8

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 34

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் ஜூன் 88

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

[illegible] துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1856

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 21. 6. 1888 19~~8~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

1/1856

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), dated 14th May 1888, No. 257 :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), dated 4th April 1888, Mis. No. 1097 :—

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue), dated Masulipatam, 3rd February 1888, No. 697 :—

I have the honor to request the sanction of Government for the erection of a suitable goods shed of corrugated iron at the port of Masulipatam.

2. The Masulipatam canal locks into the tidal creek by which cargo boats go out to sea, and at the two wharves above and below the lock, goods are entirely at the mercy of the weather.

3. As the exports and imports of Masulipatam amounted last year to 42½ lakhs of rupees, it will, I think, be admitted that shelter is a necessity.

4. The export of paddy alone, on which export duty is levied, last year reached a total of 61,230 bags, or 122,460 maunds, and the duty amounted to Rs. 22,962. As a considerable quantity of paddy (49,600 bags last year) is first shipped to Negapatam, &c., where the duty is levied, the actual duty collected at the port of Masulipatam does not represent the total exports. Be this, as it may, it is but equitable that, as the paddy has to be exposed and detained to allow of counting and weighing by the customs clerks, proper shelter should be provided during the process.

5. I have addressed the Superintending Engineer on the subject of erecting a goods shed over the upper wharf, which is under his control. The lower one should be erected for the Customs Department.

6. The merchants of the place are very anxious to secure the advantages of these goods sheds, and are perfectly ready to pay reasonable fees for the protection. They urge that the great reason of the poor reputation of the port is the liability of goods to injury by exposure. They have done their part by carrying out instructions issued by the Port officer with my concurrence for the safety of cargo from theft and weather, and a few days ago I inspected over thirty large sea-boats in which partitioned holds or bulkheads have, within the last three months, been constructed with hatches that are fastened down by iron hasps and padlocks.

7. An estimate has been obtained by the Superintending Engineer from a Calcutta firm amounting to Rs. 4,300, including freight, landing charges and cost of erection, for a corrugated iron shed 100 feet long by 60 feet broad, which will suffice for the purpose intended. I beg that the amount may be sanctioned for the work, the great need of which will, I trust, be admitted.

Reference on the above, dated 17th February 1888.

The Collector is requested to submit a plan and estimate duly countersigned (*vide* Government Order communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 9th July 1887, No. 330, Land Revenue), and to state the probable receipts from the shed.

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of the Kistna District.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, dated 29th March 1888, No. 1521:—

Abstract.—Stating that the Superintending Engineer's reply is awaited regarding the proposed goods shed at Bandar wharf.

Resolution—dated 4th April 1888, Mis. No. 1097.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of the Kistna District.

Read—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue, dated Nizampatnam, 18th April 1888, Extra No. 186:—

In continuation of my letter, No. 1521, dated 29th March 1888, I have the honor to forward a plan and estimate countersigned by the Superintending Engineer for the goods shed of corrugated iron required at the port of Masulipatam, together with copy of a letter from the Superintending Engineer and from Messrs. Burn and Co. of Calcutta, whose estimate of price is given.

2. A copy of letter, No. 1236 K.S., dated 21st October 1887, from the late Superintending Engineer, is also enclosed.

3. The Port officer, copy of whose letter is enclosed, roughly estimates the receipts from the proposed shed at Rs. 3,000 per annum.

Enclosure No. 1.—Letter from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Enclosure No. 2.—Letter from the late Superintending Engineer, to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Enclosure No. 3.—Letter from the Port Officer, Masulipatam, to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Reference on the above, dated 26th April 1888.

In the Collector's letter, dated 3rd February 1888, No. 697, it was said that the shed was to be 100 feet long by 60 feet broad, while according to the plan now submitted it would appear to be 90 feet long by 30 feet broad.

2. In the Superintending Engineer's letter, dated 21st October 1887, it is stated that the shed will have no doors which can be shut and locked, but the Port officer in his letter, dated 19th March 1888, considers that it should have doors. A good deal of the profit estimated by that officer may not be realised owing to this fact. The Collector is requested to report upon the above points.

2/1856

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Kistna District, with plan.

Read—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue, dated Tenali, 5th May 1888, Extra No. 259:—

In reply to Board's Reference, No. 3865, dated 26th April, I have the honor to report that the dimensions of the proposed goods shed, viz., 90 feet by 30 feet according to the plan sent in, are correct. The dimensions in my letter, No. 697, dated 3rd February, are wrong, and were based on an early proposal which could not be carried into effect.

2. It is necessary, as the Port officer says, to have doors which can be shut and locked. In consultation with Mr. Rundall, the Superintending Engineer, before he left the district, it was arranged to effect this and at the same time to allow a free space for light and ventilation at the top of the sides, thus saving material, so that the total cost would not be exceeded. It was not thought necessary to make a special reference to Messrs. Burn and Co. on this detail before applying for sanction.

3. I think it possible that the Port officer's estimate of receipts is too high, as in the dry months the shed would probably be less used. As, however, the goods shed is to cost only Rs. 4,500, a very large deduction from the estimated receipts, viz., Rs. 3,000 per annum, could take place and yet allow a large return on the outlay. The protective accommodation, however, is so sorely needed, that the outlay would be justifiable without direct return. If it be practicable, I beg the Board will be pleased to accord their early sanction to the expenditure, so that the goods shed may be erected before the rains begin.

Resolution—dated 14th May 1888, No. 257.

The papers read above relate to a proposal made by the Collector of Kistna to construct a goods shed, 90 feet long and 30 feet broad, with a corrugated iron roof at the port of Masulipatam, at a cost of Rs. 4,500.

2. The Collector in his letter, dated 3rd February 1888, No. 697, points out that the trade of Masulipatam is large, and that shelter is necessary, especially in connection with the export trade in paddy. He further states that the merchants are willing to pay reasonable fees for the use of the shed, but that the protective accommodation is so sorely needed that the outlay would be justifiable without direct return.

3. The Board therefore submit the estimate for the sanction of Government, with the request that orders may be issued for the early execution of the work, as solicited by the Collector. The work may be carried out by the Public Works Department, who have arranged for it with Messrs. Burn and Co. of Calcutta. No funds can be spared for it under petty construction and repairs in the budget for the current year, as the total allotment for the Presidency under the head is only Rs. 6,170, Rs. 4,775 for Sea Customs works, and Rs. 1,395 for Land Customs works.

4. The Collector is requested to return the plan for submission to Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with the estimate and four enclosures, in original, to be returned.
" Collector of the Kistna District.

Mis. No. 3344, Revenue.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department. It will be considered in that department whether funds can be provided from the budget for public works for the current year, in view to the work being executed at an early date, as requested by the Collector.

Ootacamund,
9th June 1888.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), dated 2nd June 1888, Mis. No. 1773:—

Read—also the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, dated 26th May 1888, No. 2683:—

With reference to paragraph 4 of Board's Proceedings, No. 257, dated 14th instant, I have the honor to forward the plan therein mentioned.

Resolution—dated 2nd June 1888, Mis. No. 1773.

Submitted to Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, dated 14th May 1888, No. 257.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
„ Collector of Kistna.

Mis. No. 3410, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department in continuation of G.O., dated 9th June 1888, Mis. No 3344.

Ootacamund,
12th June 1888.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Secretary to Government.

Order—dated 21st June 1888, No. 1856 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 4,500, for constructing a corrugated iron goods shed at the port of Masulipatam, in the Kistna district, is sanctioned for early execution, and the amount is appropriated from the Provincial reserve with the Government.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial, No. 44 W. of 1888-89, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, with two enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with copy of the abstract estimate.
„ Revenue Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.
Spare Copies.

3/1886

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1888} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 21st.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. 21st June 1888, No. 1856 W.

JUNE.

Port of Masulipatam

Estimate for constructing a corrugated iron goods shed at the —, in the Kistna district, sanctioned for early execution.

No 2177 K.S.

Dowl [illegible]

8

4/1856

Station Bezwada

From,
J. Hannan Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To
The Collector
Kistna District.

Dated 23rd March }
Despatched } 1888.
Received }

Enclosures 1 Tracing
1 Letter
2

Reference.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 88 of the 14th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith duly counter signed, the Tracing of the corrugated Iron goods shed proposed for the Bun[illegible] Port.

2

3514/88

2.

2. No estimate for this shed was received from Messrs Burn and Co, but their letter giving cost of £ as well as the freight (approximate) to Masulipatam is enclosed for your information and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd) J. Hannan
Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

(True Copy)

N J Arundel
Collector

5/188

Burn and Co.
No. A. 2
1922

Howrah Ironworks.
Howrah 13th October 1887

To
The Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle
Bezvada

Dear Sir,

Re: corr: Iron Goods Shed
Your No. 1062 of 23. 9. 87

We have now the pleasure of enclosing tracing of a corr: Iron Goods Shed 90' x 36' x 10' high, similar to those we supplied to the Tirhoot State Railway and in accordance with your Specification.

We could supply the iron-work for this shed complete with Screws for foundation of columns within one month from receipt of order delivered free on board the B. I. S. N. or Asiatic Steam

3
[illegible] 3574/88

f Masulipatam for Rs 3700/ and trust that this low quotation will secure your order.

The approximate freight to Masulipatam will be Rs 280/

Yours faithfully.
(sd) Burn and Co.

(True Copy)

A. Arundell
Collector

S.4.
M.578

No 1236 K.S.

Superintending Engineer's Office
2nd Circle
Bezwada 21st October 1887

6/1887

From,
J. W. Rundall Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To,
The Collector,
of the Kistna District

Sir,

Referring to our conversation regarding the erection of a Goods shed at the wharf at Masulipatam, I have the honor to inform you that I sent to Messrs Burn and Co of Howrah, and to Messrs Richardson and Cruddas Bombay, a rough specification for a shed of the dimensions you stated to be required.

2 The first named Firm will supply the shed for Rs 3700 f. O. b and estimate freight to Masulipatam at Rs 280 Total Rs 3980.

3. The Second Firm estimate the

4
Procs 3.1714/88

cost at Rs 4140 and freight at Rs 350 total Rs 4490.

4. Messrs Burn and Co send a tracing which shews the shed as proposed by me, the only mistake is, that I did not intend the corrugated Iron Sides to be carried up to the top of the pillars but to allow 1' open space all round for light and ventilation.

5. Messrs Burn and Co do not specify the thickness of the corrugated Sheeting. It should be 20 B. W. G. not 22.

6. I should estimate the cost of erection at Masulipatam at Rs 250, landing Charges may be Rs 50 to 70

7. The shed should, in my opinion be placed opposite the Quay wall and be in charge of the Port Officer.

8. You will see that the shed is practically an open one, there being no doors which can be shut and locked, but this I believe was in accordance with your views, it being required only for the temporary shelter of grain.

A/1880

9. Please return the letters from the 2 Firms, which are sent herewith for your perusal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd) J. W. Rundall
Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

(True Copy)

A. Arundell
Collector.

[illegible]

No 206 8/1886

Port Office
Masulipatam
19th March 1888

From
J. H. Fletcher Esquire,
Port Officer,
Masulipatam

To
The Collector of
Kistna

Sir,

In answer to your Extra No. 89 dated March 14, 1888, I have the honor to inform you that the average exports from this port for the last 3 years is 32407 tons or as all the exports are in bags of say 13 to the ton 421290 bags; now in case of a goods shed being erected at this port, we may presume, that two-thirds of this quantity if not the whole of it, would have been temporarily placed in the shed; if so, then the income derived from the shed, would have been 2925 Rs. & 10 as or say

5
Nos. 38 M/88.

roughly Rs 200 per annum, this at the rate of 1 pie a bag or say 2 as per ton. I have not in this calculation taken into consideration the import bags but no doubt some income would also be derived from this. Fifteen days ought to be the limit for storage at the above rates, or half rates for half time. The shed ought to be built with sides and lock-up doors, this would save the expense of watchmen which are now used. The merchants pay the watchmen some Rs 20-30 for every 300 bags so that the inducement to place goods in a lock up shed would be considerably enhanced.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt Servant
(Sd) J. H. Fletcher
Port officer

/ True Copy /

9
1858

Enclosure in letter dated 18th April 1888 Extra No 186 from the ——— Collector, Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue, Madras.

1 Plan
2 Estimate
3. Copy of letter No. 2177 Ks. dated 22nd March 1888 from the Superintending Engineer 2nd Circle.
4 Copy of letter No. A 2 1922 dated 13th October 1887 from Messrs Burn & Co.
5 Copy of letter No 1236 Ks. dated 21st October 1887 from the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle
6 Copy of letter No. 206 dated 19th March 1888 from the Port officer Masulipatam.

BOARD OF REVENUE (SEPARATE REVENUE), 14TH MAY 1888, No. 257.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(SEPARATE REVENUE).

READ—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), dated 4th April 1888, Mis. No. 1097 :—

Read—the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue), dated Masulipatam, 3rd February 1888, No. 697 :—

I have the honor to request the sanction of Government for the erection of a suitable goods shed of corrugated iron at the port of Masulipatam.

2. The Masulipatam canal locks into the tidal creek by which cargo boats go out to sea, and at the two wharves above and below the lock, goods are entirely at the mercy of the weather.

3. As the exports and imports of Masulipatam amounted last year to 42½ lakhs of rupees, it will, I think, be admitted that shelter is a necessity.

4. The export of paddy alone on which export duty is levied, last year reached a total of 61,230 bags or 122,460 maunds, and the duty amounted to Rs. 22,962. As a considerable quantity of paddy (19,600 bags last year) is first shipped to Negapatam, &c., where the duty is levied, the actual duty collected at the port of Masulipatam does not represent the total exports. Be this as it may, it is but equitable that, as the paddy has to be exposed and detained to allow of counting and weighing by the customs clerks, proper shelter should be provided during the process.

5. I have addressed the Superintending Engineer on the subject of erecting a goods shed over the upper wharf, which is under his control. The lower one should be erected for the Customs Department.

6. The merchants of the place are very anxious to secure the advantages of these goods sheds, and are perfectly ready to pay reasonable fees for the protection. They urge that the great reason of the poor reputation of the port is the liability of goods to injury by exposure. They have done their part by carrying out instructions issued by the Port officer with my concurrence for the safety of cargo from theft and weather, and a few days ago I inspected over thirty large sea-boats in which partitioned holds or bulkheads have, within the last three months, been constructed with hatches that are fastened down by iron hasps and padlocks.

7. An estimate has been obtained by the Superintending Engineer from a Calcutta firm amounting to Rs. 4,300, including freight, landing charges and cost of erection, for a corrugated iron shed 100 feet long by 60 feet broad, which will suffice for the purpose intended. I beg that the amount may be sanctioned for the work, the great need of which will, I trust, be admitted.

Reference on the above, dated 17th February 1888.

The Collector is requested to submit a plan and estimate duly countersigned (*vide* Government Order communicated in Board's Proceedings, dated 9th July 1887, No. 330, Land Revenue), and to state the probable receipts from the shed.

(Singed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of the Kistna District.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, dated 29th March 1888, No. 1521 :—

Abstract.—Stating that the Superintending Engineer's reply is awaited regarding the proposed goods shed at Bandar wharf.

Resolution—dated 4th April 1888, Mis. No. 1097.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of the Kistna District.

Read—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue, dated Nizampatnam, 18th April 1888, Extra No. 186 :—

In continuation of my letter, No. 1521, dated 29th March 1888, I have the honor to forward a plan and estimate countersigned by the Superintending Engineer for the goods shed of corrugated iron required at the port of Masulipatam, together with copy of a letter from the Superintending Engineer and from Messrs. Burn and Co. of Calcutta, whose estimate of price is given.

2. A copy of letter, No. 1236 K.S., dated 21st October 1887, from the late Superintending Engineer, is also enclosed.

3. The Port officer, copy of whose letter is enclosed, roughly estimates the receipts from the proposed shed at Rs. 3,000 per annum.

Enclosure No. 1.—Letter from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Enclosure No. 2.—Letter from the late Superintending Engineer, to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Enclosure No. 3.—Letter from the Port officer, Masulipatam, to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Reference on the above, dated 26th April 1888.

In the Collector's letter, dated 3rd February 1888, No. 697, it was said that the shed was to be 100 feet long by 60 feet broad, while according to the plan now submitted it would appear to be 90 feet long by 30 feet broad.

2. In the Superintending Engineer's letter, dated 21st October 1887, it is stated that the shed will have no doors which can be shut and locked, but the Port officer in his letter, dated 19th March 1888, considers that it should have doors. A good deal of the profit estimated by that officer may not be realised owing to this fact. The Collector is requested to report upon the above points.

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Kistna District, with plan.

Read—also the following letter from A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Salt, Abkári and Separate Revenue, dated Tenali, 5th May 1888, Extra No. 259 :—

In reply to Board's Reference No. 3865, dated 26th April, I have the honor to report that the dimensions of the proposed goods shed, viz., 90 feet by 30 feet according to the plan sent in, are correct. The dimensions in my letter, No. 697, dated 3rd February, are wrong and were based on an early proposal which could not be carried into effect.

15/1886

2. It is necessary, as the Port officer says, to have doors which can be shut and locked. In consultation with Mr. Rundall, the Superintending Engineer, before he left the district, it was arranged to effect this and at the same time to allow a free space for light and ventilation at the top of the sides, thus saving material, so that the total cost would not be exceeded. It was not thought necessary to make a special reference to Messrs. Burn and Co. on this detail before applying for sanction.

3. I think it possible that the Port officer's estimate of receipts is too high, as in the dry months the shed would probably be less used. As, however, the goods shed is to cost only Rs. 4,500, a very large deduction from the estimated receipts, viz., Rs. 3,000 per annum, could take place and yet allow a large return on the outlay. The protective accommodation, however, is so sorely needed that the outlay would be justifiable without direct return. If it be practicable, I beg the Board will be pleased to accord their early sanction to the expenditure, so that the goods shed may be erected before the rains begin.

Resolution—dated 14th May 1888, No. 257.

The papers read above relate to a proposal made by the Collector of Kistna to construct a goods shed, 90 feet long and 30 feet broad, with a corrugated iron roof at the port of Masulipatam, at a cost of Rs. 4,500.

2. The Collector in his letter, dated 3rd February 1888, No. 697, points out that the trade of Masulipatam is large, and that shelter is necessary especially in connection with the export trade in paddy. He further states that the merchants are willing to pay reasonable fees for the use of the shed, but that the protective accommodation is so sorely needed that the outlay would be justifiable without direct return.

3. The Board therefore submit the estimate for the sanction of Government, with the request that orders may be issued for the early execution of the work as solicited by the Collector. The work may be carried out by the Public Works Department, who have arranged for it with Messrs. Burn and Co. of Calcutta. No funds can be spared for it under Petty Construction and Repairs in the budget for the current year, as the total allotment for the Presidency under the head is only Rs. 6,170, Rs. 4,775 for Sea Customs works and Rs. 1,395 for Land Customs works.

4. The Collector is requested to return the plan for submission to Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) HERBERT BRADLEY,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with the estimate and four enclosures in original, to be returned.
„ Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Henricus.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
11 JUN 88
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS

G.O. Rev. No. 3344

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department. It will be considered in that Department whether funds can be provided from the Budget for Public Works for the current year in order that the work may be executed at an early date, as requested by the Collector.

[illegible]
Secretary to Govt.

Ootacamund
9th June 1888

To the Public Works Dept.

C.R.

5626 / 13/6

P.W.C. No. 5520

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1888.

Board of Revenu

(Separate Revenue),

MADRAS.

No. 3574.

Printed May 23rd.

Recd. 28th

Proceedings, 14th May 1888, No. 257.

Enclo

Submitting, for the sanction of Government, an estimate for a corrugated iron goods shed required at the port of Masulipatam at a cost of Rs. 4,500; and requesting that orders may be issued for the early execution of the work as solicited by the Collector of Kistna.

P.T.O.

12/1888

Public Works Department.

Current No. 5626

Letter No. 3344 Mis. Rev. Go.

Dated 9th June 1888

From the Secy. to Govt. Rev. Dept.

NOTE OF REMARKS.

The estimate under review amounting to Rs 4,500 provides for constructing a corrugated iron goods shed 90×30×16 at the port of Masulipatam in the Kistna Dist.

It is represented that the goods shed is much needed at this port and from calculations made the cost of constructing such a shed, it is believed would [illegible] the amount indicated.

The plan submitted by Messrs Burn of Howrah is required only [illegible]

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
11 JUN 88
P.W. DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

G. O. [illegible] No 33[illegible]

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department. It will be considered in that Department whether funds can be provided from the Budget for Public Works for the current year in order to the work being executed at an early date as required by the Collector.

[illegible]
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered
9th June 1888

To
the Public Works Dept.

C.R.

[illegible] 11.6 [illegible] C.

---

a [illegible] to [illegible] letter No 1236 [illegible] of 27th Feb [illegible] have not appeared to be in the file.

Mr [illegible] points out that the Extension of the [illegible] was finished shortly before the 20 B.M.G. and Sept 22 and it is not explained why this point has been adverted to.

The rates cannot be checked for want of data.

The Estimate is recommended by the Collector and approved by Govt. in the Rev. Deptt.—

[illegible]
15/6/88

The Post Office wants a shed with sides that can be closed. [illegible] case [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

13
1886

that the shed is practically an open one. There being no doors that can be shut and locked" which he believes to be in accordance with the Collector's views, but the Post Office letter is of a subsequent date to that of Mr. [illegible] and it may be well to call for the plans.—

[illegible]
15/6/88

Good sheds are usually constructed with open sides, but in this case the object seems to be to prevent theft — The Estm. for the shed is reasonable & the design can be left to the S.E.,

[illegible]
15.6.88

12/1886

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

Dated 2nd June 1888.

No. 1773. Mis.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Kistna District, dated 26th May 1888, No. 2683:—

"With reference to para 4. of Board's Proceedings No. 257, dated 14th Instant, I have the honor to forward the plan therein mentioned."

Resolution.

Submitted to Government, in continuation of the Board's Proceedings dated 14th May 1888 No. 257.

(True Extract)

P.R./4.6

Herbert Bradley
Secretary

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
The Collector of Kistna.

P. W. No. 5

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
15 JAN [illegible]
P. W. DEPARTMENT MADRAS

G. O. [illegible]

Transferred to the Public Works Department in continuation of G. O. dated 9th June 1888, No. 3344 [illegible].

[illegible]
Secretary to Govt.

Ootacamund
12 June 1888.

(1888.) 13

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 3805

Sec. (Spl.)

From
The Board of Rev.
(Sep. Rev.)

Dated 2nd June 1888
Received 4th — " —
Enclosures 1.

No. 1773 – Mis.

SUBJECT

Submitting to Govt. letter from the Collector of Kistna forwarding the plan of corrugated iron goods shed required at the port of Masulipatam called for in Board's Proceedings dated 14th May 1888, No. 257.

P. T. O.

13th R
Clerks

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

16/1888

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, S. E. No. 257 d/ 14th May 1888.
Endorsement by the Revenue Department, H. E. No. 3344 d/ 9th June 1888.
Pro. of the Bd. of Rev. — — — No. 1773 d/ 2. 6. 88
Endt. Rev. Dept — — — " 3410 d/ 12. 6. 88

The estimate amounting to Rs. 4,500, for constructing a corrugated iron goods shed at the port of Masulipatam in the Kistna District, is sanctioned for early execution, and the amount is appropriated from the Provincial reserve with the Govt.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 41 P W of 1888-89, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

[illegible]
16. 6. 88

To The Supdg. Engr. II Circle with [illegible]
" Examiner of P. W. Accts with copy of the abstract estimate
" Revenue Department.

[illegible]
16/6/88

Cn

Tr 16/6

Govt. of 1888 Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

23/5/88

Dated

Recd. No.

G.O. 21 June 1889, No. 1830 W.

Read of Municipal Council

[illegible] for construction [illegible]

[illegible] in the [illegible]

[illegible] District [illegible]

[illegible]