P.W.C. No. 5198

W. 322 W a/ 26.1.88.
" 1982 W/a/ 26.8.85
" 526 W/a/ 26.2.84

Au

B No. 1735 W.d/ 12th June 1888

P.H.
7 June

Communicated to the Judicial Dept. with reference to G.O. in that Department No. 167 d/ 23rd January '88

2. Mr Baker will be informed that hasty, and consequently bad, work is far more discreditable to the P.W. Dept than a lapse of grant.
His explanation is therefore most unsatisfactory

Hun

5.6.88

E

Ad 1 Ma Joll 7/6/88

Desp

Who is Mr Baker
Note this against him
He left everything to the Contractor

Joll

Judicial dept
S.E. VI circle

8/6/88

despd 12.6.88

R. 11.6.88

S.K.W.

8.VI.88

0
5/6. 0.33.6
Hun 7/6 8/6

80b
9/6
D 16/6-

From

The Supdt. Engineer
6 circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 27/T.N.
Dated 1st } June/88.
Recd 2/- }

No. 1735 W.d/ 12th June 1888

Sattankulam Sub. Registrar's office Building:—

Forwards report from the Exec: Engr. Tinnevelly Dn stating that the defects of — have been made good—

With order

man be admitted as a public charge, from the 24th August 1887 to 10th February 1888.

Job
8/6/88

5 11/12/6

E 98/12/6

12 1734 W d/12

To

The Supdg: Engr. VI Circle
" Examr: of P. W. Accts:

D 13/6

R. S.

017:6
m 8/6. R. 11. 6. 88

26th August 1885, No. 1982 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper :—

No. 232 T.N.

Report by the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, on the estimate for constructing a Sub-Registrar's office at Sátánkulam, Tenkarai taluk, Tinnevelly district, Rs. 2,080.

The estimate is called for in G.O., No. 526 W., dated 26th February 1884, and is prepared in accordance with the standard design, No. 21 (terraced building), approved of in G.O., No. 120 W., dated 14th January 1882.

2. The site selected is the village-site and belongs to Government (*vide* remarks in the compensation statement attached). No compensation for land is therefore allowed in the estimate. The soil is said to be loam and decayed rock is found 4 feet below the surface of the ground ; the foundation allowed in the estimate, viz., 1 foot concrete and 3½ feet masonry, is considered sufficient.

3. No deviation is made from the standard design except the flooring, which is proposed to be of concrete in Portland cement, instead of square bricks. The record-room door to be of imitation venetian and panel. Weather-boards are provided over the windows of the office room.

4. The rates are fair and the estimate is forwarded to the Inspector-General of Registration for submission to Government in the Judicial Department (*vide* paragraph 1168 A, of the Public Works Code).

5. The work should be completed within nine months from the date of sanction and allotment of funds.

Trichinopoly,
25th July 1885.
(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

To the Inspector-General of Registration.

No. 2932.

Submitted for the sanction of the Government.

Madras,
29th July 1885.
(Signed) G. HAMNETT,
Inspector-General of Registration.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 1422, Judicial.

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department.

(By Order.)

Ootacamund,
8th August 1885.
(Signed) A. G. CARDEW,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

Order—dated 26th August 1885, No. 1982 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,080, for constructing a Sub-Registrar's office at Sátánkulam, in the Tinnevelly district, is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 110 W. of 1885-86, and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. SHAW-STEWART, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with three enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with the estimate for note and return to Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ Judicial Department.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 26th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 26th Aug. 1885, No. 1982 W.

AUGUST.

Sub-Registrar's Office at Satankulam, Tinnevelly District

Estimate for —, sanctioned.

16th February 1884, No. 425, Judicial.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From G. HAMNETT, Esq., Inspector-General of Registration, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Malabar, 6th February 1884, T. No. 372.

In their order, Judicial department, No. 1684, dated the 25th October 1882, the Government "sanctioned as a tentative measure" a monthly expenditure of Rs. 54 for opening a new sub-district at Satankulam, in the Tinnevelly district, and desired that a report be made of the working of the office after the lapse of a year.

2. The office was opened on the 1st January 1883, and the results for the calendar year ending the 31st December show that the receipts aggregated Rs. 2,218, and far surpassed my estimate of Rs. 1,455.

3. The Registrar reports—

> "That the opening of the office at Satankulam has been appreciated by the public, and that the registrations have greatly increased will be evident from the fact that the registrations and collections of the sub-district of Tiruchendúr and Satankulam put together for the calendar year 1883 came up to 5,142 and Rs. 6,334, while the registrations and collections of Tiruchendúr for the calendar year 1882, before it was split into two offices of Tiruchendúr and Satankulam, came up to only 3,662 and Rs. 4,453."

4. The registrations increased in both sub-districts, during the year, by 40 per cent., and the receipts by 42 per cent.

5. I have the honor to state that these results fully justify the permanent maintenance of a sub-district at Satankulam, and to request that you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of His Excellency the Governor in Council to the same, and also for the construction of an office on the standard terraced design.

Order—dated 16th February 1884, No. 425, Judicial.

In the circumstances represented, the Government are pleased to confirm the office at Satankulam.

2. The construction of a registration office on the standard plan is approved, and the papers will be transferred to the Public Works department for issue of the necessary orders.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Registration.
„ Revenue department.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 526 Wd 26th Feby/84

Communicated to the Supty: Engr: VI Circle for submission of the requisite Estimate.

(Signed) J. S. S.

S. E. VI Circle

Judl. Dept

P. W. D.

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 16th February 1884, No. 425.

No. 526 Wd 26th Feby/84

FEBRUARY.

Registration.

Confirming the registration office at Satankukulam, in the Tinnevelly district, and approving the construction of a building on the standard plan.

With Order

Judicial Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

From the Inspector General of Registration, Madras, dated 23d December 1887, No 5151

In april 1887 the Sub-Registrar's office, Satankulam, built on the standard terraced design by the Public Works Department, was handed over to the Registration Department. The Sub-Registrar reported on the 28th idem that:—

"On examining the upper flooring it was observed that, by many small cracks which were not visible before, water percolating through the bricks, has produced leakage throughout the length of some rafters of the ceiling."

2. I addressed the Executive Engineer, Tinnevelly Division, on the subject, and he replied on the 12th July 1887 saying "that the trifling repair required to the Sub-Registrar's Office at Satankulam has been attended to."

3. On the 10th November 1887, the Registrar reported "that the roof of the entire office is leaky and that during the recent heavy rains the office room and the record room were

were flooded, there being 2 inches of water on the floor," — that the Sub-Registrar apprehended serious damage to the books and applied for permission to remove his office immediately to the old rented building, and that he had permitted him to do so.

4. I again addressed the Executive Engineer on the 18th November 1887, and requested him to let me know how long it would take to make the new building water-tight, as it was necessary for me to obtain the sanction of the Government for the rent to be paid for the private building. In his reply dated the 17th December 1887, he informs me that the repairs will be completed without fail by the 10th proximo.

5 Under the circumstance I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Government for the payment of the rent of Rupees (3) three per mensem for the private building occupied from the 10th November 1887. The charge will have to be met from savings in the Budget Estimate.

Order: — 23rd January /88 No 167.

Sanctioned

2. A report will be called for in the Public Works Department as to the defects in the building which appear due to bad work.

(True extract)

A.G. Cardew.

Ag Under Secretary

To

The Inspector General of Registration
" Accountant General
" Public Works Department ✓

x^{d} S.D

No 322 W of 26th January/88

B

Communicated to the Supdg. Engr. VI Circle who will report on the defects brought to notice after inspection of the building either personally or by the Executive Engineer.

Communicated to the Supdg. Engineer VI Circle who will report on the defects brought to notice after inspection of the building either personally or by the Executive Engineer.

W.W.
26.1.88

1982 W of 26/8/85

R.S.
S.E. VI Circle
S.E. VI Circle Despd 27-1.88

30/1 31/1 2/2

[6] B.W. No 727

Govt. of (188) Madras.

To

The Bd

Rd 25-1-88

Proceedings, dated 23-1-1888.

No. 167

Subject.

No 322 W dated 26th January/88

Sanctg rent of Rs 3 per mensem for the building in which the office of the Sub-Registrar of Santa-kulam is held.

With orders

No 834

Tinnevelly Division Office
Camp - Nazareth dated 28th May/88
Despd 29th —

Enclo 4

From
A. S. Russell Esquire
Executive Engineer
Tinnevelly Division

To,
The Superintending Engineer
VI Circle
Trichinopoly

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No 767 T.W., dated 2nd February/88, on G. O. No 322 W, dated 26th January/88, I have the honor to report that I have to day inspected the building, and find that to all appearance the defects in the roof have now been made good.

2. The building was begun in November 1886, and finished on 15th March 1887, under the immediate charge of Mr Baker, Assistant Engineer, the Sub-Divisional Officer. I forward herewith copies of the marginally noted letters received from that Officer, in reply to references from me calling upon him for explanation of the defects complained of.

× No 143 dated 1st July/87
" 169 " 18th — do —
" 400 " 15th December/87
" 508 " 16th February/88

3.

3. As I only took charge of the Division on 28th February 1887, when the building was fast approaching Completion, I have no personal knowledge of the Circumstances of the work while under Construction. I see no reason, however, to doubt the correctness of Mr Baker's Conclusion that the primary cause of the defects in the roof was due to the work being finished off too hastily in the endeavour to Complete it within the Official year, to which may be added the various secondary causes instanced by Mr Baker.

5 months

4. The inaccessibility of the locality, which is situated in sandy tracts of Country miles away from the nearest road and from all other works under the Department, rendered it impossible that Mr Baker could at so busy a time of the year devote close attention to the building during its construction, and placed him very much at the mercy of the Contractor as regards the proper execution of the work. Had it not been for this cause the defects in the roof could not have occurred, as they would have been seen and remedied in time. On the whole I consider Mr Baker may be acquitted of anything but an error of judgment in attempting to finish off the terrace roof in so short time.

great carelessness

He was probably induced to adopt this course owing (as I find from the file in my Office) to the fact that the Contract time had already been once extended from 1st February to 15th March/87. What were the reasons for this extension I cannot now say as there is no record on the subject, and Mr Baker has now left the Division, but they are in all probability due to the chronic trouble and delay experienced in this District in the execution of works in remote localities where labour and materials are difficult to procure.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt Servant

A. S. Russell.

Executive Engineer

Br 30/1 [illegible] 10/2/2

No 27/T.N.

Submitted to the Chief Engr P.W.D. with reference to G.O. No 322 W of the 26th Jany -'88.

2 The defects in the roof doubtless due to the work at the Sáthankulam Sub Re-gistrar's Office having been rushed thro' the close of the official year.

3 This is the substance of Mr Baker's explanations, which I do not think it necessary to forward.

4. The Exec Engr states that the defects have to all appearance been made good. I trust it may prove so, & that the roof will not leak any more.

J. W. Rundall
Supg Engr

Trichy
1st June 1888.
82/-

Ref: No 767 T Ndy 2-2-88

SUPG: ENGINEER'S
No. 338 T.N.
31 MAY. 88
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

From
A. S. Russell Esqre
Exec: Engineer
Tinnevelly D.n

To
The Superintending Engineer
6th Circle

No 834

Dated 28th } May 1888
Desp.d 29th }

Enclo 4

Sattankulam Sub Registrar's Office Building — Forwards a Special report on the roof of —

Papers.