

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 38
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 6/88
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 6/88

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

சென்னை துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>1324</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>5. 5. 1888</u>	<u>1988</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

5TH MAY 1888, No. 1324 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel E. COLLEN, Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 17th April 1888, No. 724 M.W.:—

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 60 W., dated the 8th January 1888, and telegram, dated 10th January 1888, relating to the site for a new High Court at Madras.

2. The matter was referred to the Defence Committee as desired, and the Committee have decided to adhere to their previous recommendation that the erection of the building on the esplanade of Fort St. George should not be allowed.

3. This opinion, together with the various reasons which have led the Government of Madras to advocate the selection of the site in question, have been laid before the Governor-General in Council. His Excellency in Council recognises the validity of the objections from a defence point of view to the erection on this site of massive masonry buildings. But after consideration of the reasons given by the Government of Madras in favour of this site and the difficulties in the way of finding any other suitable position; having also read the opinions recorded by Sir F. Roberts, when Commander-in-Chief, Madras Army, and those of the present Commander-in-Chief, Madras, the Governor-General in Council has decided that the advantages to the defence of Fort St. George of keeping the present esplanade clear are outweighed by the other considerations which make it desirable to appropriate a portion of this esplanade as a site for the new High Court buildings.

4. I am to state, therefore, for the information of the Governor in Council, that no further objection will be raised to the erection of the buildings on the site proposed.

ORDER—dated 5th May 1888, No. 1324 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Consulting Architect to Government, who will arrange for the plans and estimates for new High Court buildings on the esplanade site being submitted for sanction as soon as practicable.

2. The notice inviting tenders for the purchase of the present High Court buildings will be published in successive issues of the *Fort St. George Gazette* until 28th August 1888.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Superintending Engineer, V Circle.
" Judicial Department.
" Military Department.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Superintendent, Gazette Press,
with draft notification.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Gobi. of 1888 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated May, 5th.

Recd.

G.O., 5th May 1888, No. 1324 W.

MAY

High Court buildings, Madras

Directing the preparation of plans and estimates for the new —, and the publication of a notice inviting tenders for the sale of the old buildings.

On

2/1324

his orders might perhaps be taken with respect to inserting in the leading newspapers a brief advertisement drawing attention to the gazette notification inviting tenders for the purchase of the High Court buildings.

Cu

15.5.88

Yes - send 2 M for orders -
In my opinion the gazette notification is sufficient

Ucom

15.5.88

By order of the Secretary

Jr

14/5

15/5/88

Defences.

No. 724 M.W.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Simla, the 17th April 1888.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 60 W dated the 8th January 1888 and telegram dated 10th January 1888, relating to the site for a new High Court at Madras.

2. The matter was referred to the Defence Committee as desired, and the Committee have decided to adhere to their previous recommendation that the erection of the building on the esplanade of Fort St. George should not be allowed.

3. This opinion, together with the various reasons which have led the Government of Madras to advocate the selection of the site

in

in question have been laid before the Governor General in Council. His Excellency in Council recognizes the validity of the objections from a defence point of view to the erection on this site of massive masonry buildings. But after consideration of the reasons given by the Government of Madras in favour of this site and the difficulties in the way of finding any other suitable position; having also read the opinions recorded by Sir F. Roberts when Commander in-Chief, Madras Army and those of the present Commander-in-Chief, Madras, the Governor-General in Council has decided that the advantages to the defence of Fort St George of keeping the present esplanade clear are outweighed by the other considerations which make it desirable to appropriate a portion of this esplanade as a site for the new High Court buildings.

4. I am to state, therefore, for the information of the Governor-in-Council that

4/1324
that no further objection will be raised to the erection of the buildings on the site proposed.

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. J. G. Allen

Secretary to the Govt. of India.

J. H. H.

Copy to:-
The Secretary to the Defence Committee

Copy to Govt. P.W. Dept.

PNC No 3785

40 718 W 2/1-3-88 40 1598 2/27-5-87
 891 W 2/17-3-88 1237 C 2/30-3-87
 2831 W 2/22-10-87 177 D 2/1-6-87
 2707 W 2/13-10-87 3173 W 2/22-11-87
 557 D 2/17-9-87
 284 D 2/1-7-87
 455 D 2/10-8-87
 2650 C 2/9-8-87
 372 D 2/21-7-87
 45 2/2-7-73
 92 2/12-6-73
 129 2/21-6-72
 146 2/22-6-73
 475 W 2/10-2-88
 285 W 2/24-1-88
 60 W 2/8-1-88
 338 W 2/10-12-87
 354 W 2/21-12-87
 3457 W 2/17-12-87
 334 W 2/3-12-87
 2707 W 2/12-10-87
 343 D 2/16-7-87
 1719 W 2/12-7-83
 1663 W 2/17-7-85
 1153 W 2/15-5-85
 2423 W 2/1-10-84
 536 W 2/7-2-88
 503 C 2/6-2-88
 2707 W 2/13-10-87
 344 D 2/16-7-87
 1594 D 2/14-7-85
 773 C 2/11-4-85
 675 D 2/16-3-85
 645 D 2/14-3-85
 451 C 2/6-3-85
 359 C 2/21-2-84
 1837 C 2/11-11-84
 822 C 2/25-10-84
 2423 W 2/1-10-84
 2193 W 2/5-9-84
 1236 C 2/30-3-87
 1711 W 2/7-7-88
 2012 C 2/1-6-86
 920 C 2/6-3-86
 1374 C 2/5-4-86
 544 C 2/9-2-86
 2410 W 2/15-10-85
 683 C 2/1-10-85
 1752 D 2/30-7-85
 1594 D 2/14-7-85
 626 C 2/24-2-87
 1074 C 2/19-3-87

1324.4.88

NOTICE.

SEALED tenders are invited for the purchase of the buildings on the North Beach, Madras, now occupied by the High Court and Small Cause Courts, the adjoining stables and the Marine stores, together with the open ground enclosed by the buildings, the whole having a frontage of 495 feet and bounded as follows:—

North—Narayanappah Naick Street.
 South—Arbuthnot's Lane.

East—The North Beach Road.

West—Joffer Serang Garden Street.

2. Ground plan and plan of the buildings can be seen on application at the office of the Consulting Architect to Government, Chepak.

3. The municipal tax on the buildings is at present assessed at Rupees 8,878.

4. Tenders addressed to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Chepak, Madras, will be received up to 12 noon on the 10th January 1888, when they will be opened in the presence of those who may choose to attend.

5. Each tender must be accompanied by a deposit of Rupees 1,000 in the shape of a receipt for the amount from the Secretary and Treasurer, Bank of Madras. Cash will not be received.

6. The property will be made over to the successful tenderer on the 1st January 1891, before which time it is anticipated that new buildings for the Courts will be available; but if, from any unforeseen cause, the Government are unable to vacate the premises by that date, rent thereafter at the rate of 7½ per cent. per annum on the amount of the purchase-money will be paid to the purchaser until the buildings are vacated.

7. The purchase-money must be paid in two equal instalments on 1st April 1890 and 1st October 1890. — In default, interest at 5 per cent. per annum must be paid with the 30th June 1891, after which the Government will be at liberty to resell the property.

8. The successful tenderer must, within one month from date of acceptance of his tender, execute an agreement to complete the purchase on the terms herein-mentioned and in the form subjoined. The deposits of unsuccessful tenderers will be at once returned.

9. The Government reserve to themselves the right of rejecting any or all of the tenders without assigning any reason for so doing.

10. The premises having been in possession of the East India Company and the Government for upwards of fifty years, the purchaser shall not require the production of or investigate, or make any objection or requisition in respect of the title to the premises or any of them, but shall accept an assurance of the same from the vendor, the Secretary of State for India in Council, to the purchaser his executors, administrators and assigns.

11. The property is believed and shall be taken to be correctly described as to quantity and otherwise and is sold subject to municipal assessment, quit-rent and other taxes, rights of way and other easements (if any); and if any error, mis-statement or omission in the particulars be discovered, the same shall not annul the sale, nor shall any compensation be allowed to the purchaser in respect thereof.

12. Upon payment of the whole of the purchase-money, a Secretary to the Government of Madras, on behalf of the Secretary of State for India in Council, will execute a proper assurance of the property to the purchaser, but such assurance shall be prepared, made and done by, and at the expense of, the purchaser, and such assurance shall be left not less than thirty days before the said first day of January 1891 at the office of the Solicitor to the Government of Madras for perusal and approval on behalf of the Secretary of State for India in Council.

13. The rents or possession will be received or retained and the out-goings discharged by the vendor up to the said first day of January 1891, and as from that day the out-goings shall be discharged and the rents or possession taken by the purchaser, subject nevertheless to the provisions of clause 6 hereof. If from any default on the part of the purchaser the purchase shall not be completed on the said first day of January 1891, the purchaser shall pay interest on the unpaid portion of his purchase-money (if any) at the rate of 7½ per cent. per annum from that day until the purchase shall be completed, and shall in no case be entitled to any compensation for the vendor's delay or otherwise.

14. If the purchaser shall insist on any objection or requisition as to title or abstract or evidence of title, particular conditions, conveyance, possession, receipt of rents or otherwise, which the vendor shall be unable or unwilling to remove or comply with, the vendor may by notice in writing to be given to the purchaser or his solicitor at any time and notwithstanding any negotiation or litigation in respect of such objection or requisition, annul the sale and shall thereupon return to the purchaser his deposit, but without any interest, costs of investigating the title or other compensation or payment whatever, unless such objection or requisition shall be made subsequent to the payment of any part of the purchase-money, in which case the purchase-money, without any interest thereon, shall be returned to the purchaser.

15. If the purchaser shall fail to comply with the above conditions, his deposit shall thereupon be forfeited, and the vendor shall be at liberty to resell the property at such time, in such manner and subject to such conditions as shall be thought fit by or on behalf of the vendor, and any deficiency in price which may happen and all expenses attending the resale shall immediately afterwards be paid by the defaulter to the vendor, and in case of non-payment shall be recoverable by the vendor as liquidated damages.

I hereby acknowledge that on the sale, this 16th day of January 1888, of the property mentioned in the foregoing particulars, I was declared to be the purchaser thereof, subject to the foregoing conditions, at the price of Rupees , and that I have paid the sum of Rupees 1,000 by way of deposit and in part payment of the said purchase-money to the Secretary and Treasurer, Bank of Madras, and hereby agree to pay the remainder of the said purchase-money and complete the said purchase according to the aforesaid conditions.

As witness my hand this day of 1888.

(Purchaser.)

As agent for the vendor, I ratify this sale and acknowledge that the said deposit of Rs. 1,000 has been paid.

(Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.)

J. A. H. ...
 Secy to Govt P.W.D. Dept

Notice for sale
High Court building

Proof

Para 13 seems to require some
interest to be paid on any amount
unpaid from the date on which
it accrued due

PMH
30 Apr

The latter plan will be to
add to §7 a provision that
interest shall run in default
at 5% per annum, leaving §13 as now

1 May

2nd Plan as proposed
addition. If approved, the
notice will be accepted
for the Press and office
record.

6-1-88
approved. J. H. [unclear]

abstracts of orders on the
subject of the
Comwallis Cenotaph - 1873
- Estimate for repair & work
of, lodged G.O. No. 146. Mts.
of 23rd June 1873.

52. - to be converted into
a drinking fountain
near the Memorial Hall
& orders issued for the
execution of the work
G.O. No. 126. Mts. 21 June 1873

53. Instructions to the
Accountant General
regarding funds for
the work G.O. No. 145. Mts.
of 5th July 1873.

54. Memorial fountain
to be erected in front
of the High Court
buildings - G.O. No. 93. Mts.
of 12th September 1873.

14c
Letter from President M. H. C.
27-7-87 No. 146.

Please have this registered,
& return it to my room
with the papers already cited -
& also with 10/4 after the
usual service has been
made.

to in the last para of the
 letter.
 The rules as to the
 of the Council are also
 for 1887 do not seem to
 but merely to the
 Municipal law.

The last plan
 will be brought to the
 by the Council, and
 of the rules and
 possible to the
 Council in 1887
 1887, and in 1887
 for 1887, and in 1887
 to the Council in 1887
 referred to.

Low
 add to
 notice
 for
 recd

No. 557 D of 12/9 7/30

High Court Buildings

Correspondence relative
 to the notice calling for
 tenders for the purchase
 of the — referred to the
 Judicial Dept.

HC

Date has gone
 in case.

Can be put
 up with this on
 its return

On

10/10

See

File put up.

1877
 13/10/87

AN: 8697 - 4
2831 W. 22-12

10
1324

Ch. ...
...

8/1524

Nov 28 40 1-7-87

pub up to ...
circ 11-10-87

3-11-87

2831W

on 1st June 90 & 1st July 90
the kind of shade like the one from the
1st Oct. 1886 & the others as the same
note the preceding years.

Lenders will be received at present
the University authorities after having
been informed by letter from
the 11th Nov.
up to 10th Dec 1897

Key into the office
- Ground plan & plan of the building
can be seen on application at the
Residing architect's office

9/1324

Tenders are invited for the purchase
of the buildings now occupied by
the High Court & Bench Court.
on the Bench grounds.

The Building is a rectangle of
117 feet frontage & 31 1/2 feet in depth
and with East Side there is an
open space 100 feet in width from
the front line of the building which
will be left vacant by the removal
of the Commercial Machine. There is
also belonging to the building electricity
for houses & coach houses in
the spring & there is the Gate
of the main building.

The Municipal Tax on the Building
is as present assessed at \$100.00

The Building will be available
under the purchase after
the 1st of 1898. In which time it
is not expected that the Building
will be available, but
if, from any unforeseen cause it
is made to stand the building by
that date then at the rate of \$100.00
per annum
the amount of the purchase money
will be paid the purchase until
the building is ready
The purchase money should be
paid in 3 yearly installments

10
1327

and built about
in 1898. The building is
now in use.

After the building has been
completed, it will be
the property of the
Building Department
and will be used for
the purpose of the
City of New York.
The building is now
in use as a
City of New York
Building.

para 15

18
1329

The question of constructing new buildings for the High Court at Madras appears to have originated in 1875 when the Registrar (Mr Weir) in his letter of the 1st May 1875, No 1042 brought to notice "the unsatisfactory nature of the accommodation afforded to the High Court and its establishment by the present Court building" and after pointing out the various defects and referring to the circumstance that at Calcutta, Bombay and Allahabad, the High Courts are about to be lodged in buildings specially constructed for the purpose, he added "the Chief Justice and Judges trust that measures may be adopted by the Govt. to provide the High Court of Madras with a more suitable

suitable Court-house" This letter was referred to the Cons. Architect to Govt who endorsed all that was said as to the unsuitableness of the present buildings. He further recommended their abandonment and the construction of an entirely new building on the open space of ground known as the Sudupet Maidan, on a part of which the new ophthalmic Hospital has since been erected. Plans were directed to be prepared but this instruction was subsequently cancelled on the ground that the Maidan is not available, being required for military purposes. There was some further correspondence regarding the inconvenience caused by several rooms in the present buildings being occupied by the law officers of

G.O. 14th Aug. 1875 No. 1786.

G.O. 28th Aug. 1875 No. 1883

G.O. 7th April 1876 No. 670

G.O. 29th May 1876 No. 1049.

G.O. 10th Sept. 1884 No. 2318

22 July 1884 No. 2051
para 4

12/1329
of Government and other members of the bar, and the High Court were asked whether the building on the South Beach ~~was~~ used as the P.W. Workshops could be employed in improving the accommodation of the Court and on their reply that they could not, the question of building a new High Court remained in abeyance till 1884, when it was again brought by the High Court under the consideration of Government, resulting in a Committee being appointed with the Chief Justice as Chairman to report as to the site in Maoras best suited for the proposed new High Court.

As regards site, the High Court suggested in their letter that "it should not be remote from the offices of the Attorneys & merchants,"

and at the same time ~~it should be~~
"at such a distance from the actual
operations of the port that
business may not be interrupt-
ed by the noise attendant
on those operations." In respect
to accommodation it was
proposed that besides provid-
ing for the judges, officers and
establishment of the Court,
provision should also be
made in the new buildings
for the law officers of Govt.
A statement showing roughly
what would be required
is appended to the letter. As
regards the present buildings,
the High Court suggested that they
should be utilized in accommo-
dating the Town Magistrates Courts,
the Central Police Office and
in extending the accommodation
now given to the Small Cause
Court. The Committee appoints
in the foregoing order reported

para 3

para 3

In G.O. 24 April 1885 No. 1066

13/3/85
in October following that they
were unanimously of opinion
that "of the open sites in the
Town, the site on the Esplanade
N and N.W. of the Lighthouse
would be the most convenient
for the public, if there is no
objection on the part of
the military authorities." H.E.
the Commander-in-Chief
was accordingly asked for his
opinion and he said he
would raise no objection
to the site selected. "So long
as the building has a frontage
parallel with the China Bazaar
Road and no subsidiary build-
ings are constructed in rear
of the Court-house between
it and the glacis of the
Fort." The P.W. Dept were on
this requested to issue the
necessary orders (to prepare
plans)

Mr Chisholm in submit-
ting a survey of the site selected
by the Committee appointed in
G.O. 10th Sept. 1884, No. 2318, requested
that the matter might be
re-considered as he considered
the site badly chosen.

- (1) on account of its coarseness
- (2) because it will close the
direct line of road from
the principal thoroughfare
in Black Town.
- (3) because it encroaches
on the Maidan.

As regards (1) he says that, if
the selected site is built on,
the Lighthouse battery must
be removed at a cost of
about Rs 35,000, for the
buildings being directly behind
the battery would "form the
butt for firing shot and
shell"; and that "about
1100 yards of the P. I. Ry Line
would have to be taken up
and re-constructed at a probable
cost

paras 4 and 5 of letter

12/1324
cost of Rs 15,000, making a total
of Rs 50,000 to be met before
a sod is turned."

The third objection is
sentimental, Mr Chisholm being
desirous that the Esplanade
should be conserved for "the
pleasure of the thousands who
resort daily to it." As an
alternative, he suggested two
open sites, viz, the Pudupet
Maidan and the space next
to the Central Railway terminus
In the event of these being
rejected, he made a third
suggestion that the occupied
site between Jephson's
Broadway and the Agr
Bank as far back as the
Bank of Madras might be
purchased and cleared.
The Government therefore
requested the Committee to
re-consider the question of site
observing

G.O. 24th June 1885. No. 1673

observing that when they approved the Lighthouse site, they (the Government) were not aware of the great expense that was involved as shown above and they therefore thought the last site suggested by Mr Chisholm between the Agra Bank and Popham's Broadway would be a very suitable one.

Not long after the Judicial Department was furnished with copy of the Annual Inspection Report of Fort St. George of April 1885 in which the officer commanding the Eastern District called attention to the serious objections that existed in a military point of view to the erection of the proposed High Court buildings on the Light Esplanade interfering as it must with the defence of the Fort on the North and

G.O. 20 July 1885, No. 1887.

Letter dated 11 Aug 1885
No. 2254

15/3/85
and x x prejudicing the offensive powers of the Light House Battery. This was communicated to the High Court Buildings Committee.

The Committee in their final report discussed the merits of the following sites:—

1. The Maidan Maidan. This on account of its remoteness from the commercial centre they considered inconvenient and, therefore, did not recommend it.

2. The present site of the High Court. The correspondence between the High Court and the Government in 1875 and 1876, referred to at the commencement of this précis, is quoted by the Committee as indicating that the present site and buildings have been practically abandoned as not being suitable, and, while admitting their advantageous situation in relation to merchants and

and lawyers, ^{the Committee} reject the idea of utilizing this site or of remodeling the present buildings because of the dust and noise proceeding from the thoroughfares with which they are surrounded on all four sides and the inconvenience that will be caused to the disposal of the business of the Court while the work of alteration and repair is in progress. Two members, Mr Gordon Mackenzie and Mr Boyson, dissented from the above and advocated the adaptation of the present site.

The site at the Light-house.

This site is again recommended by the Committee in preference to that suggested by the Cons. Architect and approved by the Govt in the Judicial Department.

The site at Japhan's Broadway
is not recommended on account

G.O. 16 September 1885 No. 2469.

G.O. 1st April 1886 No. 850.

G.O. 20th May 1886 No. 1333

G.O. 27th July 1886 No. 1976

16/221
account of the cost to acquire it, its noisy situation and being shut out from the sea breeze.

The Government resolved on the foregoing report to act on the present High Court buildings and called for a rough sketch of the remodelled Court house, which was received by the P.W. Endorsement of 6th March 1886. No. 920C and referred it to the High Court for opinion. The information supplied by Mr Chisholm's letter and sketch was considered insufficient and the High Court asked to be supplied with a ground and story plan showing details of accommodation. These were called for. They, with sketch of accommodation required in the new buildings, were received and forwarded to the Hon'ble the Judges and met with

with their approval — vide Registrar's letter 14th Feb'y 1887, No. 255, transferred to the P.W. Dept. on the 18th idem. This appears to close the correspondence on the subject in the Judicial Department.

In the P.W. Dept the Cons. Architect was required to submit detailed plans and Estimates, but in a Memo. furnished by him on the 10th March last he suggested that instead of the present buildings being remodelled an entirely new structure might be raised on the ground on the North Beach now occupied by the Commissariat Store godowns next to the Madras Mail Office. The High Court buildings, he further proposed, should be given up for the Town Magistrates' Court and the Registration offices and the present Magistrates' Court demolished.

Commissariat Store godowns
Present High Court buildings
Present Town Magistrates Court

17/3/87
demolished and on its site new godowns erected for the Commissariat Department. These suggestions did not meet with the approval of the Hon'ble the 2nd Member whose minutes have been recorded in the P.W. Secretariat and under his instructions the Cons. Architect has been directed to report the areas of all the sites mentioned in his memorandum and to consider the suggestion of selling the present High Court, and to submit a full report after consulting the officers named.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

Letter from the Government of India, M.L. No 724. S.W. of 17th April 1888.

Communicated to the
Consulting Architect to Govern-
ment who will arrange for
the plans and estimates for
new High boat buildings on
the Esplanade site being in
~~preparation in communication with~~
~~the Engineer High boat, and~~
submitted for sanction as soon
as practicable.

The notice inviting tenders
for the purchase of the present
High boat buildings will
be

PPH
30/4/88

See C. S. P.
New High boat
buildings

Submitted to the Hon.
M.L.

H.L. 7th
R.S.H.
O.S.P.H.
10/6/88

be published in successive
pages of the Fort Saint
George Gazette until 28th August 1888.

See
30th 88

N^o 132407 5-5-88

Note.

A proof copy of the notice
inviting tenders is submitted
for approval of the revised
dates shown in the margin.

Decided
15/5/88

- To
The Consulting Architect & Co.
" Supt. Engr. V. Lytle.
" Judicial Dept.
" Military Dept.
" Local & Municipal Dept.
" Superintendent of the Dept.
with draft notification.

C. S.
1888 12/1
10/10 30/10

be published in successive
issues of the Fort Saint
George Gazette until 28 August 19

See
30/4/88

Govt. of Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

575/58

Detd

Encl.

G.O. 5 May 1888 No. 1374 W.

High Court buildings
Madras.

Directing the preparation
of plans and estimates
for the work — and the
publication of a notice
inviting tenders for the
erection of the said buildings.

110
6