

1050 W. of 4th Street

MC No. 2981

one

by  
JH

No. 1049 W. of  
4th Street

0  
23/3

off 8-111  
Mar 2. 11. 08  
no. 4-4. 08.

Sub  
copy  
12374

0.2/4/08 02.3 Tr. 11. 10. 11. 0.1/08

1901

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.  
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From Major C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 28th June 1887, No. 32 W.

Copy of the following forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, for information, with reference to office note, No. 2016 C., dated 16th June 1887.

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, dated 28th June 1887, No. 55 A.

I have the honor to request the favor of your informing me whether the orders of the Government of India communicated to me with your endorsement, No. 485 E, dated 15th May 1886, for information and guidance, are still to be considered in force, or whether they have been modified or withdrawn in consequence of the representation made in Madras Government letter, No. 1368 W., dated 31st May 1886.

2. I make this inquiry because Venkatareddi Nayudu, whose appointment as temporary upper subordinate, on Rs. 400 per mensem, on the Ramnad estate, was sanctioned in Government of India letter, No. 947 G., dated 17th May 1887, is now employed on the Rushikulya project, and, in the absence of orders on the Madras Government letter referred to above, I do not know whether it is necessary to notice the matter.

3. Copies of office note correspondence on the subject are enclosed.

Letter to the Government of India, dated 13th July 1887, No. 1883 W.

From G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 9th August 1887, No. 1538 G.

In reply to your No. 1883 W., dated 13th July, I am directed to say that, as the employment of Mr. Venkatareddi Nayudu, as a temporary subordinate over and above the permanent strength of the Madras upper subordinate establishment, was sanctioned, no objection will now be raised to an exchange between him and one of the permanent subordinates for such time as sanction to his employment may last. \* \* \*

2. In reply to paragraph 2 of your letter under reply, I am to say that the rule that men temporarily sanctioned must be considered as sanctioned for a particular work must be upheld, and that transfers between them and the permanent establishment cannot as a rule be allowed. Any deviation from this rule, except in special cases, to be submitted for orders, would lead to temporary men being considered a general addition to the establishment, which is a result to be carefully guarded against.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.  
Establishment.

READ—the following letter from W. HUGHES, Esq., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 3rd October 1887, No. 490 S.E.:—

I have the honor to bring to your notice that, while the expenditure in Madura is more than in most 1st-class divisions, the grants for this year being about Rs. 3,00,000 for works, the office establishment is only that allowed for a 2nd-class division. Of the

\* The average number of vouchers to be checked and forwarded to the Examiner has recently been 220 and there are 18 work vouchers for major works to be prepared.

four clerks two are fully employed in assisting the accountant,\* leaving only two to deal with an onerous mass of correspondence. The accountant and clerks work on an average some nine hours a day, including

nearly all Sundays and holidays. I am also temporarily without a storekeeper. It appears to me, under the circumstances, impossible to expect the members of the office to endure this strain for very long without some one getting knocked up, and if such a misfortune happens, a rapid accumulation of arrears will be inevitable. It would be an advantage also and facilitate the despatch of correspondence if I could take a clerk into camp with me. At present I cannot do so. For all these reasons I beg that you will let me have at least one good clerk in addition to the present staff.

In the office of the South sub-division, I am informed that the clerks work 12 hours a day. Only one clerk is allowed by Government, but some of the subordinate clerks are called in to assist. The sub-division officer informs me that the records prior to the last year and-a-half are in the greatest confusion, and as there are a great many old accounts to settle and old references to be looked up, much current work is unavoidably in arrears; and if even all arrears could be cleared off, there would still be more to do than one man can manage. Besides, the present sub-division clerk is reported to be slow and inefficient, though willing. I should be much obliged if you can let me have an active and capable man in his place and sanction the employment of a temporary clerk on Rs. 15 for nine months.

No. 677 M.D.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with a request that, under the circumstances explained in the above letter, he would be good enough to sanction the entertainment of two temporary clerks on Rs. 30 and 20 for the Madura division and the Periyakulam or South sub-division offices, respectively.

2. A proposition statement showing the required temporary hands is enclosed.

3. It is undoubtedly true that the work in the Madura division is heavier than that of any other in the circle, and the present Executive Engineer and the Sub-Engineer in charge of the Periyakulam sub-division are doing their best to remedy the shortcomings of their predecessors.

4. I am satisfied, by personal knowledge, that the establishment asked for is wanted.

5. The vacancy in the post of storekeeper referred to in the first paragraph of the above letter has been filled up.

THECKADY, (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,  
14th October 1887. Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

ORDER—dated 5th November 1887, No. 2935 W., Public Works.

The entertainment of two clerks on Rs. 30 and 20 is sanctioned for six months as proposed.

2. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 38 W. of 1887-88, and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,  
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.  
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with one statement.

Exd. A. Aubert.  
Spare Copies

GENERAL.  
ESTABLISHMENT.

**Gobt. of (1887) Madras.**

**PUBLIC WORKS.**

*Dated November, 5th.*

*Recd.*

*Encl.*

**G.O., 5th Nov. 1887, No. 2935 W.**

**NOVEMBER.**

**Establishment**

The entertainment of a clerk on Rs. 30 for the Madura division and one on Rs. 20 for the Peiryakulam sub-division for six months, sanctioned.

1ST SEPTEMBER 1887, No. 2348 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.  
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From Major C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 28th June 1887, No. 32 W.

Copy of the following forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, for information, with reference to office note, No. 2016 C., dated 16th June 1887.

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, dated 28th June 1887, No. 55 A.

I have the honor to request the favor of your informing me whether the orders of the Government of India communicated to me with your endorsement, No. 485 E, dated 15th May 1886, for information and guidance, are still to be considered in force, or whether they have been modified or withdrawn in consequence of the representation made in Madras Government letter, No. 1368 W., dated 31st May 1886.

2. I make this inquiry because Venkatareddi Nayudu, whose appointment as temporary upper subordinate, on Rs. 400 per mensem, on the Ramnad estate, was sanctioned in Government of India letter, No. 947 G., dated 17th May 1887, is now employed on the Rushikulya project, and, in the absence of orders on the Madras Government letter referred to above, I do not know whether it is necessary to notice the matter.

3. Copies of office note correspondence on the subject are enclosed.

Letter to the Government of India, dated 13th July 1887, No. 1883 W.

From G. H. D. WALKER, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 9th August 1887, No. 1538 G.

In reply to your No. 1883 W., dated 13th July, I am directed to say that, as the employment of Mr. Venkatareddi Nayudu, as a temporary subordinate over and above the permanent strength of the Madras upper subordinate establishment, was sanctioned, no objection will now be raised to an exchange between him and one of the permanent subordinates for such time as sanction to his employment may last. \* \* \*

2. In reply to paragraph 2 of your letter under reply, I am to say that the rule that men temporarily sanctioned must be considered as sanctioned for a particular work must be upheld, and that transfers between them and the permanent establishment cannot as a rule be allowed. Any deviation from this rule, except in special cases, to be submitted for orders, would lead to temporary men being considered a general addition to the establishment, which is a result to be carefully guarded against.

From Major C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E., Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 19th August 1887, No. 49 W.

In continuation of this office No. 32 W., dated 28th June 1887, and with reference to G.O., No. 1882 W., dated 13th July 1887, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the Accountant-General's (Public Works Department) No. 707 E, dated 23rd July 1887, in which it is stated that the orders of the Government of India, contained in their letter, No. 703 G., dated 1st May 1886, have neither been modified nor withdrawn, and to request that I may be favored with orders as regards paragraph 5 of the Government of India letter quoted.

2. I beg to annex a statement showing the names of temporary servants who are actually employed on works other than those for which their employment was sanctioned.

#### ENCLOSURES.

From the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, dated 23rd July 1887, No. 707.

In reply to your letter, No. 55 A., of the 28th ultimo, I have the honor to state that the orders of the Government of India referred to in Public Works Department No. 703 G., dated 1st May 1886, have neither been modified nor withdrawn.

STATEMENT of temporary servants employed on works other than those for which their employment was sanctioned.

| Names.                     | Pay. | Work or division for which employment was sanctioned. | Authority.                                      | Work or division in which at present employed. | Remarks. |
|----------------------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------|
| W. Venkatarreddi Nayudu .. | 400  | Ramnad estate ..                                      | G.O., No. 1135<br>W., dated 25th<br>April 1887. | Rushikulya project.                            |          |
| Mr. J. Elliot ..           | 150  | } Tank Restoration {<br>scheme.                       |                                                 | Cuddapah division.                             |          |
| Mr. E. Vigor ..            | 150  |                                                       |                                                 | Nellore division.                              |          |
| C. Kuppusami Mudaliar ..   | 100  | Ramnad ..                                             |                                                 | North Arcot division.                          |          |
| P. Perumal Naidu ..        | 80   | Kimedi estate ..                                      |                                                 | Madura division.                               |          |
| C. R. Sundaram Pillai ..   | 80   | Ramnad ..                                             |                                                 | Vizagapatam division.                          |          |
| P. Subrahmanya Aiyar ..    | 60   |                                                       |                                                 | Trichinopoly division.                         |          |

MADRAS,  
19th August 1887.

(Signed) C. H. P. CHRISTIE, Major, R.E.,  
Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

ORDER—dated 1st September 1887, No. 2348 W., Public Works.

Extract paragraph 1 of the letter from the Government of India above recorded, will be communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

2. Paragraph 2 will be communicated to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Superintending Engineers, for information and guidance, and with intimation that temporary upper subordinates whose employment may be sanctioned for a particular work should not be transferred from such work without special orders.

3. Of the men mentioned in the list submitted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, the Government of India have sanctioned W. Venkatarreddi Nayudu's employment on the Rushikulya project, and Mr. E. Vigor has resigned. The Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will arrange for the retransfer of C. R. Sundaram Pillai to the Parlakimedi estate works, and the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, will arrange for the re-transfer of P. Perumal Nayudu and P. Subrahmanya Aiyar to the Ramnad division. The Chief Engineer for Irrigation will report to which parties of the Tank Restoration Scheme Mr. J. Elliot and C. Kuppuswami Mudaliyar can be posted, and for which

members of the permanent establishment employed in the Tank Restoration Scheme they can be exchanged.

4. Superintending Engineers will remember that sanction to the employment of members of the temporary establishment remains current for twelve months only from date of entertainment, and that renewed sanction should be applied for three months before the expiration of the year.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. O. HASTED, Colonel, R.E.,  
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.  
„ Superintending Engineers,  
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. Aubert.  
Spare Copies

GENERAL.  
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of 1887 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

*Dated September, 1st.*

*Recd.*

*Encl.*

---

G.O., 1st Sept. 1887, No. 2348 W.

---

SEPTEMBER.

---

**Temporary Subordinate  
Establishment**

*W. Venkatarreddi Nayudu.*—Sanctioning the employment of — on the Rushikulya project, and issuing instructions as regards the posting of temporary subordinates to the specific works for which they are sanctioned.

62874 Rtd 6/4

Sixth circle

Office to which the proposition  
refers.

2. 2. 2.

- 4.

Sup: Engineer, Circle,

rec'd 5<sup>th</sup> March 1888—  
72

No. 13/W Dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 1888

Forwarded to the  
Chief Engineer Public  
Works Department, for  
favor of sanction.

~~Major R.E.~~  
Major R.E.  
Examiner. P.W. Accounts  
Madras.

~~23/3~~  
23/3.

From, The Supg. Engineer  
III Circle  
Trichinopoly

To  
The Chief Engineer  
P.W. D.  
thru the Examiner P.W. A.

No. 1183MD

Dated 5<sup>th</sup> }  
Sept 7<sup>th</sup> } March 1888

Sixth Circle.

Revised Statement  
of Proposition for tempo-  
rary Establishment  
required for the Madras  
Division, for 1887-88.

No 2348W of 1-9. 87

No 2935W of 5-11. 87.

From P.W.C. No 2890

Major. G. H. P. Christie. R. E.

Commissary. P. W. Accounts

Madras

No 1049 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 6<sup>th</sup> April 1888

Read the following

From the Supty. Engineer VI Circle. H. E. No: 1183 In. D. of 5<sup>th</sup> March 1888.  
Endorsement by the Examiner of P. W. Accts. H. E. No: 131 W of 23<sup>rd</sup> March 1888.

To.

The Chief Engineer  
Public Works Department

By 26. 3. 88

No 131 W dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March 1888

Revised Statement of Proposition  
for temporary Establishment  
required for the Madras Division  
for 1887-88 — Forwarded  
Memorandum No 1183 In D dated  
5<sup>th</sup> March 1888, from the Super-  
intending Engineer VI Circle  
regarding the —

The entertainment of a house  
on Rs. 6 per mensem in the Madras  
Division from 10<sup>th</sup> October to 7<sup>th</sup> Decem-  
ber 1887 is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been regis-  
tered as Provincial No. 4 W of 1888  
and classified as Establishment  
Temporary.

P.P.H.  
30/3/88

W.C.  
25.3.88

M.M.L.  
30/3/88

Despatch  
7/4/88

To The Supty. Engr. VI Circle of  
" Examiner of P. W. Accts.

W.C.

11/27/3

0  
28/3.

Buo 846 way 14-3-88  
D... 1865 way 23.6.81.

PWC N° 2945

R 27.3.88

N° 1048 w. of 6" April/88

10  
8 PM  
3 am

The Govt see no  
objection to the  
Examiner's proposal.  
2. A draft Standing  
Order may be framed  
accordingly.

Wm  
17.3.88  
Wm  
30/3/88

0  
27/3  
O.S. 5.11  
Wm 2.10.88  
4.4.88

Rto 6/4  
S.W.  
Wm

En. P.W. Accts. 18  
2/4

Buo 846 way 14-3-88  
D.. 1565 way 23.6.81.

PWC N: 2946

R 27.3.88

N. 1048 w. of 6. April/88

13

8 PM  
3 am

The Govt see no  
objection to the —  
Examiner's proposal.  
2. A draft Standing  
Order may be framed  
accordingly.

Wm

17.3.88

M. H.  
30/3/88

W

R to 6/4 <sup>800</sup> <sup>W</sup> <sup>W</sup>

0 27/3 <sup>Old 5.11</sup>  
<sup>Wm 2.11.88</sup>  
<sup>Wm 4.4.88</sup>

E. W. Accts. 18  
7/4

3 no 846 way 14-3-88  
D.. 1565 way 23.6.81.

PWC N: 2946

R 27.3.88

No 1048 w. of 6" April/88

10  
PPH  
3 am

The Gvt see no  
objection to the  
Examiner's proposal.  
2. A draft Standing  
Order may be framed  
accordingly.

WVW  
17.3.88  
WVW  
30/3/88

0  
27/3  
O.S. 5. III  
HW 2.11.88  
na: 4.4.88

R to 6/4  
WVW  
PPH

WVW  
En. P.W. Accts. 18  
7/4

1340 846 w of 14 - 3.88  
D. 1565 w of 23.6.81.

PWC N: 2940

R 27.3.88

No. 1048 w. of 6. April 88

10  
PWC  
3 am

The Govt see no  
objection to the  
Examiner's proposal.  
2. A draft Standing  
Order may be framed  
accordingly.

W. W. W.  
17.3.88  
W. W. W.  
30/3/88

0 27/3  
Obs 5.111  
SW 2.14.88  
NW 4.4.88  
R to 6/4  
W. W. W.

W.  
E. W. Accts. 18  
7/4

340 846 W of 14-3-88

P. 1565 W of 23-6-81.

PTC No 2940

R 27.3.88

No 1048 W of 6<sup>th</sup> April/88

10

PTC  
3 Apr

The Govt see no  
objection to the  
Examiner's proposal.  
2. A draft Standing  
Order may be framed  
accordingly.

W. W.

17.3.88

W. W.  
30/3/88

Encl. W. Sects. 1 & 2  
7/4

0  
27/3  
Obs 5.11  
W. W. 2.11.88  
W. W. 4.4.88

R to 6/4  
W. W.  
W. W.

1340 846 way 14-3-88  
1565 way 23-6-81.

PWC N: 2940

R 27.3.88

No 1048 w. of 6th April/88

10  
8 PM  
3 am

The Gtts see no  
objection to the  
Examiner's proposal.  
2. A draft Standing  
Order may be framed  
accordingly.

Wern  
17.3.88  
Wern  
30/3/88

Er. P.W. Aceto. 18  
7/4

0 27/3  
Old 5.11  
New 2.11.88  
New 4.4.80

R to 6/4  
800 W  
P.W.