

2736d
29TH FEBRUARY 1888, No. 699 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following letter from G. B. LAMBERT, Esq., Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated Barur, 16th February 1888, No. 123:—

In forwarding the accompanying copies of correspondence between this office and the Collector of Salem, I have the honor to bring to your notice that a sum of Rs. 49-0-6 is outstanding in the books of this office against Mr. G. McWatters, on account of rent for the Collector's residence at Salem, from the 14th to 29th August 1887, at the rate of Rs. 95 per mensem.

2. On demanding the rent from Mr. McWatters, he states that he does not consider himself liable to pay the rent of the Collector's house during his absence on privilege leave from the 14th August 1887, the house having been placed at the disposal of his successor Mr. Sewell.

3. Mr. Sewell having only taken charge of the building on the 30th August 1887, he paid the rent due from that date up to the date the building was reoccupied by Mr. McWatters.

4. As Mr. McWatters failed to give notice to the Executive Engineer of his vacating the building, he is liable to pay rent up to the date of the reoccupation of the quarters (*vide* Public Works Code, paragraph 1045).

5. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government on the subject.

ENCLOSURES.

From G. B. LAMBERT, Esq., Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, to the Collector of Salem, dated Coimbatore, 30th January 1888, No. 61.

I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Robert Sewell, Acting Collector of Salem, remitted the rent for the Collector's residence at Salem from the 30th August 1887, the date from which he commenced to occupy the building, and a sum of Rs. 49-0-6 is outstanding against you in the books of this office on account of rent from the 14th to 29th August 1887. I have therefore the honor to request you will be so good as to remit the above amount, viz., Rs. 49-0-6, into the treasury to the credit of Public Works Department.

2. I take this opportunity to invite your attention to Public Works Code, paragraph 1045, which runs as follows:—

“An officer occupying public quarters will give timely notice to the Executive Engineer, or Barrack Master, or other Military officer in charge, as the case may be, of his intention to vacate them, otherwise he is liable to be called upon to pay rent up to the date of receipt of notice, or of the reoccupation of the quarters.”

From G. McWATTERS, Esq., Collector and District Magistrate of Salem, to the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division, dated Salem, 5th February 1888, No. 312.

In reply to your letter, No. 61, dated 30th January 1888, I have the honor to inform you that I do not consider myself liable to pay the rent of the Collector's house during my absence on privilege leave from the 14th August to the 13th November 1887, the house having been placed at the disposal of my *locum tenens*. I had nothing to do with Mr. Sewell's not joining on the 14th August 1887.

No. 61 E.W.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favor of orders. It is assumed Mr. McWatters did not remove his furniture from the house, for he does not say so in his letter. As apparently he left his furniture in the house, and as he did not give to the Executive Engineer notice that he intended to vacate the house, it is thought he ought to be called on to pay the rent from 14th to 29th August.

COIMBATORE,
20th February 1888.

(Signed) J. W. MARTIN,
Offg. Supg. Engineer, IV Circle.

ORDER—dated 29th February 1888, No. 699 W., Public Works.

The Government consider that Mr. McWatters is liable, under the rules of the Public Works Department, to pay rent for the quarters from the 14th to the 29th August 1887.

2. Orders will issue accordingly in the Revenue Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of 1888 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 29th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 29th Feb. 1888, No. 699 W.

FEBRUARY.

Rent

Mr. McWatters held to be liable for the —
of the Collector's residence at Salem from
the 14th to the 29th August 1887.

S.A.W.

C. No 3716

I have in to's inquiry,
This is clearly an alteration
of the C.A. Code! but the
original draft, of which
a printed copy is now
sent up, seems to have
been already approved by
the Code Committee. I have
sent papers, so that you
can see how the matter
stands.

N.S.

25-10-88

No. 1749 C d/30-4-88

Cm 4290

C.A.W.

The original draft 50
has been returned & is
forwarded herewith.

The explanatory clauses
which C. desires to insert
might be put in as foot-
notes.

Cm

12-5-88

The P.W. Dept cannot
deal with the matter.
It must be considered in the
Fin. Dept.

N.S. 14-6-88

No. 1749 C.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Chepāk, 30 April 1888.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Your office Note No.

1371 d/20-4-88.

Please forward for reference the draft of S.O. No. 255, with your office note submitting it to the Code Committee.

(Ed) W.L.C.B.

30-4-88

D.W.

[C. No. 8.]

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

STANDING ORDERS,
1887.

P.W.D. CODE AND APPENDICES, EDITION OF 1886.

No. 255.

Appendix B. Rule 2. (ii), First line. Expunge the word, "now"; and after the words, "in the department," add the words:—on the 4th February 1885.

Rule 8. Substitute the following:—

8. The balances of deceased depositors will be paid according to the Civil Account Code, 4th Edition, Chapter 21, Rules 51 and 52, and Act V of 1873.

Civil Account Code, 4th Edition, Chapter 21, Rules 51 and 52:—

51.—The cases of decease and lunacy of depositors are provided for by Act V of 1873. As regards deceased depositors, Act V of 1873 applies to those balances only which do not exceed Rs. 1,000. Balances in excess of Rs. 1,000 should be paid on production of probate, letters of administration, or a certificate under Act XXVII of 1860, unless otherwise ordered by the Government, which has a discretionary power to dispense with such evidence in cases where it is of opinion that to require it would cause hardship, and to dispense with it would involve no appreciable risk.

52.—When re-payment is made of a deposit belonging to the estate of a depositor deceased, the list of deposits repaid must be supported by a certificate from the Secretary, setting forth that probate of the deceased's will or letters of administration to his or her estate, or a certificate granted under Act XXVII of 1860 (see form 62), in favour of the actual payee, has not been produced before him.

1.—Form 63 is prescribed for certificate under Act V of 1873, Section 8 (Savings' Banks Act), required for proceedings regarding deceased depositors' estates.

Rule 18. Third line. For the word, "Six", read, four.
[No. 255—20-12-87.]

[not exceeding Rs. 1,000.]

[under Section 4 of Act V of 1873, i.e. without probate, letters of administration, or certificate under Act XXVII of 1860.]

No. 4815C d/21-12-87

" 6239 " 12-10-87

" 4359 " 22-7-87

" 2452 " 11-11-86

" 1682 " 9-6-85

" 1074 " 24-3-84

Bds
Pros.

No. 1749 C. d/15 May 1888

The Examiner will, for the present, issue the Standing Orders in the form already approved by the Code Committee. The question of modifying the wording of Rule 52, Chapter 21 of the Civil Account Code, 4th Edition, will be considered in the Financial Department.

For

14/5/88

W

To the Secy. P.W.D. with C.No. 4390
The Financial Dept. with Encl.

L.O. 699. W. d/ 29.2.88.

L.O. 855. d/ 10.12.87.

1749.C. d/ 30.4.88.

5/5.

16/88.

as per the order

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1888.

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20 April 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1371

See if

To

The Members of the
Code Committee.

Please see the accompanying
proof copy of standing order No.
255 of 1887 received from the press.

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

2. At first sight the word "not"
in rule 52 of the Civil Account Code
therein quoted appears to be a
mistake, but the meaning is that
the rule applies only to cases of
deposits repaid under section 4 of
Act V. of 1873, when of course, it
would be correct for the Secretary
to certify that probate of will etc.
was not produced before him, and the
Accountant General Madras agrees
with me in this interpretation of
the rule.

3. To indicate therefore what
is implied by the rule, I propose to
make the additions noted on the

proof, which I accordingly now send
for the approval of the Committee.

R. E. Hunter

Major R. E.

Secy. P. W. Code Committee.

*H. R.
29.
20/4*

1321.4.88

E. 1

No. 1749 C. 1/ 30-4-88

Approved

*W. H. S.
23-4-88*

*Is not this all right the
Civil Code?*

Don

In 5562/88

*on
W
J*

ots 116

*PWC No 3716
6438/88*

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
CURRENT NO.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

~~Under date the~~

Read the following

Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Acts: No 1371,
dated 20th April 1888.

Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Acts: No 853,
dated 10th December 1888.

Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Acts: No 1466,
dated 9th May 1888.

Order thereon No 179 D dated 15th May 1888.

The Examiner will, for the present, issue
the Standing Orders in the form already approved
by the Code Committee - The question of modifying
the wording of Rule 52, Chapter 21 of the Civil
Account Code, 4th Edition, will be considered
in the Financial Department.

(True Extract.)

For attested. Colonel R. E.
Secretary to Government. P. W. D.

To The Financial Dept.
with 2 encls.

C.S. 12
ms. 562
24-5-88

of 21st May 1888
Forwarded to the
Accountant General
in view of the Comptroller
General being addressed
by him.


1875
Chief Secy

From the Secy to Govt
P.W. Dept
P.W. Secy
6438/88

Dated 15 May 1888
Recd 16 2

1879
Encl

Regarding the question
of modifying the wording
of Rule 52 Chapter 21
of the C.A. Code & Co.



Boon DEPARTMENT.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
23rd May 1888.

No. 1826

From

E. W. Heller
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department

SIR,

In returning the Papers received
with the Endorsement of Government, dated
the 21st May 1888, regarding a proposed
modification of Rule 52, Chapter 21.
of the Civil Account Code, I have the
honor to state that the Comptroller
General has consented to alter the rule,
which will now be as follows

"When repayment is made
under section 4 of a deposit belonging
to the Estate of a depositor deceased,
the list of deposits repaid must be
supported by a certificate from the
Secretary in Form 62."

I have the honor to be

Yours most Obedient Servant
E. W. Heller
Accountant General

ms

P. W. Seal

6438/92

174215-5-88
699-29-2-88

17490930-4-88

27 June 88

Transferred to
the P. W. Dept.

W. H. Jones

Ch. Secy

M. P.

25/6

P. W. C. No. 6438

CURRENT NO. 786

Financial (1888) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Madras,

To

THE Chief Secy to Govt.
Financial Dept.

No. 1826 Secy

Dated

23 June

1888.

Received

25/6

R. 307. 88

No. 2041

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
25 JUN 88
GOVT. OF MADRAS

Subject.

Stating that the Comptroller
General has concurred to alter
rule 52 Chapter 21 of the Civil
Account Code as proposed.

No. 2041 w/d 6 July 1888

Communicated
to the Examiners Secy
to the Examiners P.W.D.

M. P. Jones

Ex Secy ✓
6/7 88
10/7 88
11/7 88

Endorsed