Income tax act

No 1867 w.a. 23-7-86.

O.W.C. No 4839

man be admitted as a public charge, from the 24th August 1887 to 10th February 1888.

John
8/6/88

Dr Wolfe 8/6/88

R.S. 5/7:6 m 8/6. R.H. 6.8

Ch. Supt'g: Eng. gr. VI Civil
" Exam'r of W. Accts:

239

№ 1364 dated 28th/29th March 1888.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P. W. Department for favor of disposal.

Major R.E.
Examiner P. W. Accts
Madras.

28/3
29/3.

P.W.C. No: 48.

Sixth Circle.

Revised statement of proposition showing the Temporary establishment required for the office of the Executive Engineer, Vaigai Bridge and Division, Madura Dt. for 1887-88.

Order sanctioning present Establishment — Government of — Department	Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of change. Present scale. No.	Date	No.	Designation	Pay minimum	Increment	maximum	Average pay fixed	No.	Proposed scale. Designation	Pay minimum	Increment	maximum	Average pay fixed	Proposition Permanent increase per month	Permanent decrease per month	Temporary increase per month. Period	Temporary increase per month. Amount	Temporary decrease per month. Period	Temporary decrease per month. Amount	Remarks by Local Government.
											Office											
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	Clerk x	"	"	"	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	
											Petty											
										5	Lascars x at Rs 6 each	"	"	"	30	-	-	-	30	-	-	
															45				45			
					Total for 12 months										540				540			
					1 Lascar at Rs 6 per mensem from 24th Augt 1887 to 10th Febry 1888 both days inclusive										33-9-10				33-9-10			
					Grand Total Rs										573-9-10				573-9-10			
															33-9-10				33-9-10			

No. 1212/N.D.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. thro the Examiner P.W. Accts.

2. The Exe. Engineer Vaigai Bridge, employed an extra lascar & charged his pay to the Bridge works. The Examiner P.W. Accts objected this in his statement of objectionable items on the accounts of the Madura Dt. for October/87. The Executive Engineer in his No. 943 21-1-88 replied that he employed the lascar because he could not get on with the five lascars already sanctioned. In his No. 1059/N.D. 6.2.88 the Engineer has pointed out that five lascars are considered to be ample for all works for which they can be required, & that under the Code rules, no lascars can be charged against the works. the services of the lascars have been dispensed with.

3. It is requested that this revised statement may be sanctioned with a view to remove the objection raised by the Examiner P.W.A.

Supt. Engineer's Office, Trichinopoly 24th March, 1888.

J. W. Russell
Supt. Engineer, Circle.

x Vide G.O. No 1376 Pub. Dept. dated 26th May 1887 Ch. B.

P.W.C. No. 1.8

ENCLOSURE
20 MAR 88
EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS

From

The Superintending Engineer
Sixth Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D

Thro' the Examiner P.W.A.

No. 1212 M.D.

Dated March 1888

Despd do

Recd:

Sixth Circle

Revised Statement of proposition showing the temporary Establishment required for the Office of the Exec: Engineer, Vaigai Bridge Sub Dn, Madura Dn, for 1887-88.

P.W.C. No. 3130

From

Major C.H.S. Christie R.E.
Examiner P.W. Accounts
Madras

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

No. 136 M dated 28 March 1888

29

12.4.88

No. 1109 W dt 13.4.88

Revised statement of proposition showing the temporary Establishment required for the office of the Executive Engineer, Vaigai Bridge Sub Division, Madura, for 1887-88

—— Forwards a —— from the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle

In the circumstances explained, the Examiner of Public Works Accounts is authorized to pay the salary at Rs. 6 per mensem to an extra Lascar employed under the Executive Engineer, in charge of the Vaigai Bridge, from 24th August 1887 to 10th February 1888, both days inclusive

Revised order called for

5.4.88

Rs. 6/4/65.

To The Supdg: Engr: VI. Circle,
" Examr P.W.A.

O.S. 32/1st: H.A.: 7.4.88

6/5/4/88

With order

No. 157. **The Vaigai Bridge Sub Division Office.**

MADURA, *Dated* May 1888.

FROM

H. E. CLERK ESQ.,

Executive Engineer, Vaigai Bridge Works,

MADURA.

TO

THE Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle,
Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G. O. No: 1109. PW. dated 13th April 1888 and to offer the following explanation of my conduct in making the appointment of the Lascar and Charging him to works which has been found objectionable.

2. In the first place I had no intention of disobeying the orders conveyed in your office No: 540. M.D. dated 3-9-87, as I understood the prohibition of the Superintending Engineer to apply only to appointing a man and charging him against the Temporary Establishment; I did not regard the order as forbidding me to employ a man of that class at all.

3. I believed therefore that I was entitled to employ a man and charge him

---

B
Form order

No 1109 W dy 13. 4-88

As Exc. Clerk has, contrary to rule, and without authority, employed the Lascar in question unnecessarily, the Govt: must decline to admit his pay as a public charge -

Jdc 10/4/88.

W/13/4

Entered
14/4

Recd 16/4

to works provided that I did not infringe on any of the provisions laid down in para 935 of the P. W. Code, and I believed that I did not do so, seeing that the man was employed solely on our work and for taking measurements and dealing with the overseer.

4. I am quite ready to admit that my interpretation of the Code may be a wrong one but I would respectfully submit the following points for your consideration and representation to the Chief Engineer.

(a) That if it is decided that I disobeyed the Superintending Engineer that act of disobedience was an unintentional one.

(b) That the rules of the Code on the Subject of the appointment of Temporary Establishment do not distinctly prohibit the appointment of Lascar and that in making the appointment I believed I was within my powers.

5. I will say nothing regarding the necessity of making the appointment, except that I have tried throughout the progress of the work to keep the charges for petty supervision as low as possible.

6. I would respectfully request therefore that you will forward this explanation to the Chief Engineer in the hopes that in consideration of my explanation now given, the G. O. above referred to may be modified.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

H Ellis
Executive Engineer,
N Bn

S.S.
8/5 No. 182 N.D.

Submitted to the Chief Engr P.W.D. for consideration and orders.

2 The Exec Engr was clearly wrong in having employed a Lascar to work when the Supg Engr (Lt. Col Pennycuick R.E.) had stated the number of Lascars sanctioned (6) was in his opinion sufficient for the Establishment employed.

3. It was an error of judgment on the part of an Offi cer who is doing good work; the undersigned ventures to express a hope that it may be condoned & the charge admitted against the work.

L. W. Rundall
Supg Engr

Lower Circular Road
12 May 1880

D 15/

Public Works Department.

Register No. *dated* 188 ;

From

H. E. CLERK ESQ.,

Executive Engineer,

Vaigai Bridge Works,

MADURA.

SUPG: ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 232 MD.
11 MAY. 88
P. W. D.
SIXTH CIRCLE.

To

The *Superintending Engineer*
VI circle
Trichinopoly

No. *157*

Dated *8th*
Despatched *9th* } *May* 188 *8* ;
Received

ENCLOSURE : *Nil*

SUBJECT.

The nomination of a man as lascar whose pay to be charged to the work - Explains the circumstances that made

Withheld

Wr Norway of 13.4.88

OWC No 2603

From

The Supt Engineer
6 Circle.

To The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 220 Dated 22nd May 1888
No 182nd
Dated 12th May 1888.
Recd 23rd May 1888.

Forwards for orders ~~dane-~~ ~~tion~~ letter from the Executive Vaigai Bridge works explaining the circumstances under which the nomination of a Lascar whose pay was charged to works —

P. W. Department.

Read the following :—

From the S.E. VI Circle No 182 dt. 12.5.88

FOR ORDERS.

Letter have expired
SE's 540 dt 3 Feb 87
6 Feb 88
1050,
PHH
21 May

Wrn
19.5.88

Jcu
21.5.88

Call for

Jcu
24/5/88

0/19/5

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon P.P. Hutchins
C.S.I.

P. W. Department.

No. 220. Dated 22nd May 1888.

Memo

Letter from S.E. VI Circle No. 182 M.D. dated 12th May 1888.

The Supty Engineer VI Circle
is requested to be so good
as to furnish copies of this
letters quoted below:-

No. 540 dated 3rd September 1884
" 1050 " 6th Febry 1888.

(Signed) Jo Hastie Col R.E.
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To The Supdg Engineer.
VI Circle.

0/10
0/19/5 O.S. 115/V
19.20.21.5.88

Dy/W

No. 220 Da/22nd May 1888

Dept d: 2788.

Memo from Lt. Col J. Pennycuick RE superintending Engineer, Circle, & the Executive Engineer Vaigai Bridge works, Madura, dated 3d September 1887, No. 540 MD - camp. Shatady.

His No. 407 dated 23d August 1887.

It is observed from G.O No. 2680 W, dated 13th October 1886, only 5 temporary lascars were originally sanctioned for employment under

1. Executive Engineer
1. Sub. Engineer &
1. Overseer.

2. In G.O No. 1376 W / 19.5.87 the same number of lascars were re-sanctioned for 1887-88.

3. A permanent lascar was, in this office No. 21947 / 26-3-87, posted for duty under Assistant Engineer Mr. Gopala Ayyar.

4. It is not clear why more lascars should be required, as the work is one which involves no

travelling or pitching of tents.

Letter from S. W. Rundall Esqr. Superintending Engineer, Trichy, to the Executive Engineer, Vaigai Bridge works, Madura, dated 6th February 1888. No. 1050 M.D. Trichinopoly.

In reply to your No. 943 of the 31st Ultimo, I have the honor to state that I consider 5 Lascars ample for all works for which they can be required at the Bridge, either by yourself, the Hon'y Assistant Engineer or the Overseer. I cannot recommend that the number be increased.

2. The Code rules must be strictly followed and no Lascars can be charged against the works.

3. The sums hitherto charged should be reported, so that the orders of Government may be

obtained.

(True copies)

No. 235 M.D.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. with reference to his No. 2205 / 22.5.88.

S. W. Rundall
Supt.g Engr Trichy.

28/26.5.88
Vinnar Stud

2022-5-00.
1109 W 13-4-88.

P.W.C. No. 5084

To

The Hon'ble Mr. P. Stuttchini
C.S.I.

From

The Supt. Engineer
6th circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No 235 M.D.
Dated 26/28 May 1888.
Rec'd. 31 May 1888.

Friday - 8-VI-88.
After Council
No 1734 W dt 12-6-88

Forwards copies of correspondence to the Exec: Engineer Vaigai Bridge regarding the pay of a Lascar, changed to Mistry —

To

Submitted in compliance with the Hon: Member's note on "For orders" paper.
Cro: 4663.

Jdl 4/6/88.

I must say that the Collector's action seems altogether inexcusable & the Govt. are very much in the right, but I shall be glad to hear what Col. Hunter thinks about Sec. 935 of the Code — after next Council 5/6

Under Sec. 320 (a) I admit the charge as Exec. Engr. have the power under Sec 320 (a) & we dismiss (or dismissal) is proper
PJH
8/6

order accordingly

7:6
4:6.
8/6. R. 11 6 88

To

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ again the following

G. O. No. 1109. W. dated 13th April 1888.

Letter from the Exc. Engr. Vaigai Bridge Works. } H. E. No. 157 dated 8th May 1888

Endorsement by the Supty. Engineer VI Circle. } " " 182. M. D. " 12th May 1888.

From the Superintending Engr. VI Circle. " " 235 M. D. " 26th May 1888.

—

After considering the explanation afforded by Mr. Clerk as to his action in appointing the Lascar in question under a misapprehension of rules, the Government are pleased, in supersession of G. O. 1109 W. dated 13th April 1888, to direct that the pay of the Mar