

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 30
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 22 7. 88
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 68

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>2064</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>9- 7- 1888</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

A.H

2064
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

From the officer in charge of Chidambaram Subdivision, dated 18th April 1888,
No. 193.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting sanction for taking ponies and tents from Nellore to Porto-Novo.

No. 768.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

2. The Executive Engineer, South Arcot, remarks that it was necessary that the Assistant Engineer should have joined fully equipped, for at the time there was no subdivisional officer in Chidambaram.

BANGALORE,
22nd May 1888.

(Signed) H. M. VIBART, Colonel, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, V Circle.

Office Note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 7th June
1888, No. 1621.

If Government are satisfied that Assistant Engineer Subrahmanya Aiyar was required to proceed ready equipped for urgent duty, &c., it may sanction the charges incurred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

2. I take this opportunity of suggesting a definite ruling as to the authority who should sanction charges preferred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code in the case of officers and subordinates transferred from one circle to another, and as to whether such sanctions should be accorded before or after the journey is undertaken.

(Signed) C. H. P. CHRISTIE, Major, R.E.,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ORDER—dated 9th July 1888, No. 2064 W., Public Works.

It appears that Mr. S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar left Nellore the day before the Christmas holidays. There was no reason whatever why his tents and ponies should not have been marched to Madras and sent on thence by rail, when they would have arrived at Porto-Novo in ample time for his work. The Examiner will pass the proper charges for the conveyance of his horses and camp equipment by rail from Madras to Porto-Novo only.

9TH JULY 1888, No. 2064 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

2. With reference to para. 2 of the Examiner's Note, the Government are pleased to rule that the Superintending Engineer of the Circle to which an officer or subordinate is transferred shall be the authority to sanction charges preferred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code. It is he alone who can judge of the necessity.
3. Prior sanction is not required, but should be obtained when practicable.
4. Similar charges incurred in transfers within circles will be dealt with in accordance with G.Os., No. 2471 W. of 13rd September 1882, and No. 2984 W. of 13th November 1882.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.
Spare Copies

2064
2

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1888) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 9th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th July 1888, No. 2064 W.

JULY.

Carriage of tents and horses

Authorising the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, to pass the proper charges for the conveyance of the horses and camp equipment belonging to Mr. S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar, Assistant Engineer, by rail from Madras to Porto-Novo only; and passing a definite ruling as to the authority who should sanction charges for the —, preferred under Section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code in the case of officers and subordinates transferred from one circle to another.

5242

For orders. 2064/3

The En. Engr. S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar division states that it was necessary that Mr. Subrahmanya Aiyar should have joined ready equipped, & this apparently should settle the question in favour of admitting the claim.

The Engr. takes this opportunity to request a definite ruling as to who should sanction such charges in cases of transfers from one circle to another.

In the present case it was evidently considered that the S. A. at point of departure should give the sanction, & the claim was accordingly submitted.

to the Khamar, who negates
it on the ground that (1)
prior sanction should have
been applied for, & (2)

there seemed no reason
why the horses should
not have been marched.

In cases of transfer
from one circle to another

there appears to be diffi-
culty on both sides.

The S. S. at point of
departure will seldom
be in a position to know

whether an officer or
subordinate should
join his new division

ready equipped, & he
will thus be doubtful

whether sanction can
be given with perfect
propriety.

From the other
hand, it has been stated

that the S. S. at point
of arrival should give

the sanction, the sanction
will be a post-sanction.

2064
instead of a prior sanction,
as it should be, & the
officer or subordinate con-
cerned will leave his
doubt whether
sanction will be given
at point of arrival.

A material point
seems to be that S. S.

Super have no power
to transfer from
one circle to another,

but seems anomalous
that they should have

power to sanction
travelling allowance

from one circle to
another. It is a

case of travelling
beyond jurisdiction,

& it seems only con-
sistent that the

transferring autho-
rity should in such

cases sanction con-
veyance of horses

& camp equipment.

Bezawada

2064

to the simplest plan would
appear to be to insert
in notifications ordering
transfers from one circle
to another, the words
"To join fully equipped,
in all cases in which
an officer or individuals
is required to join at
once."

This would prevent
any questions being
raised after a transfer.

Cu
11.6.84

I do not think prior sanction
is necessary.

The St. of the circle to which an
officer is transferred, should have
the power of sanction, as he
knows whether the officer should
join ready equipped or not.

P.O. 2474 of 23-9-08
has already delegated certain
powers of sanction to STs, and
it is only necessary now to provide
for cases of transfer from one
circle to another.

Cu
11.6.84

7.88

Chs 5262

S.A.W.

2064/5

Please see to it that
inquiry on the draft

The only doubtful
point is "prior sanction".
So far as Code rule
go. I can find nothing
against it, but before
giving a final answer
to the question, I should
like to see some officer
note correspondence which
took place with the
Examiner (Major
Conway-Jordan I think)
on this matter. He
held the view, if I remember
rightly, that prior
sanction was essential
in these cases. With
you please have the
paper looked up.

R.S.

14.11.84

20W

It seems clear that
prior sanction is not
needed - vide go. 1938
of 13.4.78. That was
seen this paper when I wrote
my note of 11.6.84.

Cu
25.6.84

2/5/88

Executed

5242

2064
6

RH

Please see if
the books reported
of the C.A.W. can
be traced

Ln

16/6/88

to General Record Dept
for favor of search
for the required office
notes - Major Conway
London left Madras
about 1878 - Papers will
probably be before
that time - J.D.

18-6-88

Please see the file
of papers put up.

J.D.
25-6-88

J. H. M. J. H. M.
for Record Keeper
29/6.

No 5.

Chidambaram Sub Office

Porto Novo, 20th January 88.

From

2064
7

MR

S. A. Subramaniam, Sr. Asst. Engr. S. A. S. S. S.
Assistant Engineer

To

The Executive Engineer
Vellore Division

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you
that the Executive Engineer South
Arcot Division calls for a permission
from the Superintending Engineer
Circle to carry 2 horses and tents
by rail in support of my claims for
travelling allowances for December.

No 112

Chidambaram Sub D. Office
Shariatpur 21st Feb 1888

From

MRR

2064

9

S. A. Subharamaniam Iyer and Bal Raj Shik
Assistant Engineer

To

The Executive Engineer
South Arcot Division

Sir,

His No 351 of 20-2-1888

With reference to items D & E of the
disallowance list which accompanied your letter,
I have the honor to forward herewith, the letter
from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle,
refusing sanction to carry my ponies and tents by rail.

I received the transfer order suddenly
and started for Cuddalore 3 days after receipt of
this order. I was not aware that the sanction of the
Superintending Engineer for carriage of tents and
ponies by rail, should be, in all cases, previously
obtained. Even if I were aware of it, it was im-
possible to get sanction before I started except
at the cost of four urgent telegrams (from me to E.E.
and from him to the S.E. and back). The Christmas
holidays began the day after I started, and it was
very doubtful whether a telegraphic reference
would be of any use at all. It would have
taken a long time for my ponies to arrive here
if

if I had sent them on foot. My bullock bandies actually
banded actually marched from Mellore to here
took till the 16th January 1888 to arrive. They
made all possible haste, but the several mis-
intervening delayed them considerably.

Under the circumstances, there-
fore, I request that the Superintending Engineer
II Circle may again be applied to for sanction
for carrying my tents and ponies by rail.

The articles I carried by rail
with my tents were all camp furniture except
two boxes and some utensils weighing probably
1/6 of the total weight charged to Railway.

I have re
(Sd/-) Subharamaniam
Assistant Engineer

True copy

Assistant Engineer

I forward to Chief Engr

for disposal

H. M. P.

N^o 868 K.L.

Station. Gudivada. 2064/10

From.

J. Hannan Esquire.

Suptg Engineer

2^d Circle

To.

The Executive Engineer

South Arcot Division.

Dated 16

Despatch

Recd

March 1888.

Sir.

With reference to your endorse-
ment N^o 189 dated 6th Instant. I have
the honor to state that I decline to
sanction the conveyance by rail of
Mr. Subrahmanya Seyer's horses from
Mellor to Buddalore for the reasons
already given in my letter N^o 792
9-2-88.

I have &c

(Signed) J. Hannan

True Copy

Suptg Engr 2^d Circle

Am

Major RE

25/3/98.

Executive Engineer

D. P. W. No. 193
5TH CIRCLE.

Chidambaram SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICE.

CAMP Chidambaram

15th April 1888.

From

The Officer in charge of

Chidambaram Sub-Division,

To

The Executive Engineer,

Madras South Arcot Division.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES. 2.

1. Mys No 5 of 20th Jan 88. will be so far as to sanction the under-mentioned items of my travelling allowance for December 1887.
2. Mys No 79 of 2nd Feb 88. of 9th Feb 88 which have been allowed by the Examiner.
3. Mys No 112 of 24th Feb 88. (1) Carriage by rail from Villur to Andalore and from Andalore to Porto Novo. . . . Rs 7-14-0.
4. Mys No 568 N. 1. (2) Carriage of 2 ponies by rail from Villur to Andalore. . . . Rs 12-0-0.

The Examiner has allowed these items pending the production of authority from the Superintendent Engineer for the Carriage by rail, of the ponies and articles.

I applied to the Executive Engineer, Villur for obtaining sanction from the S. E. 5th Circle.

Sanction was refused by
the Supl. Engr. because the
Contract (1) Sanction was
not applied for before previously
and (2) it was deemed improper
as on why I had not
have marshalled my points.

I ^{then} applied to S.E.
I left published to S.E.
Engr. Caddell explaining
the circumstances with a
request that the S.E.
Circle may be again applied
to for sanction; which
was again refused by the
S.E. I wrote for the
reasons already given.

Copies of the correspondence
are enclosed. I request that
you will be so good as to
sanction my claim. I have
explained all circumstances
in the correspondence enclosed.

The decision of the Supl. Engr.
II Circle is based in as much
as he makes my invalidity

2064
12

2/P
I obtain sworn sanction,
operate towards invalidating
my claims, and (2) he
considers that apparently
that I had been done without
my points for the S.E. I consider
the S.E. Sanction. That being
the date of my actual invalid
I had taken and the letter
the probable date of my
points, and I marked
them from the same.

I have the honor to
be, Sir,
Your obedient servant
S. A. Whelan
And Engr.

Ans

Enclosures 4.

5th CIRCLE D. P. W.

3/0
N. 768.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P. W. Depart. ment.

2. The Executive Engineer South Arcot re. marks that it was necessary that the Asst. Engineer should have joined fully equipped for at the time there was no Sub Divisional of. fices in Chidambaram.

M. S. Subramanian
Col. P. W.
Superintendent Engineer
5th Circle
Bangalore
22nd May 1888.
No. 1999 C of 25 May 1888

To C
For favour of
Remarks.
23.5.88
out

From
The Officer in charge of
Chidambaram, Sub Division.
To the Chief Engineer P. W. D.
Through Madras
The Executive Engineer
South Arcot Division.

No. 193

Dated 18th } April 1888
Despatched " }
Received 23.5.88
ENCLOSURES 4

Requesting sanction for taking
pauis and tents from Kiltore
to Porto novo.

70.

#. 1621 97.6.88

To W. 2064/13

If Government are satisfied that the Asstt. Engineer Subramania Iyer was required to proceed ready equipped for urgent duty etc, it may sanction the charges incurred, under section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code.

2. I take this opportunity of suggesting a definite ruling as to the authority who should sanction charges preferred under section 12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance Code in the case of officers and subordinates transferred from one circle to another, and as to whether such sanctions should be accorded before or after the journey is undertaken.

29/6

I do not know why the authority delegated to the S.E. in C.O. 2471 IV 22-9.82 has been put on one side. The sanction should be given after the passing by the S.E. of the circle passed by the S.E.

no 2984 W of 13. 4. 82
 " 2471 W of 26. 9. 82
 " 1999 C of 25. 5. 88
 " 1838 W of 13. 4. 78
 " 804 W of 28. 9. 77
 " 803 W of 28. 9. 77
 " 3310 W of 7. 12. 76
 " 3142 W of 22. 11. 76
 " 2321 W of 31. 8. 76
 " 2320 W of 31. 8. 76
 " 1350 W of 30. 5. 76
 " 1083 W of 1. 5. 76
 " 2810 W of 29. 9. 75
 " 2252 W of 4. 8. 75
 " 2257 W of 4. 8. 75
 " 1135 W of 15. 2. 75
 " 2117 W of 25. 8. 73
 " 1899 W of 28. 7. 73
 " 663 W of 11. 3. 72

PWC No 5442

Ry 8.6.88

78.6.4.82
 2. 11. 82
 16. 11. 82

Genl
Estab

No 2064

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2064
14

57

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 9th July 1888

Read the following

Administrative
 Department
 Office of the
 Engineer-in-Chief

Mr. S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar left Madras the day before the
 Christmas holidays. There was no reason whatever why his tent & ponies
 were not sent on the 1st of January. The charges incurred
 for his work. The Examiner will pass the bill for the cost of his horses and
 camp equipment by rail from Madras to Port of Call.

It appears that Mr S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar left Madras the day before the
 Christmas holidays. There was no reason whatever why his tent & ponies
 were not sent on the 1st of January. The charges incurred
 for his work. The Examiner will pass the bill for the cost of his horses and
 camp equipment by rail from Madras to Port of Call.

1. With reference to a part
 of the Examiner's note, the
 Govt. are pleased to rule that
 the Subdy. Engr. to whom an
 officer or subordinate is trans-
 ferred shall be the authority
 to sanction charges preferred
 under Section 2 of the Civil
 Engineering Act of 1884.

2. With reference to a part
 of the Examiner's note, the
 Govt. are pleased to rule that
 the Subdy. Engr. to whom an
 officer or subordinate is trans-
 ferred shall be the authority
 to sanction charges preferred
 under Section 2 of the Civil
 Engineering Act of 1884.

(3) Provision is not required,
 but to be obtained when practicable.
 (4) Similar charges incurred
 in transfers within limits, will
 be dealt with in accordance
 with P.O. No 2471 W of 23. 11. 87
 and Co 29 04 A of 13. 11. 87

574

2147

To the Subdy. Engineer
 " Engr. P.W.D.

J. C.
 21/7/88

Cur

12.6.88

G.O. of (1888) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

10/17

Order

From

To

G.O. No. 1000

Design of tent and horse

≡

Authorizing the Engineer P.
to purchase the necessary
for the conveyance
of the H.H. horses and camp
equipment belonging to the

S. A. Subrahmanya Aiyar
and require, by rail from
Madras to Pudukkottai

giving passing a definite
ruling as to the authority
should sanction charges of

the - proposed under Section
12 of the Civil Travelling Allowance
Code in the case of officers and
subordinates transferred from
one circle to another.

1297

170
14