1571 dy 22.5.88

P.W.Co. 6674

No. 2081 W dy 10 July 1888

Recorded in con-
tinuation of G.O. 1511 W dy
22 May 1888.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

10 SC

Dated June,

Issued

Recd. 9.7.88. Encl.

G.O., 29 June 1888, No. 4441

No. 2081 W dy 10 July 1888

JUNE.

Nos. 533-534

3/7/88

Appointments.

Colonel.—Correspondence from Her Majesty's Government regarding the appointments in India carrying the rank of — and the gazetting of such appointments; also as regards Officers so promoted subscribing to any of the Military Funds, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

4/VII/88

12/7

with order

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Appointments.

Read the following :—

No. . *Military Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor-General of India in Council ; dated India Office, London, 17th May* 1888, *No.* 114.

In continuation of my Military Despatch No. 67, of the 22nd March last, and with reference to the 31st paragraph of your Military letter of the 27th March, I forward herewith a copy of a further correspondence * with the War Office and Horse Guards on the subjects of the appointments in India to carry the rank of Colonel, and the gazetting of such appointments.

Appointments carrying the rank of Colonel. Gazetting of appointments. Subscription to Funds.

* From	War Office,	13th April 1888.
To	do.	2nd May, M 3855.
To	Horse Guards,	18th April, M 2921.
From	do.	26th April.
To	do.	2nd May, M 4270.
To	do.	19th April, M 3957.
From	do.	28th April.
To	do.	4th May, M 4366.
From	War Office,	8th May.
From	Horse Guards,	16th April.
To	do.	15th May, M 3923.

2. You will observe that the rank of Colonel, when conferred in virtue of selection for an appointment, is considered as substantive rank in the Army. As it is possible that the use of the term "substantive" in the Gazette may give rise to claims on the part of Officers to subscribe to the various Military Funds in the grade of Colonel, I request that you will issue an Army Circular pointing out that the rank is only Army rank, does not give the holder of it the rank of Colonel in the Artillery, Engineers, Cavalry, Infantry, or Staff Corps, and does not carry with it the pay of Colonel, and that, consequently, it does not carry with it a title to subscribe to any of the Military Funds in that grade.

No. 57514/1886.

WAR OFFICE,
13th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st ultimo, M 1942, and in reply to request that you will be good enough to cause the Secretary of State for India in Council to be informed that Mr. Secretary Stanhope concurs generally in the views therein expressed.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 3855.

INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th April, No. 57514/1886. Viscount Cross gathers therefrom that it is not

the intention of the Secretary of State for War, or of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, to interfere with the discretion * of the authorities in India in making appointments to the Staff in that country.

* See my letter of the 21st March last, M 1942, paras. 2 and 3.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Under Secretary of State for War.

M 2921.

INDIA OFFICE,
18th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th March, and to acquaint you in reply that Viscount Cross assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1898.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
26th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th instant, M 2921, stating that the Secretary of State for India in Council assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional, and I am to request that you will move Viscount Cross to be so good as to cause the necessary steps to be taken to insert these provisional appointments in the *London Gazette*.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4270.

INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th April 1888, No. 57514/1898, and to acquaint you, in reply, that the provisional appointments to the Staff in India were notified in the *London Gazette*, of the 27th April.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

M 3957.

INDIA OFFICE,
19th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to forward herewith, for the information of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

The dates given are those on which the Officers severally complete three years' service in the rank of Lieutenant-Colonel.

Viscount Cross understands that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant Colonels in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, but I am to point out

that, were a Lieutenant-Colonel of just three years' service to be appointed Inspector-General of Ordnance in a vacancy which is about to occur, he would be promoted to the rank of Colonel, and would thus supersede the Officers named by the Government of India.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1902.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
28th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th instant, M 3957, giving cover to the extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

In reply, I am to request that you will inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Lordship is right in his inference, that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant-Colonel to the rank of substantive Colonel in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, and these Officers, therefore, will continue to qualify for the rank of Colonel in ordinary course under the four years' rule.

With regard, however, to the contingency which you suggest might arise, I am to state that it was essential, when considering the revision of the Royal Warrant, rendered necessary by the proposed alterations in establishments and system of promotion in the Army, to also make provision for promotion to the higher ranks, and, consequently, the article relating to the promotion to Colonel was included in the Warrant; but, as a large number of Lieutenant-Colonels were and are still qualifying under former rules, it was not the intention to give effect to this article (No. 15) by the selection of Lieutenant-Colonels for promotion to the detriment of qualifying Officers under the old rules, unless some very special circumstances rendered such promotion desirable in the interest of the public service.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4366.

INDIA OFFICE,
4th May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th April, No. 57514/1902, and to request that I may be furnished with some information as to the precise scope of the last paragraph thereof.

As stated in my letter to the War Office of the 2nd May, M 3855, Viscount Cross gathers that it is not intended to interfere with the discretion of the authorities in India in making appointments, and, assuming this to be so, it appears to His Lordship that the operation of the Warrant must be, in a case such as that put in my letter of the 19th April, to affect injuriously the Senior Officers who may be qualifying under the old rules.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1905 and 1907.

WAR OFFICE,
8th May 1888.

SIR,

With reference to your letters of the 2nd and 4th instant, I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for India in Council, that Mr. Secretary Stanhope and His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief do not desire to interfere with the discretion of the local authorities in India in selecting such Officers of the Indian Service as may, in their opinion, be most fitted for appointments; but with regard to British Officers, their selection, when for a post carrying in future the rank of Colonel,

should be submitted for His Royal Highness' approval agreeably to the arrangement made in my letter of the 16th February last, and as is now done in the case of selections for the command of Brigades.

Further, in regard to your letter of the 4th instant, I am again to express the hope that selections for posts carrying the rank of Colonel may not, except under very exceptional circumstances, be made at present, to the detriment of existing Colonels, or of Officers qualifying for the rank under old rules; but in the event of such a contingency occurring as that named in your letter of th 19th ultimo, it is presumed that the Government of India would be able to advance very strong reasons for the necessity of making an appointment in the Ordnance Department which would involve the supersession in Army rank of Officers who are already holding the highest offices in that Department in India.

The Commander-in-Chief is of opinion that, except for very special reasons, promotion under Article 15 (*a*) of the Royal Warrant of the 15th November 1887 should not be conferred, at any rate for the present, upon an Officer who was a Lieutenant-Colonel before the 1st January 1887, but in the event of such being proposed, the case should be submitted for the decision of the Military authorities in this country.

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India. (Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

No. 64464/25.

SIR,

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
16*th April* 1888.

An application having been received from Colonel H. D. Pitt, half-pay, Royal Artillery, that he should be removed from the half-pay list on taking up the command of an Artillery District in India, I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to request that you will be good enough to inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Royal Highness, in accordance with the decision come to in recent cases, that these appointments should carry the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army, proposes, should Viscount Cross see no objection, to take steps to have this, and similar appointments, gazetted accordingly.

The wording of the Gazette would be similar to that in use for appointments to the general Staff in this country, viz.:—

"Colonel ————, from Lieutenant-Colonel, half-pay, to command an Artillery District in India, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army.

"Dated ——— ."

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India. (Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

M 3923.

SIR,

INDIA OFFICE,
15*th May* 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th April 1888, and to acquaint you, in reply, that Viscount Cross concurs in the proposed wording of the Gazette on the occasion of the appointment of an Officer from the half-pay list to the command of an Artillery District in India.

2. Other appointments of British Officers would, His Lordship presumes, be gazetted in a similar manner.

3. For reasons peculiar to the Indian Service, it will be necessary to modify the form in the case of an Officer of the Indian Army. Such an Officer will not cease on appointment to be a Lieutenant-Colonel of the Staff Corps, Cavalry, or Infantry, as the case may be. Viscount Cross would, therefore, propose to word the announcement in the case of such an Officer as follows:—

"Colonel ———, Lieutenant-Colonel in the Staff Corps, to be ———, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army."

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 1311-A.

Promotions.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department, in continuation of Military Department letter No. 996-A, of the 2nd May 1888.

(By Order.)

(Signed) A. C. TOKER,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

SIMLA,
12*th June* 1888.

No. . ORDER THEREON, 29 June 1888, No. 4441

Communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, in continuation of Proceedings of Government, No. 3315, dated 17th May 1888.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
" Quarter Master General,
" Inspector-General of Ordnance,
" Commissary-General,
" Public Works Department,
" Controller of Military Accounts,
} for information.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

No. 2081 W 5/10 July/88

Recorded in continuation of G.O. No. 1511 W 5/22 May/88.

181 I.O. Wasted Coll. R.E. Secty to Govt. Mad.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated June,

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 29 June 1888, No. 4441

JUNE.

Nos.

Appointments.

Colonel.—Correspondence from Her Majesty's Government regarding the appointments in India carrying the rank of — and the gazetting of such appointments; also as regards Officers so promoted subscribing to any of the Military Funds, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Appointments.

Read the following :—

No. . *Military Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor-General of India in Council ; dated India Office, London, 17th May* 1888, *No.* 114.

In continuation of my Military Despatch No. 67, of the 22nd March last, and with reference to the 31st paragraph of your Military letter of the 27th March, I forward herewith a copy of a further correspondence * with the War Office and Horse Guards on the subjects of the appointments in India to carry the rank of Colonel, and the gazetting of such appointments.

2. You will observe that the rank of Colonel, when conferred in virtue of selection for an appointment, is considered as substantive rank in the Army. As it is possible that the use of the term "substantive" in the Gazette may give rise to claims on the part of Officers to subscribe to the various Military Funds in the grade of Colonel, I request that you will issue an Army Circular pointing out that the rank is only Army rank, does not give the holder of it the rank of Colonel in the Artillery, Engineers, Cavalry, Infantry, or Staff Corps, and does not carry with it the pay of Colonel, and that, consequently, it does not carry with it a title to subscribe to any of the Military Funds in that grade.

Appointments carrying the rank of Colonel. Gazetting of appointments. Subscription to Funds.

* From	War Office,	13th April 1888.
To	do.	2nd May, M 3855.
To	Horse Guards,	18th April, M 2921.
From	do.	26th April.
To	do.	2nd May, M 4270.
To	do.	19th April, M 3957.
From	do.	28th April.
To	do.	4th May, M 4366.
From	War Office,	8th May.
From	Horse Guards,	16th April.
To	do.	15th May, M 3923.

No. 57514/1886.

WAR OFFICE,
13th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st ultimo, M 1942, and in reply to request that you will be good enough to cause the Secretary of State for India in Council to be informed that Mr. Secretary Stanhope concurs generally in the views therein expressed.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 3855.

INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th April, No. 57514/1886. Viscount Cross gathers therefrom that it is not

the intention of the Secretary of State for War, or of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, to interfere with the discretion * of the authorities in India in making appointments to the Staff in that country.

* See my letter of the 21st March last, M 1942, paras. 2 and 3.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Under Secretary of State for War.

M 2921.

INDIA OFFICE,
18th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th March, and to acquaint you in reply that Viscount Cross assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1898.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
26th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th instant, M 2921, stating that the Secretary of State for India in Council assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional, and I am to request that you will move Viscount Cross to be so good as to cause the necessary steps to be taken to insert these provisional appointments in the *London Gazette*.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4270.

INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th April 1888, No. 57514/1898, and to acquaint you, in reply, that the provisional appointments to the Staff in India were notified in the *London Gazette*, of the 27th April.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

M 3957.

INDIA OFFICE,
19th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to forward herewith, for the information of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

The dates given are those on which the Officers severally complete three years' service in the rank of Lieutenant-Colonel.

Viscount Cross understands that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant Colonels in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, but I am to point out

that, were a Lieutenant-Colonel of just three years' service to be appointed Inspector-General of Ordnance in a vacancy which is about to occur, he would be promoted to the rank of Colonel, and would thus supersede the Officers named by the Government of India.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1902.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
28th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th instant, M 3957, giving cover to the extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

In reply, I am to request that you will inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Lordship is right in his inference, that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant-Colonel to the rank of substantive Colonel in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, and these Officers, therefore, will continue to qualify for the rank of Colonel in ordinary course under the four years' rule.

With regard, however, to the contingency which you suggest might arise, I am to state that it was essential, when considering the revision of the Royal Warrant, rendered necessary by the proposed alterations in establishments and system of promotion in the Army, to also make provision for promotion to the higher ranks, and, consequently, the article relating to the promotion to Colonel was included in the Warrant; but, as a large number of Lieutenant-Colonels were and are still qualifying under former rules, it was not the intention to give effect to this article (No. 15) by the selection of Lieutenant-Colonels for promotion to the detriment of qualifying Officers under the old rules, unless some very special circumstances rendered such promotion desirable in the interest of the public service.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4366.

INDIA OFFICE,
4th May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th April, No. 57514/1902, and to request that I may be furnished with some information as to the precise scope of the last paragraph thereof.

As stated in my letter to the War Office of the 2nd May, M 3855, Viscount Cross gathers that it is not intended to interfere with the discretion of the authorities in India in making appointments, and, assuming this to be so, it appears to His Lordship that the operation of the Warrant must be, in a case such as that put in my letter of the 19th April, to affect injuriously the Senior Officers who may be qualifying under the old rules.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1905 and 1907.

WAR OFFICE,
8th May 1888.

SIR,

With reference to your letters of the 2nd and 4th instant, I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for India in Council, that Mr. Secretary Stanhope and His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief do not desire to interfere with the discretion of the local authorities in India in selecting such Officers of the Indian Service as may, in their opinion, be most fitted for appointments; but with regard to British Officers, their selection, when for a post carrying in future the rank of Colonel,

should be submitted for His Royal Highness' approval agreeably to the arrangement made in my letter of the 16th February last, and as is now done in the case of selections for the command of Brigades.

Further, in regard to your letter of the 4th instant, I am again to express the hope that selections for posts carrying the rank of Colonel may not, except under very exceptional circumstances, be made at present, to the detriment of existing Colonels, or of Officers qualifying for the rank under old rules; but in the event of such a contingency occurring as that named in your letter of the 19th ultimo, it is presumed that the Government of India would be able to advance very strong reasons for the necessity of making an appointment in the Ordnance Department which would involve the supersession in Army rank of Officers who are already holding the highest offices in that Department in India.

The Commander-in-Chief is of opinion that, except for very special reasons, promotion under Article 15 (*a*) of the Royal Warrant of the 15th November 1887 should not be conferred, at any rate for the present, upon an Officer who was a Lieutenant-Colonel before the 1st January 1887, but in the event of such being proposed, the case should be submitted for the decision of the Military authorities in this country.

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India. (Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

No. 64464/25.

SIR,

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
16*th April* 1888.

An application having been received from Colonel H. D. Pitt, half-pay, Royal Artillery, that he should be removed from the half-pay list on taking up the command of an Artillery District in India, I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to request that you will be good enough to inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Royal Highness, in accordance with the decision come to in recent cases, that these appointments should carry the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army, proposes, should Viscount Cross see no objection, to take steps to have this, and similar appointments, gazetted accordingly.

The wording of the Gazette would be similar to that in use for appointments to the general Staff in this country, viz.:—

"Colonel ———, from Lieutenant-Colonel, half-pay, to command an Artillery District in India, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army.

"Dated ———."

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India. (Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

SIR,

M 3923.

INDIA OFFICE,
15*th May* 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th April 1888, and to acquaint you, in reply, that Viscount Cross concurs in the proposed wording of the Gazette on the occasion of the appointment of an Officer from the half-pay list to the command of an Artillery District in India.

2. Other appointments of British Officers would, His Lordship presumes, be gazetted in a similar manner.

3. For reasons peculiar to the Indian Service, it will be necessary to modify the form in the case of an Officer of the Indian Army. Such an Officer will not cease on appointment to be a Lieutenant-Colonel of the Staff Corps, Cavalry, or Infantry, as the case may be. Viscount Cross would, therefore, propose to word the announcement in the case of such an Officer as follows:—

"Colonel ———, Lieutenant-Colonel in the Staff Corps, to be ———, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army."

I have, &c.,

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards. (Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

No. 1311-A.

Promotions.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department, in continuation of Military Department letter No. 996-A, of the 2nd May 1888.

(By Order.)

(Signed) A. C. TOKER,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

SIMLA,
12*th June* 1888.

No. . ORDER THEREON, 29th June 1888, No. 4441

Communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, in continuation of Proceedings of Government, No. 3315, dated 17th May 1888.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
" Quarter Master General,
" Inspector-General of Ordnance,
" Commissary-General,
" Public Works Department,
" Controller of Military Accounts,
} for information.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated June,

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 29 June 1888, No. 4441

JUNE.

Nos.

Appointments.

Colonel.—Correspondence from Her Majesty's Government regarding the appointments in India carrying the rank of — and the gazetting of such appointments; also as regards Officers so promoted subscribing to any of the Military Funds, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Appointments.

Read the following :—

No. . *Military Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor-General of India in Council ; dated India Office, London, 17th May* 1888, *No.* 114.

In continuation of my Military Despatch No. 67, of the 22nd March last, and with reference to the 31st paragraph of your Military letter of the 27th March, I forward herewith a copy of a further correspondence * with the War Office and Horse Guards on the subjects of the appointments in India to carry the rank of Colonel, and the gazetting of such appointments.

2. You will observe that the rank of Colonel, when conferred in virtue of selection for an appointment, is considered as substantive rank in the Army. As it is possible that the use of the term "substantive" in the Gazette may give rise to claims on the part of Officers to subscribe to the various Military Funds in the grade of Colonel, I request that you will issue an Army Circular pointing out that the rank is only Army rank, does not give the holder of it the rank of Colonel in the Artillery, Engineers, Cavalry, Infantry, or Staff Corps, and does not carry with it the pay of Colonel, and that, consequently, it does not carry with it a title to subscribe to any of the Military Funds in that grade.

Appointments carrying the rank of Colonel. Gazetting of appointments. Subscription to Funds.

* From	War Office,	13th April 1888.
To	do.	2nd May, M 3855.
To	Horse Guards,	18th April, M 2921.
From	do.	26th April.
To	do.	2nd May, M 4270.
To	do.	19th April, M 3957.
From	do.	28th April.
To	do.	4th May, M 4366.
From	War Office,	8th May.
From	Horse Guards,	16th April.
To	do.	15th May, M 3923.

No. 57514/1886.

WAR OFFICE,
13th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st ultimo, M 1942, and in reply to request that you will be good enough to cause the Secretary of State for India in Council to be informed that Mr. Secretary Stanhope concurs generally in the views therein expressed.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 3855.

INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th April, No. 57514/1886. Viscount Cross gathers therefrom that it is not

the intention of the Secretary of State for War, or of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, to interfere with the discretion * of the authorities in India in making appointments to the Staff in that country.

* See my letter of the 21st March last, M 1942, paras. 2 and 3.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Under Secretary of State for War.

M 2921.

INDIA OFFICE,
18*th April* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th March, and to acquaint you in reply that Viscount Cross assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1898.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
26*th April* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th instant, M 2921, stating that the Secretary of State for India in Council assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional, and I am to request that you will move Viscount Cross to be so good as to cause the necessary steps to be taken to insert these provisional appointments in the *London Gazette*.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4270.

INDIA OFFICE,
2*nd May* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th April 1888, No. 57514/1898, and to acquaint you, in reply, that the provisional appointments to the Staff in India were notified in the *London Gazette*, of the 27th April.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

M 3957.

INDIA OFFICE,
19*th April* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to forward herewith, for the information of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

The dates given are those on which the Officers severally complete three years' service in the rank of Lieutenant-Colonel.

Viscount Cross understands that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant Colonels in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, but I am to point out

that, were a Lieutenant-Colonel of just three years' service to be appointed Inspector-General of Ordnance in a vacancy which is about to occur, he would be promoted to the rank of Colonel, and would thus supersede the Officers named by the Government of India.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1902.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
28*th April* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th instant, M 3957, giving cover to the extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

In reply, I am to request that you will inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Lordship is right in his inference, that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant-Colonel to the rank of substantive Colonel in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, and these Officers, therefore, will continue to qualify for the rank of Colonel in ordinary course under the four years' rule.

With regard, however, to the contingency which you suggest might arise, I am to state that it was essential, when considering the revision of the Royal Warrant, rendered necessary by the proposed alterations in establishments and system of promotion in the Army, to also make provision for promotion to the higher ranks, and, consequently, the article relating to the promotion to Colonel was included in the Warrant; but, as a large number of Lieutenant-Colonels were and are still qualifying under former rules, it was not the intention to give effect to this article (No. 15) by the selection of Lieutenant-Colonels for promotion to the detriment of qualifying Officers under the old rules, unless some very special circumstances rendered such promotion desirable in the interest of the public service.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4366.

INDIA OFFICE,
4*th May* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th April, No. 57514/1902, and to request that I may be furnished with some information as to the precise scope of the last paragraph thereof.

As stated in my letter to the War Office of the 2nd May, M 3855, Viscount Cross gathers that it is not intended to interfere with the discretion of the authorities in India in making appointments, and, assuming this to be so, it appears to His Lordship that the operation of the Warrant must be, in a case such as that put in my letter of the 19th April, to affect injuriously the Senior Officers who may be qualifying under the old rules.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1905 and 1907.

WAR OFFICE,
8*th May* 1888.

SIR,

With reference to your letters of the 2nd and 4th instant, I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for India in Council, that Mr. Secretary Stanhope and His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief do not desire to interfere with the discretion of the local authorities in India in selecting such Officers of the Indian Service as may, in their opinion, be most fitted for appointments; but with regard to British Officers, their selection, when for a post carrying in future the rank of Colonel,

should be submitted for His Royal Highness' approval agreeably to the arrangement made in my letter of the 16th February last, and as is now done in the case of selections for the command of Brigades.

Further, in regard to your letter of the 4th instant, I am again to express the hope that selections for posts carrying the rank of Colonel may not, except under very exceptional circumstances, be made at present, to the detriment of existing Colonels, or of Officers qualifying for the rank under old rules; but in the event of such a contingency occurring as that named in your letter of the 19th ultimo, it is presumed that the Government of India would be able to advance very strong reasons for the necessity of making an appointment in the Ordnance Department which would involve the supersession in Army rank of Officers who are already holding the highest offices in that Department in India.

The Commander-in-Chief is of opinion that, except for very special reasons, promotion under Article 15 (*a*) of the Royal Warrant of the 15th November 1887 should not be conferred, at any rate for the present, upon an Officer who was a Lieutenant-Colonel before the 1st January 1887, but in the event of such being proposed, the case should be submitted for the decision of the Military authorities in this country.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

No. 64464/25.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
16*th April* 1888.

SIR,

An application having been received from Colonel H. D. Pitt, half-pay, Royal Artillery, that he should be removed from the half-pay list on taking up the command of an Artillery District in India, I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to request that you will be good enough to inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Royal Highness, in accordance with the decision come to in recent cases, that these appointments should carry the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army, proposes, should Viscount Cross see no objection, to take steps to have this, and similar appointments, gazetted accordingly.

The wording of the Gazette would be similar to that in use for appointments to the general Staff in this country, viz.:—

"Colonel ————, from Lieutenant-Colonel, half-pay, to command an Artillery District in India, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army.

"Dated ——— ."

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 3923.

INDIA OFFICE,
15*th May* 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th April 1888, and to acquaint you, in reply, that Viscount Cross concurs in the proposed wording of the Gazette on the occasion of the appointment of an Officer from the half-pay list to the command of an Artillery District in India.

2. Other appointments of British Officers would, His Lordship presumes, be gazetted in a similar manner.

3. For reasons peculiar to the Indian Service, it will be necessary to modify the form in the case of an Officer of the Indian Army. Such an Officer will not cease on appointment to be a Lieutenant-Colonel of the Staff Corps, Cavalry, or Infantry, as the case may be. Viscount Cross would, therefore, propose to word the announcement in the case of such an Officer as follows:—

"Colonel ———, Lieutenant-Colonel in the Staff Corps, to be ———, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army."

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 1311-A.

Promotions.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department, in continuation of Military Department letter No. 996-A, of the 2nd May 1888.

(By Order.)

(Signed) A. C. TOKER,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

SIMLA,
12*th June* 1888.

No. ORDER THEREON, 29. June 1888, No. 4441

Communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, in continuation of Proceedings of Government, No. 3315, dated 17th May 1888.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. KENNEY-HERBERT, Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General,
" Quarter Master General,
" Inspector-General of Ordnance,
" Commissary-General,
" Public Works Department,
" Controller of Military Accounts,
" } for information.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated June, .

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 29 June 1888, No. 4441

Bh 208/53 6/7/88

JUNE.

Nos. .

Appointments.

Colonel.—Correspondence from Her Majesty's Government regarding the appointments in India carrying the rank of — and the gazetting of such appointments; also as regards Officers so promoted subscribing to any of the Military Funds, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Appointments.

Read the following :—

No. . *Military Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor-General of India in Council ; dated India Office, London, 17th May* 1888, *No.* 114.

In continuation of my Military Despatch No. 67, of the 22nd March last, and with reference to the 31st paragraph of your Military letter of the 27th March, I forward herewith a copy of a further correspondence * with the War Office and Horse Guards on the subjects of the appointments in India to carry the rank of Colonel, and the gazetting of such appointments.

2. You will observe that the rank of Colonel, when conferred in virtue of selection for an appointment, is considered as substantive rank in the Army. As it is possible that the use of the term "substantive" in the Gazette may give rise to claims on the part of Officers to subscribe to the various Military Funds in the grade of Colonel, I request that you will issue an Army Circular pointing out that the rank is only Army rank, does not give the holder of it the rank of Colonel in the Artillery, Engineers, Cavalry, Infantry, or Staff Corps, and does not carry with it the pay of Colonel, and that, consequently, it does not carry with it a title to subscribe to any of the Military Funds in that grade.

Appointments carrying the rank of Colonel. Gazetting of appointments. Subscription to Funds.

* From	War Office,	13th April 1888.
To	do.	2nd May, M 3855.
To	Horse Guards,	18th April, M 2921.
From	do.	26th April.
To	do.	2nd May, M 4270.
To	do.	19th April, M 3957.
From	do.	28th April.
To	do.	4th May, M 4366.
From	War Office,	8th May.
From	Horse Guards,	16th April.
To	do.	15th May, M 3923.

No. 57514/1886.

SIR,

WAR OFFICE,
13th April 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st ultimo, M 1942, and in reply to request that you will be good enough to cause the Secretary of State for India in Council to be informed that Mr. Secretary Stanhope concurs generally in the views therein expressed.

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India.

(Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

M 3855.

SIR,

INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th April, No. 57514/1886. Viscount Cross gathers therefrom that it is not

the intention of the Secretary of State for War, or of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, to interfere with the discretion * of the authorities in India in making appointments to the Staff in that country.

* See my letter of the 21st March last, M 1942, paras. 2 and 3.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Under Secretary of State for War.

M 2921.

SIR, INDIA OFFICE,
18th April 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th March, and to acquaint you in reply that Viscount Cross assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1898.

SIR, HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
26th April 1888.

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th instant, M 2921, stating that the Secretary of State for India in Council assents to the appointments of Assistant Adjutants-General and Assistant Quarter Masters General, made in India since the 31st December 1886, being announced as provisional, and I am to request that you will move Viscount Cross to be so good as to cause the necessary steps to be taken to insert these provisional appointments in the *London Gazette*.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4270.

SIR, INDIA OFFICE,
2nd May 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th April 1888, No. 57514/1898, and to acquaint you, in reply, that the provisional appointments to the Staff in India were notified in the *London Gazette*, of the 27th April.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

M 3957.

SIR, INDIA OFFICE,
19th April 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to forward herewith, for the information of His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief, extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

The dates given are those on which the Officers severally complete three years' service in the rank of Lieutenant-Colonel.

Viscount Cross understands that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant Colonels in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, but I am to point out

that, were a Lieutenant-Colonel of just three years' service to be appointed Inspector-General of Ordnance in a vacancy which is about to occur, he would be promoted to the rank of Colonel, and would thus supersede the Officers named by the Government of India.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1902.

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
28th April 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th instant, M 3957, giving cover to the extract of a Despatch from the Government of India regarding the proposed promotion to the rank of Colonel of three Officers of Royal Artillery who hold appointments in the Indian Ordnance Department.

In reply, I am to request that you will inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Lordship is right in his inference, that it is not intended to promote any Lieutenant-Colonel to the rank of substantive Colonel in virtue of appointments which they actually held on the 1st January 1887, and these Officers, therefore, will continue to qualify for the rank of Colonel in ordinary course under the four years' rule.

With regard, however, to the contingency which you suggest might arise, I am to state that it was essential, when considering the revision of the Royal Warrant, rendered necessary by the proposed alterations in establishments and system of promotion in the Army, to also make provision for promotion to the higher ranks, and, consequently, the article relating to the promotion to Colonel was included in the Warrant; but, as a large number of Lieutenant-Colonels were and are still qualifying under former rules, it was not the intention to give effect to this article (No. 15) by the selection of Lieutenant-Colonels for promotion to the detriment of qualifying Officers under the old rules, unless some very special circumstances rendered such promotion desirable in the interest of the public service.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

The Under Secretary of State for India.

M 4366.

INDIA OFFICE,
4th May 1888.

SIR,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th April, No. 57514/1902, and to request that I may be furnished with some information as to the precise scope of the last paragraph thereof.

As stated in my letter to the War Office of the 2nd May, M 3855, Viscount Cross gathers that it is not intended to interfere with the discretion of the authorities in India in making appointments, and, assuming this to be so, it appears to His Lordship that the operation of the Warrant must be, in a case such as that put in my letter of the 19th April, to affect injuriously the Senior Officers who may be qualifying under the old rules.

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 57514/1905 and 1907.

WAR OFFICE,
8th May 1888.

SIR,

With reference to your letters of the 2nd and 4th instant, I am directed by the Secretary of State for War to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for India in Council, that Mr. Secretary Stanhope and His Royal Highness the Commander-in-Chief do not desire to interfere with the discretion of the local authorities in India in selecting such Officers of the Indian Service as may, in their opinion, be most fitted for appointments; but with regard to British Officers, their selection, when for a post carrying in future the rank of Colonel,

should be submitted for His Royal Highness' approval agreeably to the arrangement made in my letter of the 16th February last, and as is now done in the case of selections for the command of Brigades.

Further, in regard to your letter of the 4th instant, I am again to express the hope that selections for posts carrying the rank of Colonel may not, except under very exceptional circumstances, be made at present, to the detriment of existing Colonels, or of Officers qualifying for the rank under old rules; but in the event of such a contingency occurring as that named in your letter of th 19th ultimo, it is presumed that the Government of India would be able to advance very strong reasons for the necessity of making an appointment in the Ordnance Department which would involve the supersession in Army rank of Officers who are already holding the highest offices in that Department in India.

The Commander-in-Chief is of opinion that, except for very special reasons, promotion under Article 15 (*a*) of the Royal Warrant of the 15th November 1887 should not be conferred, at any rate for the present, upon an Officer who was a Lieutenant-Colonel before the 1st January 1887, but in the event of such being proposed, the case should be submitted for the decision of the Military authorities in this country.

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India. (Signed) RALPH THOMPSON.

No. 64464/25.

SIR,

HORSE GUARDS, WAR OFFICE,
16*th April* 1888.

An application having been received from Colonel H. D. Pitt, half-pay, Royal Artillery, that he should be removed from the half-pay list on taking up the command of an Artillery District in India, I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to request that you will be good enough to inform the Secretary of State for India in Council that His Royal Highness, in accordance with the decision come to in recent cases, that these appointments should carry the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army, proposes, should Viscount Cross see no objection, to take steps to have this, and similar appointments, gazetted accordingly.

The wording of the Gazette would be similar to that in use for appointments to the general Staff in this country, viz.:—

"Colonel ———, from Lieutenant-Colonel, half-pay, to command an Artillery District in India, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army.

"Dated ——— ."

I have, &c.,

The Under Secretary of State for India. (Signed) G. B. HARMAN.

M 3923.

SIR,

INDIA OFFICE,
15*th May* 1888.

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th April 1888, and to acquaint you, in reply, that Viscount Cross concurs in the proposed wording of the Gazette on the occasion of the appointment of an Officer from the half-pay list to the command of an Artillery District in India.

2. Other appointments of British Officers would, His Lordship presumes, be gazetted in a similar manner.

3. For reasons peculiar to the Indian Service, it will be necessary to modify the form in the case of an Officer of the Indian Army. Such an Officer will not cease on appointment to be a Lieutenant-Colonel of the Staff Corps, Cavalry, or Infantry, as the case may be. Viscount Cross would, therefore, propose to word the announcement in the case of such an Officer as follows:—

"Colonel ———, Lieutenant-Colonel in the Staff Corps, to be ———, and to have the substantive rank of Colonel in the Army."

I have, &c.,

(Signed) ALLEN JOHNSON, Lt.-Genl.,
Military Secretary.

The Military Secretary, Horse Guards.

No. 1311-A.

Promotions.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Military Department, in continuation of Military Department letter No. 996-A, of the 2nd May 1888.

(By Order.)

(Signed) A. C. TOKER,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

SIMLA,
12*th June* 1888.

No. 4441 ORDER THEREON, 29 June 1888, No.

Communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, in continuation of Proceedings of Government, No. 3315, dated 17th May 1888.

(True Extract.)

A Kenney Herbert
Brigdr.-Genl.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Adjutant-General.
" Quarter Master General,
" Inspector-General of Ordnance,
" Commissary-General,
" Public Works Department,
" Controller of Military Accounts,
} for information.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

1571-W 22.5.88

P.W.Cr. 6674

No. 2081 W dt/10th July 1888

Recorded in con:
tinuation of G.O. 1511 W dt
22 May 1888.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

MILITARY.

To

THE ADJUTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

10 Sc

Dated June,

Issued

Recd. 9:7:88. Encl.

G.O., 29 June 1888, No. 4441

No. 2081 W dt/10th July 1888

JUNE.

Nos. 533-534

3/7/88 WK

Appointments.

Colonel.—Correspondence from Her Majesty's Government regarding the appointments in India carrying the rank of — and the gazetting of such appointments; also as regards Officers so promoted subscribing to any of the Military Funds, communicated to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

4/VII/88

12/7

with order