.C.B
27-3-88
D.W.