

286w + 282w 24.1.00

P.W. No 2000

balances of contributions are brought forward annually in the accounts, and thus come under the Examiner's review. Vide Code para 1920. Special reports should be avoided as far as possible.

126/

J.H.

5/4/88

recd
10. 4. 88

No 1078w of 10. 4. 88

26
14
10. 4. 88

With order

62.5 19

10. 4. 88

W. H. H.

To The Examr. T. W. H. H.
With 2 encls.

No. 107870

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 10th April 1888

READ the following

Office Note from the Examiner
of P. W. Works. } M.C. No. 1241. of 20th March 1888.

As regards the proposed addition to para 1339 of the Public Works Code, the Government prefer the following to be inserted in continuation of para 1339 - "Except in the case of repairs to (1) buildings, and (2) Irrigation Works, where the contribution does not exceed (1) Rs. 200, and (2) Rs. 500 when the Superintending Engineer's sanction after approval of the Collector in the case of Irrigation works - is sufficient."

The proposed new para 1343. L.
is considered unnecessary. Unexpended
balances

I submit herewith the following
Add to para 1339
"Except in the case of buildings where the contribution does not exceed Rs. 200 or in Irrigation works where it is not over Rs. 500 the Superintending Engineer's sanction after approval of the Collector is sufficient"

I see no necessity for the 2nd P.O. proposed by the Examiner and see no reason for the circumstances of an unsound submission being specially referred to him. He has then rightly brought forward in the accounts for June 1920 and if the P.O. requires to settle up these accounts it is brought to notice. There are too many things referred to be specially reported and I for one think that too many special reports are to be avoided. They give needless trouble and as a rule defeat their object as they cannot be acted up to.

To JN

23/3/88

I agree with the addition of
referred to well say

"Except in the case of repairs of (1) buildings, and (2) Irrigation Works where the contribution does not exceed (1) 200Rs and (2) 500Rs, when the P.E.'s sanction after approval of the Collector in the case of Irrigation works is sufficient."

I agree.

4/4/88

I consider H. Communis
draft as much too long

20.

22.3.55

[C. No. 8.]

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1888.

{ P.W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20 March 1888.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1241

Encl. 2

To

W.

The rules governing the execution of contribution works by this department are laid down in Code paras 1339 et seq. and with the exception of churches, they apply strictly only to works to be designed and constructed, i.e. to "original works" only.

2. I beg therefore to submit for the approval of Government a standing order proposed to be inserted in the Code as para 1342 A, providing for cases of repairs carried out by the department from the sources referred to in Code para 1339 and, in doing so, I would suggest that Government be asked to fix money limits up to which Executive Engineers and Supg. Engineers may sanction estimates for such repairs. The limit of Rs. 200 tentatively proposed

KEEP-WITH

Genl. No.

dated

188 .

1,000-7-2-88.

762 W. 6-3-88

6-88 m: 4/4

Rtd 6/4

S. S. V. under

Genl. No. 1241