

2-3-88

~~Handwritten mark~~



(4)

MEETINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

No. 1995 Wd/4 July 1888

B¹¹ W/7

Mem 11/11
29/6/88

806

BLS 14.VI
0.26.11.88

B. 25.6.88

M. 1

B. 25.6.88

15.6.88
29.6.88
29.6.88
29.6.88

2.7.88

2.7.88

2.7.88

GOVERNMENT
P. W. DEPT.

No. 1995 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 4th July 1888

READ the following

From the Suptg. Engineer IV Circle. H. E. No. 257 E. W. d/21 June 1888.

Sanction is accorded to the entertainment for two months of a clerk on Rs 10 per mensem for sorting the old records of the Salem Sub-Division of the Coimbatore Division.

PPH
29/7/88

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 29 W of 1888-89 and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

MVB
25.6.88

Secy
24/7/88

To The Suptg. Engr. IV Circle
" Examiners of P. W. Accounts.

Cu
hr
25/6

25.6.88
25.6.88
25.6.88

PWC No 6073

(4)

From

The Supt. Engineer
Fourth circle.

Dated 21st June/88
Recd. 23

No. 1995 W d/4th July 1888


No. 257
E.W.

Encls: 1

Temporary clerk for
Salem subdivision:-

Requesting to obtain
sanction of Government
to the entertainment of a
— on Rs 10, for sorting
old records -

13



FROM

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
COIMBATORE DIVISION.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE,
COIMBATORE.

Dated 20th
Despatched 20th } June 1888.
Received

No. 382

ENCLOSURES. 1

SUBJECT.

Temporary Clerk—

Copy of letter from Salem
Sub Div. Officer requesting
sanction to the entertain-
ment of a ——— for sorting
records. Forwarded
endorsed ———

within

(True copy)

No 282



Communicated to the Superintending Engineer Fourth Circle who is requested to obtain the sanction of Government for the entertainment of the clerk for two months. A proposition statement is submitted herewith.

Coimbatore }
20th June 1888 }

L. W. Martin
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore Division

No. 257

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, P. W. Department with a request that he will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the entertainment of a temporary clerk for two months.

Coimbatore }
21st June 88 }

P. W. Martin - Engineer Major R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle

No 217

Salem Sub Division office
Salem 14th June 1888

From

G. B. Lambert Esq
Executive Engineer
Salem Sub Division

To.

The Executive Engineer
Coimbatore Division

Sir,

I have the honor to re-
quest your sanction for the ac-
companying estimate for sort-
ing the large quantity of old
records that are in the sub-
divisional office to enable me
to obtain permission to destroy
those that are found to be useless;
most of the records are very old
and are eaten away by white
ants.

I have the honor &c
(Signed) G. B. Lambert
Executive Engineer

True



Encls. No. 1 of letter No.
254 of 21/6/88 from the
Sd/- Supg Engr: 4th Circle.

E.1

MW 6073/88

Statement of propo-
sition for temporary
Establishment.

Statement of proposition for Temporary Establishment.

Que.

Order sanctioning establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of change								Proposition								
			Present scale				Proposed scale				Permanent		Temporary						
			Number	Designation	Pay		Number	Designation	Pay		Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month	Decrease per month					
Minimum	Increment	Maximum			Average or fixed	Minimum			Increment	Maximum					Average or fixed				

Executive Engineer's office }
 Coimbatore 20th June 1888 }

L. W. Martin
 Executive Engineer
 Coimbatore Division



Encls. No. 1 of a letter No. 254 of 21/6/88 from the ^{8th} Supg Engr. 4th Circle.

E.1

MW 6073

Statement of proposition for temporary Establishment.

PWCN-6076

(2)

Hum

No. 1994 Wd/H

The question asked in para 5 of the Encl. Note is answered in the affirmative - a draft Standing Order may be submitted accordingly.

UW

25.6.88

R/23.6.88

26/6/88

No. 1994 Wd/H July/88

Enr. P.W. Accounts.

25.6.88

26.6.88

27.6.88

28.6.88

29.6.88

30.6.88

1/7

with order

Has

the individual in fault, or treated as office contingent charges.

4. The Accountant General P. W. D. in reply stated that the opinions expressed by me have his entire concurrence, and that all payments not supported by original receipts should be placed under objection, and none of the items should be passed until full and satisfactory explanation was afforded for the absence of the original.

5. With reference to the above, I solicit orders of Government, as to whether Code para 1643, may be modified in accordance with the views expressed above, which have the concurrence of the Accountant General Public Works Department.

Handwritten signature

C.

Handwritten signature
29.
2/16.
22

impression — based partly on the corresponding, but somewhat fuller, rule in the Civil Account Code, chapter I, para 10(f) — was, that the rule in question is intended to prohibit a Government Officer from issuing (except under special authority or in specified cases) duplicates or copies of receipts granted by himself (or his predecessor) for money received by himself (or his predecessor) on behalf of Government, or duplicates or copies of bills or other documents for the payment of money drawn by himself (or his predecessor) on behalf of Government.

3. I added that, in my opinion, the interpretation here put upon the rule is a mischievous one, inasmuch as certificates, such as are frequently submitted in lieu of vouchers alleged to have been lost or mislaid, are absolutely valueless against the creditor concerned; and that in such cases the responsible officer should be required to obtain and submit duplicate vouchers, any extra charges on account of duplicate stamps being borne by

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

188 8

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22 June 188 8

OFFICE NOTE No.

1739

To

W.

Thinking that the rule in the Madras P.W. Code para 1643, was mis-interpreted, I asked the opinion of the Accountant General P.W. Department as to the meaning and intention of the corresponding rule of the Government of India P.W. Code in chapter X, para 49 stating that I found the interpretation put upon the rule in this presidency to be, that if an officer or subordinate mislays or loses any voucher, which it is his duty to submit with his accounts, he is by this rule absolved from any obligation to obtain and submit a satisfactory voucher in lieu of the one lost, it being held to be sufficient if he submits instead a certificate such as that mentioned in the para referred to.

2. I also stated that my

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .