O.S.I. 10/VII/88 R. 6.7.88.

Barur Sub-divisional Office

No. 42

Barur 27th May 1888.

From.

Mr. I. Cormac,

Sub-divisional Officer

Barur.

To,

The Superintending Engineer

4th Circle.

Sir,

With reference to the letter from the President District Board Salem ~~to~~ No. $\frac{393}{L.F.}$ dated 1st May 1888. I have the honour to state that it is my desire to take up the appointment of Local fund Engineer Salem for a period on trial, to see whether I satisfy the requirements of the board, before I move to take pension; under these circumstances, a pensionary contribution will be required, by Government, and it is not clear from the letter under notice, that

that the District board is prepared to meet this demand.

2. I beg that this matter may be definitely settled before I am relieved of my appointment in the Public Works Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt. Servant,

Cormac

Sub-Divisional Officer

From,

SALEM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
11 JUN 88
RECEIVED

Mr. J. Cormac,
Sub-Divisional Officer
Barur.

E.3

~~P.W. 5082~~
6345/88

~~Dated 27th~~
Recd } May 1888.

No. 42.

Local Fund Engineer
Salem -

Reports that he is willing to accept the appointment of — on trial.

1/ C. 1990/88

2/ C. 1990/88

(b) 40 66-10-8
36.
40/36
66/60
6/4

What wd that be on works.

It would amount Rs 66-10-8 per month

Supg: Engineer's Office 4th Circle
Camp Nedingul 28th May 1888

No 202 / IW

From

Colonel J. Beatty R.E.
Supg: Engineer 4th Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras

Sir

Enclosure 1
one letter
No 42 dated
27th instt:
from Mr: Cormac

With reference to G. O. No: 1503 W of 21st instant I have the honor to forward herewith a letter from Mr: Cormac regarding his services being placed at the disposal of the District Board, Salem.

2 Mr: Cormac desires to try the appointment of Local Fund Engineer, and ascertain that he can satisfy the expectations of the Board before he decides on taking pension.

3 Mr: Cormac observes that nothing is said by the President about the

pensionery

pensionery contribution to be made to Government by the Board during the period that his services are merely lent by Government; and he wishes to have the question of the pensionery contribution settled before he is relieved of his present duties in the Department

4 If Mr: Cormac is removed from this Subdivision it will be very inconvenient to part with him before the arrival of a competent succeſsor; and I request the favour of instructions on this point.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

L. Beatty Col: R.E.
Supg: Engineer 4th circle

No. 1503 W of 21-5-88
1494 C of 7-4-88
66 D of 19-4-88

No. 259 D.

P.W. Department.
Ootacamund 4th June 1888.

JUN 88

Referred, through the Local and Municipal Department, to the President District Board Salem, for favor of information, whether the District Board is prepared to bear the pensionary contribution in addition to the Salary of Rs 400 per mensem offered to Mr. Cormac.

Joh ashe Colonel R.E.
Secy to Govt P.W. Dept.

31.5.88

No. 466

Reg. No: 99 L 7 / Govt.

Forwarded to the President District Board Salem

(By order)

W. S. Meyer
Asst. Secretary

7 June

1/6 Fort St George
9th June 1888
To the President Distt Bd Salem

P.W.C. No. 50
L. and M. Dept.

1890 L

From

The Supg: Engineer
Fourth Circle.

P.W. Ex 2 / 6365 / 88

Dated 28th } May 1888.
Recd: 5th June

No: 202 / Eng.

Enclo: 1

Local Fund Engineer Salem:—

Forwarding a letter from Mr: Cormac regarding his appointment of —; and requesting the favor of instructions.

L. 1990 L / 88

Referred

Refer No: 99 L 7 / Govt.

Local & Municipal Dept
CURRENT No. 1990 L

SALEM DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE.
Dated 16th June 1888.

From

G. MacWATTERS, Esq.,
President, District Board, Salem.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government,
~~Financial~~ Department.

P. W. Department
through Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

SIR,

Enclosures 2.

Referring to order No 259 D, P.W.D of 4th June 1888, I have the honor to state, in anticipation of the District Board's sanction at its next meeting to be held at the end of this month, that the Board will be prepared to bear the pensionary contribution in addition to the Salary of Rs 400 offered to Mr. Cormac and to request that you will be good enough to arrange for placing Mr. Cormac's services at the disposal of the District Board at a very early

5. 88

early date. Copy of the District Board's Resolution sanctioning the pensionary contribution will be sent as soon as the meeting is over.

P. W. Genl
6245/88

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obt. Servant,

President

Compd
SM

To the President Distt Bd Salem

No 10[illegible] 5. 88

Local and Municipal Department

No 259 D: 4-6-88
B. No 1503 W: 21-5-88
No 1694 C. 7.4.88

Local & Municipal Dept
CURRENT No. 1990 L

FROM

THE PRESIDENT,

DISTRICT BOARD,

E: 3

SALEM.

TO

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

P. W. DEPARTMENT

Through Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

Dated, 16th June 1888.

Ref on No.: 99 L. B / Genl.

R. 2-7. 88.

~~E. 2~~
E. 3

SUBJECT.

No 2095 W dt 11th July 1888

Requesting that the services of Mr. Cormac may be placed at the disposal of the District Board Salem at an early date

---

No 511

Forwarded

(By order)

W. S. Whiteside
Assistt Secretary

V.R.
18/6/88

Madras
25th June/88

To
The Public works Department

0 15144: 6 –
M. 28.6.88. Ch Secy 5.7.88

R[illegible] 6. 3.7.88 11.7.88

6345

Dw.

For orders.

Please see Col. Mead's note. He says that Mr Cormac's services should not be given for an indefinite period. An order might perhaps be drafted to the effect that Mr Cormac's services will be lent for a period of six months, at the end of which the question whether he should revert to the Dept or be confirmed as L. F. Engr (retiring from the Dept) will be considered.

With respect to replacing Mr Cormac in Coimbatore, it is observed that

S.H.W.
An order may be drafted in accordance with your note.
Wenlock

2095 W dt 11th July /88 P.W.D.

os 5082 & 6345

Order.

The letter from the President District Board Salem will be communicated to the Supdg Engr IV Circle with reference to his No 202 Dw. of 21st May 1888.

2. The services of Conductor Cormac will be placed at the disposal of the District Board for six months, at the end of which period the President will report whether he

No 10[illegible] 5. 88

the IV Circle, without Mr Cormac, will be 3 upper subordinates short —

S. I. says that in the whole Circle, the no of Sub Engrs & Supervisors should be one half the total — Excluding Mr Cormac there are now 12 Sub Engrs & Supervisors in the Circle — Three temporary overseers might be posted to the Circle, leaving the S. E. to make his own arrangements for charge of Barur.

Mr J. ~~[illegible]~~ Mahoney, now in ~~the Circle~~ Coimbatore Dn, ought to be a fit man —

Cy
2.7.88

Resubmitted to C. 3.7.88 R. 11.7.88

C. No 1990 [illegible] Dept

To I.

Proposed to send this paper to Madras for consideration of the arrangements to be made for Mr Cormac's relief.

It will be seen from the pencil notes attached to the draft of No. 259 D d/ 4 June 88 that the matter will be taken up there on receipt of the present reply.

R. S.
28-VI-88

Ops Please send to Madras

The sanctioned establishment of Upper Subordinates in the IV Circle sh'd be made up (the proportion of Sub Engrs & Supervisors being 1/2 the total strength sh'd be seen to) and the S. E. told to make his own arrangements.

A good man is required in Mr Cormac's place as there is the Barur project and some important works in his charge over R 50,000 in irrigation work.

[illegible]
28/6/88

SAW
28.VI.88

Resubmitted to C. 3.7.88 R. 11.7.88

No 2095 W d/ 11th July 8[illegible]

Read C[illegible]

PPH
9 Jul

C No 5082
6.88

Dir. No 259 D d/ 4

Please see draft reference submitted for approval.

The question of selecting a Sub Engineer to relieve Mr Cormac can be considered when reply comes from Local & Municipal Dept

Cy
31.5.88

Yes. approved, but Mr O'Mahoney's transfer must not be lost sight of. I believe Mr [illegible] ~~that~~ arrangements should be made as soon as possible, to some [illegible] to the Presidency or Chingleput

(96)

he should revert to the P.W. Dept., or be confirmed as Local Fund Engineer, retiring from the Govt. service.

3. Conductor Cosmac should join his new appointment as soon as possible, & the Chief Engineer will make early arrangements to replace him in the Coimbatore division.

2.7.88

7/July.

3/7/88.

3.7.88

Ch. Secy. 5.7.88

7.7.88

9.7.88

13/7

CHIEF SECRETARY
6 JUL 88
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

11-7.

No. 2095 W. d/11th July 1888

To the Chief Engr. &c.

To the S.E. IV Circle
" Secy. P.W.D.
" Local & Municipal Depts.

D 11/7

Dw

W

Ch. Secy.

Encls. to 599 886 d/23-8-88

Notes of inspection by H. Rainier Esqre of the office of the Executive Engineer Tanjore Division, on the 31st January to 3rd February 1888.

This office was last examined by an accounts officer in October 1886, vide report printed with G.O. No. 2966 W dated 10th November 1886. Mr. C. Mitford Smith, Executive Engineer 3rd Grade, is still in charge of the division and M.R.R. T. Soondrum Pillay is the accountant.

2. There are two sub divisions, the Tanjore and the Mayaveram under Mr. A. M. Foord, Executive Engineer 4th Grade, and M.R.R. Supervisor Kristnama Charry Avergal, respectively, both are drawing officers.

3 vide para 5

3. The Supervisor Mayaveram sub-division overdrew his account by Rs. 2412/- in June 1887, anticipating a supplementary credit he had just applied for. In the same month the Executive Engineer accidentally overdrew his drawing account by Rs. 30/-

4. Sub-divisional cash extracts do not generally come up to the divisional office completely supported by vouchers. This is especially the case in the Mayavaram sub-division.

ENCLOSURE 18 AUG 88 EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS
ENCLOSURE 31 . 88 EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS
ENCLOSURE 1 OCT 88 EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

5. The following are the imprest holders in this division.

(1) Recouped by the Executive Engineer M. R. R. Kothandapany Moodeliar, Avergal, Sub Engineer, head quarters sub-divisional officer, Rs 100/-

(2) Recouped by Tanjore sub-divisional officer.
M. R. R. H. Visvanatha Iyer, Overseer, Rs 150/-
M. R. R. Rajagopal Iyengar, Supervisor, Rs 200/-

The imprests are generally promptly recouped and with sufficient frequency.

6. Contingent vouchers are as a rule destroyed, but they should be only defaced, Vide certificate by disbursing officer at foot of contingent bill, also code para 858.

7. A payment on 11th January 1888 due to maistry Veda Chari was made to and receipted by one Venkata Chari. The discrepancy will, it was said, be inquired into.

This was a clerical error rectified since

8. Rs 3-11-4 paid to the widow of lascar Veera Pillay on 6th January 1888 has not been supported by an affidavit of next of kinship, as required by code para 464.

9. A temporary Surveyor S. Sivarama Aiyar has been appointed from September 1887 on Rs 35/- whose appointment is not sanctioned by Superintending Engineer.

Sanctioned by S.E. in his No 2052 E.J.
11-2-88

10. The following vouchers were not produced for the reasons assigned against each item.

Voucher No	Date	Particulars	Amount Rs	As	P	Reason
2	8th Decr/87	For closing breaches in Mahilayeri limit	15	12	3	Not having been received from Mayaveram sub-divisional officer
12	6th Jany/88	General Establishment	282	"	.	Not having been received from Supervisor
31	16th Jany/88	Do	50	10	6	Not having been received from sub-divisional officer
35	Do	Do	45	"	"	Do
39	Do	Pay of Kavalgar &c employed on upkeep of Iyanar river	17	"	"	
40	Do	Do Vadayar	26	"	.	Do
41	Do	Do Uppanar	9	"	"	
42	Do	Do Veerasholanar	11	"	"	
43	Do	Do Vikramanar	5	"	"	
44	Do	Do Manjalar	10	"	.	
45	Do	Do Do	4	"	"	
46	Do	Do Cauvery	12	"	"	
47	Do	Do Veerasholanar	8	"	"	
48	Do	Do Malumalayar	8	"	"	
49	Do	Pay of maistries on "Improving Mayavaram Taluq Cutcherry"	7	8	.	
50	Do	Pay of watchman on "Government Buildings at Tranquebar"	4	8	"	
51		Pay of head cooly &c on (Repairing Road No 12)	18	5	10	

ENCLOSURE 18 AUG 88

ENCLOSURE 31 MAY 88

ENCLOSURE 1 OCT 88

11. The work in this division is generally done either by contract or piece-work. The accounts of works for three months were audited, work abstracts being checked both with initial accounts and the register of works. The accounts of materials at site of works were checked. Contractors' agreements and their accounts were carefully examined.

12. The register of works does not contain as it should, all the works costing over Rs 200/. All the major works in the division, and minor works costing over Rs 200/ in the head quarters sub-division only are entered in the register. For works estimated to cost over Rs 200/ and up to Rs 2,500/ the register is kept by sub-heads, while code para 1866, III allows that the register need have only the last four columns referred to in rule I para 1866.

13. The preparation of work abstracts is not put in hand till the close of the month to which they relate, for instance several work abstracts for January 1888 have not yet been started.

ised
rem
not

14. Remarks regarding the following works are partic in either column shows tha

sed in the headings of columns 5 to 9, across opposite a work remark in the heading applies to that work.

Name of work 1	Amount of estimate 2	Name of contractor or piece-worker 3	Amount of contract 4	Date of agree men 5	Tenders not invited	Agreements to execut but not p ed	Contract agreement concluded by the Executive Engineer in anticipation of Superior authority.	Tenders invited but earnest money not required.
	Rs.		Rs.					
Clearing the bed of Vennar Pillai Voikal channel	400	Adam Sahib	258		x	x		
Repairing concrete floor to ~~grand anicut~~ Grand anicut	1,950	Rama Iyer	88		x	x		
Clearing silt of Pillai Voikal Upper channel	140	Narayanasamy Iyer	129		x	x		
Raising and widening Cauvery right bank from Vennar head to Kodamurutty head	25,580	Govinda Pillay	7,062	14th April/87			x	x
Draining the Coleroon	1,800	Ramasamy Iyengar	1,026		x	x		
Improving the Vadavar	40,700	Saminatha Iyer	6,664	10th Sept/87			x	x
		Krishna Iyengar	2,256	"		x	x	x
		Do	3,989	"		x	x	x
		Kristnasamy Iyengar	1,735	9th May/87				x
		Soondram Pillay	3,662					x
Maintaining Arasalar bank	600	Ramasamy Iengar	565.		x	x		
Improving Coleroon right bank	1,14,000.	Ramasamy servaigaren	2,837	22nd Oct/87	x		x	
		Do	14,492	19th May/87			x	x
		Messrs Hunter & Co	11,824	10th May/87			x	x
		Ramasamy Iyengar	5,357	28th July/87			x	x
Maintaining left bank of Manjalar	1,150	Mooraga Pillay	986		x	x		
Draining Vatappar	715	Sirunga Naik	654		x	x		
Reconstructing Umai Madaga Surplus sluice in left bank of south Rajai Voikal	1,240	Muthusamy Pillay	1151.		x			
Repairing the rear apron of Tirumalrayan head works	1,630	Dorasamy Thegundan	1554.		x	x		
Constructing drainage sluice for Serudalur lands in Tirumal Rajan	1,010	Seshappier	937.		x	x		
Clearing the Jumbu Cauvery	230	Soondram Pillay	210.		x	x		
Annual repairs to the Vettar head works	270.	Do			x	x		
Do Vennar regulator	290	Do	261.		x	x		

14. Remarks regarding the following works are partic ised in the headings of columns 5 to 9, across opposite a work in either column shows tha remark in the heading applies to that work

Name of work	Amount of estimate	Name of contractor or piece-worker	Amount of contract	Date of agree-	Tenders not invited	Agreement said to exist but not p ed	Contract agreement concluded by the Executive Engineer in anticipation of Superior authority.	Tenders invited but earnest money not required.
1	2	3						
Constructing bamboo groynes to the eroded portion of Vennar right bank	200	Soondram Pillay	200		x	x		
Reconstructing Verthanganallore drainage sluices in Manniyar	610.	Sivaramkrishna Iyer	569		x	x		
Repairing Pudumaniyar banks in Palyapoliem limit	1,710	Narayanasamy Nayanar	1,353		x	x		
Improving Mayavaram Taluq Cutcherry	11,680	Moideen Sahib	10,029	27th June/87 27.6.87			x	x
Constructing road tunnels in connection with Karuvanty nadapuram project off	1,300	Aghorappier	699		x	x		
Constructing Karavanty nadapuram head sluice	1,300	Vydianadha Iyer	512		x	x		
Constructing Taluq Cutcherry at Combaconum	19,240	Govinda Pillay	16,022	9th July/87			x	x
Maintaining the left bank of Kodamurty	190	Rungasamy Pillay	182		x	x		
Constructing stone groynes in Vennar left bank below Katchamangalam anicut	2,130	Soondram Pillay	2,043	13th July/87			x	x
Repairing Arinthavapuram bank	65.	Iyaruthevan	60.		x	x		
Forming a new road in lieu of the eroded part of road No. 70 along Coleroon right bank	1,760	Appavoo Pillay	1,054		x	x		
Repairing the masonry works in Vadavar alias Camanarneivasal Tenbathy tank	830	Govindasamy Pillay	773		x			
Repairing the Coleroon right bank	1,540	Siwaramakrishna Iyer	1,238		x	x		
Constructing Narasingamperur head sluice in Sholashoda mamigyar left bank	915	Kuppooswamy Iyer	848		x	x		
Clearing silt of Konakadamgal from Pasari head sluice to the two dams	790	Soondram Pillay	753	15th Augt/87	x	x		

14. Remarks regarding the following works are partic … sed in the headings of columns 5 to 9, a cross opposite a work
in either column shows tha … remark in the heading applies to that work

Name of work 1	Amount of estimate 2	Name of contractor or piece-worker 3	Amou contract	Date of agree	Tenders not invited	Agreement said to exist but not p	Contract agreement concluded by the Executive Engineer in anticipation of Superior authority	Tenders invited but earnest money not required
Removing prickly pear in the Vennar right bank (20 to 24 miles)	165	Sesha Chariar	160		x	x		
Do from the top and slopes of Vennar right bank from 7/5 to 20 mile)	200	Antony	171		x	x.		
Maintaining the road along the left bank of Vennar (42 to 45/4)	210.	Veerappa Moodely	210		x	x.		
Constructing revetment in rear of the ~~grand anicut~~ Grand Anicut under sluices	500	Aseervatham Pillay	489		x	x		
Protecting Vennar right bank	200	Moideen Hussain Sahib	196		x	x		
Maintaining Vennar left bank	522	Veerappa Moodely	522		x	x		
Removing prickly pear on Coleroon right bank from 33/3 to 36/3)	380	Cooppoosawmy Pillay	367		x	x		
Repairing bund of Shadayam tank	586	Theagaraja Iyer	310		x	x		
Maintaining Vettar both banks	500	Samy Iyengar	500		x	x		
~~Establishment~~ Constructing groynes on Vettar right bank	365	Chekarakotham	357.		x	x		
Do Do left bank	240	Do	235		x	x		
Repairing Maravathur dam and sluice across Malliyanar	140	Do	135		x	x		
Removing prickly pear on the Vennar right bank from Vadavaar new head ~~head~~ sluice in western wing wall to ~~Perambore~~ Perambur head sluice	602	Venkataramier	588		x	x.		
Repairing Cauvery and Vennar regulator sub division office	370.	Subroya Moodeliar	329		x	x		

14. Remarks regarding the following works are partic ised in the headings of columns 5 to 9, across opposite a work in either column shows tha remark in the heading applies to that work

Name of work 1	Amount of estimate 2	Name of contractor or piece-worker 3	Amoun of contract	Date of agree	Tenders not invited 6	Agreement to [illegible] sold to exec[illegible]t but not p[illegible]ed 7	Contract agreement concluded by the Executive Engineer in anticipation of Superior authority. 8	Tenders invited but earnest money not required 9
Maintaining Vennar new cut	111	Subroya Moodeliar	111		x	x		
Raising Cauvery Regulator bank in rear of nose revetment and revetment	550	Rama Iyer	301		x	x		
Rearranging workshop at Grand Anicut	565	Govindasamy	497		x	x		
Constructing Cauvery right bank sluice in Thohoor village	590	Aseervatham Pillay	495.		x	x		
Training Vennar both margins	147	Moideen Hussan Sahib	134		x	x		
Do Vennar Pillai voikal both margins	80	Do	73.		x	x		
Clearing Vyravan Channel in Kodamurty right bank	450	T. N. Kristnasami Rows	392		x	x		
Providing screw gearing shutters to Bheemarajun Channel sluice	220	Ramasamy Iyengar	204.		x	x		
Repairing Kodamurty sluice right bank from $\frac{27}{3}$ to $\frac{30}{7}$ miles	292	Sesha Chariar	282.		x	x		
Clearing Hundevar Mangalam channel	790	Venkata Chellam Pillai	747.		x	x		
Removing prickly pear in Vennar right bank from Vadavar new head to road No. 4	405	Sesha Chariar	395.		x	x		
Fixing iron gratings and doors &c to the Tanjore District jail Superintendent's office	432	Palaniandy Achari	392.		x	x		
Removing prickly pear on Vennar left bank from road No. 57 to Vettar head	300	Venkatadri Iyer	293		x	x		
Do in Cauvery left bank $\frac{31}{2}$ miles in Manir limits	140	Sadasivam	135		x	x		
Maintaining Coleroon right bank 37 to 45 miles Training Coleroon right margin 38 to $\frac{40}{4}$	152 325	Govindamoopen	4		x	x		

Augt/87

Resubmitted W.O.

14. Remarks regarding the following works are partic
in either column shows tha

sed in the headings of columns 5 to 9, across opposite a work
remark in the heading applies, to that work.

Name of work	Amount of estimate	Name of contractor or piece-worker	Amount of contract	Date of agree	Tenders not invited 6	Agreeme[illegible] to schd to exi[illegible]t but not p[illegible]ed 7	Contract agreement concluded by the Executive Engineer in anticipation of Superior authority. 8	Tenders invited but earnest money not required. 9	
Extending rough stone apron Grand Anicut	5,900	Subramania yethandaw	1400.		x	x			
		Nilakanta Iyer	602.		x	x			
Training Cauvery both margins from 14th to 20 mile	467	Moideen Hussain Sahib	426.		x	x			
Repairing Poonthotam Head sluice Vadavar	85	Venkatadri Iyer	85.		x	x			
Training Kodamurty from 26/7 to 36 mile		Arunachalla Mundiaw	449.		x	x			
Training Cauvery both margins 19 to 37/2 miles	1,225	Venkatarama Iyer	470		x	x			
		Latchimipathy Naidoo	385.		x	x			
		Madar Sahib	346.		x	x			
Providing concrete blocks to Veerasholam head	700	Ramasamy Iyengar	528.		x	x			

ugl/87

ENCLOSURE
18 AUG 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
31 MAY 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
1 OCT 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

15. This statement shews that there were in this division 11 eleven instances in which contract agreements had been concluded by the Executive Engineer in anticipation of superior authority, 64 cases in which tenders had not been invited, 12 twelve instances in which earnest money required by code 1360 had not been recovered, and 64 cases in which agreements said to exist were not produced.

16. In this division tenders are not, as a rule, invited for works costing Rs 2,500/ and under. In such cases, it was explained that the sub-divisional officers make selections of the contractors and conclude agreements with them, sending such agreements to the Executive Engineer for approval. These agreements are then sent to sub-divisional officers, who keep them until the works are completed, returning them to the divisional office with the final bills of the contractors.

17. With reference to code para 1360 it was stated that earnest money is required by the Executive Engineer in all cases where tenders are invited, but there is no record in the cash book of such earnest money having been received.

18. The following works liabilities ~~in connexion with~~ were not booked in the accounts of the month in which such liabilities were incurred.

Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Period	Month in which liability booked	Date of receipt of Genday report
	Rs			
Closing breaches in Cauvery left bank Shillastanam limit	1440	27 Octr/87	Novr /87	20 Novr/87
		28 Octr/87	Do	Do
		29 Octr/87	Do	Do
		30 Octr/87	Do	Do
		31 Octr/87	Do	Do
Closing breaches in Cauvery left bank in Cottiyur limit	560	13 to 15 Octr/87	Novr /87	3rd Decr /87
		12 Octr/87	Decr /87	25 Decr/87
Repairing road No 6	Estimate	11 to 20 Octr/87	Decr /87	25 Decr/87
	not yet	21 to 31 Octr/87	Do	Do
	sanctioned	1 to 10 Novr/87	Do	Do
		11 to 20 Novr/87	Do	Do
		21 to 30 Novr/87	Do	Do

19. In the case of the following works, Genday reports were not submitted, though necessary.

Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Work abstract under notice
Closing breaches, Cauvery left bank in Shillastanam limit	1,440	November 1887
Closing breaches, Cauvery left bank in Kottiyur limit	560	December 1887
Emergent repairs to Vennar old head	890.	November 1887

20. Raising and widening both banks of the Cauvery (Estimate Rupees 25,580).

In the sanctioned estimate for this work, there are eight different sub-heads at varying rates from Rs 2-8-0 to Rs 10/- for each earth work, whereas the executed quantity and cost of earth work is booked in lump sum against all the sub-heads taken together. It was stated that it is not possible to distribute the work done to the different sub-heads in the terms of the sanctioned estimate. If this is really so, the object with which the estimate was framed, with so many different sub-heads for the same class of work, is not apparent.

21. In the case of the following works, the measurement of contractors and pieceworkers' work has not been regular.

Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Name of Contractor or piece worker	Date of measurement	Date of next measurement	Delay
	Rs				
Reconstructing Woomai Inadugu surplus sluice in left bank of south Rajen ~~Woikal~~ Voikal	1240	Moothoosamy Pillay	28 July /87	21 Octr/ 87	2 months and 23 days.
Constructing a drainage sluice for Serevalen lands in the left bank of Thirumalrajanar	1010	Seshappier	27 July /87	22 Septr/87	1 month and 25 days.
Reconstructing Virthanganallore drainage sluice in Munnayam right bank.	610	Sivaramakristna Iyer	4 July /87	27 Septr/87	2 months and 23 days.
Improving the Taluq Cutcherry at Mayaveram	11,680	Mohideen Saib	30 Septr/87	29 Novr/87	1 month and 29 days.
Repairing the Coleroon right bank	1540	Sivaramakristna Iyer	29 Septr/87	16 Decr/87	2 months and 17 days.

ENCLOSURE
18 AUG 88
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
31 MAY 88
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
1 OCT 88
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS

22. Constructing a drainage sluice for Serevaloor lands in the left bank of Thirumalarajanaur. Estimate Rs 1010/-

Work done by piece-worker Seshappier and measured on the 27th July 1887 was paid for on the 8th September 1887, or one month and 12 days afterwards.

23. In the case of work "Maintaining the left bank of Manjalar" (Estimate Rs 1150) the work abstract for July 1887 does not shew the quantities of work done as required by code 1760, V.

24. The contractors' ledger is not posted as contractors' work is measured or as payments are made to the contractor. Payments made in January 1888 have not yet been ledgerised. The ledger is generally posted in the beginning of the month following that to which the payments relate.

25. Code para 1367 is not observed.

26. The following sums due to contractors and piece-workers are outstanding for a long time.

Name of contractor or piece-worker.	Name of work.	Amount outstanding Rs	A	P.	Since when.
Dorasamy Thengumdam	Improving Jumbu Cauvery	24	-	-	September 1887.
Kristnasamy Iyengar	Improving the Vadavar	90	-	-	Do
Soondrum Pillay	Repairing Vennar left bank	13	1	11	June 1887
	Clearing Jumbu Cauvery	17	0	10	
	Clearing Rajandrum channel	7	13	11	
	Scarping projections in Vennar	4	5	3	
Venkatramier	Clearing Neelathanallore channel	10	14	0	
Kristna Row Peishwa	Repairing Vennacudi sluice	2	6	1.	
Marutha Pillay	Repairing sluices in Nattaur	18	8	5.	
G. Kuppusami Iyer	Repairing Chola Charry dam	10	11	2.	
	Repairing masonry works in Odumbogyam	9	2	7.	

27. The measurements of the following works as recorded in the measurement lists were compared with those in the measurement books and found to correspond.

(1) Constructing four groynes in Kodamurty.
(2) Clearing Neelathanallore channel, and
(3) Constructing District Jail at Tanjore.

28. Measurements in the books are cancelled, but those in the lists have not been cancelled for December 1887 and January 1888, those previous to that date have been cancelled. They are said to be cancelled periodically and not as bills are checked and paid.

29. With reference to para 16 of last report (G. O. No. 2966 W. dated 10th November 1886), a copy of the power of attorney from Messrs Hunter & Co. to their Agent, Ramanja Iyengar, recorded in the ~~Divisional~~ divisional office, was produced.

The bills are now signed by Ramanja Iyengar for Hunter & Co., and the ledger account is also kept in his name for the Company.

ENCLOSURE 18 AUG 88 EXAMINER, P. W.
ENCLOSURE 31 MAY 88 EXAMINER, P. W.
ENCLOSURE 1 OCT 88 EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS

30. In November 1887 the present Executive Engineer Mr Smith made an advance of Rupees 15/- to a temporary clerk, Strinivasa Row, (under orders of transfer to Ramnad Division) in anticipation of the Superintending Engineer's approval, which was refused in Superintending Engineer's No. 1555 G. J. dated 19th November 1887. Of this advance, Rs 12/- which was due to this clerk in this division have been adjusted, leaving a balance of Rs 3/- still to be recovered. The Executive Engineer, Ramnad division asked to effect this recovery, reports that it is irrecoverable.

31. There is a sum of Rs 112/- outstanding in the miscellaneous advance account for December 1887, being the value of stamped agreements. Seventy-eight agreements valued at Rs 39/- were produced, for the rest, with sub divisional officers' acknowledgments were produced.

Twelve service books, the value of which stands in the miscellaneous advance schedule, were counted.

32. Pass books were produced for all deposits remaining in the savings' bank.

The following pass books should have been sent to the savings' bank for the interest to be written up.

Name of Depositor	Amount Rs	Date of deposit.
Narayanasami Iyer	5	18th Decr 1886.
Ramaswamy Pillay	23	4th Decr 1886.
Ramaswamy Iyer	29	8th Novr 1886
Rengasamy Pillay	10	8th Novr 1886
Packiya Pillay	80	4th Augt 1886.
Do.	35	

33 The register of buildings is incomplete. The town police station at Tanjore, completed in March 1887, with an outlay of Rs 7,113/-, and since handed over to the Police Department, is not traceable in the register.

34. The budget registers are in order, but no register of liabilities is maintained.

35. The account of service postage labels is in order.

36.

36. The divisional tools and plant return was compared with sub-divisional returns. According to the sub-divisional returns there should be 38 levelling staves in the division, but the divisional consolidated return shows only 37.

The return for the current half year has been opened and posted to end of December 1887.

37. Claims for travelling allowance of lower subordinates, petty and office establishment from March 1887 to November 1887 inclusive, were checked and found correct.

38. Sub-divisional officers send in their claims as also those of their subordinates in a regular bill, which is signed by the sub-divisional officer and countersigned by the Executive Engineer in token of approval.

39. The original ~~memos~~ memoranda of claims of subordinates are not filed in the Executive Engineer's office, and consequently their claims were audited with reference to movements of officers under whom they were employed. It is desirable for the purpose of the test audit required by code para 531, that all original memoranda should be recorded in the division office.

40. Service books for the following individuals have not been, but should be opened.

M. R. R. A Masilamony Pillai, Acting Superintendent.
Kutya Nadan, Lascar.
C. V. Ayasawmy Iyer, Acting Superintendent.
Mathalamuthu Pillay, Clerk.
Venkatrama Iyengar, Storekeeper - a pensioner.

Remarks regarding the service books of the following employé's are particularised in the heading of columns 3 to 8. A cross in either column shews that the remark applies to the service book of that employé.

Nature of employé 1	Remark and designation 2	Date of last attestation 3	Entries in the 1st page not signed by any officer 4	Date of transfer and promotion not signed by any officer 5	Date of appointment not signed noted. 6	Service book not produced having been sent for re-attestation. 7	Date of birth not noted. 8
Ramasamy Raju	Lascar				× Not Known so noted before 69		
Madar Sahib	Do			×			
Govindasamy Pillay	Superintendent Conservancy Establishment.	19. October 1882.					
Samminathen	Lascar.		×		×		
Kondia Naidu	Superintendent Do conservancy Establishment					×	
Savarimoothu	Lascar.						×
Ramasamy	Lascar.			×			
Sathanandam Pillay	Clerk.			×			
Palani Andy	Lascar					×	
Kally	Lascar					×	
Somasundrum Moodely	Conservancy Establishment Estate Superintendent					×	
Chokalingam	Lascar.					×	
Veerapillay	Lascar.					×	
Solai	Do					×	
Muthuveeram	Do					×	
Ramalingam	Do					×	
Aroomoogam	Do					×	
Rengam	Do					×	
Gurunathen	Do					×	
Govinden	Do					×	
Kattam	Do					×	
Venkata Chellam	Do					×	
Muthu Nadam	Do			×			
Govindu Pillay	Do					×	
Samminathen	Do					×	
Muthusamy	Do					×	
Solamuthen	Do					×	
Veerasami Raju	Do					×	

Remarks regarding the service books of the following employés are particularised in the heading of columns 3 to 8.
A cross in either column shews that the remark applies to the service book of that employé.

Nature of employé 1	Remark and designation 2	Date of last attestation 3	Entries in the 1st page not signed by any officer 4	Date of transfer and promotion not signed by any officer 5	Date of appointment not signed noted. 6	Service books not produced having been sent for re-attestation. 7	Date of birth not noted. 8
Do	Lascar			x			
K. R. Ringa Chariar	Superintendent					x	
Ramen	Lascar					x	
Hanji Pooman	Do					x	
Mookan	Do					x	
Saminatha Mooppan	Do					x	
Appa Pillay	Do					x	
Karuppan	Do					x	
Audiappen	Do					x	
Saminathen	Do					x	
Maruthai	Do					x	
Ramasami Naik	Do					x	
Coopan Padachi	Do					x	
Govinden	Do					x	
Sadalinga Moodaly	Do					x	

42. The accountant's intimacy with all the transactions which came under notice at the inspection was very satisfactory. He is evidently hardworking. He is sufficiently assisted

ENCLOSURE
18 AUG 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
31 [illegible] 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

Madras
8th February 1888 }

(Signed) H. Rainier
Inspecting Officer.

ENCLOSURE
1 OCT 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

O.N. 1407 of 26·4·88

Forwarded, with the accompanying summary and remarks, for the information and orders of Government.

(C. No. 93)

Division Ganjam Summary of Inspection Notes dated 31st January to 3rd February 1888.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
1.	3.	Overdraft of balance in treasury by a sub-divisional officer on one occasion, and by the Executive Engineer on another.		1. This over-draft was brought to the notice of Government by this office and the rules made clearer by the issue of a Standing Order on the point.
2	4 10.	Incomplete submission of vouchers generally by sub-divisional officers with cash books, and vouchers not forthcoming in several cases	1737.	2. This general question has been taken up separately. See G. O. No 2930 W. dated 4th November 1887
3	6	Destruction of vouchers for contingencies after audit instead of defacement	858 and Form M 155 certificate by disbursing officer	3. Vouchers should be defaced to prevent their being used again, but should not be destroyed. A standing order is about to issue making this clear.
4	7	Payment apparently made to the wrong party in a certain case		4. The Executive Engineer should report the result of his proposed enquiry into the matter.
5	8	Want of an affidavit in a case where payment was made to the widow of a lascar	464.	5. The Executive Engineer's attention having now been drawn to the rule, it will no doubt be observed in future

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
6	9.	Want of Superintending Engineer's sanction to the appointment of a temporary surveyor on Rs 35/- per mensem	935.	6. Since sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer, vide No + 2053 S.g. dated 14th February 1888. + not received in this Office 30/4-
7.	12	Failure to enter (except in the case of one sub-division) works estimated to cost between Rs 200 and Rs 2,500 in the register of works	1866. Rule III	7. Such works should be recorded in the register of works, but details by sub heads are unnecessary.
8	13.	Delay in the preparation of work abstracts which are not taken up till the close of the month to which they relate	1764.	8. The preparation of work abstracts should not be left in arrears; they should be taken in hand as soon as the tenday reports are received.
9	14 15	Irregularities in regard to contracts (i) Failure to call for tenders in 64 cases (ii) Agreements said to exist not produced in 64 cases (iii) Contracts concluded by the Executive	1354 1355.	9. These irregularities would seem to require the Superintending Engineer's attention
9 (Contd)	14/15	Engineer in 11 cases in anticipation of superior authority	1354.	
	"	(iv) Failure to recover earnest money in 12 instances	1360.	The code rule is not as clear as it might be, but apparently the intention is to leave it optional with the Executive Engineer to require earnest money or not.
10.	16.	Failure as a rule to call for tenders for works costing Rs 2500/- and under	1355.	10. Seems to require Superintending Engineer's attention. Tenders for works costing over Rs 2000/- require Superintending Engineer's sanction before they can be accepted.
	~~17.~~	~~Disregard of code~~ rule as to recording recoveries of earnest ~~money in cash book~~	1897.	~~When cash is tendered it~~ should be entered in cash ~~book and paid into treasury~~.
11	18	Failure to record liabilities for work done in accounts of the month in which such liabilities were incurred in 3 cases, owing to late receipt of ten day reports.	1864 1751.	11. The punctual submission of ten-day reports should be insisted on.
12	19	Failure to submit ten-day reports when necessary in the case of 3 works.	1751	12. See above

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
13	20.	Failure to record progress on earthwork against the different sub-heads at different rates for the same in the accounts of a certain work		13. The accounts of a work should follow the estimate. Fuller explanation from the Executive Engineer seems desirable.
14	21 25.	Delay in measuring work done by contractors and piece workers in 5 cases.	1367 1824 1829 1864	14. The question of periodical measurement of works has been separately taken up in G. O. No. 3130 W dated 19 November 1887.
15	22	Delay in paying for work done by a piece-worker	1824 1829.	15. An isolated case apparently.
16	23.	Failure to show quantities of work done in the work abstract of a certain work	1760. V	16 The quantities of work done should be shown in all cases.
17	24	Delay in posting the contractors' ledger	1868	17. The ledger should be posted as soon as the bills are passed
18	26.	Delay in settling balances due to contractors and piece-workers, 11 cases.	1828 1868	18. All arrears due to contractors should be settled as early as possible, and as a rule there should be no arrears for work done by piece-work.
19	28.	Failure to cancel measurement lists for December 1887 and January 1888.		19. It is very desirable that measurement lists should be cancelled as soon as bills are drawn.
20	30	Balance of an advance made by the Executive Engineer to a temporary clerk on transfer to another division (advance not approved of by the Superintending Engineer) irrecoverable	454 A.	20. The advance should not have been made without the prior sanction of Government. The Executive Engineer's is responsible for the irrecoverable balance of Rs. 3/- Fuller explanation seems desirable as to the non-recovery of the whole amount from salary as soon as the Superintending Engineer's approval was refused.
21	32	Non-submission of savings' banks books for interest to be written up in 6 cases.		21. This should be attended to.
22	33.	Register of buildings incomplete in regard to a certain building completed in March 1887	975	22 The Executive Engineer should it is thought report whether his register is now complete.
23	34	Failure to maintain a register of liabilities	1595	23. This register should be opened out at once, and the Executive Engineer called on to report that this has been done.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
24	36	Discrepancy in the register of "Tools and Plant" in regard to a levelling staff		24. Should be explained.
25	39.	Failure to record original claims of subordinates for travelling allowances in the divisional office	531.	25 Should be attended to.
		Irregularities in service books.		
26	40.	Failure to open out service books in 5 cases	864.	26. The Executive Engineer should report when he has opened these out.
27	41	(i) Entries on first page of a service book not signed by any officer	864.	27. The Executive Engineer should take steps to remedy these defects and report when he has done so. In the case of the books not produced at the inspection he should report after personal inspection whether they are now
"		(ii) Date of promotion and transfer not signed by any officer in 5 cases	864.	
"		(iii) Date of appointment not noted in two instances	864.	
"		IV Books not produced at inspection owing		

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.
27 (Contd)	41	owing to their having been sent for re-attestation (20)	864 Note III	27 (Contd). now complete in all respects.
"		(V) Date of birth not entered in one case		

Major R.E.
Examiner P. W. Accounts
Madras.

C.H. 25/4.
E.P. 26/4.

Item No.	Para. of Inspection Notes.	Irregularity noticed.	Code or other order bearing on the subject.	Examiner's Remarks.

P.W. Genl / 6394/88

963 S(61)

ENCLOSURE
OCT 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
31 MAY 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
18 AUG 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

no 2966w of 10.11.86
" 2930w of 4.11.87
" 3130w of 19.11.87

ENCLOSURE
1131
31 MAY 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
1681
18 AUG 88
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

ENCLOSURE
9635 ~~No 3908~~
1 OCT 88
6
EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS

E.1

~~4983~~
1066/

From

Major. C. H. P. Christie. R. E.
Examiner. P. W. Accounts
Madras.

1/39 T.J./88
SE 61

2/458 T.J./100
SE 6

Rey 27/4/88

To

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Departt.

G.O. 1357. W. d 8.5.88

O.N. № 1407 d 26.4.88

Tanjore Division.

Notes of inspection by H. Rainier, Esquire, of the office of the Executive Engineer — Forwards with the accompanying summary and remarks, for the information and orders of Government.

0
1/5/88. O.S. 14/v

№ 373 T.J.

Encl: 1.

Remarks by S. E.

I never heard of this being the case, but it may be so.

I consider a certain amount of discretion should be allowed to Exec Engs. especially in the case of small works

---

Copy of letter from C. Milford Smith Esquire, Executive Engineer Tanjore Division to The Superintg. Engineer VIth Circle No. 1789 dated 19th May 1888. Enclos: 2

With reference to your endorsement No. 267. T.J. / 12.5.88 on G. O. No. 1357. W dated 8th May 1888, I have the honor to explain on the points noticed by the Examiner of P.W. Accounts in the Summary of Inspection notes (returned herewith) as follows:-

Item No: 4. The name Vadachari is another form of Venkatachari and refers to the same individual.

Item 9-

(i) Many of the Contracts alluded to are for very small works which might be done by Piece work, but are done on Contract as it is desirable to name a Specified time for Completion. For such works it is impossible to call for tenders. In many cases calling for tenders has delayed works. I think there ought to be a limit below which tenders need not be called for say Rs 200

(ii). Was fully explained to

The

the Examining Officer. The agreements were in the Sub Division Office: hence of course not produced. If required they could have easily been called for and produced by return post.–

(III). This will not be done hereafter.–

IV. This is a presumption. But I believe it is not the case. No accounts (vide para 17) have been kept of earnest money which is returned on the day when tenders are opened.–

Item No. 10 – Tenders are now being called for for all works of importance. Vide para 1. Explanation under Item No. 9.–

13. For earthwork there are eight Sub heads in the Sanctioned abstract Estimate for Cauvery Bank, the rates being from Rs: 2/8 to Rs 10 per 1000 Cft. whereas the Contract rates are only two. It was therefore thought unnecessary to follow the Estimate Sub heads. It seems necessary to point out that in Estimating it is necessary to allow different rates for earthwork, following rules in regard to lead and lift: whereas in executing the work the same

rates

The explanation given to the Inspecting Officer should have sufficed.

I think an account should be kept of all money received, for whatever purpose it may be, whether "Earnest money" should be passed thro' the accts is another question.

Detailed instructions to the Exe: Engr are required from the Examiner.

There must sometimes be delay. Anyone who has worked with natives knows how difficult it is to get them to finish off a job properly.

rate may be paid for the whole work, in accordance with the tender of the Contractor.–

The note to para 1352 of the Code seems to provide for this.–

18. As the balances are withheld to ensure proper completion of the works they must necessarily remain as arrears unless efficiency on work is to be sacrificed to accounts.–

20. The advance was made on the transfer of the Clerk who held a permanent (Acting) appointment in this Division. As soon as the Superintending Engineer's intimation was received the whole of his Salary due in this Division was recovered and the Executive Engineer Ramnad was requested to recover the balance Rs: 3 from the first issue of pay. The Ramnad Division Officer informed me that the Salary claimed on the Clerk's behalf was disallowed by the Examiner and that it would be recovered when audited. He has been addressed on the subject again.–

22. As a Supplemental Estimate was under execution during 1887-88, the building was

not

not entered but has since been entered in the Register which is complete.

23. This Register has never been in use in this Division and in previous examinations of this Office no reference was made to its necessity.—

24. The excess of one Staff shewn in the Subordinates accounts above the actual number in the Division Return will be enquired into and disposed of early.—

25. The original claims of Subordinates (Office and Petty Establishments) are received in bits of papers and there are destroyed on the claims being entered in the Bill. As the claims in question are scrutinised when passing the bill, the bits of paper are considered not worthy of record especially as in all cases the Subordinates cannot prepare their own claims.—

26. Necessary Service books are opened out except one for Venkatarama Iyengar Storekeeper (a Pensioner). Instructions are requested as to whether a Service book in his case is necessary, as his services will not count again for any remuneration.—

27. III & V. Necessary steps will be taken to fill in the omissions.—

To
The Chief Engineer
Prod—

If any special method of recording these claims, besides the entry in T. Allce Bill is necessary, detailed instructions should be given to the Exec Engr.

I should think it necessary to keep a Service Book for a Pensioner, as a record of leave earned & taken. Instructions on this point shd be furnished to the Exec Engr by the Examiner.

J. W. Rundall
Supg Engr.

Trichy
22 May /88
D23/.

No. 2036C d/ 30th May 1888

To

C

For favour of remarks.

Gwm
29.5.88

D. W.

No. 1787 d/ 29.6.88

Enc: 1

To W.

Returned with the following remarks:-

2. Item 9(II) of summary. Mr. Rainier, the inspecting officer, denies having received any such explanation, and it is most improbable that such an explanation could have been given, as it would certainly have been noticed by him as objectionable; he having already on several previous occasions taken exception to the practice of sending contract deeds away from the divisional office.

3. Item 9(IV). Code para 1897 is clear on the point; if earnest money is received either in coin or currency notes, the amount should be entered in the cash book as deposits received, and paid into treasury in the same manner as other miscellaneous receipts; but I think the procedure is probably unnecessarily troublesome. I will take the matter up separately in connection with item 9 of the Trichinopoly inspection report summary.

4. Item 13. The variation in rate should have been brought to the notice of the Examiner in accordance with Code para 1352 note, but the Executive Engineer did not do so. It seems to me that it would be much better in such cases to provide for the immediate revision of the estimate on the basis of the accepted tender; if the original estimate is to stand whilst the details are altered no useful comparison can be made between estimate and actuals. As far as I can discover this office has never yet received a single report under Code para 1352, and I would suggest modification of the Code in the above sense.

5. Item 18. Nobody can desire

P.W.C. No. ~~4~~93

EXAMINER
No. 1131
31 MAY 1888
ENCLOSURES 1
P. W. ACCOUNTS

6394/
88

From

The Supt. Engineer
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
R. W. D.

No. 373 T.J.
Dated 22d.
Recd. 23d. } May/88.

Enc. 1.

Inspection Notes of Dy: Examiner on the Examination of the Tanjore Dn. Office:—

Returns the — with replies of the Exec: Engr on the several points noticed —

92

that the balance should be paid off if the work is not properly done; but it seems to be a question, whether "efficiency on work" would not often be better secured by completing the work by other agency at the contractor's expense, instead of holding on to insignificant sums the retention of which is not sufficient inducement to make the contractor do the work. Of the eleven items noticed, nine had been outstanding for seven months and the average amount retained in each case was about Rs. 10.

6. Item 20. The item of Rs. 3 will be retrenched by this office and deducted from the amount of Rs. 10-5-8 claimed on behalf of the clerk and admitted in the salary bill of the Ramnad Division for May 1888.

7. Item 23. The Executive Engineer should state whether the register has now been opened. It is required by Code para 1595.

8. Item 25. The procedure is under consideration of the Code Committee.

9. Item 26. Vencataramiengar is a compensation pensioner drawing pension in addition to pay. Under section 129 of the Civil Pension Code a service book seems necessary as he is holding a substantive appointment on a permanent establishment. There is no necessity for opening out a new service book. The service book sent to Government along with his pension papers will be applied for by this office and forwarded to the Executive Engineer when received.

10. Item 27. Points noticed in (i) (ii) and (iv) regarding certain omissions in service books have not been replied to. Further report seems desireable.

11. The other items call for no further remarks.

C.

29/6.

No 2036 C. 30-5-88
B.W. 1357 W. 8.5.88
G.O. 2966 W. 10.11.86
" 2930 W. 4.11.87
" 3130 W. 19-11.87.

Read Circs
4933 & 6394

No 2094 Wd/11th July
1888

The Examr's note of 29th June 1888 will be communicated to the S.E. VI Circle for attention.

2 The Examiner will report separately on the subject of his para 4, when the views of Supdg Engrs on the point will be called for.

MCB
4.7.88

Jfu
6/7/88

S.E. VI Circle ✓
Examr P.W.A. ✓ 12/7

P. 4.7.88
R. 5.7.88
30:7
pc: 7.7
13/7
16/7

P.W.C. No 6394

(3)

En 1

Ry 2.9.88

No 2094 Wd/11th July
1888

Dr

to the order