

No. 116 W dated 12th January 1888

B

Communicated to the
Superintending Eng^r W^m L^{ieut}
in continuation of G.O.
3131 W d^y 19th Nov 1887

W^m L^{ieut}
10.1.50

J^o
10/1/88

- No 1833 W d^y 8.7.87
- " 3211 W d^y 6.12.82
- " 4833 W d^y 21.2.84
- " 1806 W d^y 6.7.87
- " 2049 W d^y 16.8.86
- " 1553 W d^y 20.6.84
- " 3131 W d^y 19.11.87
- " 4620 C d^y 13.12.87

S E^{ng} L^{ieut} d^y 12-1-88
H^o 11.1.88 816

P^o 156
Govt. of (188) Madras

To

The Mⁱⁿ Dep^t



Proceedings, dated 4-1-1888
No. 4

Subject.

No. 116 W dated 12th January 1888

Explanation of the
S^t Judge of South
Malabar regarding
the Salient Law
Courts.

With order.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

3131W/19-11-87 Read again G.O. 3rd October 1887 ^{also} No. 2276. 3/
Read the following papers.

From the Registrar of the High Court of India.
- Capture at Madras, dated 7th November 1887 No. 2709.
Proceedings of Government, Public Works De-
- partment, dated 19th November 1887 No. 3131W.
Memorandum from the Public Works Depart-
- ment No. 4620C, dated 13th December 1887.

Order thereon 5 January 1888 No. 4.

Under the orders embodied in paras
G.O. 11th March 1884. 9884 989 of the Public Works Code, to which
No. 491 Public
* not com
renewed attention has been lately drawn
X 1806W/6-7-87 in G.O. 16th June 1887 No. 834 Public, an Officer
Countersigning the plans and estimates of
a building is bound to satisfy himself
as to the suitability of the proposed accom-
-modation. The District Judge of South Mala-
- bar is therefore clearly responsible that the
plans and estimates for the Court buildings
contained such verandahs, passages, porticoes
etc as were really necessary. Of the ten items
enumerated in para 3 of the District Judge's
letter No. 670, dated 10th August 1887 to the High
Court

Accepted, 13-1-88

8/7/88

With order

400 6.1.88
1.10.188

Court, the responsibility for the omission of Nos (1) (2) (5) (7) (8) and (10) rests with the District Judge. The absence of a specification may perhaps excuse his overlooking (3).

2. The Ag District Judge of South Malabar will report fully upon the Chief Engineer's suggestions in clauses (1) (9) and (10) of his note recorded in the Proceedings read above. As regards that referred to in clause (9), it appears that the conversion of the old garbling shed into vakeel's chambers was not part of the plan submitted to Government and ought not to have been sanctioned without their knowledge. The Honorable the Judges of the High Court pointed out that even without that the accommodation provided seemed excessive. The Ag District Judge will now report what the conversion cost and what return has been obtained from the Vakeels, and a plan should be submitted showing exactly the dimensions of the separate building containing the record room and how it has been appropriated, and the dimensions of the old garbling shed or so much of it as is intended to be retained and the purpose for which it is to be used.

G.O. 20 June 1884
No. 1533 W.

/ True Extract /

To The Public Works Deptt. S. Malabar
" Honble the Judges of High Court
" Ag. Dist. Judge. S. Malabar
sd. 2.9.84

H. E. Kohler
Chief Secretary