

PWC No 5829

19/9.6.88

9.1

No 1914 Wd/27 - June 1888

B

~~Wm~~

23/6

The proposals of the
Examiners Public Works Accounts
are approved

Wm
20.6.88

J. H.
21/6/88

Examiners P.W. Accounts
with 1 Encl.

Supg: Engineer IV Circle
20/6-22/6/88
23/6 20/6
27/6

and Mrs Andrews.

With order -

20/6-22/6/88
23/6 20/6
27/6

22/6 20/6
27/6

3. There is no warrant for this procedure either in the Madras P.W.D. Code or in the Government of India form of contingent bill, No. 24; and it does not seem necessary to throw upon the controlling officer the duty of auditing these vouchers when the Examiner's office has an establishment specially qualified for such audit. I therefore propose to introduce the single certificate as in the Government of India form and to require that all vouchers above Rs. 5 in amount be submitted to the Examiner's office as per P.W.D. Code para 1907. The limit of Rs. 5 does not apply to charges on account of postage and telegrams which have even now been supported by vouchers whatever their amount.

4. To make the proposed changes intelligible, I have corrected the certificate on Madras working form No. M 155 to agree with that in the Government of India form No. 24.

5. I have also added a footnote explaining with reference to the word "defaced" in the certificate, that in accordance with Code para 860, vouchers are not to be destroyed

until after the lapse of three years.

6. I have further to point out that it has not for some years been the practice to return contingent bills to the Executive Engineers after audit as required by P.W.D. Code para 1942. Intimation of the amount audited is sent to the Executive Engineer, and the bill is recorded with the monthly accounts of the Executive Engineer in this office. This procedure is convenient, and I solicit sanction of Government to its continuance and to modification of the Code accordingly.



G.
M. H.
16/6