

No. 1782 c of 3rd May 1888

To C

Improvement of
Remarks.

W.M.
3.5.88

On

1566 of 30.5.88

To W.

Returned with the following
remarks-

2. Item No. 7 of summary. It is not clear why the necessary corrections should not be made in the divisional office and payment made on the bills as corrected. The Executive Engineer does not say whether any steps have been taken to ensure more prompt submission of bills from ^{the} Trivellore sub-division. His explanation scarcely seems to account satisfactorily for such a delay as payment in September 1887 of work measured in March 1887.

3. Item No. 16. It appears from the Executive Engineer's explanation that payment was made on the ~~second~~ second final bill without proper


From

P.W.C. No. 4055
5/27/88
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V Circle,

To

THE

Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.



Dated 30

Recd.

April 1888.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 361

Inspection Notes -
Reports on the several
items noted in para 3 of
G.O. No. 3168 W of 22-11-87.

examination of the same in the divisional office. I think this should not be allowed; and that if the accountant is absent final payments at any rate should not be made unless suitable arrangements have been made for the conduct of the accountant's duties. The Executive Engineer might be asked to send the measurement book as soon as possible.

4. Item No. 23. All contract forms provide (though in different ways) for settlement of disputes by stating the person whose decision is to be final and binding on the contractor. The Supg. Engineer should, it is suggested, be asked to report whether the procedure prescribed in each case for the settlement of disputes has been followed.

5. Items Nos. 31 and 32. I think the Executive Engineer should report when all service books have been completed.

6. Item No. 37. With reference to the concluding part of the Executive Engineer's reply, Mr. Rainier states that he was not aware that the list was being returned for correction. I think that such statements,

20

no 1782042. S. 87.
" 31684122. 11. 87.

PWC N: 5127

imputing suppression of material
facts by the inspecting officer,
should be made more guardedly.

lmt
23

C.

23

27.

30/5.

R, 1. 6. 88

No 1710 Wd/9 June 88

B

The Examiners office note
The Examiners office note
will be communicated
to the S. S. V Circle with
reference to his letter
No 361 dated 30th April
1888.

2 The further report
suggested in para 5 should
be called for, and the
special attention of the
Suptg Engineer is drawn
to the remarks in the
other paragraphs.
Other paragraphs.

26/5/88
S. S. V Circle
2. 6. 88
2. 6. 88
2. 6. 88

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

No. 1072

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

V CIRCLE, MADRAS,

Bangalore
Chennai, 13th June 1888.

From

Colonel H. M. Vibart. R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
Public Works Department.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to para 5 of the Examiners
Note - Communicated in G. O. No 1710 W dated
9th June 1888, I have the honor to state that the
Executive Engineer reports on the 8th Instant that
Service Registers are maintained for all the
Members of the permanent Establishment
that the Registers are up to date and have
been recently attested by him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir

Your Most Obedt Servant
H. M. Vibart
Col. R.E.
Suptg. Engineer V Circle

04/6- 24/6
24/6

26/6

2. 6. 88

Sub Division but since there
has been a change of officers in
the sub Division, the present
sub Divisional officer Mr. Taylor
submits his bills correctly and
regularly for payment and there
are now no delays of payment.
As regards para 3 of your note
the present Executive Engineer
is unable to explain the serious
discrepancies between different
contract certificates for the
Asanabadi Tank work as the
Accountant as well as the
sub Divisional officer to
whose time the certificates
refer have left the Division.

The measurement Book
called for is herewith sent.

With reference to para 4 of
your note the Executive Engineer
explains that when final
payments are made to contractors
they are always required to
state in their own hand
writing that the "payments
made are final and in settle-
ment of all demands."

In

In the case of Contractor
S. Subroya there is no final
payment has been made as
yet, since the contractor
claims more money. The
amount due to him is still
in the deposit account which
will be relieved on his case
being finally settled.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant.

James Robert Col. R.E.

Supy. Engineer & Civil